00081464

00081464

Digitized with financial assistance from

H T Parekh FOUNDATION

An Initiative by HDFC

WITH YOU, RIGHT THROUGH

on 28 December, 2018

CHARICLES

ILLUSTRATIONS OF THE PRIVATE LIFE OF THE ANCIENT GREEKS

B. 2736.

WITH NOTES AND EXCURSUSES

81464

FROM THE GERMAN OF

PROFESSOR BECKER



A NEW EDITION COLLATED AND ENLARGED

LONDON
JOHN W. PARKER AND SON
WEST STRAND
1854



Πρᾶγμα βραχὺ πολλάκις καὶ ῥῆμα καὶ παιδιά τις ἔμφασιν ἤθους ἐποίησε μᾶλλον ἢ μάχαι μυριόνεκροι—PLUTARCH.

ADVERTISEMENT TO THE SECOND EDITION.

IN preparing the Translation of Becker's *Charicles* for a new Edition, the whole has undergone a minute and careful comparison with the German Text. Numerous emendations have been introduced in order to attain greater fidelity in translation, as well as increased conciseness and elegance of expression. At the same time insertion has been given to many of those subsidiary discussions which were omitted in the former Edition of the translation.

In the first Edition the Author's citations of Greek and Latin writers were, for the most part, left out; these passages, whenever deemed intrinsically important, have now been carefully incorporated with the Text.

These changes, it is believed, will much enhance the value of the work to the English reader; and though the bulk of the book has been thus materially increased, the price has nevertheless been reduced.

The convenience of the Classical student has, moreover, been consulted by a strict adherence to uniformity in the mode of citing ancient writers; and the references to certain works frequently quoted, such as Böckh's *Public Economy of Athens*, Müller's *History of the Dorians*, and the Author's *Gallus*, have been made to the latest Editions of their English translations, instead of to the German originals.

I. T.

CHEAM,
March 15, 1854.

AUTHOR'S PREFACE.

THE author has been encouraged in offering this work to the public by the favourable reception which his work on Roman manners has met with, and which served to convince him that an illustration of that portion of antique life was by no means unacceptable. Less has hitherto been done, in this respect, for Greece than for Rome. The earlier philologists either ignored this department of Grecian Antiquities, or merely made occasional allusions to it; while they drew parallels between the features of Grecian and of Roman life, or identified them, in a most unwarrantable manner. The Italians, for instance, who seem to have felt themselves especially called, by the mementos of early grandeur and magnificence around them, and by the classic atmosphere which they inhaled, to an investigation of antiquity, have, above all others—perhaps from a proud contempt of everything not Roman—either utterly disregarded Greek customs, or handled them after a very desultory and faulty method. In the collections of Gronovius and Grævius, and of their successors, Sallengre and Polen, we are usually presented with an undigested and confused medley of passages, quoted without any regard either to the context, the period referred to, or the value of the author, and these are often brought forward in support of the most marvellous hypotheses. Exceptions, it is true, must be made in favour of a few great names, such as Casaubon, Salmasius, and perhaps Meur-

sius; but the results of the investigations of these writers are widely scattered about in commentaries, so that the student would only be repaid for the labour of wading through them by obtaining a number of insulated notices, without acquiring any systematic information on the subject. A careful perusal of the Greek authors shews, moreover, that all that these commentators have gleaned stands in much the same relation to what they have overlooked, as does the paltry produce of a sand-washing to the yield of an exhaustless gold-mine.

In later times, several acute investigators have laboured in the field of Attic law and polity, and these researches have occasionally thrown light on the relations of private life. But no comprehensive work, illustrative of the every-day occurrences of Grecian life, has, as yet, been undertaken, for neither Nitzsch's Description of the Greeks, nor Potter's compilation, deserve to be mentioned in the present state of antiquarian science. Barthelemy's Travels of the Younger Anacharsis, though a meritorious performance for its time, is anything but satisfactory to those who have become acquainted with the Greeks from their own literature. The figures often resemble antique statues attired in French court costume and lace ruffles; they are like pictures by Le Brun or Coypel, where the artist's subjective conception has entirely effaced the antique character of the original, and where the clever treatment of the details is no recompense for the failure of the attempt as a whole.

In the works which have lately been written on Grecian customs and institutions, such as Wachsmuth's *Hellenischer Alterthumskunde*, and Müller's *History of the Dorians*, somewhat more has been done to illustrate pri-

vate life. The comprehensiveness of the plan of the former work prevents, however, the introduction of the necessary detail. In Böttiger's *Kleine Schriften* many of the points in question are discussed, and many of his observations, those for instance on the Grecian Dress, are very valuable. Some of his enquiries are, however, absurdly frivolous; for instance, his investigations as to the use of pocket-handkerchiefs by the Grecian ladies. Jacobs' works, *Die Erziehung der Hellenen zur Sittlichkeit*, and his *Beiträge zur Gesch. d. weibl. Geschlechts*, are of a more serious tendency, and are written in a remarkably clever and attractive manner. Yet neither of these productions can be considered as anything more than enthusiastic apologies for certain flagrant vices rife among the Hellenes. He who undertakes faithfully to describe the character of a people, ought not, while he gives prominence to its nobler features, to place a screen before the blemishes that deform it. An excellent essay, which estimates, on impartial principles, the religious and moral development of the Greeks, Limburg Brower's *Histoire de la Civilisation morale et réligieuse des Grecs*, only reached the author while this treatise was in the press; he rejoices to find that with respect to the darker vices of the Greek people he has himself arrived at nearly the same results as this learned and unbiased writer.

The author of *Charicles*, discarding the incomplete labours of his predecessors, has uniformly gone to the fountain-head, and has carefully perused, with reference to his present object, the whole range of Greek literature down to the time of Aristotle. Of the succeeding writers down to the fourth century, he has gone through the most important, more especially Theophrastus, Strabo, Plutarch,

Lucian, Athenæus, Pausanias, Ælian, Diogenes Laertius, Dio Chrysostom, Libanius, Maximus Tyrius, and Philostratus; also the Erotic writers, Alciphron, Artemidorus, and others, as well as the grammarians, Pollux, Harpocration, Suidas, Hesychius, Photius, Phrynichus, Timæus, Eustathius, and other Scholiasts. The later Roman historians have also been consulted, though in a more cursory manner. There is not one of these writers from whom materials of greater or less value have not been derived. But more regard has been paid to every minute intimation in authors of the better period, than to the most explicit explanations of the grammarians, which are often founded solely on well-known passages of classic writers, or are forced into accordance with the customs of a later age. The Attic orators have proved by far the most valuable sources of information, for by them Greek manners are incidentally depicted with a reality and naturalness which is wanting in the lofty and ideal conceptions of the tragic and lyric poets, or in the caricatures of the comedians, as well as in the phantasies of Utopian philosophers, or the pragmatical reflections of philosophical historians. Though less weight has been attached to the writers of later periods, yet there appears to be no reason to suspect their testimony in general; and though it is seen at a glance that manners greatly degenerated, yet we still recognize all the more important features; and thus Plutarch bears witness that the character of the Athenians, in his day, and their whole mode of life, both in serious as well as in more trivial concerns, were the same as in preceding times.

Another important point to be taken into account was the genuineness of the writings quoted; but here less stress

has always been laid on the name of the writer, than on the date, or the recognised antiquity of the production. Everybody knows that the speech against Neæra, that of Andocides against Alcibiades, certain dialogues of Plato, the second book of Aristotle's Œconomics, the *Apophthegmata Laconica* attributed to Plutarch, and many other treatises, are spurious or doubtful; but they have stood from time immemorial among the works of those writers whose names they bear.

The mass of materials being so overwhelming, it is very possible that some omissions may have occurred, but it is hoped that nothing has been neglected which might have been decisive on any of the mooted questions.

In addition to these literary stores, much information has been derived from extant works of art; and the rich collection of illustrated archæological works in the university library of Leipsic has proved of the greatest service. These materials, it is true, do not throw so much light on Grecian as on Roman customs, for no buried town has been discovered, with its baths, houses, and household furniture; nevertheless the Greek specimens extant, especially the painted vases, are, so far as they go, of a very high value, inasmuch as they belong to an early period, which is not the case with those of Italy.

In a work descriptive of state antiquities the form here adopted would have been unsuitable, because unsystematic. But the case is different in an attempt to illustrate the checkered and numberless phases of private life, which do not admit of any very strict classification. The Scenes had to be written with inconceivable care and caution, in order to combine the scattered traits, and give

unity to the picture, and all imaginative licence on the part of the writer had to be rigidly suppressed. But this was the only way of accomplishing the prescribed task; except by the composition of *Adversaria in modum Turnebi*, which seems, of all methods, the least happy and the most repulsive. Mere pedantic disquisitions on habits and customs would have been like anatomical plates, wherein we can trace, to their minutest details, all the bones, muscles, nerves, and blood-vessels; though we can form no idea, from these dismembered and deformed parts, of the human body as a whole. The Scenes, then, are intended to give this *tout ensemble*, this portraiture of Greek life; and if the writer has in any measure been successful, he thinks that a desirable object will have been achieved, since nothing of the kind is to be found in the writings of antiquity.

It must not however be supposed that this work partakes, to any great extent, of the character of a romance. The materials previously collected, on being classified, seemed spontaneously to suggest the course of the narrative, and it will be seen on comparing the text of the Scenes with the Notes and Excursuses, that the former consist almost entirely of excerpted passages of Greek authors.

It did not seem desirable, as was done in *Gallus*, to link the narrative to any historical occurrence, because among the Greeks the private life of every important personage is much harder to separate from the public doings than at Rome, and it would thus have been necessary to encroach frequently on a department of investigation of which the writer desired to be independent. For the same reason a point of time has been selected

in which public life had begun to fall into the background, while the egotistic spirit of the age gave a greater prominence to individual interests. The consequence has been, that whereas the Roman scenes wore more of a tragic aspect, these are couched rather in the tone of comedy, in the Greek sense of the word. It does not, moreover, appear necessary that an excessive σκυθρωπασμὸς should be essential in conducting every scientific inquiry; on the contrary, it would seem that in the treatment of many phases of antiquity a certain tone of irony is more appropriate.

The rest of the arrangement is similar to that of *Gallus:* but it is hoped that the explanations contained in the Notes and Excursuses will be found more comprehensive and elaborate.

The Classical authorities which bear out the assertions here put forward, have, for the most part, been cited in extenso; for this seemingly cumbrous procedure is the only one which can be really satisfactory to the student. In every case the recognized and most recent editions have been employed, and the greatest care has been taken to secure accuracy in the references and citations.

That Attic customs have been those chiefly portrayed, can be no matter of surprise. Greece being divided into many small states, each of which had its own peculiarities in customs and manner of life, all these nuances ought of course to be noticed in a general picture of Greek life. But there is, unfortunately, a great deficiency of original materials for such a work. Little is known except in reference to Athens and Sparta; and in the latter state, with her bizarre institutions, all individuality

is so utterly destroyed, and such an undue and unnatural importance is given to her political strength and the renown of the people as a whole, that she must be considered as an anomaly in the social condition of Greece; and thus the mode of life which was there prevalent can by no means be taken as representative of that generally established. Attic life, therefore, so varied and comprehensive in its phases, and so abundantly illustrated by contemporary literature, must serve as the norma for the rest of Greece, and the usages of other states can only be considered in a comparative point of view. Doric customs have, moreover, been already investigated by Manso, Müller, and Hoek; so that the author has generally contented himself with noticing those points in which their conclusions seem to be manifestly erroneous.

If he has occasionally failed in arriving at the truth, he can plead in mitigation that in most cases he has had to commence from the very foundations, no edifice existing on which to rear a superstructure. But where wrong, he will thankfully submit to be set right, and will carefully attend even to criticism which he considers to be erroneous, since it will afford an opportunity for the more extended investigation of disputed points.

CONTENTS.

Page

Advertisement to the Second Edition iii

Author's Preface v

CHARICLES.

SCENE THE FIRST.

THE FRIENDS OF YOUTH 1

Excursus. Education 217

SCENE THE SECOND.

CORINTH 22

Excursus. The Hetæræ 241

SCENE THE THIRD.

THE ANCESTRAL ABODE 43

Excursus I. The Grecian House 251

II. Bookselling and Libraries 272

SCENE THE FOURTH.

THE TRAPEZITÆ 61

Excursus. The Markets and Commerce 277

SCENE THE FIFTH.

Page

THE HABITS OF YOUTH 74

Excursus. The Gymnasia 293

SCENE THE SIXTH.

THE BANQUET 89

Excursus I. The Meals 310

II. The Symposia 333

III. The Games 348

SCENE THE SEVENTH.

THE TRITON 109

Excursus. The Slaves 356

SCENE THE EIGHTH.

THE INVALID 130

Excursus. The Doctors 374

SCENE THE NINTH.

THE WILL 153

Excursus. The Burials 383

SCENE THE TENTH.

THE DIONYSIA 178

Excursus. Theatre-Going 403

SCENE THE ELEVENTH.

Page

THE RING 194

Excursus I. The Dress 413

II. The Shoes 445

III. Hair and Beard 453

SCENE THE TWELFTH.

THE WEDDING DAY 209

Excursus. The Women 462

Index 499

CHARICLES.

SCENE THE FIRST.

THE FRIENDS OF YOUTH.

NOT far from the ruins of Mycenæ, those primæval witnesses of the grandeur of the earliest Grecian princes, which, stupendous even in their downfall, have endured for upwards of three thousand years, is seen a narrow defile, winding northwards between precipitous walls of rock, and leading to the hill whereon Cleonæ, probably, whilome stood, and which, though insignificant in size, is famous from the eulogy of Homer[1]. This confined way was anciently the main road from Argos to Corinth, and passable for carriages[2];

[1] The topographical portion of this book, though of minor importance, was one of considerable difficulty. In the absence of personal acquaintance with the region described, the author has carefully perused all the most important works on the subject. Besides the accounts of Strabo and Pausanias, the following books have been consulted. Gell's *Itinerary of the Morea;* Dodwell's *Classical and Topographical Tour through Greece;* Leake's *Travels in the Morea;* Pouqueville's *Voyage dans la Grèce;* and the *Expédition de Morée.* For the position of Cleonæ, see Strabo, viii. 6, 19: Κλεωναὶ δ' εἰσὶ πόλισμα ἐπὶ τῇ ὁδῷ κείμενον τῇ ἐξ Ἄργους εἰς Κόρινθον ἐπὶ λόφου περιοικουμένου πανταχόθεν καὶ τετειχισμένον καλῶς· ὥστ' οἰκείως εἰρῆσθαί μοι δοκεῖ τὸ ἐϋκτιμένας Κλεωνάς. Leake says that there is still a hamlet of four or five houses called Clenas (Κλέναις), although Curtési, a larger village, is usually supposed to occupy the site of the ancient town.

[2] Two roads formerly led from Cleonæ to Argos. Paus. ii. 15, 1: ἐκ Κλεωνῶν δέ εἰσιν ἐς Ἄργος ὁδοὶ δύο. ἡ μὲν ἀνδράσιν εὐζώνοις, καὶ ἐστὶν ἐπίτομος. ἡ δὲ ἐπὶ τοῦ καλουμένου Τρητοῦ, στενὴ μὲν καὶ αὐτὴ περιεχόντων ὀρῶν, ὀχήμασι δέ ἐστιν ὅμως ἐπιτηδειοτέρα. One of them was named Κοντοπορία. Athen. ii. p. 43: διὰ τῆς Κοντοπορίας καλουμένης, κατὰ τὴν ἀκρώρειαν προσβαίνουσιν εἶναι κρήνην νᾶμα ἀνιεῖσαν χιόνος ψυχρότερον. The name is also mentioned by Polyb. xvi. 16, 4, 5; and its direction from Corinth said to be ἔγγιστα πρὸς δύσεις χειμερινὰς. But whether by this is to be un-

but in our time, from its neglected state, it presents difficulties even to a traveller on horseback[3]. The western side of the defile is skirted by a brook, which, rising to the north, here forces itself through a dense overgrowth of bushes. The rugged rocks are indented by numerous fissures and caverns, one of which is now, as it was in the time of Pausanias, pointed out as the lair of the Nemean lion[4]. The whole surrounding region presents the aspect of a mountainous chain severed and intersected in various directions. Over the western heights the pillars of the Temple of Jupiter serve to mark the former site of Nemea; whilst two leagues southward of Mycenæ, the ancient Argos still survives in its modern transformation[5].

It was in the last month of the 111th Olympiad, that a youth, whose years could scarcely have exceeded those of an Ephebus, was proceeding along this road. He was mounted on a dark-coloured steed[6], bearing no brand, it

---

derstood the carriage-road mentioned by Pausanias, or the foot-path, is still undetermined. Müller, in his *Dorians*, i. p. 79, declares for the former; Leake, on the contrary (iii. 328), thinks it more likely that the shorter road, which now leads by Aion Oros, is meant; and there is some weight in one of his three reasons for this opinion, viz. that Ptolemæos found the cold spring on the height (*κατὰ τὴν ἀκριάρειαν*), an expression which would scarcely suit the carriage-road. And Dodwell (p. 208) says of the *Κοντοπορία*: 'This was probably not the way which passed through Nemea.' On the other hand, it is certain that Pausanias does not call the hill, but the coach-road itself, *Τρητὸς*, for he says, § 4, *ἀνελθοῦσι δὲ ἐς τὸν Τρητὸν καὶ αὖθις τὴν ἐς Ἄργος ἰοῦσιν ἐστι Μυκηνῶν ἐρείπια ἐν ἀριστερᾷ.*

[3] Pouquev. iv. 48: 'Elle est actuellement dans un tel état de dégradation, qu'on a quelque difficulté à y voyager à cheval.'

[4] Pausan. *supra*: *ἐν τούτοις τοῖς ὄρεσι τὸ σπήλαιον ἔτι δείκνυται τοῦ λέοντος.* Cf. Dodwell, p. 207; Leake, p. 329.

[5] *Expédition de Morée*, ii. 147: 'Distance totale, 2 heures, 5 minutes.'

[6] In the heroic age carriages were frequently used for long journeys. In the historic period people mostly went on foot, and carriages are an exception, not the rule; (see notes 23 and 26 to Sc. VII). Even embassies travelled thus, as appears from Æschin. *de Falsa Leg.* p. 272. Still there are instances of horses being used, and Demosthenes thus accompanies Philip's ambassadors as far as Thebes. *Ib.* 282: *ἐμισθώσατ' αὐτοῖς ὅτ' ἀπῄεσαν ὁρικὰ ζεύγη καὶ συμπαρῆγε ἐφ' ἵππου.* Cf. *in Ctesiph.*

is true, to mark him of celebrated blood, but of a strength and mettle not unworthy of the noble form that bestrode him. The rider lacked not breadth of chest and shoulders, but his frame was rather slim and supple, than thick-set and muscular. His slightly tanned neck rose proudly and freely, but in the animation of his blue eye was blended a languishing expression, betokening him one that longed to love and to be loved. Beneath the broad brim of his dark travelling cap crowded an abundance of light-coloured locks, while a delicate down besprinkled his cheeks and chin. His whole appearance, his noble carriage and finely-chiselled profile, bespoke a youth of good descent and careful nurture[7].

A single slave, apparently scarce ten years senior to his master, was his only attendant, and strode along manfully in the wake of the steed; though the drops of sweat that ran down his forehead, shewed that the bundle on his shoulders containing the coverlet for the night, and other appliances necessary for a journey, was no light burden for a hot sunny day in the month of *Skirophorion*[8].

---

p. 467. In Xenophon's *Sympos.* 9, 7, some of the guests even arrive at Callias' house on horseback, or perhaps, as Schneider supposes, order their steeds to be brought for the journey home. In writing the foregoing description, Lucian, *Asin.* § 1, and Appul. *Metam.* i. p. 12, have been kept in view.

[7] An almost verbal imitation of Cybele's description of Theagenes. Heliod. *Æthiop.* vii. 10: *εὐρύς τις ἦν τὰ στέρνα καὶ τοὺς ὤμους, καὶ τὸν αὐχένα ὄρθιον καὶ ἐλεύθερον ὑπὲρ τοὺς ἄλλους αἴρων, καὶ εἰς κορυφὴν τοὺς ἅπαντας ὑπερέχων, γλαυκιῶν τὸ βλέμμα καὶ ἐπέραστον ἅμα καὶ γοργὸν προσβλέπων, ὁ καταβόστρυχός που πάντως ἐκεῖνος, τὴν παρειὰν ἄρτι ξανθῷ τῷ ἰούλῳ περιστέφων.*

[8] Every one of respectable condition was accompanied out of doors by one or more slaves; (see Excursus on *The Slaves;*) so also on a journey slaves attended, to carry the sleeping-apparatus, *στρώματα*, and the other baggage. Aristoph. *Av.* 615:

οὕτω μὲν εἰσίωμεν. ἄγε δὲ, Ξανθία, καὶ Μανόδωρε, λαμβάνετε τὰ στρώματα.

Cf. *Ran.* 12. Xenoph. *Memor.* iii. 13, 6, is very explicit: *Ἄλλου δὲ λέγοντος, ὡς παρεταθη μακρὰν ὁδὸν πορευθεὶς, ἤρετο αὐτὸν, εἰ καὶ φορτίον ἔφερε. Μὰ Δί', οὐκ ἔγωγ', ἔφη, ἀλλὰ τὸ ἱμάτιον. Μόνος δ' ἐπορεύου, ἔφη, ἢ καὶ ἀκόλουθός σοι ἠκολούθει; Ἠκολούθει, ἔφη. Πότερον, ἔφη, κενὸς, ἢ φέρων τι; Φέρων, νὴ Δί', ἔφη, τά τε στρώματα καὶ τὰ ἄλλα σκεύη.* The pack or receptacle for these things

The two wayfarers had arrived at a spot where the wall of rock on the east curved inwards semicircularly, leaving space for a green carpet-like lawn, surrounded by a thick bosquet of blooming myrtles and oleanders; between which a holly-bush here and there protruded the points of its glistening leaves, as if to protect the luxuriant foliage. Just at the foot of this leafy curtain, amidst the boulders scattered around, exuberant ferns extended their bright-green fans; and the nakedness of the rocks was sparingly, though picturesquely clothed with branches of red-fruited arbutus, and tufts of the yellow-blossomed sage[9]. The rider drew up, and turning to his slave, said, 'Manes, how high is the sun?' 'The fourth hour is passed for certain,' replied the other. 'Let us stop here then; 't were hard to find a more inviting spot for our morning meal. The projecting rocks will shield us from the burning rays of the sun; while these moss-grown boulders seem placed purposely for the repose of the wanderer, and the spring which bubbles from the rock up yonder, promises us a refreshing draught.' With these words he sprang from his horse, rubbed the foam and froth from his

---

was called *στρωματόδεσμον*: Plato, *Theat.* p. 175; Æschin. *de Falsa Leg.* 273: *συνηκολούθουν δ' αὐτῷ ἄνθρωποι δύο στρωματόδεσμα φέροντες, ἐν δὲ τῷ ἑτέρῳ τούτων ὡς αὐτὸς ἔφη, τάλαντον ἐνῆν ἀργυρίου.* It was afterwards called *στρωματεύς*, Poll. vii. 79; x. 137. Pollux seems not to restrict their use to the journey. The weight borne by the slaves was sometimes considerable. It is true silver money is not meant in the passage of Æschines; but see Theophr. *Char.* 30: *τῷ ἀκολούθῳ ἐπιθεῖναι μεῖζον φορτίου, ἢ δύναται φέρειν.* Even when the master was on horseback, still the slave followed on foot, though part of the baggage may have been carried by the horse. Lucian, *Asin.* 1: *ἵππος δέ με κατῆγε καὶ τὰ σκεύη, καὶ θεράπων ἠκολούθει εἷς.*

[9] These are the plants and shrubs which really grow in this region. See Pouqueville, p. 148: 'le Tréte, dans lequel coulent la rivière et les eaux de la source de Rito au milieu d'un fourré épais de myrtes, de lauriers-roses et d'arbustes.' The holly, *ilex aquifolium*, Linn., Græcè *πρῖνος ἀγρία*, is also to be found between Corinth and Nemea: 'une campagne couverte de petits buissons et de houx.' *Erpéd. de Morée*, iii. 35. The strawberry-tree, *arbutus unedo*, is indigenous throughout Greece. The yellow sage, *salvia pomifera*, *σφάκος*, (now *ἀλησφακιὰ*) abounds in the vicinity. Dodwell, 228, says: 'This plant is common in the rocky places in Greece.'

neck and back with a handful of leaves, and then turned him loose to enjoy himself among the tall grass, at which in passing, he had already nibbled hastily[10]. Meanwhile Manes had lost no time in depositing his bundle, and drew from it bread, Sicilian cheese, and dried figs, with some fresh ones gathered on the road; not to mention leeks and onions collected in the same manner, and set apart by him for his private use[11]. A small skin full of Mendæan wine—a present from their host at Argos—and a silver drinking-cup[12], completed the preparations for the frugal breakfast, the best relish for which was their morning's exercise.

Manes soon clambered up to the eminence, where the spring spouted forth abundantly from the rock, and brought the earthen vessel filled with water, whose coolness proved an admirable freshener to the wine, already somewhat flat from the warmth of the day.

The youth had concluded his repast, and was reposing

[10] Vid. Appul. *Metam.* i. p. 13.

[11] There is no doubt that Grecian hospitality allowed the wayfarer to eat of the fruit growing by the road-side. Plato, *Leg.* viii. p. 845: *ἐὰν δὲ ξένος ἐπιδημήσας ὀπώρας ἐπιθυμῇ φαγεῖν, διαπορευόμενος τὰς ὁδούς, τῆς μὲν γενναίας (ὀπώρας, γενναίων σταφυλῶν ἢ σύκων) ἀπτέσθω, ἐὰν βούληται, μεθ' ἑνὸς ἀκολούθου, χωρὶς τιμῆς, ξένια δεχόμενος· τῆς δὲ ἀγροίκου λεγομένης καὶ τῶν τοιούτων ὁ νόμος εἰργέτω μὴ κοινωνεῖν ἡμῖν τοὺς ξένους.* The distinction between *ὀπώρα γενναία*, and *ἀγροῖκος*, is explained by the context. He evidently means certain sorts of grapes; for instance, those not used for must, but for the table. Afterwards, speaking of apples, pears, pomegranates, &c., he says: *ξένῳ δὲ, καθάπερ ὀπώρας, ἐξέστω καὶ τῶν τοιούτων μέτοχον εἶναι.* Leeks and onions were very much esteemed, especially by the lower orders. See Plutarch, *Symp.* iv. 4, 3: *τὸ μὲν γὰρ Ὁμηρικὸν ἐκεῖνο, κρόμυον ποτοῦ ὄψον, ναύταις καὶ κωπηλάταις μᾶλλον ἢ βασιλεῦσιν ἐπιτήδειον ἦν.* See also Excursus on *The Meals*.

[12] As with the Germans, so among the Greeks, in every family not quite indigent were to be found some little articles of silver-plate, such as cups, and so forth, serving partly for sacrifices, partly for the table. See Cic. *Verr.* iv. 21. Drinking vessels are frequently mentioned, and they were also carried on a journey. An instance occurs in Demosth. *in Timoth.* p. 1193. The *κύμβιον* (Id. *in Euerg.* 1156), which belonged to the freed-man was doubtless of silver, else the plunderers would never have so maltreated the woman in order to obtain it.

comfortably on the mossy slab, when a second traveller wound round the corner of the crag, and made straight for the spot, with which he seemed already to be well acquainted. He was on foot and unaccompanied; and his dress, though respectable, did not betoken particularly flourishing means; but his powerful figure and resolute bearing were admirably united with a litheness and activity of limb, which could only have been acquired by a complete course of training in the *Gymnasium*. The manly beauty of his features corresponded well with the symmetry of his person. That lively eye beneath the lofty brow, which was encircled by a wreath of raven locks, betrayed an acute understanding, and keen powers of observation; while the well-turned mouth, besides a slight expression of shrewdness, bespoke much good humour and benevolence. His form might be well likened to that of a Hermes in the flush of incipient manhood. He seemed neither surprised nor annoyed at finding the resting-place pre-occupied, for he walked up, and with a friendly salutation greeted the first comer, who returned it with no less cordiality, and invited the other to a share of the natural retreat. For a few moments the stranger examined thoughtfully the features of the stripling. Some dim and distant reminiscence of a similar face, seen of yore, seemed gradually to dawn upon his mind. 'We seem bound to the same goal,' was his answer, as he presently loosened the clasp of his *chlamys*, and proceeded to accept the invitation. 'I have observed the footmarks of your steed; you are on your way to Cleonæ.' 'Certainly,' replied the other, 'by Cleonæ to Corinth.' 'In that case we can travel in company, that is, if you will tarry till I have got cooler, and mounted to yonder fountain, which the benevolent nymph pours forth to refresh the traveller.' 'Right willingly,' replied the fair-haired one; 'but there is no need to toil up the ascent. Go, Manes, fill the *hydria* anew, and bring hither the goblet and wine, that I may pledge my future companion in a cup of welcome.'

The offer was gratefully accepted, and Manes soon returned with the sparkling beverage. 'May every drop in this goblet,' said the youth, as he presented it to the stranger, 'become a never-failing fountain of hearty good-will between us. You possess, in a wonderful degree, the gift of winning a man's confidence; though but a moment since we were perfect strangers, I already feel marvellously drawn towards you: I hope we shall be friends.' 'Zeus Philios grant it be so,' replied the other as he received the cup and emptied it. His look again rested on the stripling, whose features he seemed to scan attentively. 'Peradventure, however, we are not quite such strangers to each other as you think,' continued he; 'and this is not perhaps the first time that we have partaken of the same salt[13]. At all events we are compatriots, for though your speech has somewhat of a foreign accent, there is no mistaking the Athenian; so I shall have to put only half the question of the Homeric heroes:

Who art thou, and whence among men? Where dwellest thou, where dwell thy parents?'

'Doubtless,' rejoined with a smile the person to whom this query was addressed, 'doubtless, I can lay claim to the name of an Athenian burgher; but it is no wonder if, after six years absence, I do not speak the dialect of my native city with such purity as you. But to answer the other portion of the question; my name is Charicles, son of Charinos; a family of some distinction, even though it cannot trace its descent either from Hercules or Hermes[14]. But I am the sole surviving

[13] Ἁλῶν κοινωνεῖν was a proverbial expression for ὁμοτράπεζον γενέσθαι. Lucian, *Asin.* 1.

[14] The desire of having a long ancestral tree, and of claiming descent if possible from some god or hero, need not excite our wonder in the case of the Greeks, who assigned to the whole human race a divine origin of no very remote date; while the genealogies of the heroic age afforded abundant materials on which the heralds of a later time might exercise their ingenuity. When also, so strict a line of demarcation existed

scion of my race, born to the great joy of my father, after he had been married six years without having an heir, that is, if,'—he stopped thoughtfully, and earnestly surveyed a ring on the fourth finger of the left hand. 'If what your mother told you be true,' laughingly rejoined the other, who, to judge from the expression of his face, had now become convinced of what before he had only surmised. 'That is a point on which we cannot do better than imitate Telemachus, and hope for the best. But what was the cause of so lengthened an absence from Athens? Now-a-days Attic burghers migrate not unfrequently to the richer plains of Asia[15]. Mayhap your father also was one of those whose motto is, "There rest, where you fare best[16]." Or did he fancy that he would be better able to finish your education abroad? Are you not afraid that this may prove a reproach to you in a city, where the great boast of an exemplary burgher is, to have avoided all unnecessary journeyings[17]?' 'Oh! no,' replied Charicles. 'It was no such motive that in-

---

between strangers and citizens, and certain families enjoyed ancient privileges, pride of ancestry might naturally be expected, though it was often pitied or derided by sensible men. See Aristoph. *Nubes*, 48, where the words, ἔγημα Μεγακλέους τοῦ Μεγακλέους ἀδελφιδῆν, allude no doubt to Alcibiades, who boasted his descent on the father's side from Ajax, while his mother Deinomacha, daughter of Megacles, belonged to the race of the Alcmæonidæ. The orator Andocides traced his descent, we are told, to Ulysses, and thence to Hermes and Zeus. Plut. *Alcib.* 21; *Dec. Orat. vit.* iv. p. 347. An instructive passage on the worth of such old nobility occurs in Plato, *Theæt.* 174, and is the more valuable as the philosopher was himself on both sides of ancient and noble families.

[15] This had happened earlier. Thus Helos pleads in his father's favour: *εἰ δ' ἐν Αἴνῳ χωροφιλεῖ, τοῦτο οὐκ ἀποστερῶν γε τῶν εἰς τὴν πόλιν ἑαυτὸν οὐδενὸς, οὐδ' ἑτέρας πόλεως πολίτης γεγενημένος, ὥσπερ ἑτέρους ὁρῶ τοὺς μὲν εἰς τὴν ἤπειρον ἰόντας καὶ οἰκοῦντας ἐν τοῖς πολεμίοις τοῖς ὑμετέροις, καὶ δίκας ἀπὸ ξυμβόλων ὑμῖν δικαζομένους.* Antiph. *de Cæde Herod.* p. 744. Nobody was prohibited from so doing (Plato, *Crito*, 51), but still it could not be acceptable to the state.

[16] The principle, *ubi bene, ibi patria*, is not new. It is the leading idea of the *Aves* of Aristophanes, and Hermes is made to express the sentiment quite plainly in *Plut.* 1151:

πατρὶς γάρ ἐστι πᾶσ', ἵν' ἂν πράττῃ τις εὖ.

[17] See Plato, who says: Ταῖς δὲ

duced my father to change his abode; no one could have been more anxious than he to give his son a genuine

πλείσταις πόλεσιν, ἅτε οὐδαμῶς εὐνομουμέναις, οὐδὲν διαφέρει φύρεσθαι δεχομένους τε αὐτοῖς ξένους, καὶ αὐτοὺς εἰς τὰς ἄλλας ἐπικωμάζοντας πόλεις, ὅταν ἐπιθυμήσῃ τις ἀποδημίας ὅπῃ οὖν καὶ ὁπότε, εἴτε νέος, εἴτε καὶ πρεσβύτερος ὤν. *Leg.* xii. p. 950. He disapproves of the liberty being conceded to every one of travelling when and where he would: Πρῶτον μὲν νεωτέρῳ ἐτῶν τετταράκοντα μὴ ἐξέστω ἀποδημεῖν μηδαμῇ μηδαμῶς. ἔτι δὲ ἰδίᾳ μηδενί, δημοσίᾳ δ' ἔστω κήρυξιν ἢ πρεσβείαις, ἢ καί τισι θεωροῖς. p. 951. No such prohibitive law actually existed anywhere; though the words ταῖς πλείσταις πόλεσι may indicate that certain restrictions were occasionally enforced. Most Athenians had to make frequent journeys on business, but travelling into other countries, merely for pleasure, and with no important object, was another matter; and it was the duty of a good burgher not to indulge in such absence. So in Plato, *Crito*, 52, Socrates says: καὶ οὔτ' ἐπὶ θεωρίαν πώποτε ἐκ τῆς πόλεως ἐξῆλθες, ὅτι μὴ ἅπαξ εἰς Ἰσθμόν, οὔτε ἄλλοσε οὐδαμόσε, εἰ μή ποι στρατευσόμενος. οὔτε ἄλλην ἐποιήσω ἀποδημίαν πώποτε, ὥσπερ οἱ ἄλλοι ἄνθρωποι, οὐδ' ἐπιθυμία σε ἄλλης πόλεως, οὐδ' ἄλλων νόμων ἔλαβεν εἰδέναι· ἀλλὰ ἡμεῖς σοι ἱκανοὶ ἦμεν καὶ ἡ ἡμετέρα πόλις. How far any control was exercised in the matter is hard to say; yet it seems certain that passports were required for a journey abroad. Thus in *Aves*, 1212, Peisthetæros asks Iris on her entering the new state,

σφραγῖδ' ἔχεις παρὰ τῶν πελαργῶν; 'IP. τί τὸ κακόν;
Π. οὐκ ἔλαβες; 'IP. ὑγιαίνεις μέν; Π. οὐδὲ σύμβολον
ἐπέβαλεν ὀρνίθαρχος οὐδείς σοι παρών;

on which the Scholiast remarks, οἷον σύμβολον ἐπὶ τῷ συγχωρηθῆναι παρελθεῖν. Cf. Plaut. *Capt.* ii. 3, 90, where Roman customs are by no means necessarily intended. Another remarkable passage, *Trin.* iii. 3, 65, almost seems to hint at some sort of police for the surveillance of persons arriving. The σφραγὶς of Aristophanes is nothing but the passport accredited with the state-seal, or the seal itself. See Böckh's *Publ. Econ.* p. 207. A fact of great interest we gather from Strabo, who tells us, (ix. 3, 1,) that the Ozolæ had the evening-star engraved on their state-seal: ἔχουσί τε ἐπὶ τῇ δημοσίᾳ σφραγῖδι τὸν ἕσπερον ἀστέρα ἐγκεχαραγμένον. The σύμβολον is not quite the same, being any object given a person as his credentials or token of recommendation. So a line quoted from Euripides by Eustath. *ad Iliad.* vi. 169:

ξένοις τε πέμπειν σύμβολ', οἳ δράσουσί σ' εὖ.

The purpose and nature of these σύμβολα is more clear from Lysias *de Bonis Aristoph.* 628, ὅτι ἔλαβε σύμβολον παρὰ βασιλέως τοῦ μεγάλου φιάλης μὲν χρυσῆς. And again p. 629, πολλῶν γὰρ ἀγαθῶν καὶ ἄλλων χρημάτων εὐπορήσειν διὰ τὸ σύμβολον ἐν πάσῃ τῇ ἠπείρῳ. The same kind of accrediting was usual in private transactions, though here the σύμβολον was merely an impression of the signet-ring. Plaut. *Pseud.* i. 1, 53:

Ea causa miles hic reliquit symbolum,
Expressam in cera ex anulo suam imaginem,
Ut qui huc afferret ejus similem symbolum,
Cum eo simul me mitteret.

Cf. *ib.* ii. 2, 52; *Bacchid.* ii. 3, 29; and Plutarch, *Artax.* 18. Of this description, too, are the σύμβολα mentioned in Poll. ix. 71, which are similar to the

Attic education[18]. Often have I heard him talk with indignation of those fathers who appointed, as their sons' pedagogues, uneducated slaves with their language full of barbarisms; or who shewed themselves indifferent as to the selection of a proper school for their children. Even in the choice of a nurse for me he was vastly particular. The distress which prevailed at the period of my birth gave him the opportunity of gaining the services of a respectable female of the middle class, who was reduced to rather indigent circumstances; and all my attendants moreover, both male and female, were subjected to a strict scrutiny, to ascertain whether they were thoroughly Greek in speech and habits. Even now, I recall to mind with pleasure, how the aged Manto, while the other slaves were all busy at the loom around my mother, would beguile the winter's evening with pleasant stories. But, it was not till some time after, that I perceived the difference between these sensible tales and legends, and the absurd ghost-stories, of which nurses and waiting-maids are usually so fond. Then again, my pedagogue!—he was, to be sure, a cross old fellow, who was rather hard upon me at times, if I chanced to use my left hand instead of my right at meals, or sat with one leg across the other, or if, on my way to school, I peradventure lifted up my eyes from the dirt in the street just to have a peep at some swallow, that was being greeted joyfully as the harbinger of spring[19]. But after all, he did so merely

---

tesseræ hospitales; and so also those referred to in an Athenian inscription, a decree in honour of Strato of Sidon: *ποιησάσθω δὲ καὶ σύμβολα ἡ βουλὴ πρὸς τὸν βασιλέα τὸν Σιδωνίων, ὅπως ἂν ὁ δῆμος ὁ Ἀθηναίων εἰδῇ, ἐάν τι πέμπῃ ὁ Σιδωνίων βασιλεὺς δεόμενος τῆς πόλεως, καὶ ὁ βασιλεὺς ὁ Σιδωνίων εἰδῇ ὅταν πέμπῃ τινὰ ὡς αὐτὸν ὁ δῆμος ὁ Ἀθηναίων. Marmor. Oxon.* ii. 24; Böckh, *Corp. Inscr. Gr.* i. p. 126. As Böckh remarks, these *σύμβολα* have nothing to do with the state-treaties which are also called by the same name; but are the ambassadors' credentials, and therefore are also in some sort *tesseræ hospitales.*

[18] See *Excursus* on Scene I. for all the details respecting education.

[19] The Greek longed, even more eagerly than we do, for the return of the bird of spring. Hence the prover-

because he was full of notions about Old-Attic subordination and decorum.'

'Your father must have been a man of great wealth,' remarked the other, 'or he would scarce have paid more attention to these touches of Attic refinement than to a slave's general usefulness.' 'He was far from rich,' answered Charicles, 'and, besides, he had expended considerable sums on Trierarchies, Choregies, and other patriotic contributions; but in every thing connected with my education he spared no outlay; and I can well remember how wroth he once was with a friend, for advising him to send me to the cheaper school of Elpias at the *Theseion*[20], instead of to Hermippos, of whose reputation as a teacher, at that time, you have doubtless heard.'

The youth smiled, and said, 'He is not unknown to me; but then, how came it that your father left Athens, and kept you so long away from it?' It was no voluntary act on his part,' rejoined the other; 'but an unlucky concatenation of events, of which some worthless sycophants took advantage, to effect his exile. You, surely, recollect the consternation at Athens, after the luckless battle of Chæronea?'

'Recollect it! Never will the terror of that day, on which the unhappy tidings arrived, fade from my memory. Even now I see in fancy the people rushing hurriedly through the streets to the assembly;—free-born dames standing at their doors, almost forgetful of decorum, amid their painful anxiety; and tremblingly questioning the

---

bial exclamation of joy, *ὥρα νέα, χελιδών*. Thus in Aristoph. *Equit.* 419,

σκέψασθε, παῖδες. οὐχ ὁρᾶθ'; ὥρα νέα, χελιδών.

and the comic lament of Mnesilochus, *Thesmoph.* 1:

Ὦ Ζεῦ, χελιδὼν ἆρα πότε φανήσεται.

Our own proverb, too, 'One swallow does not make a summer,' was identically current among the Greeks. Aristot. *Ethic. Nic.* i. 6, *μία γὰρ χελιδὼν ἔαρ οὐ ποιεῖ*. So also the gled-kite, *ἴκτινος*, which returned still earlier, was saluted with joy. *Aves*, 712. And *ib.* 500, we have *προκυλινδεῖσθαι τοῖς ἰκτίνοις*. Cf. Schol. on the passage.

[20] The school mentioned Demosth. *de Corona*, 270, where Æschines and his father performed menial offices. Cf. Apollon. and Liban. *Vit. Æschin.*

passers by as to the safety of their husbands, their fathers, and their brothers;—hoary veterans, long released by law from military service, parading the streets in the habiliments of war;—and what a wound was inflicted on Attic pride, when the slaughter of three thousand of her burghers reduced the city to the desperate resourse of manumitting the slaves, making the allies free burghers, and reinstating the infamous in the social privileges which they had forfeited[21].'

'Your description is a faithful one,' continued Charicles. 'Though but a boy, scarcely more than fourteen at that time, and troubling myself little about public matters, I can speak to the depression that universally prevailed; and there were few families, methinks, who were more painfully alive than ours to the horrors in prospect for them. My father had taken ship only a few hours before the dreadful tidings came[22]. He had advanced a large sum to a Lycian merchant, to trade with wine and other goods to Crete, and return to Athens with a cargo of Egyptian corn[23]; the proper period for the merchant's return had elapsed some time, when my father heard that the ship had run into Epidauros, and the freight been sold. Alarmed, not only for the safety of his capital, but also for his own, lest he should be accused of lending money for illegal traffic[24], he seized the opportunity, though ill at the

---

[21] Word for word from Lycurg. *in Leocr.* p. 165.

[22] Lycurgus says, *τὸ γεγονὸς πάθος τῷ δήμῳ προσηγγέλλετο.* It would seem that the news first reached the *Prytaneion*, and was then told to the people, as appears from comparing this with the transactions on the taking of Elateia. *ἑσπέρα γὰρ ἦν. ἦκε δ' ἀγγέλλων τις ὡς τοὺς πρυτάνεις, ὡς Ἐλάτεια κατείληπται....τῇ δ' ὑστεραίᾳ ἅμα τῇ ἡμέρᾳ οἱ μὲν πρυτάνεις τὴν βουλὴν ἐκάλουν εἰς τὸ βουλευτήριον· ὑμεῖς δ' εἰς τὴν ἐκκλησίαν ἐπορεύεσθε....καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα, ὡς εἰσῆλθεν ἡ βουλή, καὶ ἀπήγγειλαν τὰ προσηγγελμένα ἑαυτοῖς, καὶ τὸν ἥκοντα παρήγαγον, κ.τ.λ.* Demosth. *de Coron.* 284.

[23] The manner in which concerns of this kind were conducted, appears from the orations of Demosthenes against Lacritos and Dionysodoros. See Böckh, *Publ. Econ.* p. 132—139.

[24] There was a law which forbade any Athenian to lend money for

time, of embarking in a vessel bound for that port, being determined to call his fraudulent debtor to a personal account. He succeeded in finding him, and extracted a promise of payment immediately on the cargo being sold: the excitement of the journey had, however, made him worse, and he was so powerfully affected at the account of the misfortunes of Athens, which, soon after, arrived at Epidauros, that he fell violently sick, and could not leave the place. The rascally Lycian profited by his illness, and with the unsold portion of his cargo, set sail for Athens, where circumstances gave him a prospect of obtaining a better market; and where my father, only half convalescent, found him on his return. The city had recovered from its panic, there being no immediate calamity in view, as Philip had conducted himself with moderation; this was, however, only the signal for all sorts of nefarious intriguing against all who might seem in any way to have been connected with these disasters.' 'I can guess the upshot,' exclaimed the stranger. 'Your father was doubtless accused of deserting his country in the moment of danger, contrary to the express decree of the people[25].'

'Just so. Nobody would have ever dreamt of preferring such a charge, had not the vile Lycian, in order to escape from his liabilities, and avoid the two-fold accusation, bribed two notorious sycophants. At first my father treated their threatened accusation with contempt;—but when he met here and there a growing coldness among his acquaintance, and learnt that two powerful demagogues, his personal foes, were about to appear against him, he began to consider the danger of staking his life on a moment of passionate excitement; he bethought him of the untimely fate of Lysicles and others[26], and of the

---

the purpose of buying corn destined for foreign ports. See Böckh, *ibid.* p. 85, and Demosth. *in Lacrit.* 941.

[25] Lycurg. *in L eocr.* p. 147.

[26] He was condemned to death on the accusation of Lycurgus. See the fine passage of the oration, preserved in Diod. Sic. xvi. 88.

disgrace that might ensue to his family; and with the consciousness of perfect innocence, he determined to evade the accusation.

'It was indeed a moment of sorrow and consternation when my father, who had secretly made all the necessary preparations for departure, told us one evening that we must leave Athens, and sojourn in the land of the stranger. Instead of embarking openly by day, and in the presence of a crowd of leave-taking friends, we stole in the dead of night through the small gate, towards the shore, where the ship was waiting for us, and on board of which our slaves had already placed the baggage[27]. We first sailed for Trœzene, but as severe epidemic disorders were then prevailing there[28], we departed and went to Sicily, and abode five years at Syracuse. It was but a few months ago that news reached my father that his friends had succeeded in establishing his innocence, and procuring permission for his return; but the intelligence arrived too late, as the next day was the last he had to live. My mother had died a few months previously, and so I am returned alone, full of tearful regret, and yet of yearning anticipation; for, after all, nothing can replace one's fatherland. Fair too, above all towns beside, is Athens; though my father was wont to assert that it was full of attraction for the visitor, but replete with dangers to its own inhabitants[29].'

---

[27] The flight of Leocrates has served as the type here, with but few changes. *συσκευασάμενος ἃ εἶχε μετὰ τῶν οἰκετῶν ἐπὶ τὸν λέμβον κατεκόμισε, τῆς νεὼς ἤδη περὶ τὴν ἀκτὴν ἐξορμώσης· καὶ περὶ δείλην ὀψίαν αὐτὸς μετὰ τῆς ἑταίρας Εἰρηνίδος κατὰ μέσην τὴν ἀκτὴν διὰ τῆς Πυλίδος ἐξελθὼν πρὸς τὴν ναῦν προσέπλευσε καὶ ᾤχετο φεύγων.* Lycurg. p. 148. Cf. *ib.* p. 178, where the departure from the harbour is depicted, *ὑπὸ πάντων τῶν φίλων ὁρώμενοι καὶ ἀποστελλόμενοι.* Cf. Antiph. *de Venef.* p. 613 Lucian, *Amores*, 6.

[28] Isocr. *Ægin.* 12, gives a somewhat similar account of the misfortunes of a family, and adds, *ὅτι τὸ χωρίον (Τροιζῆνα) ἐπυνθάνετο νοσῶδες εἶναι.* Epidemic, not endemic disorders must be meant.

[29] According to Ælian, *Var. Hist.* xii. 52, Isocrates compared Athens to a courtesan, whose charms might excite a temporary pleasure, though no one would choose to have her for a wife: *καὶ οὖν καὶ τὴν Ἀθηναίων πόλιν ἐνεπιδημῆσαι μὲν εἶναι ἡδίστην, καὶ κατά γε τοῦτο πασῶν τῶν κατὰ*

'His remark was a just one,' said the other. 'What the pupil is to the eye, that is Athenæ to Hellas. But its people are volatile and fickle; as easily inspired with any noble thought, as they are hurried away into acts of injustice and atrocity;—now moved even to tears by the tragic end of an Œdipus, or the woes of unhappy Trojan women,—now hastening from thence, to entangle the house of a fellow-citizen in a web of malicious trickery, and plunge it into ruin and despair; a spoiled child, full of vanity and humours; basking in the sunshine of a former age, the spots of which are hidden by the light of noble deeds; pluming itself on the empty name of pure Hellenic blood, and on having been the first to recognize law and justice, while it yet fosters in its bosom a most venomous brood of worthless sycophants, and subjects every law to the caprice of the moment; with the name of freedom for ever in its mouth, yet threatening every careless word that may not please the people's humour with death or banishment. And then again its character presents a most pleasing union of the grave and gay. Blithe and gladsome is the life of the Athenian, who is ever contented, provided he has something to wrangle about or laugh at. He is equally capable of appreciating the grandest creations of the tragic stage, and the most farcical caricatures of comedy; he enjoys alike the society of the most staid philosopher, and of the flightiest *hetæra*. Penurious is he at home, and mean at the table of the money-changer, but most lavish when he wishes to cut a grand figure in a *choregia*, or pass for an admirer of *vertu*[30].'

τὴν Ἑλλάδα διαφέρειν· ἐνοικῆσαι δὲ ἀσφαλῆ μηκέτι εἶναι.

[30] When Aristotle was asked his opinion of Athens—τίς ἐστιν ἡ τῶν Ἀθηναίων πόλις; he answered, παγκάλη. ἀλλ' ἐν αὐτῇ

ὄγχνη ἐπ' ὄγχνῃ γηράσκει, σῦκον δ' ἐπὶ συκῳ.

Ælian, *Var. Hist.* iii. 36. This witty application of Homer's line has the same point as the simile of Isocrates, and in both we read the voice of antiquity, which, though extolling the renown of Athens, complains no less loudly of the want of personal security there; which is to be ascribed partly to the form of the government, partly to the idiosyncrasy of the Athenian people. The words of Philo, ii.

'My father thought of them pretty much as you do,' said Charicles. 'But, as you now know more about me

---

467: ὅπερ ἐν ὀφθαλμῷ κόρη, ἢ ἐν ψυχῇ λογισμός, τοῦτ' ἐν Ἑλλάδι Ἀθῆναι, and those of Dicæarch. *Stat. Græc.* p. 10: ὅσον αἱ λοιπαὶ πόλεις πρός τε ἡδονὴν καὶ βίου διόρθωσιν τῶν ἀγρῶν διαφέρουσι, τοσοῦτον τῶν λοιπῶν πόλεων ἡ τῶν Ἀθηναίων παραλλάττει, are a just tribute to the intellectual and mercantile supremacy of Athens. And Athenæus, i. p. 20, comparing various cities, says: Ἀλεξανδρέων μὲν τὴν χρυσῆν, Ἀντιοχέων δὲ τὴν καλὴν, Νικομηδέων δὲ τὴν περικαλλῆ, προσέτι δὲ

Τὴν λαμπροτάτην πόλεων πασῶν, ὁπόσας ὁ Ζεὺς ἀναφαίνει,

τὰς Ἀθήνας λέγω. Cf. Alciph. *Epist.* ii. 3: ὅλην ἐν ταῖς Ἀθήναις τὴν Ἑλλάδα, ὅλην τὴν Ἰονίαν. But besides this external splendour, Athens might boast of being a genuine patron of science and art, a very temple of the muses, and a school for all Greece. So Thucyd. ii. 41; and Isocr. *Paneg.* p. 63: τοσοῦτον ἀπολέλοιπεν ἡ πόλις ἡμῶν περὶ τὸ φρονεῖν καὶ λέγειν τοὺς ἄλλους ἀνθρώπους, ὥσθ' οἱ ταύτης μαθηταὶ τῶν ἄλλων διδάσκαλοι γεγόνασι. But the vanity of the inhabitants was so assiduously fostered by the orators and demagogues, that an arrogant contempt of other states sprung up among them. Even Demosthenes betrays this weakness, *de Fæd. Alex.* p. 218; and Isocr. *adv. Callim.* 19, says: νῦν δὲ εὐδαιμονέστατοι καὶ σωφρονέστατοι τῶν Ἑλλήνων δοκοῦμεν εἶναι. Every funeral oration teems with their former great deeds in behalf of Greece, and puts prominently forward their claim to the dubious honour of being the only Autochthones in Greece. Of more significance than this inflated commonplace, are the earnest words of Lycurgus, *in Leocr.* p. 170: (ὁ δῆμος) ὃς πρῶτον ἐπὶ τῷ αὐτόχθων εἶναι καὶ ἐλεύθερος ἐσεμνύνετο· and the chorus in Aristoph. *Vespæ*, 1076, says,

Ἀττικοὶ, μόνοι δικαίως εὐγενεῖς αὐτόχθονες.

The notion αὐτόχθων εἶναι has been variously interpreted. Originally it meant that the people of Attica were indigenous, neither having been expelled from anywhere, nor having immigrated of their own accord, but τὴν χώραν ἀεὶ οἱ αὐτοὶ οἰκοῦντες. Thucyd. ii. 36. Lesbon. *Protrept.* p. 22, is even more explicit: οἱ μὲν ἄλλοι πάντες Ἕλληνες ἐκ τῆς σφετέρας αὐτῶν μεταστάντες οἰκοῦσιν ἕκαστοι αὐτῶν, ἐξελάσαντες ἑτέρους, καὶ αὐτοὶ ἐξελαθέντες ὑφ' ἑτέρων. καὶ κατὰ τοῦτο δύο φέρεσθε κανχήματα ἀρετῆς. οὔτε γὰρ ἐξηλάθητε τῆς σφετέρας αὐτῶν ὑπὸ οὐδαμῶν ἀνθρώπων, οὔτε ἐξελάσαντες ἑτέρους αὐτοὶ οἰκεῖτε. They also considered themselves γηγενεῖς, *i. e.* earth-born, in the literal sense of the word. So Demosth. *Funebr.* p. 1390: οὐ γὰρ μόνον εἰς πατέρ' αὐτοῖς καὶ τῶν ἄνω προγόνων κατ' ἄνδρα ἀνενεγκεῖν ἑκάστῳ τὴν φύσιν ἔστιν, ἀλλ' εἰς ὅλην κοινῇ τὴν ὑπάρχουσαν πατρίδα, ἧς αὐτόχθονες ὁμολογοῦνται εἶναι. μόνοι γὰρ πάντων ἀνθρώπων ἐξ ἧσπερ ἔφυσαν, ταύτην ᾤκησαν καὶ τοῖς ἐξ αὐτῶν παρέδωκαν· and Plato, *Menex.* p. 237: αὐτόχθονας καὶ τῷ ὄντι ἐν πατρίδι οἰκοῦντας καὶ ζῶντας, καὶ τρεφομένους οὐχ ὑπὸ μητρυιᾶς, ὡς ἄλλοι, ἀλλ' ὑπὸ μητρὸς, τῆς χώρας ἐν ᾗ ᾤκουν. The idea that in Attica the pure Grecian blood could have ever been adulterated with that of foreigners, is scouted in *Menex.* p. 245: ἀλλ' αὐτοὶ Ἕλληνες, οὐ μιξοβάρβαροι, οἰκοῦμεν· and again in Isocr. *Paneg.* p. 55: ταύτην γὰρ οἰκοῦμεν, οὐχ ἑτέρους ἐκβαλόντες, οὐδ'

than my mere name, tell me yours also: I seem to have an indistinct notion that we have met in days of yore.'

'Charicles!' exclaimed the youth, as he walked up and looked straight into the other's face. 'I knew you at our very first salute; but you have no recollection of me, I see.

ἐρήμην καταλαβόντες, οὐδ' ἐκ πολλῶν ἐθνῶν μιγάδες συλλεγέντες, ἀλλ' οὕτω καλῶς καὶ γνησίως γεγόναμεν, ὥστ' ἐξ ἧσπερ ἔφυμεν, ταύτην ἔχοντες ἅπαντα τὸν χρόνον διατελοῦμεν, αὐτόχθονες ὄντες. Antisthenes (Diog. Laert. vi. 1) ridiculed the immense value attached to this distinction, and classed them with snails: ἔλεγε μηδὲν εἶναι κοχλιῶν καὶ ἀττελέβων εὐγενεστέρους. In proportion as the Athenians loved to be flattered about their ancient renown, so they could not endure to hear reproof or any unpleasant truths; and thus in a state that plumed itself on being freest of the free, freedom of speech was fettered by the caprice of the public. This intolerance is animadverted on by Isocrates, *de Pace*, 5: ἐγὼ οἶδα μέν, ὅτι πρόσαντές ἐστιν ἐναντιοῦσθαι ταῖς ὑμετέραις διανοίαις, καὶ ὅτι, δημοκρατίας οὔσης, οὐκ ἔστι παρρησία, πλὴν ἐνθάδε μὲν τοῖς ἀφρονεστάτοις καὶ μηδὲν ὑμῶν φρουτίζουσιν, ἐν δὲ τῷ θεάτρῳ τοῖς κωμοδιδασκάλοις. ...πρὸς δὲ τοὺς ἐπιπλήττοντας καὶ νουθετοῦντας ὑμᾶς οὕτω διατίθεσθε δυσκόλως, ὥσπερ τοὺς κακόν τι τὴν πόλιν ἐργαζομένους. Again Aristot. *de Republ.* v. 10: καὶ γὰρ ἡ δημοκρατία ἡ τελευταία τυραννίς ἐστιν· and he adds: καὶ γὰρ ὁ δῆμος εἶναι βούλεται μόναρχος. διὸ καὶ ὁ κόλαξ παρ' ἀμφοτέροις ἔντιμος. Cf. Plutarch, *Demosth.* 26; and Aristoph. *Equit.* passim. And what was this δῆμος? Euthydemos replies, τοὺς πένητας τῶν πολιτῶν, (Xenoph. *Memor.* iv. 2, 37), which is a better definition than those of the philosophers. Aristot. *de Rep.* vi. 2. See Hermann's *Gr. Staatsalt.* for a capital estimate of the character of the δῆμος. The inordinate taste for litigation was a special trait of the Athenian public. See the apposite remark, Lucian, *Icaromen.* 16: τοὺς Αἰγυπτίους γεωργοῦντας ἐπέβλεπον. καὶ ὁ Φοίνιξ δὲ ἐνεπορεύετο, καὶ ὁ Κίλιξ ἐλῄστευε, καὶ ὁ Λάκων ἐμαστιγοῦτο, καὶ ὁ Ἀθηναῖος ἐδικάζετο. So also Xenoph. *de Repub. Athen.* 3, 2, and *Aves*, 40:

Ἀθηναῖοι δ' ἀεὶ
ἐπὶ τῶν δικῶν ᾄδουσι πάντα τὸν βίον.

The character of the people, as shewn in the Market, the Gymnasium, and the Theatre, will be discussed hereafter. The preceding estimate of the Athenian character will scarcely appear too severe to an unprejudiced student of the orators. See Dio Chrysos. *Or.* xiii. p. 427, and xxxi. p. 574. The distinction drawn between the Ἀττικοὶ and Ἀθηναῖοι, (Dicæarch. *Stat. Græc.* p. 9), will not hold at all; there are a hundred instances to prove the mixture of excellent and despicable qualities in the Athenian character. What Pliny relates of Parrhasios is much to the point: 'Pinxit et Demon Atheniensium, argumento quoque ingenioso. Debebat namque varium, iracundum, injustum, inconstantem, eundem exorabilem, clementem, misericordem, gloriosum, excelsum, humilem, ferocem fugacemque et omnia pariter ostendere.' *Nat. Hist.* xxxv. 10, 36.

And yet, there was a time, when we saw each other daily, and my poverty withheld you not from being my friend and playmate. What! have you forgotten the poor lad, who was a drudge in that very school of Hermippos, and performed menial offices to which he had not been born? Who used to mix the ink, sweep out the school-room, and cleanse the benches with a sponge[31]?'

'Ctesiphon!' cried the stripling, as he rushed forward, and seized his friend by the hand. 'Yes! it is indeed you; my feelings were more truthful than my memory, and told me from the first that we must have once been friends. But how could I have forgotten you? How have become forgetful of the thousand acts of kindness which you did me, in preference to all others? How you used to give me little cork carriages carved by your own hand; or caught for me whirring cockchafers, and fastened a thread deftly to their legs; and afterwards, how you being yourself quick at ciphering, would teach me all the little artifices of the science; so that even the stern pedagogue took a liking to you, and was pleased to see us in company, notwithstanding your being my senior by a year or two, and that the cut of a lad's coat usually made all the difference with him. Forgotten it? Oh! no. But your beard so disguises you, my friend. And who could ever recognise in that athletic frame, embrowned by the sun, the pale, weakly boy of my school-days? Moreover, we have not met for eight years. How came it, by the bye, that you left Hermippos in such a hurry?'

'Of that presently,' replied Ctesiphon. 'It is nigh mid-day: let us try by that time to reach Cleonæ; it is still eighty stadia from thence to Corinth[32].'

The friends broke up their bivouac. Charicles took

---

[31] Demosth. *de Coron.* 313, relates this of Æschines.

[32] Strabo, viii. 6, 19: *διέχουσι δ' αἱ* Κλεωναὶ *τοῦ μὲν Ἄργους σταδίους εἴκοσι καὶ ἑκατὸν, Κορίνθου δ' ὀγδοήκοντα.* On which Dodwell, *Class. Tour*, ii. p. 206, observes, that it "agrees nearly with two hours and a half that it took us to reach it, from that place," though in the *Expédit. de Morée*, 3 hrs. 4 m. are assigned.

the bridle of his charger, which Manes had again bitted, drew it over his head, and thus led him along, walking side by side with Ctesiphon, who beguiled the way with the recital of his fortunes during the last eight years.

The father of Ctesiphon[33] was an Athenian citizen, well to do in the world; and having only one son surviving from a former marriage, he took for a second wife his brother's daughter. The offspring of this alliance were Ctesiphon, and a younger sister. The father, who was engaged in large mercantile transactions with distant countries, had occasion to go to the Pontus and Chersonesus. Before quitting Athens, he resolved, in case anything should befall him on his journey, to entrust his will to his brother, who was bound to his children by a double tie; and, at the same time, he committed to his custody, partly in cash, partly in bonds and mortgage deeds, a fortune of more than fifteen talents. He never returned. The faithless guardian concealed his death till he had got hold of the papers which the deceased had left under seal. He then broke the sad news, disposed of the widow in marriage, though not with all the dowry that had been intended for her, and undertook the education of Ctesiphon, who was not eight years old, and of his younger sister, as well as the maintenance of their elder step-brother. When the latter had attained his twentieth year, and was declared of age, the uncle summoned them all three, asserted that their father had only left a property of twenty *minæ* in silver and thirty gold *staters*, that he had himself expended a sum far exceeding this on their education and nurture, and it was quite out of his power to take any further charge of them. 'You are a man now,' so he addressed the eldest, 'it is your business to care for your brother and sister.' With this he turned the unfortunate orphans out of their father's own house—which he himself now occupied—badly clothed,

[33] The history of Ctesiphon's youth is taken from a classical model. Lysias, *adv. Diogeiton*, p. 894—903.

and worse shod, without a slave to attend on them, without a bed for the night, or a single iota of their father's property. The younger ones were utterly helpless. Their mother had been dead about a year; the elder brother sought employment in foreign service, and nobody stood by them to substantiate the charge against their infamous guardian. A decayed relative, himself in great penury, took charge of the orphans. He used to officiate at the aforementioned school, in the capacity of assistant, and thinking that the boy he had adopted ought to contribute something to their support, he made him perform menial duties, for which his birth had certainly not destined him. Ctesiphon's intelligent manner and obliging behaviour won him many a friend among the boys who came to the school, and on the death of one of them, an only son, the father, a rich burgher, who had conceived a predilection for Ctesiphon, then fourteen, adopted him as his son. 'My benefactor also is now dead,' said Ctesiphon in conclusion, 'and I have just been to Argos to receive a debt, due to me as part of my inheritance, which though not very considerable, still affords me the means of living in the simple fashion that I love. Luckily I preferred the high-road, which is more shady, to the shorter route by the foot-path, and so have been the first to welcome you on your return to your fatherland. But tell me one thing, how come you at Cleonæ, on your way from Sicily?'

'Our ship,' answered Charicles, 'put in at the port of Epidauros. I resolved to go the rest of the journey by land, and took the route by Argos, because the shorter cut over the mountains to Corinth would have been more hurtful to my horse's feet[34], and also because I wished to visit an old friend of my father's at Argos.'

---

[34] Beckmann, in his *History of Inventions*, has, with tolerable success, attempted to shew that horse-shoes were unknown before the fourth century of our era. Ottfr. Müller, on the contrary, believes that a horse-shoeing scene is represented on a certain antique Attic vase. Socks or sandals, however, were tied on the feet of beasts of burden: these were

In the course of this colloquy the friends had arrived in the plain, which was overlooked by the town of Cleonæ, its houses built on a slope, and rising terrace-fashion one above another[35]. There they rested for a brief while, and then continued their journey to Corinth.

---

regular *ὑποδήματα*, and hence (Lucian, *Asin.* 16) the metamorphosed ass is called *ἀνυπόδετος*.

[35] Dodwell, *Class. Tour*, ii. p. 206: "On the side of the hill are six ancient terrace-walls of the third style of masonry, rising one above another, on which the houses and streets were situated." Comp. Leake, *Travels in the Morea*, iii. p. 325.

SCENE THE SECOND.

CORINTH.

THE sun had sunk low in the west, when the two friends, emerging from a little wood of cypresses and pines, found themselves in sight of the mighty city, mistress of two seas, which, while it lay on the high-road between southern and northern Greece[1], connected also with its double haven the eastern and the western world.

Not many *stadia* off, the proud Acropolis arose before them, hiding the chief part of the city by its steep northern slope, although detached houses and villas might be descried, reaching down to the plain on the south. To the right of the road, and on the verge of the wood, was an artificial basin, encompassed with stone-seats which invited the wanderer to repose. A number of young female slaves, with their dress girt up high, were just then busy filling their earthen *hydria* at the crystal stream that leapt in three jets from amidst festoons of flowers, sculptured—as well as the youths holding them—in marble relief[2].

Not far from this charming spot the friends separated. Ctesiphon, who intended to put up at the hospitable abode of an acquaintance, turned to the left, making for the Sicyonian gate; while Charicles pursued the road, which, after threading plantations of olive and pomegranate, led to the *Craneion*. Having no friends or acquaintance whatsoever in the city, he purposed looking for one of those

---

[1] On this happy situation of Corinth, see Strabo, viii. 6, 19: Ὁ δὲ Κόρινθος ἀφνειὸς μὲν λέγεται διὰ τὸ ἐμπορεῖον, ἐπὶ τῷ Ἰσθμῷ κείμενος καὶ δυοῖν λιμένων κύριος,....καὶ ῥᾳδίας ποιεῖ τὰς ἑκατέρωθεν ἀμοιβὰς τῶν φορτίων. Owing to the perils of the passage round Cape Malea, goods were usually trans-shipped across the Isthmus: ἀγαπητὸν ἦν....τοῖς ἐμπόροις ἀφεῖσι τὸν ἐπὶ Μαλεὰς πλοῦν εἰς Κόρινθον κατάγεσθαι τὸν φόρτον αὐτόθι. So Dio Chrysos. *Or.* viii. p. 276, says: ὅτι ἡ πόλις ὥσπερ ἐν τριόδῳ τῆς Ἑλλάδος ἔκειτο.

[2] Near Mistra a spring so enclosed may still be seen. *Expéd. de Morée*, ii. pl. 42.

houses, in which the traveller can purchase a good reception and entertainment. His friend at Argos had mentioned to him the house of one Sotades, who was reputed to be a tolerably reasonable man, and very solicitous for his guests' comfort; and our young hero not being averse to pleasure and gaiety, was glad to hear that the female portion of the household was as free from restraint in its intercourse with the other sex, as it was personally attractive. Nay, it was even asserted, that they had long been initiated by brilliant torch-light into the mysteries of Aphrodite[3]: and report said that their mother was not the person to reject a well-filled hand, that sued for the favours of her daughters, though, outwardly, they avoided the appearance of regular *hetæræ*[4]. It is true that Ctesiphon had cautioned the inexperienced Charicles, and

---

[3] Comp. the expression, Lucian, *Navig.* 11: Μῶν ἐρωτικόν τί ἐστιν; οὐδὲ γὰρ τοῦτο ἀμυήτοις ἡμῖν ἐξαγορεύσεις, ἀλλ' ὑπὸ λαμπρᾷ τῇ δᾳδὶ καὶ αὐτοῖς τετελεσμένοις.

[4] In a set of pictures illustrative of Greek customs, it was quite impossible to leave out the *hetæræ*, who gave such a peculiar colouring to Grecian levity, and exercised so potent a sway over the life of the younger members of the community. Abundant materials for such a sketch exist, for the Greeks made no secret of matters of this kind; the difficulty has rather been not to sacrifice the vividness of the picture of the ordidary intercourse with these women, to the demands of our modern sense of propriety. But without describing the enormities that characterise the *symposia* where these *hetæræ* were present, it seemed desirable to enter a good deal into details. All the features of the picture, even to the minutest details, are taken, almost without exception, from the classics; especially from the speech against *Neæra*, and from that of Lysias on the murder of *Eratosthenes;* besides Lucian's *Toxaris, Asinus,* and *Dialogi Meretricii;* as well as from Appuleius, Heliodorus, and the comedians. The narrative given by Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1366, forms the outline of the story, and the details are supplied from Lysias and elsewhere. The passage in Demosthenes is as follows: Ἐπαίνετον γὰρ τὸν Ἀνδριον ἐραστὴν ὄντα Νεαίρας ταυτησὶ παλαιὸν καὶ πολλὰ ἀνηλωκότα εἰς αὐτὴν, καὶ καταγόμενον παρὰ τούτοις, ὁπότε ἐπιδημήσειεν Ἀθήναζε διὰ τὴν φιλίαν τῆς Νεαίρας, ἐπιβουλεύσας ὁ Στέφανος οὑτοσὶ, μεταπεμψάμενος εἰς ἀγρὸν, ὡς θύων, λαμβάνει μοιχὸν ἐπὶ τῇ θυγατρὶ τῇς Νεαίρας ταυτησὶ, καὶ εἰς φόβον καταστήσας πράττεται μνᾶς τριάκοντα· καὶ λαβὼν ἐγγυητὰς τούτων Ἀριστόμαχόν τε τὸν θεσμοθετήσαντα, καὶ Ναυσίφιλον τὸν Ναυσινίκου τοῦ ἄρξαντος υἱὸν, ἀφίησιν, ὡς ἀποδώσοντ' αὐτῷ τὸ ἀργύριον.

depicted the perils, that here, more than elsewhere, awaited him who suffered himself to be entangled in their seductive toils. He had well explained the meaning of the proverb:—

Non cuivis homini contingit adire Corinthon[5],

adding instances of merchants who had been forced to leave both ship and freight in the clutches of the greedy *hetæræ*.

But Charicles had firmly assured his monitor that he would not stay at Corinth more than three days; nor did he suppose that in so short a sojourn, he would need even a tithe of the two thousand *drachmæ* that he had with him. It was in the best possible spirits therefore that he directed his steps to the *Craneion*, in the vicinity of which Sotades lived.

The place that went by this name[6] was by far the most frequented point of Corinth. Here was an evergreen cyprus-grove, in which lay the shrine of Bellerophon, and the temple of Aphrodite Melanis[7], the goddess in whose service more than a thousand *hierodulæ* ministered to the pleasures of the countless stream of strangers[8]. A source

[5] Strabo, viii. 6, 20: Οὐ παντὸς ἀνδρὸς ἐς Κόρινθον ἐσθ' ὁ πλοῦς.

[6] The oldest mention of the Κράνειον or Κράνιον, and perhaps the only one, previous to the destruction of Corinth, occurs in Xenoph. *Hist. Gr.* iv. 4, 4. Diog. Laert. vi. 77, calls it a *gymnasium*: ἐν τῷ Κρανείῳ τῷ πρὸ τῆς Κορίνθου γυμνασίῳ, and Suidas says, Κράνειον· φυτόν. καὶ τὸ γυμνάσιον. But Pausanias, ii. 2, 4, says nothing of this, and apparently makes it merely a pleasure-grove: πρὸ δὲ τῆς πόλεως κυπαρίσσων ἐστὶν ἄλσος ὀνομαζόμενον Κράνειον. Perhaps, on the rebuilding of the city, the place lost its former destination. That it was a very agreeable resort, appears from Plut. *de Exsil.* 6.

[7] Pausanias, *supra*: ἐνταῦθα Βελλεροφόντου τέ ἐστι τέμενος, καὶ Ἀφροδίτης ναὸς Μελανίδος. Concerning Aphrodite Melanis, see Pausan. viii. 6, 2. Whether this was the temple in which were the thousand hierodulæ, is nowhere distinctly stated, but it would seem not improbable. See Excursus on *The Hetæræ*.

[8] The merchants of antiquity, who were often absent from home for years, as ἔμποροι or ναύκληροι, doubtless often yielded to the temptations thrown in their way at the several places they visited. Cf. Isocr. *Ægin.* 2: πλάνης δὲ γενόμενος καὶ διαιτηθεὶς ἐν πολλαῖς πόλεσιν ἄλλαις τε γυναιξὶ συνεγένετο. ὧν ἔνιαι καὶ παιδάρι' ἀπέδειξαν, ἃ ἐκεῖνος

of wealth, doubtless, to the temple and city, but to the easily-inveigled sailor, of more certain destruction than the whirlpool of the all-engulphing Charybdis. On the monument of Lais hard by, stood, like a warning-sign, the lioness, holding in her claws the captive ram, an easily intelligible symbol of her life[9]. What a strange chance was it, that, at no very distant period, this identical spot should be selected for the burial-place of Diogenes of Sinope; just as though this example of unnatural abstinence was intended as a contrast to the memorial of wanton licentiousness. The vicinity of the hetæræ[10], and the pleasantness of the place, drew hither, daily, a vast number of residents and strangers, and by a natural consequence, abundance of hawkers, who exposed their goods for sale. Damsels with bread and cakes, others with chaplets and *tæniæ*, boys with baskets full of fruit, plied their trade, offering their wares to the loungers[11].

If people congregated here merely for pleasure and amusement, such was not the case in the street leading from the harbour of Cenchrea, which presented a most animated scene of business and traffic. Man and beast were e lastingly busied in transporting the contents of the vessels to the town or to the harbour of Lechæon, or from thence to the eastern harbour. In one place might be seen numberless beasts of burden carrying Byzantine corn to the city; waggons meeting each other, some conveying

---

οὐδὲ πώποτε γνήσια ἐνόμισε, καὶ δὴ καὶ τὴν ταύτης μητέρα ἐν τούτοις τοῖς χρόνοις ἔλαβεν. Hence seaports generally, the Piræus for instance, abounded with πόρναι and πόρνοι. Cf. Terent. *Phorm.* iv. 1; and Plaut. *Epid.* iv. 1, 13.

[9] Pausan. *supra*: Τάφος Λαΐδος, ᾧ δὴ λέαινα ἐπίθημά ἐστι κριὸν ἔχουσα ἐν τοῖς προτέροις ποσίν.

[10] Dio Chrysos. *Or.* viii. p. 276, says of Diogenes of Sinope: μετέβη εἰς Κόρινθον κἀκεῖ διῆγεν, οὔτε οἰκίαν μισθωσάμενος, οὔτε παρὰ ξένῳ τινὶ καταγόμενος, ἀλλ' ἐν τῷ Κρανίῳ θυραυλῶν. ἐώρα γὰρ ὅτι πλεῖστοι ἄνθρωποι ἐκεῖ συνίασι διὰ τοὺς λιμένας καὶ τὰς ἑταίρας.

[11] Alciphr. *Epist.* iii. 60: Ὡς γὰρ ἐλούσαντο οἱ πολλοὶ καὶ μεσοῦσα ἡμέρα ἦν, στωμύλους ἐθεασάμην καὶ εὐφυεῖς νεανίσκους οὐ περὶ τὰς οἰκίας, ἀλλὰ περὶ τὸ Κράνειον εἱλουμένους, καὶ οὗ μάλιστα ταῖς ἀρτοπώλισι καὶ ὀπωροκαπήλοις ἔθος ἀναστρέφειν.

the wines of the Grecian isles to the west; and others taking the equally renowned growths of Sicily and Italy to the cities of Greece. In another, carefully packed marble statues, the masterpieces of the Attic studios[12], were being conveyed by surefooted mules, to be submitted to the judgment of Sicilian connoisseurs. Here, again, the scarcely less valuable works in bronze, of Corinth and Sicyon, were about to be shipped for the towns of Asia Minor: not to mention the variety of costly products, and what not, which the innumerable chests and bales contained. The choicest aromatics from the scented plains of Araby, the costly web of the forests of Ind, its ivory and its curious woods; gorgeous tapestries, the toilsome produce of Babylonish ingenuity, the wool of Milesian flocks, the gauze drapery wrought by maidens of Cos,—all found their way to this emporium of an hemisphere.

Charicles, in pleased surprise, strode through the crowd, which presented a phenomenon to which he was unused. During the six years of his absence, the picture of Athenian life had been nearly effaced from his recollection. The Sicilian towns which he had seen, were so deserted, that the wild beast made his lair there, and the suburbs were not unfrequently the scene of the chase[13].

---

[12] Works of art, such as images of the gods, were exported in great quantities, not merely on order, but also on speculation, as is evident from the story of Apollonios, who met a ship's-load of them in the Piræus. *ἐρομένου δὲ τοῦ Ἀπολλωνίου, τίς ὁ φόρτος; θεῶν, ἔφη, ἀγάλματα ἀπάγω ἐς Ἰωνίαν, τὰ μὲν χρυσοῦ καὶ λίθου, τὰ δὲ ἐλέφαντος καὶ χρυσοῦ. Ἱδρυσόμενος, ἢ τί; Ἀποδωσόμενος, ἔφη, τοῖς βουλομένοις ἱδρύεσθαι.* Philostr. *Vit. Apollon. Tyan.* v. 20. The love of art in Sicily, appears from Cic. *in Verr.* iv.

[13] Plutarch, *Timoleon*, 22: *Ἡ μὲν ἐν Συρακούσαις ἀγορὰ δι' ἐρημίαν οὕτω πολλὴν καὶ βαθεῖαν ἐξέφυσεν ὕλην, ὥστε τοὺς ἵππους ἐν αὐτῇ κατανέμεσθαι, τῶν ἱππικόμων ἐν τῇ χλόῃ κατακειμένων. αἱ δ' ἄλλαι πόλεις πλὴν παντελῶς ὀλίγων ἐλάφων ἐγένοντο μεσταὶ καὶ συῶν ἀγρίων. ἐν δὲ τοῖς προαστείοις καὶ περὶ τὰ τείχη πολλάκις οἱ σχολὴν ἄγοντες ἐκυνηγέτουν.* This refers to a period ten years before the date of this narration. Many other towns were in a similar state. So Dio Chrysos. *Or.* vii. p. 233, speaking of a town in Eubœa, says: *βλέπετε γὰρ αὐτοὶ δήπουθεν, ὅτι τὸ γυμνάσιον ὑμῖν ἄρουραν πεποιήκασιν· ὥστε τὸν Ἡρακλέα καὶ ἄλλους ἀνδριάντας συχνοὺς ὑπὸ τοῦ*

Syracuse itself, which Timoleon had found so desolate that horses pastured on the tall grass which overgrew the market-place, had recovered but little of its former animation. But here was a scene to which the busy hum of the Piræus, or the liveliness of the Athenian *agora*, could alone afford a comparison. He asked a boy, who offered him fruit for sale, if he could shew him Sotades' domicile. 'Oh, you mean the father of the pretty Melissa and Stephanion?' replied the urchin. 'He lives no great way off,' added he, and forthwith he offered his services as a guide, and at Charicles' bidding, tripped gaily along before him.

The house of Sotades was not a common inn[14] that

θέρους ἀποκεκρύφθαι, τοὺς μὲν ἡρώων, τοὺς δὲ θεῶν. καὶ ὅτι καθ' ἡμέραν τὰ τοῦ ῥήτορος τούτου πρόβατα ἔωθεν εἰς τὴν ἀγορὰν ἐμβάλλει καὶ κατανέμεται περὶ τὸ βουλευτήριον.

[14] As has been shewn in *Gallus*, 2nd Ed. p. 353, it is erroneous to suppose that there were no inns among the ancients, or that their use was confined to the lowest class of travellers. Of course there was nothing of the kind in the Homeric age. People on a journey, in those days, found a hospitable reception in the house of a stranger, and thus arose ties of friendship which even extended to their posterity. This beautiful custom even reaches down to the historic era. Herod. vi. 35, Οὗτος ὁ Μιλτιάδης (ὁ Κυψέλου, Ἀθηναῖος) κατήμενος ἐν τοῖσι προθύροισι τοῖσι ἑωυτοῦ, ὁρέων τοὺς Δυλόγκους παριόντας, ἐσθῆτα ἔχοντας οὐκ ἐγχωρίην καὶ αἰχμὰς, προσεβώσατο· καὶ σφι προσελθοῦσι ἐπηγγείλατο καταγωγὴν καὶ ξείνια· and a law of Charondas prescribes, ξένον πάντα τὸν ἐν τῇ ἑαυτοῦ πατρίδι σεβόμενον, καὶ κατὰ τοὺς οἰκείους νόμους, εὐφήμως καὶ οἰκείως προσδέχεσθαι καὶ ἀποστέλλειν, μεμνημένους Διὸς Ξενίου, ὡς παρὰ πᾶσιν ἱδρυμένου κοινοῦ θεοῦ, καὶ ὄντος ἐπισκόπου φιλοξενίας τε καὶ κακοξενίας. Stob. *Tit.* xliv. 40. See Ælian, *Var. Hist.* iv. 1. But when intercourse grew more frequent, and towns like Corinth and Athens were overflowing with strangers, it is natural to suppose that the want of inns became felt. At the great Grecian festivals, for instance, the majority of the strangers could have no other house to lodge at but an auberge. Wealthy individuals, even at a later period, were very hospitable to strangers; so Xenoph. *Œcon.* 2, 5: ἔπειτα ξένους προσήκει σοι πολλοὺς δέχεσθαι, καὶ τούτους μεγαλοπρεπῶς. See also Plato, *Protag.* p. 315, where the house of Callias is crammed with guests, but these were some of them friends, some bidden guests, and others persons of distinction. Other persons had to put up at an inn, πανδοκεῖον, καταγώγιον, κατάλυσις. See Plato, *Leg.* xii. p. 952. At festivals, however, places of shelter were provided in the vicinity of the temples at the public expense. Schol. to Pind. *Olym.* xi. 55: τὸ γὰρ ἐν κύκλῳ τοῦ ἱεροῦ καταγωγίοις διείληπτο. Cf. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* iv. 9. It does not appear whether these καταγώγια

took in every stranger, seeking shelter and entertainment; or where travellers of all grades might reckon on obtaining protection from the inclemency of the weather, or a place of temporary repose. Particular guests only, were free of the house, and they mostly were well-known, and accustomed to visit it several times a-year, and then to make a lengthened stay[15]. Indeed it was no secret to many in Corinth, that the two damsels, whom Sotades called his daughters, were the main support of the family, in like manner as their mother Nicippe—who commonly went by the sobriquet of Ægidion—had formerly been the Amalthea, that procured subsistence[16]. Sotades, however, pretended before

---

or σκηναί, erected on such occasions, offered anything besides shelter. It was usual for travellers to provide the necessaries of the journey for themselves, and many probably lived entirely in their own tents. See Plutarch, *Alcib.* 12. There was a similar arrangement at the temple of Aphrodite at Cnidos: ἦν δ' ὑπὸ ταῖς ἄγαν παλιυσκίοις ὕλαις ἱλαραὶ κλισίαι τοῖς ἐνεστιᾶσθαι θέλουσιν, εἰς ἃ τῶν μὲν ἀστικῶν σπανίως ἐπεφοίτων τινές· ἀθρόος δ' ὁ πολιτικὸς ὄχλος ἐπανηγύριζεν, ὄντως ἀφροδισιάζοντες. Lucian, *Amor.* 12. The most important building of the kind was that erected near the *Heræon*, on the site of Platæa, after its destruction by the Spartans: ᾠκοδόμησαν πρὸς τῷ Ἡραίῳ καταγώγιον διακοσίων ποδῶν, παντα χῇ κύκλῳ οἰκήματα ἔχον κάτωθεν καὶ ἄνωθεν. Thucyd. iii. 68. But these public establishments did not exclude the existence of private inns also, and perhaps the σκηνῖται, in an inscription about a Bœotian festival, refers to this. Böckh, *Corp. Inscr. Gr.* No. 1625. That these inns were used by the wealthy classes, as well as by the poor, would in itself be probable, even were there no instances of this being the case. Thus the ambassadors from Athens to Philip lodge everywhere in inns, πανδοκείοις. Æsch. *de Falsa Leg.* p. 272: οὐδεὶς αὐτῷ συσσιτεῖν, ὅτ' ἐξῄειμεν ἐπὶ τὴν ὑστέραν πρεσβείαν, ἠθελεν, οὐδὲ ἐν ταῖς ὁδοῖς, ὅπου δυνατὸν ἦν, εἰς ταὐτὸ πανδοκεῖον καταλύειν. Cf. Plutarch, *de san. tuend.* 15; *de vitios. pud.* 8; *de esu carn.* 5; Liban. *Or.* xxiv. p. 79. So Dionysos enquires as to the inns on the road to Hades: Aristoph. *Ranæ*, 114. As with the Romans, (*Gallus*, p. 356,) so among the Greeks, the trade of the innkeeper was deemed highly contemptible, first, because entertainment for gain is totally at variance with the duties of the ξενία; and, secondly, because of the villany and extortion of these people. See Plato, *Leg.* xi. p. 918: πάντα τὰ περὶ τὴν καπηλείαν καὶ ἐμπορίαν καὶ πανδοκίαν γένη διαβέβληταί τε καὶ ἐν αἰσχροῖς γέγονεν ὀνείδεσιν. Cf. Theophr. *Char.* 6.

[15] Epænetos is just a case in point. Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1366.

[16] Plutarch, *de Aud. Poët.* 8, mentions a similar instance. As Nico was nicknamed Αἴξ, so Nicippe might

strangers, to be ignorant of the course of life which his daughters followed, and the cue of the mother, who played her part to admiration, was to take advantage of his apparent strictness, and so to pursue her plans to greater advantage[17].

Under the boy's guidance, Charicles soon reached the house, which looked rather insignificant outside, but was situate near the Cenchrean gate, in one of the busiest spots, where there was a strong muster of taverns and various retail shops.

The vicinity of the *Craneion* and the street leading to the harbour, brought numbers of people to the spot; and here the garlick-chewing sailor, as well as the beau, reeking of perfume—the one at the price of a couple of oboles, which was perhaps half his day's earnings[18], the other making light of a handful of silver—were accustomed to indulge themselves, each after his own peculiar fashion.

Charicles rewarded his guide with a few coppers[19], and

---

very well have received the sobriquet Αἰγίδιον. See Excursus on *The Hetæræ*.

[17] It was so with Nicarete and her seven girls. Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1351. προσειποῦσα αὐτὰς ὀνόματι θυγατέρας, ἵν' ὡς μεγίστους μισθοὺς πράττοιτο τοὺς βουλομένους πλησιάζειν αὐταῖς, ὡς ἐλευθέραις οὔσαις. Neæra made a similar use of her marriage with Stephanos. *Ib.* p. 1359.

[18] What were the wages per diem for common labour, cannot be accurately stated. Lucian, *Tim.* 6, mentions four oboles, and this certainly refers to his own time. See Böckh's *Publ. Econ. of Athens*, p. 117. So again, *Epist. Saturn.* 21, we read, ὀνειροπολεῖν, εἰ πόθεν ὀβολοὶ τέσσαρες γένοιντο, ὡς ἔχοιμεν ἄρτων γοῦν ἢ ἀλφίτων ἐμπεπλησμένοι καθεύδειν. The daily pay of a rower is fixed elsewhere (*de Electro*, 3) at two oboles only. εἰ δὲ ἦν τι τοιοῦτον, οἴει ἡμᾶς δυοῖν ὀβολοῖν ἕνεκα ἐρέττειν ἂν ἢ ἕλκειν τὰ πλοῖα πρὸς ἐναντίον τὸ ὕδωρ, οἷς ἐξῆν πλουτεῖν.

[19] For some services, however, much more pay, in comparison, was demanded. See Böckh's observations on Aristoph. *Ran.* 173, in his *Publ. Econ. of Athens*, p. 117. Fees and gratuities were demanded on the most frivolous pretences. So Lucian, *de Merc. Cond.* 14: εὐθὺς οὖν πρόσεισι παραγγέλλων τις, ἥκειν ἐπὶ τὸ δεῖπνον, οὐκ ἀνομίλητος οἰκέτης, ὃν χρὴ πρότερον ἵλεων ποιήσασθαι, παραβύσαντα ἐς τὴν χεῖρα, ὡς μὴ ἀδέξιος εἶναι δοκῇς, τοὐλάχιστον πέντε δραχμάς· ὁ δὲ ἀκκισάμενος καὶ Ἄπαγε, παρὰ σοῦ δ' ἐγώ; καὶ Ἡράκλεις, μὴ γένοιτο, ἐπειπών, τέλος ἐπείσθη. Akin to these douceurs were the

was proceeding to the house-door, when the lad hailed him, and pointed to a strong-built man, in slovenly habiliments, and of audacious mien, who proved to be Sotades himself, just returning home. The youth therefore turned, and briefly informed him that he wished for entertainment for a few days, and had been recommended to his house by a friend at Argos. With the eye of a *trapezites*, going to lend money, the man scanned him from head to foot, dwelling complacently on the stately charger and good-looking heavily-laden slave, and then said half surlily: 'Mine is not a house of call for every chance stranger, and you might have done better at the adjacent inn. I have daughters whose beauty brings suitors enough already before my doors, and it is a delicate matter to receive striplings like you into my somewhat confined domicile; but notwithstanding, as you have been sent to me by my friend at Argos, you shall be welcome; no attention shall be spared to make you and your horse comfortable.' So saying, he opened the door, called a slave to take the steed, and bid Charicles enter, he and Manes following after.

The man's brusque tone, and whole appearance, had not created a particularly pleasant impression on the youth, and an air of disorder visible in the entrance-hall, was little calculated to raise the inmates in his estimation. In one corner lay fragments of broken wine-stoups, in another relics of withered garlands[20]; while from the interior sounded a buzz of heterogeneous voices, with, now and then, the stave of a song. One might have fancied that the noise proceeded from a drinking party; but this could not be, as the master of the house had but just entered.

---

presents given to one's own servants at certain annual festivals, as Christmas-boxes are with us. So Lucian, *ib.* 19: ἅπερ ἐν ἑορταῖς ἐτησίοις εἰκὸς ἡμᾶς παρέξειν· and Athen. x. p. 437, says: τῇ δὲ ἑορτῇ τῶν Χοῶν ἔθος ἐστὶν Ἀθήνησι πέμπεσθαι δῶρά τε καὶ τοὺς μισθοὺς τοῖς σοφισταῖς.

[20] Plutarch, *de Cohib. Ira*, 15, describes the appearance presented by the house of a dissipated person: διὸ τῶν μὲν ἀσώτων ταῖς οἰκίαις προσιόντες αὐλητρίδος ἀκούομεν ἐωθινῆς καὶ πηλὸν, ὡς τις εἶπεν, οἴνου, καὶ σπαράγματα στεφάνων, καὶ κραιπαλῶντας ὁρῶμεν ἐπὶ θύραις ἀκολούθους.

In point of fact, the latter seemed half perplexed, half angry at it, and hurried his guest up a flight of stairs to the upper story, where he assigned him a pleasant apartment, such as Charicles had scarcely expected to find in the house. 'I hope this will suit you,' was his host's remark. 'But you have travelled some distance,' he continued, 'and your wearied limbs must need refreshment[21]. Go, Pægnion,' said he to a lad of some fifteen years old, 'bring oil, strigils, and linen-cloths, and shew the stranger to the nearest bath[22]. Take care also that his evening meal be not deficient in wine and solids.' Hereupon he took his leave, and the boy soon arriving with the needful apparatus, led Charicles to the bath, on returning from which he found supper ready, though he soon dispensed with Pægnion's services, as rest and sleep were what he most needed.

But the tranquil god would not sink upon his heavy eye-lids so soon as he desired. Indistinct cries and wild laughter ever and anon penetrated to his chamber from below. It was now night, and yet Charicles heard stormy knockings at the outer door, and swarms of *Comastæ* rushing noisily in. He fancied he could distinctly catch the name of Stephanion. Was not that the very name by which the boy had called one of the daughters? The domestic discipline here, thought he, must be rather more lax than my friend at Argos was aware of.

But on the other hand, the father's harsh, nay almost repulsive manner, hardly tallied with his supposition: persons of his supposed class behaved in a manner quite the reverse,

---

[21] With the ancients the chief antidote to fatigue was the bath. So Aristoph. *Ranæ*, 1279, where Dionysos says:

ἐγὼ μὲν οὖν ἐς τὸ βαλανεῖον βούλομαι.
ὑπὸ τῶν κόπων γὰρ τῷ νεφρῷ βουβωνιῶ.

And again, Aristot. *Probl.* i. 39, p. 863: διὰ τί τοὺς μὲν θερινοὺς κόπους λουτρῷ ἰᾶσθαι δεῖ, τοὺς δὲ χειμερινοὺς ἀλείμματι; Also Lucian, *Lexiph.* 2: χαίρω δὲ μετὰ κάματον ἀπολουόμενος· and Athen. i. p. 24: ἴασι δὲ καὶ λουτρὰ ἄκη πόνων παντοῖα.

[22] Lucian, *Asin.* 2: εἶτα πέμπει αὐτὸν εἰς βαλανεῖον· οὐχὶ γὰρ μετρίαν ἐληλύθειν ὁδόν· and more at large, Appul. *Metam.* i. p. 72.

and fawned amiably on their future victim. Still the ladies must be pretty well known, for the urchin had mentioned them by name. They were comely too, by all accounts; so, be the character of the house what it might, Charicles determined to make their acquaintance next day, at all hazards.

The opportunity presented itself more quickly than he had expected. Next morning, when he was just going out, Sotades approached, and requested the favour of his company at their family breakfast. 'I am in general very cautious about introducing my daughters to strange men, but somehow, your eyes betoken so much modesty, your brow such earnestness, and so much wisdom hovers on your lips, that I am certain I shall have nothing to fear from you.'

The stripling accepted the courtesy with a smile. A sudden light seemed to break over the character of his host, whose chief object was evidently to shun inconvenient notoriety. This made the youth all the more curious, and probably he had never waited for the breakfast hour with so much impatience.

At last the oft-consulted *gnomon*[23] proclaimed that the moment had arrived when the hospitable inmates of the mansion expected the stranger's presence.

The damsels were indeed beautiful. Stephanion's tall figure, her raven locks, falling in rich luxuriance down her rosy neck, the full black orbs beneath the finely-arched and jetty eyebrows, that ripe *embonpoint* so manifest even through the thickness of her dress, were qualities that called to mind the ideal of a Hera: but her attractions seemed as nothing to Charicles, who was lost in the contemplation of Melissa, her younger sister. A naïve and merry being was she, just budding into womanhood, not one to dazzle by any lofty regularity of beauty, but with a nameless grace in every movement of her softly-swelling

[23] Concerning the gnomon, and the division of the day into hours, consult Note 3 to Scene XII.

limbs, that was irresistibly bewitching. So careful and proper was their toilet that Charicles began to waver in his preconceived opinion; yet the easy familiarity with which Melissa seated herself between him and her mother, and their free way of partaking of the wine[24], and joining in the conversation, little accorded with the reserve of Grecian virgins.

Indeed they seemed gradually to lay aside their disguise. Melissa's glances, and all her movements, became anything but correct, and when in the temporary absence of Sotades, Charicles handed her the goblet, she carefully applied her lips to the very place that his had touched[25]. The youth, burning with passion, caught the vessel from her hand, and did the same, upon which the damsel leaned lovingly towards him, and the clasp that fastened the chiton over her shoulder became loosened, as if by accident, at the same moment. Unable to restrain himself, he imprinted a hasty kiss on her dazzling shoulder, and the gentle slap with which she punished his presumption, shewed that it was not considered an insult. Sotades here returned, and breakfast ended. Melissa's eyes seemed to say, 'I hope we shall meet again,' and Charicles took his leave completely enthralled. He needed no further enticement: breakfast had been the trap; and he was now helplessly ensnared[26].

---

[24] Xenoph. *de Rep. Lac.* 1, 3: *οἶνου γε μὴν ἢ πάμπαν ἀπεχομένας ἢ ὑδαρεῖ χρωμένας διάγουσιν.*

[25] This was a silent declaration of love, or a sign of mutual understanding. Ovid, *Amor.* i. 4, 31; Lucian, *Dial. Meretr.* 12: *καὶ πιὼν ἂν ἐκείνη μὲν ὑπέδειξας τὸ ποτήριον, ἀποδιδοὺς δὲ τῷ παιδὶ πρὸς τὸ οὖς ἐκέλευες, εἰ μὴ Πυραλλὶς αἰτήσειε, μὴ ἂν ἄλλῳ ἐγχέαι.* Achill. Tat. ii. 9: *ᾠνοχόει δὲ ὁ Σάτυρος ἡμῖν καί τι ποιεῖ ἐρωτικόν. Διαλλάσσει γὰρ τὰ ἐκπώματα καὶ τὸ μὲν ἐμὸν τῇ κόρῃ προστίθησι, τὸ δὲ ἐκείνης ἐμοί. καὶ ἐγχέων ἀμφοτέροις καὶ ἐγκερασάμενος ὤρεγεν. Ἐγὼ δὲ ἐπιτηρήσας τὸ μέρος τοῦ ἐκπώματος ἔνθα τὸ χεῖλος ἡ κόρη πίνουσα προσέθιγεν ἐναρμοσάμενος ἔπινον ἀποστολιμαῖον τοῦτο φίλημα ποιῶν καὶ ἅμα κατεφίλουν τὸ ἔκπωμα.*

[26] See the striking comparison, Plaut. *Asin.* i. 3, 63:

... auceps ego,
Esca est meretrix, lectus illex est, amatores aves.

That the damsels were hetæræ, was clear enough; but the very veil of secrecy they adopted made them the more alluring. Dismissing, for the present, all intentions of departing, he could think of nothing but a second interview with his charmer. Manes was but a poor hand in such matters, or he could have used his services; as it was, he must apply to some one in the household.

'Pægnion,' said he the same evening to the slave who waited on him, 'wilt thou earn some money?' 'Ah? that I will,' replied he. 'It won't be difficult,' continued Charicles. 'I love the beautiful Melissa; try to procure me an interview to-night.' 'What sort of a notion is this that you've got about the daughter of a respectable family—?' 'Pish!' interrupted Charicles; 'I know the extent to which your respectability will reach. Don't assume astonishment; it suits you ill. But no more of that. Bring me to Melissa, and ten drachmæ are your reward.'

'Ten drachmæ?' repeated the slave,—'no, it won't do. Melissa herself won't object, I dare say: she has been half beside herself ever since she saw you. She weeps, and keeps repeating your name; she can't live without you. We all believe that you have mixed some love-potion in her cup.' 'Well, and why won't it do?' asked Charicles; 'her mother won't mind, surely?' 'She is not so strait-laced as all that,' replied the menial; 'and with the family's narrow means, I take it, some four or five gold pieces will go far towards persuading her to open to you the door of the parthenon. But isn't Sotades at home? and you see how jealously he guards his daughters.' 'Ah! there's the rub,' said Charicles with a knowing laugh; 'but perhaps Nicippe will be able to remove that difficulty. Away, Pægnion; no more disguise. Tell the mother that a mina of silver is hers if she accomplish my desire to-morrow evening. Off with you, and earn your ten drachmæ.' 'Ten drachmæ!' repeated the boy a second time. 'Why I'm fifteen years old.' 'Well then, fifteen drachmæ,' said the youth; 'but now be off, and mind

what you're about.' Pægnion departed, assuring Charicles that the project should not fail by any fault of his; but that nevertheless he thought it would be hardly feasible.

It was scarce dawn, when Charicles sprang from his couch. His sleep had not been sound, and towards morning he fancied he had heard a noise, as if the inner and outer doors were opened[27]. The thought that a favoured lover was creeping off, disturbed him. Pægnion made his appearance before long, and his self-satisfied air announced good news. He informed Charicles that his master proposed going to Sicyon to-day on business, and would be obliged by the loan of his horse. He would only be absent two nights, and Charicles of course did not intend leaving Corinth before then. Charicles fancied he saw through the meaning of the journey, and felt relieved at getting rid of so great an impediment to his wishes on such easy terms. He therefore immediately assented.

Pægnion brought nothing fresh from Melissa, and on being interrogated about the opening of doors in the night, alleged as the reason, that the light[28] had become extinguished, and a female slave had, towards morning, gone to fetch one from a neighbour's[29].

Charicles persuaded himself into the belief that this was true.

Sotades had set off, noon was long passed, and Chari-

---

[27] Lysias, *de Cæde Erat.* p. 20: *ἀναμιμνησκόμενος, ὅτι ἐν ἐκείνῃ τῇ νυκτὶ ἐψόφει ἡ μέταυλος θύρα καὶ ἡ αὐλειος.* Consult the Excursus on *The House.*

[28] The use of a night-light was not general, although not uncommon. Mention of it occurs in Aristoph. *Eccles.* 8; Lucian, *Catapl.* 27; Plut. *Pelop.* 11; and on the other hand, in *Nub.* 18; Theoph. *Char.* 18; and Theocr. xxiv. 48, it is not lit till wanted.

[29] Lysias, *de Cæde Erat.* p. 15: *ἐρωμένου δέ μου, τί αἱ θύραι νύκτωρ ψοφοῖεν, ἔφασκε τὸν λύχνον ἀποσβεσθῆναι τὸν παρὰ τῷ παιδίῳ, εἶτα ἐκ τῶν γειτόνων ἀνάψασθαι. ἐσιώπων ἐγώ, καὶ ταῦτα οὕτως ἔχειν ἡγούμην.* Neighbours did not scruple to beg a light, even at night. So Xenoph. *Mem.* ii. 2, 12: *οὐκοῦν καὶ τῷ γείτονι βούλει σὺ ἀρέσκειν, ἵνα σοι καὶ πῦρ ἐναύῃ, ὅταν τούτου δέῃ.* Other small services were willingly rendered. See Theophr. *Char.* 10; Aristoph. *Eccles.* 446.

cles still waited for the message that Pægnion was to bring him. The place of rendezvous was one of the arcades in the Agora, where he used to meet Ctesiphon. He had already made his friend a confidant of his hopes, and had induced him to prolong his stay for another day, though much against the will of Ctesiphon, who had again warned him. But the youth could see nothing dangerous in the game, which was one of such very common occurrence. He paced to and fro in great impatience, when at last the boy approached. The news he brought was favourable; he had succeeded in talking the mother over, and Melissa was impatiently expecting him; and as soon as all were asleep in the house, he would lead the youth where Dionysos and Aphrodite, the inseparable deities of joy, would be ready to receive him[30]. 'Only don't forget,' he added, 'to hand the mother the mina of silver, when she opens the door, and bethink you of my services also.'

In the hospitable house where Ctesiphon was staying, six young men, including himself and his friendly host, had just met for a *symposion*, and unguents were being handed round, and wine mingled. The lively discourse of the party betokened them all to be men of the same sort, addicted to pleasure, and well acquainted with the merits of the Corinthian beauties. 'You'll have to keep me a day longer,' said Ctesiphon to his host. 'The friend who bore me company hither has lent his horse to his lodging-house keeper, and Sotades—such is his name—won't be back for two days.' 'Sotades?' exclaimed one of the company; 'you don't mean the would-be papa of my Stephanion?' 'And of the charming Melissa?' cried another. 'So the girls are called, I believe,' said Ctesiphon. 'You

---

[30] Eurip. *Bacchæ*, 729:

οἶνον δὲ μηκέτ' ὄντος οὐκ Κύπριν.

So also Aristot. *Prob.* xxx. 1, p. 953:

ὀρθῶς Διόνυσος καὶ Ἀφροδίτη λέγονται μετ' ἀλλήλων εἶναι.

know the man then? he went to-day on a journey to Sicyon.' 'Impossible!' cried the second; 'I spied him but a short while ago, stealing along in the dusk of the evening towards the Isthmian gate; I knew him well, spite of his pains to muffle himself up. And, strange enough, just afterwards I met a slave of his, who, now I think of it, was leading a fine-looking horse.' 'All is not right,' said the first, starting up. 'Stephanion sent me a message to-day, pleading sickness as an excuse for her not being able to receive me this evening. I hope that the girl who is my property for.....' 'Don't disturb yourself,' said Ctesiphon; 'my friend loves her sister Melissa.' 'In that case, some danger, doubtless, threatens him. This Sotades is the most rascally of pimps, and it would not be the first time that he had allured a stranger, and then accused him of being the seducer of his daughters[31].' 'Well, then,' cried Ctesiphon's host, 'the best thing for us to do, is to make all speed to Sotades' house, and see if we can't prevent a knave's trick.' This proposal met with universal approbation, particularly as Glaucos wished to assure himself personally about Stephanion's indisposition, while the rest of the party reckoned on having an amusing scene in an hetæra-house. 'But they will never let us in,' interposed one of the guests. 'Oh! be easy on that score,' said Glaucos; 'I have the key of the garden-door, which leads directly to the women's apartments. Nicippe herself let me have it for a couple of gold staters, so long as Stephanion is mine. And even suppose the bolt inside were shot forward, I can take the whole door off its hinges[32]. But let us be quick. We shall, I hope, soon return to our cups.'

---

[31] This is the history of Stephanos and Epænetos. See note 4; and Demosth. *in Neær.* 1366 and 1359.

[32] This is the back-door of the house, usually called *θύρα κηπαία*. See Excursus on *The House*. That a fastened door could thus be opened, appears from Lucian, *Dial. Meretr.* xii.: *τὴν αὔλιον εὗρον ἀποκεκλεισμένην ἐπιμελῶς· μέσαι γὰρ νύκτες ἦσαν. οὐκ ἔκοψα δ' οὖν, ἀλλ' ἐπάρας*

Charicles was at the summit of his wishes. At the door of the small room, which Nicippe had fastened outside, Pægnion stood listening; for he was prevented by the tapestry within from peeping through the little hole which he had bored through the door to satisfy his curiosity on such occasions[33]. He now glided gently away towards the house-door. This he cautiously opened, and peered out into the darkness of the night. A group of five or six men, who had come down the street from the town, were standing at a small distance, and he felt rather uneasy at the circumstance. But they presently disappeared into a narrow lane that led along the garden wall to the next street. He kept jingling the fifteen drachmæ in his hand with much satisfaction[34], and then stole lightly but quickly down the street. At the fourth house he stopped and tapped. He was admitted, and not many seconds after, four men came out of the door, accompanied by three slaves and Pægnion[35]. One of the men—it was Sotades—purchased two links in a neighbouring shop, and having lighted them[36], advanced with the others towards his house. 'Shut the doors,' said he to Pægnion as soon as they had entered; 'the bird is safe enough now, but unbidden guests might come in.' They stole noiselessly to the chambers of the women.

Charicles reclined on a couch, which smelt sweetly of roses[37], with the beautiful Melissa in his arms; she was clad in the slightest manner, and she clung closely to him, her arms clasped around his neck. On a sudden the door was dashed open with a tremendous blow, and Sotades

---

ἠρέμα τὴν θύραν (ἤδη δὲ καὶ ἄλλοτε ἐπεποιήκειν αὐτὸ) παραγαγὼν τὸν στρυφέα παρῆλθον ἀψοφητί.

[33] Mart. xi. 45, 6:
puncta, lasciva quæ terebrantur acu.

[34] Appul. *Metam.* ii. 154.

[35] Lysias, *de Cæde Erat.* 27.

[36] Lysias, *ib.*: καὶ δᾷδας λαβόντες ἐκ τοῦ ἐγγυτάτου καπηλείου εἰσερχόμεθα. On the use of torches and lamps, see Notes 1 and 5 to Sc. IX.

[37] Lucian, *Asin.* 7: Τῶν δὲ στρωμάτων ῥόδα πολλὰ κατεπέπαστο, τὰ μὲν οὑτω γυμνὰ καθ' αὑτὰ τὰ δὲ λελυμένα, τὰ δὲ στεφάνοις συμπεπλεγμένα. Appul. *Metam.* ii. p. 126.

rushed in with his myrmidons, like one frantic[38]. 'Villain!' he exclaimed, advancing on the youth, 'is it thus that you abuse my hospitality? Is it thus you disgrace the house, and seduce the daughter of an honest man?' The youth had risen up. 'Seduce your daughter, indeed! why it's notorious that her charms support your house!' 'You lie,' screamed Sotades. 'Friends, ye know the blameless reputation of my roof, and I call you to witness, that I have caught this good-for-nothing fellow on this couch, with my daughter in his arms. Seize him, slaves, and bind him.' Charicles, who was young and powerful, attempted, but in vain, to break through his assailants. The contest was an unequal one, and Sotades, by the help of his slaves, soon mastered and bound him. 'A sword ho!' cried he: 'he shall atone with his life for the stain he would bring upon my house.' 'Sotades!' exclaimed the youth, 'take care how you commit a crime that will not go unrevenged. I did not wish to stain your house. Your wife has herself received a mina of silver from me for her part in the transaction. But even granted that I have really injured you, what can you gain by killing me? Take a ransom, and let me go free.' 'Not I,' said Sotades; 'the law kills you by my hand. You have deserved death,' he continued after a slight pause; 'but I will have pity on your youth. Give me three thousand drachmæ, and you shall be free[39].' 'I have not so much by me,' replied Charicles, 'nor any

[38] The whole description is from Lysias, (*de Cæde Erat.* p. 28,) with but little variation: *ὥσαντες δὲ τὴν θύραν τοῦ δωματίου οἱ μὲν πρῶτοι εἰσιόντες ἔτι εἶδομεν αὐτὸν κατακείμενον παρὰ τῇ γυναικὶ, οἱ δ' ὕστερον ἐν τῇ κλίνῃ γυμνὸν ἑστηκότα. ἐγὼ δ', ὦ ἄνδρες, πατάξας καταβάλλω αὐτὸν, καὶ τῷ χεῖρε περιαγαγὼν εἰς τοὔπισθεν καὶ δήσας ἠρώτων, διὰ τί ὑβρίζει, εἰς τὴν οἰκίαν τὴν ἐμὴν εἰσιών; κἀκεῖνος ἀδικεῖν μὲν ὡμολόγει, ἠντιβόλει δὲ καὶ ἱκέτευε μὴ αὐτὸν κτεῖναι, ἀλλ' ἀργύριον πράξασθαι. ἐγὼ δ' εἶπον, ὅτι οὐκ ἐγώ σε ἀποκτενῶ ἀλλ', ὁ τῆς πόλεως νόμος.* This occurred in Athens, but there is no doubt it will hold for Corinth also. See Excursus on *The Women.*

[39] This was the sum extorted by Stephanos from Epænetos; Demosth. *in Neær.* 1367.

friends here to help me to make up the sum[40]. But there are two thousand drachmæ in my valise, which shall be yours.' 'I accept your terms,' said Sotades, 'but only on condition that you quit Corinth by break of day. And you, unworthy daughter,' said he to Melissa, who had hid her face in the cushion of the couch, 'may think yourself fortunate, if I do not follow the example of that Athenian, and consign you alive to the tomb, along with the horse of your paramour[41].'

These last words he had pronounced with much pathos:—a peal of shrill laughter answered him from the entrance of the room. It was Ctesiphon and his friends, who had gained the door unobserved. 'Dog[42] of a pimp,'

---

[40] A praiseworthy custom prevailed, not only at Athens, but elsewhere, by which friends considered it their duty to help, to the best of their power, a friend suddenly thrown into pecuniary difficulties. This kind of contribution (ἔρανος) has been most satisfactorily illustrated by Casaubon on Theophr. *Char.* 15; cf. Meier and Schöman, *Att. Proc.*, on the two-fold kind of ἔρανος.

[41] Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 175: *ὥστ' ἀνὴρ εἷς τῶν πολιτῶν εὑρὼν τὴν ἑαυτοῦ θυγατέρα διεφθαρμένην καὶ τὴν ἡλικίαν οὐ καλῶς διαφυλάξασαν μέχρι γάμου, ἐγκατῳκοδόμησεν αὐτὴν μεθ' ἵππου εἰς ἔρημον οἰκίαν, ὑφ' οὗ προδήλως ἔμελλεν ἀπολεῖσθαι διὰ λιμὸν συγκαθειργμένη. καὶ ἔτι νῦν τῆς οἰκίας ταύτης ἕστηκε τὰ οἰκόπεδα ἐν τῷ ὑμετέρῳ ἄστει, καὶ ὁ τόπος οὗτος καλεῖται 'παρ' ἵππου καὶ κόρην.'* Cf. Heracl. Pont. *Polit.* 1.

[42] As was mentioned in *Gallus*, p. 76, the names of animals, in some respects despicable, were not usual among the ancients as terms of abuse. Sometimes, however, instances do occur. Κύων is well known: πίθηκος occurs, Demosth. *de Coron.* p. 307; and κέρκωψ, Alciphr. *Epist.* i. 28. As *vervex* in latin, so πρόβατον is used in Lucian, *Alex. seu Pseudom.* 15: (*ἀνθρώπων*) *οὐδὲν ἐοικότων σιτοφάγοις ἀνδράσιν, ἀλλὰ μόνῃ τῇ μορφῇ μὴ οὐχὶ πρόβατα εἶναι διαφερόντων·* and the proverb in Suidas, *προβατίου βίον ζῆν.* See also Lucian, *Demon.* 41. So also ὄνος is used, Plutarch, *Gryll.* 10: *Νῦν μὲν οὖν, Γρύλλε, μεταβέβλησαι σὺ, καὶ τὸ πρόβατον λογικὸν ἀποφαίνεις καὶ τὸν ὄνον*; Lucian, *Jup. Trag.* 31: *γόητα μὲν εἶναι τοῦτον, ἡμᾶς δὲ ὄνους κανθηλίους, νὴ Δία, καὶ ἡμιόνους, τοὺς πιστεύοντας αὐτῷ, καὶ ὅσον αἱ ἀκρίδες τὸν νοῦν ἔχοντας.* Diog. Laert. vii. 170; and Lysippus, quoted in Dicæarch. *Stat. Græc.* 10:

εἰ μὴ τεθέασαι τὰς Ἀθήνας, στέλεχος εἶ·
εἰ δὲ τεθέασαι, μὴ τεθήρευσαι δ', ὄνος.

Not only the stupidity, but also the laziness of the beast is had regard to. *Aves*, 1327:

πάνυ γὰρ βραδύς τίς ἐστιν, ὥσπερ ὄνος.

See also Lucian, *Pisc.* 34, and Plut. *de*

cried Ctesiphon's host, springing forward, 'how dare you bind a free man, and extort money from him?' 'What right have you to question me? why do you force your way into my house?' retorted Sotades sharply, though evidently taken aback. 'The man has dishonoured my house.' Another burst of laughter interrupted him. 'Dishonoured your house, forsooth! shall I tell you to whom Stephanion belongs for two months by your written contract? or who, last night, enjoyed Melissa?' Meanwhile Glaucos and the rest had entered. 'Tell me, Sotades,' exclaimed one of them, 'which marriage may these daughters of yours be by? It strikes me, that scarce ten years have elapsed since the notorious *hetæra* Ægidion became your wife, and brought you these girls, who would in vain hunt for their fathers all Greece over[43].' Sotades turned pale, the witnesses whom he had brought with him slipped out, Ctesiphon rushed towards Charicles and loosed the cords with which he was bound. 'You shall pay for this,' shouted Sotades, gnashing his teeth, and striking his hands together in a perfect fury[44]. 'Congratulate yourself,' replied

Is. et Osir. 31. The word λίθος is also applied to simple-minded heavy individuals. So *Nubes*, 1202. When Aristippus was asked what advantage his son would derive from instruction, he answered, Καὶ εἰ μηδὲν ἄλλο, ἔν γ' οὖν τῷ θεάτρῳ οὐ καθεδήσεται λίθος ἐπὶ λίθῳ. Diog. Laert. ii. 72; Terent. *Heaut.* iv. 7, 3: 'Quid stas, lapis?' And *Hecyr.* ii. 1, 17: 'quæ me omnino lapidem, non hominem putas.' Insensibility or apathy is also censured under this term, Lucian, *Dial. Mer.* xii.: Ὦ τῆς ἀγριότητος, τὸ δὲ μὴ ἐπικλασθῆναι δακρυούσης, λίθος, οὐκ ἄνθρωπός ἐστι. Cf. Dio Chrysos. *Or.* xxi. p. 506. The epithet σιδηροῦς is used like λίθινος. Lysias *in Theomn.* p. 363: ἀλλ' εἰ μὴ σιδηροῦς ἐστιν, κ.τ.λ. Other terms of abuse are to be found in Aristophanes, some of them very coarse. This perhaps strikes us more than it would the Greeks, whose ears were accustomed to the phrases in question.

[43] This was the case with the reputed daughters of Stephanos. Demosth. *in Neær.* 1367: καὶ ὡμολόγει μὲν (ὁ Ἐπαίνετος) χρῆσθαι τῇ ἀνθρώπῳ, οὐ μέντοι μοιχός γε εἶναι· οὔτε γὰρ Στεφάνου θυγατέρα αὐτὴν εἶναι, ἀλλὰ Νεαίρας, τὴν δὲ μητέρα αὐτῆς συνειδέναι πλησιάζουσαν αὐτῷ, ἀνηλωκέναι τε πολλὰ εἰς αὐτάς, τρέφειν τε, ὁπότε ἐπιδημήσειε, τὴν οἰκίαν ὅλην.

[44] Lucian, *Somn.* 14: ἡ δὲ ἀπολειφθεῖσα τὸ μὲν πρῶτον ἠγανάκτει

Ctesiphon's host, 'if we, from a regard to your daughters' friends, don't bring you before a court of justice. But now have your baggage conveyed to my house, Charicles, and abide with me till your departure.' With this all seven went up to Charicles' room. Sotades and Melissa alone remained behind. 'You stupid, you!' said the pimp, 'you forgot the garden-gate.'

καὶ τῷ χεῖρε συνεκρότει, καὶ τοὺς ὀδόντας ἐνέπριε. Clapping the hands is also a token of joy. See Jacobs on Achill. Tat. i. 7.

LIBRARY OF THE BOMBAY BRANCH OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY.

SCENE THE THIRD.

THE ANCESTRAL ABODE.

THREE days after the events above recorded, the friends landed at the Piræus. Charicles, somewhat ashamed, and out of humour with himself, had willingly listened to Ctesiphon's proposal to go by sea, which was shorter, instead of continuing their journey by land through Megara. A ship too, chanced to be just weighing anchor, and was ready to convey him thither, slave, horse, and all, for the moderate sum of one drachma; while Ctesiphon, who was unattended and without baggage, had only three oboles to pay[1].

The youth's heart beat, oh how quickly! as he put foot on his native soil, and greeted the well-known spots, associated with so many happy memories of days gone by. Just the same bustling life as formerly; the same throng and pressure of the multitude, streaming towards the great emporium, where merchants from all parts of the world had exposed samples of their wares[2], to sell them to travellers from every land. Nowhere could a more tempting assortment be met with, and though elsewhere an article might be sought in vain, yet in this central mart of Grecian commerce all imaginable commodities were

[1] We learn from Plato, *Gorg.* p. 511, how very low passage-money, ναῦλον, was: ἐὰν μὲν ἐξ Αἰγίνης δεῦρο σώσῃ, οἶμαι δύ' ὀβολοὺς ἐπράξατο· ἐὰν δὲ ἐξ Αἰγύπτου ἢ ἐκ τοῦ Πόντου, ἐὰν πάμπολυ, ταύτης τῆς μεγάλης εὐεργεσίας, σώσασα ἃ νῦν δὴ ἔλεγον, καὶ αὐτὸν καὶ παῖδας, καὶ χρήματα καὶ γυναῖκας, ἀναβιβάσασα εἰς τὸν λιμένα δύο δραχμὰς ἐπράξατο. It rose considerably at a later period, for Lucian mentions four oboles, instead of two, as the fare from Athens to Ægina. *Navig.* 15: καίτοι πρῶτον καὶ ἐς Αἴγιναν...οἶσθα ἐν ἡλίκῳ σκαφιδίῳ πάντες ἅμα οἱ φίλοι τεττάρων ἕκαστος ὀβολῶν διεπλεύσαμεν. See Böckh's *Publ. Econ. of Athens*, p. 118.

[2] The building where these samples, δείγματα, were exposed, was itself called Δεῖγμα. See Excursus on *The Markets and Commerce.*

assembled, only waiting for a purchaser[3]. On this account the harbour became a sort of second town, provided with all that either resident or stranger might require,—taverns, inns, workshops of all sorts, and houses of ill fame[4], as well as the benevolent establishment of the physician[5]. The prospect, too, of making a ready livelihood, no doubt attracted thither plenty of swindlers and sycophants, who even formed organized societies[6], ever ready to assist in the dishonest practices of the knavish dealer, or in fleecing the unsuspecting foreigner. Naturally enough, numbers of citizens resorted hither daily, to meet some stranger, or to await the arrival of a friend, or perhaps only for a lounge on the pier or among the shops, and to amuse themselves with the animated scene[7].

But the pleasure which Charicles felt was alloyed by the painful feeling of finding himself almost a stranger amongst his fellow-citizens. While Ctesiphon repeatedly met with acquaintances, and was more than once tugged by

---

[3] Isocr. *Paneg.* p. 60: Ἐμπόριον γὰρ ἐν μέσῳ τῆς Ἑλλάδος τὸν Πειραιᾶ κατεσκευάσατο, τοσαύτην ἔχουθ' ὑπερβολήν, ὥσθ' ἃ παρὰ τῶν ἄλλων ἓν παρ' ἑκάστων χαλεπόν ἐστι λαβεῖν, ταῦθ' ἅπαντα παρ' αὐτῆς ῥᾴδιον εἶναι πωρίσασθαι. Though Corinth was the chief place of transit, yet the Piræus was the most important market for foreign goods.

[4] At least one of those public establishments mentioned in the Excursus on *The Hetæræ* was in the Piræus. Aristoph. *Pax*, 165: ἐν Πειραιεῖ παρὰ ταῖς πόρναις. So Suidas: Κεραμεικοί. δύο τόποι Ἀθήνησιν. ἐν δὲ τῷ ἑτέρῳ εἱστήκεισαν αἱ πόρναι. Cf. Bekker, *Anecd. Gr.* i. p. 275. A third is mentioned, Steph. *de Urb.*: Σκίρος. Ἔστι καὶ ἕτερον Σκίρον τόπου Ἀττικοῦ....ἐν δὲ τῷ τόπῳ τούτῳ αἱ πόρναι ἐκαθίζοντο.

[5] Æschin. *in Timarch.* 65: ἐκάθητο ἐν Πειραιεῖ ἐπὶ τοῦ Εὐθυδίκου ἰατρείου.

[6] Demosth. *in Zenoth.* p. 885: ἔστιν ἐργαστήρια μοχθηρῶν ἀνθρώπων συνεστηκότων ἐν τῷ Πειραιεῖ. From the context of which passage, compared with *in Pantæn.* 978, it appears that there was a regularly organized band of sharpers, who were in league with one another. Demosth. *in Bœot. ὀνόμ.* p. 995, also calls it ἐργαστήριον συκοφαντῶν.

[7] Demosth. *in Lacr.* 932: οὗτοι δὲ περιεπάτουν ἐν τῷ δείγματι τῷ ἡμετέρῳ, καὶ ἡμεῖς προσιόντες διελεγόμεθα, κ.τ.λ. Theophr. *Char.* 23: Ὁ δὲ ἀλαζὼν τοιοῦτός τις, οἷος ἐν τῷ Δείγματι ἑστηκὼς διηγεῖσθαι ξένοις, ὡς πολλὰ χρήματα αὐτῷ ἐστιν ἐν τῇ θαλάττῃ.

the cloak from behind[8], and affectionately greeted, Charicles, who had left the city when a boy, passed through the crowd unnoticed. Still he consoled himself with the hope that old acquaintanceships would speedily be renewed, and fresh ones made as well.

Ctesiphon did not at first go to his lodgings; having met his slave at the place of debarcation, he had dispatched him home, to await his arrival. He himself directed his steps to the Lyceion, where he reckoned on meeting a great number of his friends, preparing themselves by gymnastics and the bath for the approaching hour of repast. Charicles accompanied him on his road. The house of his father's friend, to whose good offices he was indebted for his return, and to whom he was now going to pay his respects, abutted, as he understood, on the Itonian gate, near the Olympieon, so that the Phalerian road, which diverged to the right from the Long Walls, was no bad way for him to the city.

How happy he felt at seeing the stream of the Ilissus, sacred to the Muses, which, though not deep, came coursing along, so pure and transparent in its hollow bed! 'Oh! let us put off our sandals,' said he to his friend, 'and lave our feet in the cool water as we walk along the stream[9]. I have often done so as a boy, when my pedagogue let me stroll out beyond the Palæstra. Not far from hence is the place where, as the legend goes, Oreithyia was ravished by Boreas; a sweetly pretty spot in sooth, and worthy to have been the play-ground of the royal

[8] This was the common method of attracting the attention of one with whom a person wished to speak. Plato, *de Repub.* i. p. 327, also speaking of the Piræus: καί μου ὄπισθεν ὁ παῖς λαβόμενος τοῦ ἱματίου, κ.τ.λ. So also *ib.* p. 449: ὁ δὲ Πολέμαρχος... ἐκτείνας τὴν χεῖρα καὶ λαβόμενος τοῦ ἱματίου ἄνωθεν αὐτοῦ παρὰ τὸν ὦμον. Plaut. *Epid.* i. 1, 1: 'Quis properantem me prehendit pallio?' And Appul. *Met.* ii. p. 120: 'a tergo arripens eum lacinia prehendit.'

[9] Plato, *Phædr.* p. 229. The value of such pictures is much enhanced by their extreme rarity.

maid[10]. Look where that big platanus yonder rears its leafy head high above its fellows; that was ever my favourite spot. That noble tree with wide-spread arms, and round it the shady bushes of agnus castus, whose blossoms fill the air with fragrant odours; the lovely spring of freshest water that runs in front of the platanus; the cool quivering of the air so peculiar to the place; the summer-song of the cicadæ chanting in innumerous choirs; and above all, the tall luxuriant grass, affording so soft a couch to those who seek repose:—every thing, in short, unites to render the spot the loveliest retreat that can be imagined[11].'

---

[10] Plato, *ibid.*

[11] We should hardly credit that so sentimental a picture of this lovely spot belonged to the antique; but these are in fact the very words which Plato puts into the mouth of Socrates; *ibid.* 230: Νὴ τὴν Ἥραν, καλή γε ἡ καταγωγή. ἥ τε γὰρ πλάτανος αὕτη μάλα ἀμφιλαφής τε καὶ ὑψηλή, τοῦ τε ἄγνου τὸ ὕψος καὶ τὸ σύσκιον πάγκαλον καὶ ὡς ἀκμὴν ἔχει τῆς ἀνθης, ὡς ἂν εὐωδέστατον παρέχοι τὸν τόπον. ἥ γε αὖ πηγὴ χαριεστάτη ὑπὸ τῆς πλατάνου ῥεῖ μάλα ψυχροῦ ὕδατος, ὥς γε τῷ ποδὶ τεκμήρασθαι. νυμφῶν τέ τινων καὶ Ἀχελώου ἱερὸν ἀπὸ τῶν κορῶν τε καὶ ἀγαλμάτων ἔοικεν εἶναι. εἰ δ' αὖ βούλει τὸ εὔπνουν τοῦ τόπου ὡς ἀγαπητόν τε καὶ σφόδρα ἡδύ. θερινόν τε καὶ λιγυρὸν ὑπηχεῖ τῷ τεττίγων χορῷ. πάντων δὲ κομψότατον τὸ τῆς πόας, ὅτι ἐν ἠρέμα προσάντει ἱκανὴ πέφυκε κατακλινέντι τὴν κεφαλὴν παγκάλως ἔχειν. No doubt it is true, as Müller (*Handb. d. Archäol.* p. 445) observes, that the Greek mind was not much addicted to the romantic contemplation of nature; and certainly no author of the better age has even attempted to portray a landscape; and this well agrees with the utter neglect of landscape-painting, which was never attempted till a very recent period, and then never rose to mediocrity. The Greeks wanted that deep and warm perception of the charms of inanimate nature which is so universal in our time; and it is clear that Plato's enthusiasm for natural scenery was looked on as strange and uncommon by the ancients. Hence the above passage is frequently alluded to; even by Strabo, ix. 1, 24; and the matter-of-fact Plutarch seems to have not liked it all. *Amat.* 1: ἄφελε τοῦ λόγου τὸ νῦν ἔχον ἐποποιῶν τε λειμῶνας καὶ σκιὰς, καὶ ἅμα κιττοῦ τε καὶ λάκκων διαδρομὰς, καὶ ὕσα ἄλλα τοιούτων τόπων ἐπιλαβόμενοι γλίχονται τὸν Πλάτωνος Ἰλισσὸν καὶ τὸν ἄγνον ἐκεῖνον, καὶ τὴν ἠρέμα προσάντη πόαν πεφυκυῖαν, προθυμότερον ἢ κάλλιον ἐπιγράφεσθαι. Among the few other passages betraying a more genial sense of the delights of nature may be mentioned *Nubes*, 1005, quoted *infra*, note 13; and Sophoc. *Œd. Col.* 16. See also

'Strange man,' said Ctesiphon; 'why, you speak as if I were a stranger to whom you must describe the beauties of the place. Do you suppose that all this is not as well known to me as to you, and that I have never set foot beyond the city-walls[12]?'

'Pardon me,' said the youth. 'My father early accustomed me to derive innocent pleasure from the joys which nature offers: to revel in the spring-tide in the odour of the blossoms, in the silver-dashed leaves of the poplar, in the whispering of the elms and platanus[13]. The recollection of the blissful hours that I whiled away in such-like joys, and beneath yon platanus, made me forget that my description was unneeded by you. And yet,' he added, 'there are many people, who the live-long year do nothing but jostle about in the throng of human beings, and have no sympathy for all these beauties, or rather have no notion that they exist.'

Engaged in conversation such as this, they reached the neighbourhood of the Itonian gate, where Charicles parted from his friend, in search of Phorion's house, while Ctesiphon pursued his way to the Gymnasium. They had agreed to meet next morning in the market-place, by the tables of the money-changers, whither Charicles was called by his own pecuniary affairs.

The house of Phorion lay in an out of the way place, not far from the city-wall; its outside looked as gloomy and uninviting as the owner himself was by common re-

---

Humboldt's *Cosmos*, Vol. ii. § 1. It is absurd to suppose, as some have done, that Plato was ridiculing the line, Hom. *Il.* ii. 307:

καλῇ ὑπὸ πλατανίστῳ, ὅθεν ῥέεν ἀγλαὸν ὕδωρ.

[12] The answer of Phædros, in Plato, *ibid.*

[13] Cf. the Parænesis of the Δίκαιος λόγος. Aristoph. *Nub.* 1005:

ἀλλ' εἰς 'Ακαδημίαν κατιὼν ὑπὸ ταῖς μορίαις ἀποθρέξεις,
στεφανωσάμενος καλάμῳ λευκῷ μετὰ σώφρονος ἡλικιώτου,
σμίλακος ὄζων καὶ ἀπραγμοσύνης, καὶ λεύκης φυλλοβολούσης,
ἥρος ἐν ὥρᾳ χαίρων, ὑπόταν πλάτανος πτελέᾳ ψιθυρίζῃ.

port described to be. Charicles had already learnt from his friend that this man was generally supposed to be very rich, but at the same time inordinately stingy. From the account that had reached him of his moody disposition and eccentricities, he did not look forward to an over friendly reception; still he remembered that Phorion was formerly an intimate friend of his father, and that it was he who had now—if not personally, at all events indirectly, and by a considerable pecuniary sacrifice[14]—obtained permission for him to return from exile. And above all, a common friend, in Syracuse, of Phorion and his father, had given him letters containing the strongest recommendations[15]; so that there could not possibly be any one in Athens whom he had such cogent reasons for visiting.

In a shop near the gate stood an aged crone, of whom Charicles enquired if she could shew him the house of Phorion[16]. 'To be sure I can,' she replied, 'he lives hard by. D'ye see the windows yonder, overlooking the gate, and the house-door, beside which the two Hermæ stand. That's his house. But if you are going to visit him as a guest, I would advise you first to look after some supper for yourself, and fodder for your horse[17].' 'Why so?' said

---

[14] That a free use of the purse was the successful method of procedure in such cases, appears from Xenoph. *de Repub. Athen.* 3, 3: λέγουσι δέ τινες, ἢν τις ἀργύριον ἔχων προσίῃ πρὸς βουλὴν ἢ δῆμον, χρηματιεῖται. ἐγὼ δὲ τούτοις ὁμολογήσαιμ' ἄν, ἀπὸ χρημάτων πολλὰ διαπράττεσθαι Ἀθήνῃσι.

[15] Such letters of introduction were not uncommon. We have an instance in the seventh letter of Isocrates, p. 607, which contains such a recommendation. Αὐτοκράτωρ γὰρ ὁ τὰ γράμματα φέρων οἰκείως ἡμῖν ἔχει... διὰ δὴ ταῦτα πάντα βουλοίμην ἄν σε καλῶς αὐτῷ χρήσασθαι καὶ συμφερόντως ἀμφοτέροις ἡμῖν, καὶ γενέσθαι φανερὸν, ὅτι μέρος τι καὶ δι' ἐμὲ γίγνεται τῶν δεόντων αὐτῷ. Cf. Lucian, *Asin.* 1: γράμματα δὲ αὐτῷ ἐκόμιζον οἰκοθεν, ὥστε οἰκῆσαι παρ' αὐτῷ.

[16] After Appul. *Met.* i. 65.

[17] Lucius gives the same advice, Lucian, *Asin.* 3. It was not thought necessary that the host should provide his stranger-guest with board as well as lodging, though he usually sent him presents of provisions, ξένια. Vitruv. vi. 7, 4: 'Nam cum fuerunt Græci delicatiores et fortuna opulentiores hospitibus advenientibus in-

Charicles, not loth perhaps to glean some particulars concerning the character of the man. 'Isn't Phorion rich?' 'Rich enough, I believe ye,' said the woman; 'but not so rich as he is stingy; and besides he'll hardly admit an Athenian to his house, much less a foreigner. But there are reasons for that.' 'And what are they?' asked Charicles curiously. 'Because,' said she, 'he possesses the Hermes-wand[18], and is all day seeking for hidden treasures by spells and divination. But it is easy to see from his looks, that riches so gotten bring him no good[19], for with all his treasures, he leads a wretched life. His children are dead, and he scarcely dares put his head out of doors by day, and at night, they say, he skulks about the house guarding his buried hoard, with his eye on the party-wall that separates his house from the next, for fear of burglary, and is so timorsome that the slightest noise frightens him out of his wits, and he even takes the pillars of his house for thieves[20].' 'But,' said Charicles, 'I fancy having heard that Phorion was not once in such ill odour?'

---

struebant triclinia, cubicula, cum penu cellas, primoque die ad cœnam invitabant, postero mittebant pullos, ova, olera, poma, reliquasque res agrestes.' These presents were also sent by other acquaintances, besides the host. Appul. *Met.* ii. p. 15.

[18] Manifold miracles were ascribed to the Hermes-wand, a magic rod, whose virtues found acceptance with many. *τοῦτ' ἔστι τὸ τοῦ Ἑρμοῦ ῥαβδίον· οὗ θέλεις, φησὶν, ἅψαι, καὶ χρυσοῦν ἔσται.* Arrian, *Epict. Diss.* iii. 20. Cf. Cic. *Off.* i. 44.

[19] The belief implied in the proverb, 'Ill-gotten goods never prosper,' prevailed also among the ancients. This prohibited wealth included hidden treasure taken up by one not a descendant of the person who buried it. So Plato, *Leg.* xi. 913: *ἃ μὴ κατέθου μὴ ἀνέλῃ.* Heliod. *Æthiop.* v. 5: *τουτῶν συγκειμένων ἀνεδύοντο τοῦ σπηλαίου κειμηλίων μὲν πλλων τῶν ἐναποκειμένων οὐδενὸς θιγόντες· τὸν γὰρ ἀπὸ σύλων πλοῦτον βέβηλον ἐδοκίμαζον.*

[20] Lucian, in his humorous piece, *Somnium seu Gallus*, 29, makes Micyllos enter the abode of Simon, a rich miser, by night, when he is sleeplessly guarding his treasures. Simon says, *δέδια γοῦν, μή τις ὑπορύξας τὸν τοῖχον ὑφέληται ταῦτα.....ἅπασαν περίειμι διαναστὰς ἐν κύκλῳ τὴν οἰκίαν·...τίς οὗτος; ὁρῶ σέ γε, ὦ τοιχωρύχε, μὰ Δία· ἐπεὶ κίων γε ὢν τυγχάνεις, εὖ ἔχει.* Cf. Molière's *L'Avare*, Act. iv. sc. 7: 'Qui est-ce? Arrête. Rends moi mon argent, coquin.—Ah c'est moi.'

'Stingy, he ever was,' rejoined the crone, 'but it is only for about the last five years that he has been as he now is. 'Twas then he bought the house of a burgher, who had to leave the town, and in this, so folks say, he found a great treasure, hidden under a statue of Hermes that stood in the court-yard[21], and since then he has never ceased grubbing for new treasures.' These words manifestly disturbed Charicles. Five years! just so long ago had his father's house been sold, and such a statue stood actually in the court. Could Phorion be the possessor of the mansion, and really have become master of the wealth which had perhaps been hidden by some ancestor of the family? He thanked the woman, and hastened to become acquainted with Phorion, who now assumed a much greater importance in his eyes.

The portrait drawn of him by the crone, contained that usual quantum of exaggeration with which the lower orders talk of the faults of those who chance to be in better circumstances than their neighbours. Moreover, Phorion certainly gave cause sufficient for such reports, for though rich, he lived in a house, large enough, it is true, but of excessively shabby exterior; and though he had hundreds of slaves, who worked for him as handy-craftsmen, chiefly in the mines, he kept but a single male domestic, who, together with a cross-grained porter, and a solitary maid, completed his household[22]. He was never seen abroad but on business, either going to the tables of the money-changers, or into the bazaars of the Piræus, or to the courts of justice. He frequented none of the customary places of amusement and resort, but stopped at home with locked doors, within which visitors could rarely obtain admission[23]. An elderly man, who lived with him, was

---

[21] Lucian, *Navig.* 20: *ἀνορωρύχθω θησαυρὸς ὑπὸ τὸν Ἑρμῆν τὸν λίθινον, ὃς ἐστιν ἡμῖν ἐν τῇ αὐλῇ, μέδιμνοι χίλιοι ἐπισήμου χρυσοῦ.*

[22] Lucian, *Asin.* 1, says of Hipparchos, *ὅτι μίαν θεράπαιναν τρέφοι.*

[23] Taken entirely from Plutarch's sketch of Nicias: *οὔτε συνεδείπνει*

his only companion, and generally received the persons who desired speech with Phorion, excuse being made for the master of the house on the plea of pressing engagements, which prevented his appearance[24]. This man, according to popular belief, served Phorion as soothsayer at his frequent sacrifices, to direct him where to seek for hidden treasure, or it might be, only to discover the most advantageous spots for sinking mines[25]. But, besides this, he passed for a man of profound learning, to whose care Phorion committed his well-stocked library, and his collection of choice works of art and of curiosities of all sorts; for in such matters he was quite a connoisseur. The library was for those times considerable enough. In it were to be found not only the writings of the most renowned poets from Homer downwards, of whose works there were several copies[26],—which, judging from their colour, and their wormeaten state, were of great antiquity—but also the compositions of the philosophers, orators, and historians. Artemidoros, so he was called, had bestowed much pains in obtaining fine and accurate copies, and if possible, the autograph manuscripts of the authors themselves; and he had really succeeded in getting from a frankincense-dealer some comedies of Anaxandrides, which the composer, not having obtained the price he demanded, had

---

τινὶ τῶν πολιτῶν, οὔτε κοινολογίαις, οὔτε συνδιημερεύσεσιν ἐνέβαλλεν ἑαυτὸν, οὐδ' ὅλως ἐσχόλαζε ταῖς τοιαύταις διατριβαῖς...· εἰ δὲ μηδὲν ἐν κοινῷ πράττειν ἔχοι, δυσπρόσοδος ἦν καὶ δυσέντευκτος, οἰκουρῶν καὶ κατακεκλεισμένος. Nic. 5.

[24] Plutarch, *ibid.*: *Οἱ δὲ φίλοι τοῖς ἐπὶ ταῖς θύραις φοιτῶσιν ἐνετύγχανον καὶ παρῃτοῦντο συγγνώμην ἔχειν, ὡς καὶ τότε Νικίου πρὸς δημοσίας χρείας τινὰς καὶ ἀσχολίας ὄντος.*

[25] See Plutarch, *ibid.* 4. Augury was often employed to discover hidden hoards. *Aves*, 598:

τοὺς θησαυροὺς τ' αὐτοῖς δείξους, οὓς οἱ πρότεροι κατέθεντο
τῶν ἀργυρωμ· οὗτοι γὰρ ἴσασι. λέγουσι δέ τοι τάδε πάντες·
Οὐδεὶς οἶδεν τὸν θησαυρὸν τὸν ἐμὸν, πλὴν εἴ τις ἀρ' ὄρνις.

[26] Lucian, *adv. Indoct.* 7, shews that people were not content with one copy of an author only: *ἐπεὶ δὲ ἐν τοῖς ἄλλοις καὶ τὸν Ὅμηρον ἑπρίω πολλάκις.* See Excursus on *Bookselling and Libraries.*

destined for waste-paper[27]. Doubtless the good Phorion was occasionally imposed upon, and had some dearly-priced copy palmed off on him as a genuine autograph. Who could say whether those tragedies of Sophocles, this history of Herodotus, were from the authors' own hand; or whether those mysteriously treasured-up strips were the remnants of the condemned writings of Protagoras, saved from the flames[28]; and those eight rolls! were they one of the copies which Demosthenes had made of the history of Thucydides, or were they all still in the possession of the rapid orator?

Equally valuable, in its way, was the collection of curious works of art, and of historic *souvenirs*. Among other things were to be seen the tablets of Æschylus, rescued from the unhallowed hands of Dionysios[29]: the stick with which Antisthenes was supposed to have menaced old Diogenes, with similar curiosities. Beside these lay marvellous specimens of patience and ingenuity: tiny

---

[27] Chamæleon, ap. Athen. ix. p. 374: Πικρὸς δ' ὢν τὸ ἦθος (Ἀναξανδρίδης) ἐποίει τι τοιοῦτον περὶ τὰς κωμῳδίας. ὅτε γάρ μὴ νικῴη, λαμβάνων ἔδωκεν εἰς τὸν λιβανωτὸν κατατεμεῖν καὶ οὐ μετεσκεύαζεν ὥσπερ οἱ πολλοί.

[28] This was the first instance of the confiscation and public burning of a book, on account of its doubting the existence of the gods. Diog. Laert. ix. 52: καὶ τὰ βιβλία αὐτοῦ κατέκαυσαν ἐν τῇ ἀγορᾷ, ὑπὸ κήρυκα ἀναδεξάμενοι παρ' ἑκάστου τῶν κεκτημένων.

[29] Lucian, *adv. Indoct.* 15, relates of Dionysios the younger: Οὗτος τοίνυν πυθόμενος, ὡς ἐγγελᾶται (τραγῳδίαν ποιήσας), τὸ Αἰσχύλου πυξίον, εἰς ὃ ἐκεῖνος ἔγραφε, σὺν πολλῇ σπουδῇ κτησάμενος αὐτὸ ᾤετο ἔνθεος ἔσεσθαι καὶ κάτοχος ἐκ τοῦ πυξίου. So also Neanthos had obtained by a bribe the putative lyre of Orpheus, preserved in the isle of Lesbos (*ib.* § 13). He also mentions the prices which other relics of the kind had fetched: Καί τί σοι τὸν Ὀρφέα ἢ τὸν Νέανθον λέγω, ὅπου καὶ καθ' ἡμᾶς αὐτοὺς ἐγένετό τις καὶ ἔτι ἐστίν, οἶμαι, ὃς τὸν Ἐπικτήτου λύχνον τοῦ Στωικοῦ κεραμεοῦν ὄντα τρισχιλίων δραχμῶν ἐπρίατο;...Χθὲς δὲ καὶ πρώην ἄλλος τις τὴν Πρωτέως τοῦ Κυνικοῦ βακτηρίαν, ἣν καταθέμενος ἥλατο εἰς τὸ πῦρ, ταλάντου κἀκεῖνος ἐπρίατο. Doubtless in Lucian's time this *penchant* was more frequent than in Alexander's; but when the Tegeates shewed as valuable κειμήλια the skin of the Calydonian boar, and the Thebans the bones of Geryon, it may well be conjectured that at an earlier date private persons indulged in such tastes.

little works in ivory, among which was a chariot and four which a fly might cover with its wings; while an ant, the size of life, and a sesame-corn, on which, in golden letters, two lines of Homer were inscribed, attracted particular attention[30]. Delicate objects of wax-work appeared to be the owner's especial hobby; for in these the collection was richest; the fruits of numerous kinds, in form and colour closely imitating nature, were wonderful efforts of art[31]. On things like these Phorion lavished considerable sums, whereas in other respects his mode of life was simple in the extreme, indeed so much so, that he was accounted miserly by those who were not aware how often he por-

[30] These *μικρὰ ἔργα* of the artists Callicrates and Myrmecides are repeatedly mentioned. See Sillig. *Catal. Artif.* Their precise date is unknown, though they probably flourished toward the end of the Alexandrian era.

[31] For some account of the ancient wax-work, consult Böttiger's *Sabina.* His error of supposing wax-work chaplets to be alluded to in Martial, has been pointed out in *Gallus*, p. 363. That the art of working in wax (*κηροπλαστικὴ*, Poll. vii. 165), was extensively practised, is beyond dispute. Thus a Cupid modelled in wax is mentioned by Anacreon (x. 1), and the term *κηροπλάστης* occurs in Plato, *Timæus*, p. 74, and *κηροτέχνης* in Anacr. v. 9. Cf. Cic. *Verr.* iv. 13, *fingere e cera.* Fruit, and the like, was often imitated (Diog. Laert. vii. 177), for instance pomegranates (Arrian, *Diss. Epict.* iv. 5), or apples (Athen. vii. p. 254; Lamprid. *Heliog.* 25). But that the art was universal, and indispensable on account of the symbolic festival of Adonis, as Böttiger supposes, will be difficult to prove. He and Corsini are wrong in placing that festival between winter and spring, as they conjecture from Plutarch, *Nic.* 13. The departure of the fleet, there mentioned, did not take place till summer, as appears from Thucyd. vi. 30: *θέρους μεσοῦντος ἤδε ἡ ἀναγωγὴ ἐγίγνετο.* And this tallies with Plato, *Phædr.* 276: *πότερα σπουδῇ ἂν θέρους εἰς Ἀδώνιδος κήπους ἀρῶν χαίροι.* So also Theophr. *Hist. Plant.* vi. 7: *ἐν ὀστράκοις δέ, ὥσπερ οἱ Ἀδώνιδος κῆποι, σπείρεται τοῦ θέρους.* The season of the festival being summer, and not the end of winter, the imaginary necessity for the use of wax-fruits entirely disappears, though waxen images of Adonis may have been used. That these were employed seems probable from Plutarch, *supra: καὶ προὔκειτο πολλαχόθι τῆς πόλεως εἴδωλα καὶ ταφαὶ περὶ αὐτά.* Lastly, the *Xenia* of Martial are groundlessly supposed by Böttiger to have been wax-fruits; if the poet really intended artificial fruits, they were most likely of clay. Cf. Plin. xxxv. 12. 45; and Petron. 69: 'Mirabar, inquam, nisi omnia ista sunt de strunto (*sic*), aut certe de luto: vidi Romæ Saturnalibus ejusmodi cænarum imaginem.' Cf. Mart. xiv. 182:

Ebrius hæc fecit terris, puto, monstra Prometheus,
Saturnalitio lusit et ipse *luto.*

tioned the daughters of poor burghers, or remitted the payment of money lent to persons in distress.

Charicles had arrived at the door of the house, and knocked pretty sharply with the copper ring[32]. It was

---

[32] Although the house-door was not locked in the day-time, still nobody thought of entering without previously tapping, or otherwise announcing himself, and waiting for permission to enter. Plutarch, *Cimon*, 17: *καὶ γὰρ θύραν κόψαντας ἀλλοτρίαν, οὐκ εἰσιέναι πρότερον, ἢ τὸν κύριον κελεῦσαι. De Curios.* 3: *Καίτοι μὴ κόψαντά γε θύραν εἰς οἰκίαν ἀλλοτρίαν οὐ νομίζεται παρελθεῖν.* Xenoph. *Symp.* 1, 11: *Φίλιππος δ' ὁ γελωτοποιὸς κρούσας τὴν θύραν εἶπε τῷ ὑπακούσαντι εἰσαγγεῖλαι ὅστις τε εἴη.* The usual method was to tap, except among the Spartans, who called out. Plutarch, *Inst. Lac.* 31: *ἔθος ἦν αὐτοῖς μηδὲ κόπτειν τὰς αὐλείους θύρας, ἀλλ' ἔξωθεν βοᾶν.* See also Eurip. *Phœn.* 1067; *Iphig. in Taur.* 1267; and Plautus, *passim.* The expression for tapping is *κόπτειν*, though the Attic writers, Xenophon for instance, sometimes use *κρούειν*, but hardly *κροτεῖν*, which the grammarians pronounce unattic. The word *ψοφεῖν* was used of the noise made on opening the door to go out. Lucian, *Solœc.* 9: *καὶ εἴ τίς γε νῦν ψοφοίη τὴν θύραν εἰσιών, ἢ ἐξιὼν κόπτοι, τί φήσομέν σε πεπονθέναι;* The generally-received explanation of the two last-mentioned words, and which has been adopted from the old grammarians, namely, that one was used of knocking outside, and the other inside, is very strange, and disagrees with what is known of the construction of the doors. So Suidas: *Κόπτω· ἐπὶ τῶν ἔξωθεν τὴν θύραν κρουόντων τὸ κόπτειν λέγεται· ἐπὶ δὲ τῶν ἔσωθεν ψοφεῖν. ἱκανῶς δὲ διέστειλε τοῦτο Μένανδρος ἐπὶ μὲν τῶν ἔξωθεν λέγων· κόψω τὴν θύραν, ἐπὶ δὲ τῶν ἔσωθεν, ἀλλ' ἐψόφηκε τὴν θύραν τις ἐξιών.* Cf. Helladius, *Chrest.* p. 25. He informs us that the doors anciently opened outwards, and that a person tapped before going out for fear of jostling any one without: *ἔξωθεν γὰρ αὐτὰς ἀνατρέποντες ἐνδυθεν ἐξῄεσαν. πρότερον δὲ τῇ χειρὶ ψόφον ἐποίουν κρούοντες ἐπὶ τῷ γνῶναι τοὺς ἐπὶ τῶν θυρῶν καὶ φυλάξασθαι, μὴ πλήγεὶς ἐστὼς λάθῃ τῶν θυρῶν ὠθουμένων ἄφνω.* This explanation, like so many others, appears merely to have been invented to explain an obscure phrase. The word seems never used to denote an intentional knocking; thus we either have *ἡ θύρα ψοφεῖ*, or *ψοφεῖ τις ἐξιών*, or thirdly, *ψοφεῖ τις τὴν θύραν*. Now, as is shewn in the Excursus on *The House*, for the door to open outwards, was an exceptional case, and this would invalidate the generality of the explanation of Helladius. Again, the phrase often occurs where an intentional noise would by all means have been avoided; thus when the lover creeps out at night, in Lysias, *de cæde Erat.* p. 20, we read: *ὅτι ἐν ἐκείνῃ τῇ νυκτὶ ἐψόφει ἡ μέταυλος θύρα καὶ ἡ αὐλειος.* So also Heliod. *Æthiop.* i. 17. So that we must conclude that the grammarians laid down this distinction without any immediate knowledge of the matter; so even Plutarch, *Popl.* 20: *τὰς δὲ Ἑλληνικὰς (θύρας) πρότερον οὕτως ἔχειν ἁπάσας λέγουσιν (ἐκτὸς ἀνοιγομένας), ἀπὸ τῶν κωμῳδιῶν λαμβάνοντες, ὅτι κόπτουσι καὶ ψοφοῦσι τὰς αὐτῶν θύρας ἔσωθεν οἱ προιέναι μέλ-*

some time before the porter came and pushed back the bolt. And even then, he only opened the door a little, and seeing the youth in travelling costume, said grumpily, 'What d'ye want? He's engaged.' With this he again closed the door. Charicles knocked a second time, but the slave, as he secured the fastenings inside, cried out, 'Don't you hear? he's not at liberty[33].' 'But, my good man,' importuned the youth, 'just tell your master that it is Charicles, the son of Charinos, with letters for him from Syracuse.' The slave went growling away. At last however he returned, unfastened the door, and said in somewhat more friendly tones, 'Master will see you.'

Phorion had just reclined, together with Artemidoros, to his frugal meal. He did not rise from the small table, on the entrance of Charicles, but offered his hand and saluted him warmly. The youth presented his credentials, which the other opened, having first carefully scrutinized the seal. 'You had no need of these recommendations,' said he, when he had read them. "I had hoped to have seen your father again within these walls, but I learnt some days ago that his ashes repose in a foreign soil. His son is however not the less welcome on that account. You must content yourself with temporary quarters here, till you have rendered your father's house habitable.' 'My

---

λοντες. The reason why κόπτει is always used of the person entering, and ψοφεῖ of the person going out, is, that the first must knock to be let in, while the latter is only audible from the noise incidental to his opening the door to go out. This noise ψόφος, is even made by those who enter after having knocked. Thus Plato, *Symp.* p. 212: καὶ ἐξαίφνης τὴν αὐλειον θύραν κρουομένην πολὺν ψόφον παρασχεῖν· and Lucian, *Dial. Mer.* xii.: ἔκοψα δ' οὖν, ἀλλ' ἐπάρας ἡρέμα τὴν θύραν... παραγαγὼν τὸν στροφέα παρῆλθον ἀψοφητί. Metal rings were fixed on the door to tap with, as in Homer, the κορῶναι. They were named ῥόπτρα, also κόρακες. See Harpocr. ῥόπτρον: and Posidippos, quoted by Pollux, x. 22: κόρακι κρούεθ' ἡ θύρα. They were also called ἐπισπαστῆρες, or ἐπίσπαστρα, because they also served to pull the door to from without. Lucian, *Amor.* 16; Herod. vi. 91. In Plutarch's time they appear to have become unusual. *De Curios.* 2: ἀλλὰ νῦν μέν εἰσι θυρωροὶ, πάλαι δὲ ῥόπτρα κρουόμενα πρὸς ταῖς θύραις αἴσθησιν παρεῖχεν.

[33] Plato, *Protag.* p. 314.

father's house?' said the youth, astonished. 'You suppose it is sold, don't you?' replied Phorion. 'Quite right; and the precipitation of the banker, whom your father in the haste of his flight, charged with the sale, nearly prevented me from preserving for you the home of your fathers, and the shrine of your household gods[34]. I heard, only just in time, that it was advertised for sale. I bought it; it has been uninhabited ever since; and I will restore it to you to-morrow, if you do not think the forty minæ it cost me too high a price.'

Charicles was overwhelmed with surprise and delight. 'Was this the language of such a man as Ctesiphon and the woman had described?' A suspicion certainly did flash across his mind, that he had purchased it for the sake of the treasure; but if Phorion's intentions were really dishonest, what could hinder him from continuing in possession of the mansion, which was perhaps of double the value mentioned? He therefore thanked the old man with warmth, and expressed his willingness to repay the forty minæ next day.

'Let my slave now conduct you to your apartment,' said Phorion, and thence into the bath, that you may come back and share our homely fare.'

The apartment destined for the guest was in a smaller house abutting on the main building, with an entrance through the party-wall, and consequently, it afforded the convenience of retirement and quiet, without fear of interruption. Charicles, however, only purposed to stay here till his own house could be made ready for his reception. With earliest morn he sprang from his couch, impatient beyond measure to see once more the dear scenes of his happy childhood. Phorion had expressed a wish to accompany him. Charicles during the evening became more and more convinced that though the man's character was full of eccentricities, it in no way justified the unwarrant-

[34] See Excursus on *The Grecian House*.

able reports in circulation about him. Still he was unable to rid himself entirely of his suspicions about the treasure. While conversing about his father's house, he could not suppress a query concerning the statue of Hermes; Phorion responded with ill-concealed confusion, and a smile flitted across the solemn lips of Artemidoros. Was it possible that the fellow was content with his rich booty, and now intended playing the magnanimous? He was still sunk in cogitations like these, when his host's servant brought him bread and wine for breakfast, and announced that his master was ready to go out. Just dipping a few slices of bread into the wine[35], Charicles hurried away with Manes to join Phorion, who was already on the threshold, and behind him a slave, bearing a sealed casket[36]. There was something mysterious about the man to-day, he spoke in monosyllables, and kept looking round at the slave, as if fearing to lose him.

It was still very early, but the streets were already full of busy passengers;—men, who wished to catch their friends at home, before they went out[37]—boys, who were on their way to school or the gymnasium, attended by their pedagogues—women and female slaves who were up betimes to fetch water from the Enneacrynos[38]—country-folks bringing their productions to market[39]—chapmen of

---

[35] This was the usual first breakfast, taken directly after rising, called *ἀκράτισμα*. See Excursus on *The Meals*.

[36] The slave in attendance carried the money his master required. So Theophr. *Char.* 23, where the braggart, who pretends he wants to buy a carpet for two talents, scolds his slave for not having brought the money.

[37] Such visits were made in the earliest hours of the morning. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 11, 14: Ἐγὼ τοίνυν ἀνίστασθαι μὲν ἐξ εὐνῆς εἴθισμαι, ἠνίκα ἔτι ἔνδυν καταλαμβάνοιμι, εἴ τινα δεόμενος ἰδεῖν τυγχάνοιμι. See also Plato, *Protag.* 311, where Hippocrates calls Socrates before day-break, in order to pay Protagoras a visit: μήπω, ὦ 'γαθὲ, ἐκεῖσε ἴωμεν. πρωὶ γάρ ἐστιν· ἀλλὰ δεῦρο ἐξαναστῶμεν εἰς τὴν αὐλήν, καὶ περιιόντες αὐτοῦ διατρίψωμεν, ἕως ἂν φῶς γένηται· εἶτα ἴωμεν.

[38] Aristoph. *Lys.* 327. See Excursus on *The Women*.

[39] Plutarch, *Arat.* 8. See Excursus on *The Markets and Commerce*.

all descriptions, preparing for the avocations of the day. In short, all was life and bustle the moment day broke.

The two now rounded a corner of the Tripod-street, and in a few moments Charicles stood before the well-known house, and greeted the tutelar deities who guarded the entrance. The Agyieus, formed in the antique fashion, like a cone, stood still unscathed; the laurel, spreading its branches around, was also there, healthy and green as of yore, and *vis à vis* was the head of a Hermes, decked by some passer-by with a chaplet and ribands, and which seemed to cast a benign look of welcome on the exile returned. Phorion, with his three-toothed key[40], opened the door, whose creaking hinges told plainly that they were unaccustomed to revolve. Charicles strode with a sad kind of joy into the hall of the deserted mansion. The cell of the porter was empty; rusty lay the chain of the watchful dog; in the colonnades round the court, and in the open porticos of the andronitis, swallows had built their nests, and busy spiders wove their gossamer meshes about the capitals of the pillars. Green moss had begun to cover the passage-floors, and the vacant space was overgrown with rank grass. Here stood the statue of the god, who was reported to have guarded the hidden treasures; but the pedestal, which supported it, seemed to the youth altered. Formerly, he thought, it sank a little deeper into the ground on one side, but now it appeared to stand level. He went nearer, to convince himself of the fact; a change had evidently been made, for the red veins in the stone which used to face the entrance were now on the opposite side.

Phorion had marked his astonishment, and apparently guessed what thoughts were passing in his bosom. With friendly earnestness he drew nigh and grasped the youth's hand[41]. 'Have you too, perchance, heard the report, that

---

[40] See *Gallus*, p. 283: *clavis Laconica*.

[41] Seizing the hand was considered, even in Homer's time, as a token of great friendship and familiarity: ἓν τ'

the god kept watch over hoards concealed beneath his feet?' Charicles replied by an embarrassed silence. 'The report did not lie,' continued Phorion. 'When I purchased this mansion, hoping to restore it to your father at some time or other, I perceived that the base of the statue was leaning to one side, and its fall was imminent. I caused it to be removed, and discovered underneath a pot containing two thousand staters of the purest gold. Thus,' said he, as he took the heavy casket from the slave, 'thus I restore thee the sum, which some forefather of thy family buried, in the hope, no doubt, that it would be found by some one of his descendants.'

Surprise and shame prevented Charicles from replying. 'Full well I know,' proceeded Phorion, 'what's the talk of the town; but heaven forefend that I should put finger on monies that never belonged to ancestor of mine. Never will I pray the gods to disclose to me such treasures, nor will I have ought to do with the soothsayers, who would advise me to take up what has been entrusted to the lap of earth; for could the riches so gained ever compare in worth with the cheerful consciousness of integrity, and of nobility of soul? Could I prize wealth before the peace of mind resulting from honesty[42]?' 'Excellent man!' exclaimed Charicles, tears of emotion standing in his eyes, 'how like a deity do you appear to me! You recall me to my native land, you install me in my paternal abode, which I had given up for lost, and deliver to me faith-

---

ἄρα οἱ φῦ χειρί. Cf. Antiph. *de Choreut.* p. 785. It was a *captatio benevolentiæ*, as *prensare* was with the Romans. Xenoph. *de Repub. Athen.* i. 18: καὶ ἀντιβολῆσαι ἀναγκάζεται ἐν τοῖς δικαστηρίοις καὶ εἰσιόντος τοῦ ἐπιλαμβάνεσθαι τῆς χειρός.

[42] A translation of the beautiful passage in Plato, *Leg.* xi. 913. So, 'What should it profit a man though he gain the whole world and lose his own soul?' The above is not the only passage in a profane writer, breathing forth ideas of morality, which are often thought peculiar to Christianity. Cf. Plaut. *Trin.* i. 2, and v. 2, 4.

Si quid amicum erga bene feci, aut consului fideliter,
Non videor meruisse laudem: culpa caruisse arbitror.
Nam beneficium, homini quod datur proprium, pro suo sumpserit:
Quod datum utendum est, id repetundi copia est, quando velis.

fully the riches that were hidden in the darkness of the earth.' 'May the gods grant you to inhabit the house with more luck than your father!' said the old man. 'Now go and look about you, and give orders for its restoration. Should you want my counsel or help, come to me; but not a word of what has passed between us.' Thus saying, he squeezed the youth's hand, and withdrew with the slave.

Charicles stood for a long time, as if in a dream, before the image of the god, at whose feet he had deposited the sealed casket: full of admiration of the noble-minded man, and of shame for his own suspicions, and on the other hand full of joy, at having not only recovered his father's mansion, but also increased his property so materially. At last he awoke from his reverie, and commenced a survey of the house. Passing through the middle door, he entered the apartments of the women. Here was his mother's parlour; there the saloon, where by the light of the lamps he had played, amidst the circle of females, at the feet of his nurse, or had listened to her tales. Deep melancholy seized him, at the desolation that reigned around, and at finding himself alone in the spacious chambers. He determined to purchase some slaves, and other necessary appliances, without loss of time. Moreover it was now time to go to the market, to find Ctesiphon, and the banker to whom he was recommended; he therefore gave the casket of gold to Manes, and bid him follow him.

SCENE THE FOURTH.

THE TRAPEZITÆ.

THE market-place was filling fast when Charicles entered it. Traders had set up their wattled stalls all over it, with their goods exposed on tables and benches. Here, the female bakers had piled up their round-shaped loaves and cakes, and were pursuing with a torrent of scolding and abuse the unlucky wight who happened, in passing by, to upset one of their pyramids[1]. There, simmered the kettles of the women, who sold boiled peas and other vegetables[2]; in the crockery-market, hard by, the pot-men were descanting on the goodness of their wares. A little way off, in the myrtle-market, chaplets and fillets were to be sold, and many a comely flower-weaver received orders for garlands, to be delivered by her in the evening[3]. All the wants of the day, from barley-groats up to the choicest fish, from garlick to the incense of the gods; clear pure oil, and the most exquisite ointments; fresh-made cheese, and the sweet honey of the bees of Hy-

[1] Philocleon when drunk, offends in this manner, though he gets out of the scrape pretty easily. Aristoph. *Vesp.* 1389. These ladies, however, had, on occasion, a perfect Billingsgate vocabulary at command. *Ranæ*, 857: λοιδορεῖσθαι ὥσπερ ἀρτοπώλιδας.

[2] As at Rome inferior articles of diet, as *tepidum cicer*, *tomacula*, &c. were sold hot to the lower classes (*Gallus*, p. 465, &c.) so it was also at Athens. See *Lysist.* 560, where Phylarchos buys λέκιθον, pease-porridge, παρὰ γραός. See Excursus on *The Markets and Commerce*. The sausage-dealers also sat in the market. *Equit.* 1246.

[3] Chaplets were either sold ready-made, in the market, or orders were given for them there, for the *symposia*. Plutarch, *Arat.* 6: καὶ μετὰ μικρὸν ἐωρᾶτο τῶν οἰκετῶν αὐτοῦ δι' ἀγορᾶς ὁ μὲν στεφάνους φέρων, ὁ δὲ λαμπάδας ὠνούμενος, ὁ δὲ τοῖς εἰθισμένοις παρὰ πότον ψάλλειν καὶ αὐλεῖν γυναίοις διαλεγόμενος. See Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 458; and *Anthol. Pal.* v.:

Ἡ τὰ ῥόδα, ῥοδόεσσαν ἔχεις χάριν· ἀλλὰ τί πωλεῖς;
σαυτὴν ἢ τὰ ῥόδα, ἢ συναμφότερα;

mettus; cooks ready to be hired; slaves, male and female, to be sold,—each and all were to be found at their customary stands. There were others, who went about crying their wares, while every now and then a public crier crossed the ground, announcing with stentorian voice the arrival of some goods to be sold, or the sale of a house, or perhaps a reward for the apprehension of a robber or a runaway slave[4]. Slaves of both sexes, as well as free-men, kept walking up and down, bargaining, and inspecting the stalls, in search of their daily requirements. Some too lingered, longer than seemed necessary, near a pretty shop-woman; or approached some fruiterer's basket, and commenced a friendly chat, under cover of which, while some person was buying, or having a drachma changed, they would pilfer the fruit[5].

The fish-market bell was just ringing as a signal that the hour of business had arrived, and forthwith all streamed in that direction, to lose no time in completing this all-important purchase. The way to the money-changers led Charicles directly across this part of the market. And it was truly amusing to behold how the eager buyers tried all their arts of persuasion, to move the hard-hearted dealers, who stuck doggedly to their prices. 'What's the price of these two pike, if I take the pair?' asked a greedy *gourmand* in his hearing. 'Ten oboles,' answered the fishmonger, scarce deigning to look up. 'That's too much,' said the other. 'You'll let me have them for eight, I'm sure?' 'Yes, one of them,' was the reply. 'Nonsense,' said the would-be purchaser; 'come, here are eight oboles.' 'I told you the price, sir; and if you don't like it, you can go elsewhere[6],' said the

[4] On the public criers, see note 4, Sc. XI.

[5] Theophr. *Char.* 11: πληθυούσης τῆς ἀγορᾶς προσελθὼν πρὸς τὰ κάρυα, ἢ τὰ μύρτα, ἢ τὰ ἀκρόδρυα, ἐστηκὼς τραγηματίζεσθαι ἅμα τῷ πωλοῦντι προσλαλῶν. So Lysistratos gets change for a drachma in the fish-market; Aristoph. *Vesp.* 788:

...δραχμὴν μετ' ἐμοῦ πρώην λαβὼν
ἐλθὼν διεκερμάτιζεν ἐν τοῖς ἰχθύσι.

[6] After Alexis, ap. Athen. vi. p. 224. The passage is quoted in the Excursus on *The Markets and Commerce*.

inexorable dealer, with the most perfect nonchalance. Such scenes as this were of frequent occurrence; and Charicles would have liked to witness more of them, but that Manes was with him, bearing the important casket.

In the arcade occupied by the *trapezitæ*, he encountered Ctesiphon, walking to and fro, and waiting for him. How delighted he would have been to communicate his good fortune to his friend, but the strange old man had straitly charged him not to mention it. Of course, the fact of his having repurchased his paternal abode could not be kept secret; moreover, he felt it incumbent on him to undeceive Ctesiphon, who shared in the common opinion with regard to Phorion. 'It is incomprehensible,' he remarked, in conclusion, 'how a person, who is uprightness and magnanimity itself, could ever have obtained the reputation of being a miser and usurer.'

'So goes the world,' said Ctesiphon. 'The many judge by external appearances, and thus the rogues are accounted patterns of virtue, whilst the motives of the upright man are misconstrued. When you met me, I was just indulging in a somewhat similar contemplation. Look yonder, under the portico, at that vinegar-faced man[7] with a long beard, who goes sneaking along by the wall, unshod, aping the Spartan fashion with his sorry cloak[8], and seeming not to notice the bustle around him.

---

[7] Βλέπειν νᾶπυ, κάρδαμα, or ὀρίγανον, said of sour-looking persons. *Equites*, 631:

κἄβλεψε νᾶπυ καὶ τὰ μέτωπ' ἀνέσπασε.

It is said also of an earnest and solemn aspect. Eustath. *ad Iliad.* xvi. 200: ἐκ τούτων δὲ παρενεχθέντες ἄλλοι ἔγραψαν ἐπὶ τοιούτων ἀνδρῶν εὐτελέστερον τὸ βλέπειν αὐτοὺς νᾶπυ, ἢ ὀρίγανον, ἤγουν ὀριμεῖς εἶναι. *Vesp.* 453:

...ἵν' εἰδῇθ' οἷον ἐστ' ἀνδρῶν τρόπος
ὀξυθύμων καὶ δικαίων καὶ βλεπόντων κάρδαμα.

[8] When Athens, after the Peloponnesian war, grew more effeminate and luxurious, certain persons affected to imitate the simplicity of Spartan manners and costume, in contradistinction to Athenian habits; this was called λακωνίζειν, and the men Λακωνισταί. But like the imitators of Wallenstein, in Schiller's drama, they confined themselves solely to externals. Plato, *Protag.* p. 342: καὶ οἱ μὲν ὦτά τε κατάγνυνται μιμούμενοι αὐτούς, καὶ ἱμάντας περιειλίττονται, καὶ φιλογυμναστοῦσι, καὶ βραχείας ἀναβολὰς φοροῦσιν, ὡς δὴ τούτοις κρατοῦντας τῶν Ἑλλήνων τοὺς Λακεδαιμονίους.

Would not any one take him for a model of manly earnestness, and pristine simplicity? Yet, when he meets his associates by night in their haunt, I assure you that there is no possible abomination in which they do not indulge[9]. Generally, indeed,' he continued, 'any one who wishes to know mankind will find it very instructive to walk up and down here, and make his observations. Look at that man advancing towards us, followed by three slaves. He looks proudly straight before him on the ground, to escape saluting any one; his robe reaches to his very ankles, and more than one ring adorns his fingers; he talks loudly with his slaves of silver goblets, drinking horns, and bowls, so that the passers-by may hear, and puffs himself out, till the city seems almost too small for him[10]. Now, who do you think he is? A fellow of the meanest extraction, who has lately emerged from extreme indigence to great riches, and is now seen nowhere but in the arcade of the trapezitæ. Not contented with his name, he has lengthened it by two syllables, and instead of Simon, calls himself Simonides[11],

---

Plutarch, *Phoc.* 10: Ἦν δέ τις Ἀρχιβιάδης, ἐπικαλούμενος Λακωνιστής, πώγωνά τε καθειμένος ὑπερφυῆ μεγέθει καὶ τρίβωνα φορῶν ἀεὶ καὶ σκυθρωπάζων. Cf. Demosth. *in Con.* p. 1267.

[9] Demosth. *ibid.*: ἐπειδὰν δὲ συλλεγῶσι καὶ μετ' ἀλλήλων γένωνται, κακῶν καὶ αἰσχρῶν οὐδὲν ἐλλείπουσι.

[10] The sketches here given derive their sole value from being literally taken from the Greek classic authors. It is interesting to notice such similarity between a Greek ὑπερηφανεία ἐπίφθονος and νεόπλουτος, and an upstart *parvenu* of the present day. The purse-proud inflation of these fellows, who are well characterised by Suidas under the proverb γενναῖοι ἐκ βαλαντίου, is graphically pourtrayed by other writers also. Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 565: καὶ τρεῖς ἀκολούθους ἢ τέτταρας αὐτὸς ἔχων διὰ τῆς ἀγορᾶς σοβεῖ, κυμβία καὶ ῥυτὰ καὶ φιάλας ὑνομάζων οὕτως, ὥστε τοὺς παριόντας ἀκούειν. And again, *ibid.* p. 579: ἡ πόλις αὐτὸν οὐ χωρεῖ. And Theoph. *Char.* 24: (ὑπερηφάνου) ἐν ταῖς ὁδοῖς πορευόμενος μὴ λαλεῖν τοῖς ἐντυγχάνουσι κάτω κεκυφώς. Cf. *De Falsa Leg.* 442; *Adv. Pantæn.* 981; Aristoph. *Eccl.* 631, and *Nub.* 362. The instance in the text is from Lucian, *Somn. s. Gall.* 14. Cf. Idem, *Quom. Hist. conscrib.* 20: ἐοίκασιν οἰκέτῃ νεοπλούτῳ, ἄρτι τοῦ δεσπότου κληρονομήσαντι, ὃς οὐδὲ τὴν ἐσθῆτα οἶδεν ὡς χρὴ περιβάλλεσθαι, οὐδὲ δειπνῆσαι κατὰ νόμον, κ.τ.λ. Most likely Plato had a real instance in view, when he mentions the slave grown rich, who wishes to marry his master's daughter. *De Repub.* vi. p. 495.

[11] Lucian, *Somn. s. Gall.* 14. The description of Simon suddenly ad-

just as if this made him a different man. Not long ago I saw him in sordid garments, carrying home somebody's marketing, for a trifling consideration; at present, he would be vastly indignant, if a badly dressed person presumed to address him[12]. Just cast your eyes to the right, on the haggard man in the fish-market, with black matted hair, who sidles about, not buying anything himself, but watching everybody else; he is a most dangerous sycophant, and glides about the market like a scorpion, with his venomous sting all ready, spying out whom he may surprise with misfortune and ruin, and from whom he can most easily extort money, by threatening him with an action dangerous in its consequences. You won't see him speak or associate with any one, but, as the painters encompass the shades of the wicked in Hades with the terrific phantoms of cursing and slander, of envy, discord, and strife, so also are his attendants. It is the very bane of our city, that it cherishes and protects this poisonous brood, and uses them as informers, so that even the honest man must flatter and court them, in order to be safe from their machinations[13].'

---

vanced to wealth: *ἐναγχος γοῦν ἐγὼ μὲν ἰδὼν προσιόντα, Χαῖρε, ἔφην, ὦ Σίμων. ὁ δὲ ἀγανακτήσας, Εἶπατε, ἔφη, τῷ πτωχῷ, μὴ κατασμικρύνειν μου τοὔνομα· οὐ γὰρ Σίμων, ἀλλὰ Σιμωνίδης ὀνομάζομαι.*

[12] The line of demarcation between the different classes of society, was by no means so distinctly drawn among the Greeks, as it is in our days. Mechanics were to be seen in company with persons of higher station. Lysias, *de Inval.* 743, and the fragment of Plutarch, *de Anim.*: *Ὁ δὲ Νικανδᾶς ἦν σκυτοτόμος, ἄλλως δὲ τῶν ἐν παλαίστραις γεγονότων καὶ πολλοῖς συνήθης καὶ γνώριμος.* Some, however, were foolish enough to be ashamed of knowing an inferior, and the poor man perhaps did not like to come near his betters, when in his shabby habiliments. Lucian, *Somn. seu Gall.* 9: *καὶ ἐγὼ μὲν προσειπὼν αὐτὸν, ὥσπερ εἰώθειν, δεσπότην ἀπηλλαττόμην, ὡς μὴ καταισχύναιμι αὐτὸν, ἐν πενιχρῷ τῷ τρίβωνι συμπαρομαρτῶν.*

[13] The description of the sycophant is from Demosth. *in Aristog.* p. 786: *πορεύεται διὰ τῆς ἀγορᾶς, ὥσπερ ὄφις ἢ σκορπίος, ἠρκὼς τὸ κέντρον, ἄττων δεῦρο κἀκεῖσε, σκοπῶν τίνι ξυμφορὰν, ἢ βλασφημίαν, κακόν τι προστριψάμενος καὶ καταστήσας εἰς φόβον ἀργύριον πράξεται· οὐδὲ προσφοιτᾷ πρός τι τούτων τῶν ἐν τῇ πόλει κουρείων ἢ μυροπωλείων.....μεθ' ὧν δ' οἱ ζωγρά-*

'It is no doubt a great reproach to Athens,' said Charicles; 'but do you know what is more strange to my eye than all the persons you have pointed out? Those striplings there, lounging about among the unguent-girls. Only look how coxcombically and affectedly they strut about, as loose as if their necks were broken; how they sprawl out their hands for the purpose of gently titillating their heads with the tips of their fingers, or of carefully arranging their hair, the blackness of which was most likely purchased in the market here. To me, nothing is more nauseous than a young man with such a woman's

---

φοι τοὺς ἀσεβεῖς γράφουσιν ἐν Ἅιδου, μετὰ τούτων, μετ' ἀρᾶς καὶ βλασφημίας, καὶ φθόνου, καὶ στάσεως καὶ νείκους περιέρχεται. This fearful nuisance of sycophancy, (on the etymology of the word, see Plut. *Sol.* 24, and Athen. iii. p. 74,) inseparable as it was from a democracy like that of Athens, demands a few words here. The Athenians coincided with Cicero's opinion respecting Rome (*pro Rosc. Amer.* 20): 'Accusatores multos esse in civitate utile est, ut metu contineatur audacia.' The state desired to have them, and rewarded their services, at least indirectly. Consequently there were persons who ostensibly obtained a livelihood as hired informers, but whose chief gains were derived from the hush-money they extorted. Demosth. *in Neær.* 1359: οὐ γάρ πω ἦν ῥήτωρ, ἀλλ' ἔτι συκοφάντης τῶν παραβοώντων παρὰ τὸ βῆμα καὶ γραφομένων μισθοῦ καὶ φαινόντων, καὶ ἐπιγραφομένων ταῖς ἀλλοτρίαις γνώμαις, κ. τ. λ., and *ib.* p. 1358: οὐ γὰρ ἦν αὐτῷ ἄλλη πρόσοδος, ὅτι μὴ συκοφαντήσας τι λάβοι. If their charge was proved to be calumnious they were liable to punishment, but the trade of συκοφαντεῖν μισθοῦ, was, at least in later times, hardly forbidden by law. Isocr. *de Perm.* 497: ὑμεῖς δὲ τοσοῦτον ἀπέχετε τοῦ κολάζειν αὐτούς, ὥστε τούτοις χρῆσθε καὶ κατηγόροις καὶ νομοθέταις περὶ τῶν ἄλλων. The indulgence granted to this nefarious brood is quite in keeping with Æschin. *in Timarch.* 45, where, among other rights of which the ἡταιρηκὼς was deprived, is mentioned μηδὲ συκοφαντείτω μισθωθείς. This is not, as some suppose, a mere malicious extension of the law, by Æschines. Naturally enough, the rich and distinguished were most exposed to the chicanery of these people, and many a one was compelled to purchase their forbearance, in order to avoid being the victim of their accusations; for however groundless might be the charge, the issue was always doubtful. Xenoph. *Symp.* 4, 30: Ἐγὼ τοίνυν ἐν τῇδε τῇ πόλει, ὅτε μὲν πλούσιος ἦν πρῶτον μὲν ἐφοβούμην, μή τίς μου τὴν οἰκίαν διορύξας καὶ τὰ χρήματα λάβοι καὶ αὐτόν τί τε κακὸν ἐργάσαιτο· ἔπειτα δὲ καὶ τοὺς συκοφάντας ἐθεράπευον, εἰδὼς, ὅτι παθεῖν μᾶλλον κακῶς ἱκανὸς εἶην ἢ ποιῆσαι ἐκείνους. See *Memor.* ii. 9, where Crito, by Socrates' advice, takes a sycophant into his pay to countermine another who was badgering him. Cf. Aristoph. *Acharn.* 817; *Equit.* 260.

face, and delicate voice, and all reeking with perfume, and holding in his hand, ten to one, a bouquet, or odoriferous fruit[14]. What an utter difference there is between life, as here seen in the forum, and the description my father gave me of it as taken from his younger years, when such mere boys, as those yonder, avoided the market-place entirely, or, if their path obliged them to go that way, hurried across it with shame and blushes[15].'

'Those days are long gone by,' said Ctesiphon: 'we are young men too, and, notwithstanding, we are here in the market-place.'

'Yes, but not without pressing reasons,' retorted Charicles; 'and you remind me just in time, that I have to call on Diotimos and Lycon, the trapezitæ. I wish you would accompany me. My business is of such a nature that it cannot be transacted without witnesses[16]; you are more experienced than I, so your counsel may stand me in good stead. These money-changers are not always the most honest people imaginable, being apt to lead the inexperienced by the nose[17], with their promises and subterfuges.' Ctesiphon willingly acceded to his friend's request.

---

[14] The main features of this picture of Attic dandyism are from Lucian, *Rhet. præc.* 11: *πάγκαλον ἄνδρα, διασεσαλευμένον τὸ βάδισμα, ἐπικεκλασμένον τὸν αὐχένα, γυναικεῖον τὸ βλέμμα, μελιχρὸν τὸ φώνημα, μύρων ἀποπνέοντα, τῷ δακτύλῳ ἄκρῳ τὴν κεφαλὴν κνώμενον.* But as early as the time of Aristophanes, such coxcombs figured in the market:

τὰ μειράκια ταυτὶ λέγω, τὰν τῷ μύρῳ
ἃ στωμυλεῖται τοιαδὶ καθήμενα κ.τ.λ.

Equit. 1372; and again, *Vesp.* 687:

ὅταν εἰσελθὼν μειράκιόν σοι κατάπυγον,
Χαιρέου υἱὸς,
ὦδὶ διαβὰς, διακινηθεὶς τῷ σώματι καὶ τρυφερανθείς.

That it was by no means uncommon to carry flowers or fruits in the hand, is clear from Athen. xii. p. 553: *διὰ τί μετὰ χεῖρας ἄνθη καὶ μῆλα καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα φέρομεν;*

[15] See Excursus on *Education*.

[16] Generally, no witnesses were present at the transactions at the bankers' tables: *τὰ μὲν γὰρ συμβόλαια τὰ πρὸς τοὺς ἐπὶ ταῖς τραπέζαις ἄνευ μαρτύρων γίγνεται.* Isocr. *Trapez.* p. 515. This was not because such a security was looked upon as useless, but because it might produce more harm than good, from letting others into the secret of the business transacted.

[17] This homely phrase would not

The trapezitæ, at whose hands Charicles had to receive the greatest part of his patrimony, were very different individuals. Diotimos, a man now advanced in years, bore, universally, a reputation for the highest integrity. Not only had he been Charinos' banker, but also his friend and confidant. When the latter, through dread of the accusation, resolved on leaving Athens, he charged his trusty and well-approved banker with the sale of his house, his slaves, and chattels, and at the same time, commissioned him to call in all his moneys out at interest[18]. No inconsiderable amount must still be in his hands, and Charicles now went to ask for it.

Diotimos was just engaged in paying a sum of money to a man, apparently a foreigner. Upon the table, from which he swept up the coin, after having found it right, lay a slip of paper, being his bond for the amount. 'You have received from me the sum, in ready cash, and all correct,' said the banker; 'and you leave nothing for it but a small piece of paper, that perhaps cost you two chalcûs. But remember that the law is on my side, and will defend my right[19].' The man asseverated his wish to fulfil all the terms of the contract, and then departed. Diotimos then reached his ledger, wrote a few words in it, deposited the paper in a box containing several others, and then turned

---

have been introduced, had it not been a Greek proverb. Ῥινᾶν, in a fragment of Menander is, perhaps, not from ῥὶς, but from ῥίνη, a file (so in Latin, *deruncinare*); though the old grammarians derive it from the first. Cf. a fragment of Pherecrates, ap. Clem. Alex. *Strom.* vii. p. 847. But the phrase, ῥινὸς ἕλκειν occurs frequently in Lucian, *Deor. Dial.* vi. 3; *Hermot.* 73: καὶ διὰ τοῦτο εἵλκεν ὑμᾶς τῆς ῥινός: and *Philops.* 23. ἀπὸ ῥινὸς ἑλκειν also occurs.

[18] Leocrates was in a similar plight when he fled to Megara. Lycurg. *in Leocr.* p. 152: καὶ δεηθεὶς τοῦ κηδεστοῦ πρίασθαι παρ' αὐτοῦ τἀνδράποδα καὶ τὴν οἰκίαν ἀποδόσθαι ταλάντου· ἐπὶ τούτοις προσέταξε τοῖς τε χρήσταις ἀποδοῦναι τὰ ὀφειλόμενα καὶ τοὺς ἐράνους διενεγκεῖν, τὸ δὲ λοιπὸν αὐτῷ ἀποδοῦναι.

[19] After Demosth. *in Dionysod.* 1283: λαβὼν γὰρ ἀργύριον φανερὸν καὶ ὁμολογούμενον, ἐν γραμματιδίῳ δυοῖν χαλκοῖν ἐωνημένῳ καὶ βιβλιδίῳ μικρῷ πάνυ τὴν ὁμολογίαν καταλέλοιπε τοῦ ποιήσειν τὰ δίκαια.

to a second individual, who was waiting in company with a very common-looking personage. 'I have purchased,' said the first, 'from this man here, a slave for two minæ. By reference to my account-book I find there must be seven hundred drachmæ lying with you in my name. Pay the man his money[20].' The trapezites again looked in his book. 'In the main,' said he, 'you are right in your calculations; except that you forget the agio on three hundred and fifty Æginetan drachmæ which I paid to Paseas for the ivory you bought.' This the man could not dispute; the two minæ were paid, and the men went away.

Now for the first time, Diotimos regarded the young men, who had remained somewhat apart. 'Who are you?' he enquired of Charicles, who now stepped forward, 'and what do you want?' 'I am Charicles, the son of Charinos, and am returned from Syracuse. For my credentials, behold here my father's signet-ring, which is well known to you. I come, as his heir, to require back the money that still remains in your hands.' 'So Charinos is dead?' exclaimed the banker. 'We have placed his ashes in Sicilian earth,' said the youth, 'until his most faithful servant shall have brought them here, to deposit them in the tomb of his forefathers[21].' The old man covered his face and wept[22]. 'According to my father's will,' said Charicles after a while, when the other had become more composed, 'you must still have in your possession one talent and four thousand drachmæ, which in all probability I shall soon require.' 'It is not exactly as you say,' replied Diotimos;

---

[20] A private person did not usually keep much money by him, but made all his larger payments at the money-changer's table; he was said, χρῆσθαι τῇ τινος τραπέζῃ. Instances of this abound in the comic writers. A book was kept of current income and expenditure. Aristoph. *Nub.* 19:

ἄπτε, παῖ, λύχνον· κἄκφερε τὸ γραμματεῖον, ἵν' ἀναγνῷ λαβών, ὁπόσοις ὀφείλω, καὶ λογίσωμαι τοὺς τόκους.

Cf. Plaut. *Curc.* i. 2, 89:

Ibo intro atque intus subducam ratiunculam,
Quantillum argenti mihi apud trapezitam siet.

[21] See Excursus on *The Burials.*

[22] Isocr. *Trapez.* 521: ἐγκαλυψάμενος ἔκλαε.

'but certainly, your father could not possibly know that. It is only lately that three thousand drachmæ more were paid to me on his account; and, besides that, the capital has grown much larger by interest. You will have more than two talents and a half to receive from me.'

He then explained to the youth how he had, by degrees, sometimes with difficulty, and not till after some years, contrived to get in all the moneys which were owing to his father by foreign merchants. From one man, only, at Andros, he had obtained nothing, since he had not been to Athens for several years, and Diotimos himself was too old to undertake a sea-voyage. 'You will do best,' said he, 'to go thither yourself, unless you wish to leave the two thousand drachmæ in the lurch. Moreover,' he continued, 'your father, before the disaster which befell him, had ordered some statues, which he intended for the Acropolis. They are still at the artist's, in the street of the Sculptors. It is to be hoped you will act in the spirit of your father, and not withhold from the gods those honours which he had destined for them[23].'

Charicles thanked the worthy man for the fidelity with which he had transacted his father's concerns, and did not scruple to entrust to him the two thousand darics contained in the casket, until he had use for them. From thence he went, accompanied by Ctesiphon, to a second trapezites. This man was a stranger to him, and his business with him was of a singular nature.

---

[23] The peculiar imposts on the burghers of Athens, in the shape of λειτουργίαι, are well known. See Xenophon, *de Republ. Athen.* i. 13; and Böckh's *Publ. Econ.* p. 448-466. Then again, ἀναθήματα were usually made by victorious Choregi. But other burghers, of their own accord, dedicated statues of brass or marble, in the temples and on the Acropolis, perhaps only that in case of need they might be able to remind the state of these sacrifices in its behalf. Isæus, *de Dicæog. her.* p. 113: καὶ τούτων μαρτύρια ἐν τοῖς ἱεροῖς ἀναθήματα ἐκεῖνοι ἐκ τῶν περιόντων, μνημεῖα τῆς αὐτῶν ἀρετῆς, ἀνέθεσαν· τοῦτο μέν, ἐν Διονύσου τρίποδας, οὓς χορηγοῦντες καὶ νικῶντες ἔλαβον· τοῦτο δ' ἐν Πυθίου, ἔτι δ' ἐν ἀκροπόλει. ἃς ἀπαρχὰς τῶν ὄντων ἀναθέντες, πυλλοῖς, ὡς ἀπὸ ἰδίας κτήσεως, ἀγάλμασι χαλκοῖς καὶ λιθίνοις κεκοσμήκασι τὸ ἱερόν. Cf. *ibid.* p. 116, and Plato, *Leg.* xii. p. 956.

When he was purposing to leave Syracuse, the same friend who had recommended him to Phorion, proposed that he should leave the greater portion of his property in his hands, in return for which he would allow him to draw upon him to the same amount in Athens. 'What?' said he, 'will you expose all your substance to the dangers of a long sea-voyage, where storms, and pirates, not to mention the dishonesty of the sailors themselves, threaten you? I have three talents in Athens, at Lycon's the trapezites: leave me that sum here, and he shall pay it you again there[24].' Charicles had accepted the proposal, and had with him a letter from the Syracusan, ordering the banker to pay the bearer, and also containing the symbolon, which, by virtue of a previous understanding, was to serve as a credential to the person commissioned to receive the money. For greater security, Phorion was also referred to, as a guarantee of the person's identity, if Lycon required it.

Seated behind his table, Charicles found a gloomy man of an unhealthy shrivelled appearance. Beside him lay the scales, with which he had just weighed a lot of silver coins that had been paid him[25]. On the other side, his hand rested on a quantity of papers, apparently yellow with age. Before him he had a counting-table, being probably engaged in reckoning the interest due upon one of the bonds[26]. With some repugnance Charicles walked up

---

[24] See Isocr. *Trapez.* p. 526: *ἐγὼ γὰρ...μέλλοντος Στρατοκλέους εἰσπλεῖν εἰς τὸν Πόντον, βουλόμενος ἐκεῖθεν ὡς πλεῖστ' ἐκκομίσασθαι τῶν χρημάτων, ἐδεήθην Στρατοκλέους, τὸ μὲν αὐτοῦ χρυσίον ἐμοὶ καταλιπεῖν, ἐν δὲ τῷ Πόντῳ παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς τοὐμοῦ κομίσασθαι, νομίζων μεγάλα κερδαίνειν, εἰ κατὰ πλουν μὴ κινδυνεύοι τὰ χρήματα, ἄλλως τε καὶ Λακεδαιμονίων ἀρχόντων κατ' ἐκεῖνον τὸν χρόνον τῆς θαλάττης.*

[25] From their weighing the coin thus, the *trapezitæ* were contemptuously called *ὀβολοστάται*, and their business *ὀβολοστατική*. *Nubes*, 1155. And Aristot. *de Republ.* i. 10: *τῆς δὲ μεταβλητικῆς ψεγομένης δικαίως ...εὐλογώτατα μισεῖται ἡ ὀβολοστατική*. Lucian, *Necyom.* 2: *ἁρπάζουσιν, ἐπιορκοῦσι, τοκογλυφοῦσιν, ὀβολοστατοῦσιν.*

[26] Alciphr. *Epist.* i. 26: *Εἶτα*

to this man, and briefly explained his business. At the mention of the Syracusan, the trapezites contracted his brows more than ever. 'I did not know,' said he, 'that Sosthenes had so large a claim upon me. Has he forgotten that I have had to disburse eight hundred drachmæ for him, to the Heracleote? Look here at my book. What stands there? Sosthenes, son of Phormion, of Syracuse, has deposited two talents. Out of these, eight hundred drachmæ to be paid to Phrynion the Heracleote, who will be introduced by Epicrates the Piræan. You see there remain only four thousand drachmæ[27].' 'Quite right,' answered Charicles; 'Sosthenes also mentioned that to me; but in the month of Elaphebolion, on his return from Pontus, he paid you afresh two talents, and two thousand drachmæ; so that he wants three talents from you.' The trapezites was evidently confused, and tried to conceal it by the vehemence of his speech. 'What are you to me?' said he abusively. 'How do I know who you are? Any sycophant might come and demand money in another person's name.' 'You have not given me time,' said the youth, 'to present you my credentials. Here is the letter of Sosthenes. Do you know his seal?' 'It seems to be his signet,' said the money-changer sullenly. 'And here is the symbolon inside, which will doubtless be familiar to you.' 'Perhaps a forged one[28],' muttered the other, as he ill-humouredly opened the letter, and read it

---

καταλαμβάνω πρεσβύτην, ὀφθῆναι ῥικνὸν, συνεσπακότα τὰς ὀφρῦς, χαρτίδια ἀρχαῖά τινα, σαπρὰ δὲ διὰ τὸν χρόνον, ὑπὸ κύρεων καὶ σητῶν ἠμίβρωτα διὰ χειρὸς κατέχοντα. Cf. Lucian, *Tim.* 14.

[27] The single passage, which affords any insight into the method of book-keeping pursued by the bankers, is in Demosth. *adv. Callipp.* 1236: εἰώθασι δὲ πάντες οἱ τραπεζῖται, ὅταν τις ἀργύριον τιθεὶς ἰδιώτης ἀποδοῦναι προστάττῃ, πρῶτον τοῦ θέντος τοὔνομα γράφειν καὶ τὸ κεφάλαιον τοῦ ἀργυρίου, ἔπειτα παραγράφειν· τῷ δεῖνι ἀποδοῦναι δεῖ. καὶ ἐαν μὲν γιγνώσκωσι τὴν ὄψιν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου, ᾧ ἂν δέῃ ἀποδοῦναι, τοσοῦτον μόνον ποιεῖν, γράψαι, ᾧ δεῖ ἀποδοῦναι. ἐὰν δὲ μὴ γιγνώσκωσι, καὶ τούτου τοὔνομα προσπαραγράφειν, ὃς ἂν μέλλῃ συστήσειν καὶ δείξειν τὸν ἄνθρωπον, ὃς ἂν δέῃ κομίσασθαι τὸ ἀργύριον.

[28] See note 17, Scene I. p. 8.

half aloud. But when he came to Phorion's name, he became silent, and stared gloomily before him, as though meditating some way of escape. 'Lycon,' interrupted Ctesiphon at this juncture, 'don't be inventing any new tricks. It is still fresh in people's memories how, not long ago, you bubbled the Byzantine merchant, when he came to require the money deposited with you. The whole city knows how you got out of the way the only slave who was acquainted with the fact, and then, not only denied the claim, but also suborned witnesses to prove that your creditor had borrowed six talents of you[29]. The man, however, obtained his rights by the aid of Phorion, whose name now threatens you a second time; so take warning.'

The trapezites seemed desirous of giving an angry answer, but, suddenly, his eye became fixed on an object in the distance. In fact he saw Phorion himself, coming towards the money-changers' tables. 'Who wants to deny anything?' said he in embarrassment. 'But I have not got the sum at hand, nor, were I to go round to all the tables[30], could I find any one to lend me three talents. Come hither again on the morrow, Charicles, and I will take care that you shall have the money.' 'Very well; and I will bring Phorion along with me to dispel all doubts as to my identity.' 'Oh! there will be no need for that,' rejoined the money-changer hastily; 'the symbolon is right; you will receive the money.'

During these negotiations, noon had nearly arrived, and the market began to grow thinner and thinner. 'It's time that we breakfasted,' said Ctesiphon as they departed. 'Let us repair to one of the houses where young men are wont to assemble at this hour. You will be sure to meet with some of your early friends.'

---

[29] Pasion is accused of an exactly similar piece of villany. See Isocr. *Trapez.* 7.

[30] The bankers were often, doubtless, under the necessity of obtaining mutual credit. See Plaut. *Curc.* v. 3, 4.

SCENE THE FIFTH.

THE HABITS OF YOUTH.

THE house to which Ctesiphon conducted his friend, as being the nearest of the kind, was inhabited by a freed-man, named Discos, who derived no inconsiderable gains from the young persons who resorted to it[1]. Not a few of them congregated there daily, either to try their luck at the astragali or dice, or to see a fight between the cocks or the quails, of which Discos kept great numbers, or perhaps only to discuss the news of the day, the merits of the horses or dogs which they had purchased, the last citharistria that had been ravished, or the hetæræ lately come out. Not unfrequently too, several united in a symposion, each clubbing his share in the expense; and no one was a greater adept at humouring the tastes of the young people than Discos, whether with the excellence of his cookery, the goodness of his Chian wine, or the beauty of the flute-girls. These merry-makings did not always pass

[1] There is no lack of passages to shew that at this period there were places of this sort at Athens, where young gallants (*νέοι*) resorted to drink, dice, and so forth. Isocr. *Areop.* 18: *Τοιγαροῦν οὐκ ἐν τοῖς σκιραφείοις οἱ νεώτεροι διέτριβον, οὐδ' ἐν ταῖς αὐλητρίσιν, οὐδ' ἐν τοῖς τοιούτοις συλλόγοις, ἐν οἷς νῦν διημερεύουσιν.* The word *σύλλογοι*, here used, may mean either the company assembled, or the place where they came together. See Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 764; Æschin. *in Ctesiph.* p. 517; Lysias, *Olym.* p. 912; Aristot. *Probl.* xxix. 14: *ἐν τοῖς κοινοτάτοις συλλόγοις τε καὶ συνόδοις*, which may comprehend baths, palæstra, and the market-place; though the word *συλλέγεσθαι* is generally used in connexion with gaming and drinking. See Dem. *in Con.* 1267; and so Plautus (*Bacch.* i. 1, 147; *Trin.* ii. 2, 33) uses conciliabula as the equivalent of *σύλλογοι*. A place of the kind is mentioned, Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 78: *ἀλλὰ διημέρευσεν ἐν τῷ κυβείῳ, οὗ ἡ τηλία τίθεται καὶ τοὺς ἀλεκτρυόνας συμβάλλουσι, καὶ κυβεύουσι.* The houses where these symposia were held, belonged to freed-men and other people of low condition. Terent. *Eunuch.* iii. 5, 59: apud libertum Discum: and perhaps a meaning of this kind should be attached to Demosth. *in Con.* p. 1258: *ἔπινον γὰρ ἐνταῦθα.....παρὰ Παμφίλῳ τῷ κναφεῖ.*

off without rioting and violence, and it was but a few months since, that, in consequence of a dispute about a favourite boy, whom Discos protected, a mob of drunken fellows had broken into the house by night, smashed all the furniture, scattered the astragali and dice-boxes about the street, and killed the cocks and the quails. As for the owner, they tied him to a pillar, and so severely chastised him, that his cries alarmed the neighbours, who came running together from their beds to find the cause of the disturbance[2]. Nevertheless, Discos, by discreet management of his young guests, sometimes too, as it was reported, by the help of false dice, knew how to indemnify himself for such losses.

When Charicles and Ctesiphon entered, they encountered plenty of visitors. In one room a party of dice-players were sitting or standing, just in the very heat of a dispute as to whether a throw was good or not; in another chamber some persons, after indulging in a late breakfast, had already, thus early, sat down to a carouse, quite at variance with established usage[3], and were getting rid of the time, by playing at odd and even, rather for fun than gain; while others practised at spinning a coin placed upright on its rim, which they suddenly brought to a stand-still by putting their finger upon it[4]. In the court-yard were others engaged in animated discourse on

---

[2] We see from the comedians how liable to such maltreatment those were who lived by *καπηλεία, πορνοβοσκία*, and so forth. The incident in the text is borrowed from Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 82: *εἰσπηδήσαντες νύκτωρ εἰς τὴν οἰκίαν, οὗ ᾤκει ὁ Πιττάλακος, πρῶτον μὲν συνέτριβον τὰ σκευάρια καὶ διερρίπτουν εἰς τὴν ὁδὸν ἀστραγάλους τέ τινας διασείστους καὶ φιμοὺς, καὶ κυβευτικὰ ἕτερα ὄργανα· καὶ τοὺς ὄρτυγας καὶ τοὺς ἀλεκτρυόνας οὓς ἠγάπα ὁ τρισκακοδαίμων ἄνθρωπος, ἀπέκτειναν, τὸ δὲ τελευταῖον δήσαντες πρὸς τὸν κίονα αὐτὸν τὸν Πιττάλακον ἐμαστίγουν τὰς ἐξ ἀνθρώπων πληγὰς οὕτω πολὺν χρόνον, ὥστε καὶ τοὺς γείτονας αἰσθέσθαι τῆς κραυγῆς.*

[3] Demosth. *in Con.* p. 1257: *ἔπινον ἑκάστοτε οὗτοι τὴν ἡμέραν, ἐπειδὴ τάχιστα ἀριστήσειαν, ὅλην.*

[4] This game, often erroneously called *χαλκισμός*, is explained in the Excursus on *The Games*.

the qualities of two horses. The question at issue was, whether a coppa-stallion, lately purchased for twenty minæ by one of the disputants, was superior to the samphoras of the other[5]; and both of the owners contended with such

---

[5] On the pursuits and amusements of the young, see the Excursus on *Education*. One of the chief follies of the young gallants was the inordinate love of fine horses, for which they paid most ridiculously high prices. Xenoph. *de Off. Mag. Eq.* 1, 12: μανικαὶ ἱππώνειαι. Many were ruined by it. The breeds marked with the *koppa* and *san* (see Buttman's *Greek Gram.*) were called κοππατίας, and σαμφόρας. Aristoph. *Nubes*, 23, and 122, on the first of which passages the Scholiast remarks: κοππατίας ἵππους ἐκάλουν οἷς ἐγκεχάρακτο τὸ κόππα στοιχεῖον, ὡς σαμφόρας τοὺς ἐγκεχαραγμένους τὸ σάν. See also the Scholiast to Lucian, *adv. Indoct.* 5, (κοππαφόρας). The brand was on the buttock. Anacreon, 55: ἐν ἰσχίοις μὲν ἵπποι πῶρος χάραγμ' ἔχουσι. But there were other brands, καυστήρια, besides these two. So Strabo, v. 1, 9, speaking of an Italian breed, says καυστηρίασαί τε τὰς ἵππους λύκον καὶ κληθῆναι λυκοφόρους τάχει μᾶλλον ἢ κάλλει διαφερούσας· τοὺς δ' ἀπ' ἐκείνου διαδεξαμένους τό τε καυστήριον φυλάξει καὶ τοὔνομα τῷ γένει τῶν ἵππων. The Scholiast to Aristophanes states that the appellation βουκέφαλος had a similar origin; and on vases we see other marks, one, for instance, something like a wheel, and a serpent on the Pegasus. Tischbein. *Vas.* i. p. 1. We are told that the preference was given to mares, but on the vases mares are hardly ever represented, while stallions are not unfrequent. Gelding does not seem to have been common, as Strabo (vii. 4, 8) confines that operation to the Scythians and Sarmatians: ἴδιον δὲ τοῦ Σκυθικοῦ καὶ τοῦ Σαρματικοῦ παντὸς ἔθνους τὸ τοὺς ἵππους ἐκτέμνειν εὐπειθείας χάριν. The colour was quite as much a matter of taste and fashion as with us. So the ψαρὸς ἵππος, *Nub.* 1225. Horses of different colours appear to have been preferred for a four-in-hand. Eurip. *Iphig. Aul.* 218: τοὺς μέσσου ζυγίους λευκοστρίκτῳ τριχὶ βαλίους, τοὺς δ' ἔξω σειραφόρους.... πυῤῥότριχας. The prices were proportionably high. Strepsiades paid twelve minæ (nearly £50) for the koppa-stallion, *Nub.* 21; and in Lysias, *de Maled.* p. 307, a horse is pawned for the same sum. Isæus, *de Dicæog. her.* p. 116, names three *minæ* (about £12) as a low price. See Böckh's *Publ. Econ. of Athens*, p. 74. After horses came dogs, which also fetched high prices. Plutarch, *Alcib.* 9. See Xenoph. *Mem.* iii. 11, 7; *de Ven.* 3, for an account of the different breeds. Great care was bestowed on sporting dogs. Plato, *de Repub.* v. 459; Plut. *Amat.* 21; Xenoph. *de Ven.* 7. The most celebrated breeds were the Laconian, Molossian, and Cretan. Μελιταῖα κυνίδια, lap-dogs, were also kept; according to Strabo, they were of Sicilian origin; vi. 2: Πρόκειται δὲ τοῦ Παχύνου Μελίτη, ὁθεν τὰ κυνίδια, ἃ καλοῦσι Μελιταῖα· though Pliny, *N.H.* iii. 26, gives another account: 'Ab his Corcyra, Melæna cognominata...inter quam et Illyricum Melita, unde catulos Melitæos appellari Callimachus auctor est.' The absurd extreme to which this hobby was carried, appears from Lucian, *de Merc. Cond.* 34; and

vehemence for the honour of their steeds, that something serious might have been apprehended, had not another contest in the court arrested the attention of all.

Discos had repaired the loss of his cocks and quails; among the latter was one, that had hitherto been victor in every engagement, and by which he had already won more than a mina. This only the more excited the emulation of those who had been beaten, and at this very moment, a fresh bet had been made, and a slave was bringing the stand, whereon was marked the circle, within which the struggle was to be confined[6]. The youth who had made

---

this was the case even at an earlier period. Theophr. *Char.* 21: καὶ κυναρίου δὲ τελευτήσαντος αὐτῷ μνῆμα ποιῆσαι, καὶ στυλίδιον ποιήσας ἐπιγράψαι· Ὁ καλὸς Μελιταῖος. Next in order came cocks and quails, which were kept for fighting. On this subject see the following note. The passion for pigeons, which afterwards went to such lengths at Rome, also prevailed. The Σικελικαὶ περιστεραὶ were most prized. Theophr. *supra.* Other birds may probably have been kept; and Plato, *Theæt.* p. 197, mentions something like an aviary: ὥσπερ εἴ τις ὄρνιθας ἀγρίας, περιστερὰς ἢ τι ἄλλο, θηρεύσας οἴκοι κατασκευασάμενος περιστερεῶνα τρέφοι. Pheasants were a special article of luxury. See Aristoph. *Nub.* 108:

εἰ δοίης γ' ἐμοὶ
τοὺς Φασιανοὺς οὓς τρέφει Λεωγόρας.

About which the Scholiasts are divided as to whether horses or birds are meant, though the latter is the more probable; cf. Callixenos ap. Athen. ix. p. 387: εἶτα ἐφέροντο ἐν ἀγγείοις ψιττακοὶ καὶ ταὼς, καὶ μελεαγρίδες, καὶ Φασιανοὶ, καὶ ὄρνιθες Αἰθιοπικοὶ πλήθει πολλοί: and Ptolemæus Euerg. ap. Id. xiv. p. 654: Τά τε τῶν Φασιανῶν, οὓς τετάρυνς ὀνομάζουσιν, οὓς οὐ μόνον ἐκ Μηδίας μετεπέμπετο, ἀλλὰ καὶ νομάδας ὄρνιθας ὑποβαλὼν ἐποίησε πλῆθος, ὥστε καὶ σιτεῖσθαι. τὸ γὰρ βρῶμα πολυτελὲς ἀποφαίνουσιν. Pheasants are first mentioned as a dish in Athenæus, and Alciphr. iii. 7; though they had long been thus used at Rome. At a later period, we meet with birds that talk, and even pipe tunes. Philostr. *Vit. Apoll.* i. 7: ὥσπερ οἱ ὄρνιθες, ἃ μανθάνουσι παρὰ τῶν ἀνθρώπων. τὸ γὰρ χαῖρε, καὶ τὸ εὖ πράττε, καὶ τὸ Ζεὺς ἵλεως οἱ ὄρνιθες εὔχονται, οὐκ εἰδότες ὅ, τι λέγουσιν: and vi. 36: ἐδίδασκε δὲ αὐτοὺς λαλεῖν τε ὅσα οἱ ἄνθρωποι καὶ τερετίζειν ὅσα οἱ αὐλοί. Monkeys also were kept for amusement. Theophr. *Char.* 21; Plaut. *Mil.* ii. 2, 7.

[6] Cock- and quail-fighting was common throughout Greece. At Athens it was a political institution, and took place annually by law from the time of the Persian wars. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* ii. 28: Μετὰ τὴν κατὰ τῶν Περσῶν νίκην Ἀθηναῖοι νόμον ἔθεντο, ἀλεκτρυόνας ἀγωνίζεσθαι δημοσίᾳ ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ μιᾶς ἡμέρας τοῦ ἔτους. The exhibition of these pugnacious creatures was set up as an instructive example of bravery. See Lucian, *de Gymn.* 37: ἱρᾶν τὰ ὄρνεα

the match, confident of the courage of his bird, took the quail with much caution from under his left arm, and set it carefully in the ring. 'Who'll bet that it goes out of the ring,' cried he, 'plague it as you will?' Several accepted the challenge, but as often as the bird was touched with the finger, or seized by the feathers of the head, it made a spirited and successful defence against its assailant.

διαπυκτεύοντα μέχρι τῆς ἐσχάτης ἀπαγορεύσεως. According to Paus. ix. 22, 4, and Suidas, the cocks of Tanagra and Rhodes were specially noted as μάχιμοι or ἀθληταί, and to render them more pugnacious they had heating garlick given them beforehand. Thus we have ἐσκοροδισμένος μάχη, *Equit.* 494; on which see the explanation by the Scholiast; so also Xenoph. *Sympos.* 4, 9: ἔνιοι τοὺς ἀλεκτρυόνας σκόροδα στίσαντες συμβάλλουσι. They were also armed with an artificial spur, πλῆκτρον or κέντρον. Schol. to *Aves*, 759: Πλῆκτρα δέ εἰσιν ἔμβολα χαλκᾶ τὰ ἐμβαλλόμενα τοῖς πλήκτροις τῶν ἀλεκτρυόνων. Great attention was bestowed both upon them and upon the quails. Plato, *Leg.* viii. p. 789: λαβόντες ὑπὸ μάλης ἕκαστος τοὺς μὲν ἐλάττονας εἰς τὰς χεῖρας, μείζονς δ' ὑπὸ τὴν ἀγκάλην ἐντὸς, πορεύονται περιπατοῦντες σταδίους παμπόλλους ἕνεκα τῆς εὐεξίας, οὔτι τῆς τῶν αὐτῶν σωμάτων, ἀλλὰ τῆς τούτων τῶν θρεμμάτων. Contests of this kind are found represented in the inferior works of art. See Bracci, *Memor. d. Antichi Incis.* i. 10, 3. A victorious cock with a palm-branch, is depicted on a lamp. *Antich. d'Ercol.* viii. p. 67. Perhaps the passion for quail-fights was even greater. Cf. Aristot. *Hist. Anim.* ix. 9. See Athen. xi. p. 464, ὀρτυγομανία. The persons whose business it was to catch and tame them were called ορτυγοθῆραι and ὀρτυγοτρόφοι. Plato, *Euthyd.* p. 290; Poll. vii. 136. But besides contests with each other, a particular game was played with them, called ὀρτυγοκοπία. See Poll. ix. 107: ἔσθ' ὅτε δὲ ὁ μὲν ἵστη τὸν ὄρτυγα, ὁ δὲ ἔκοπτε τῷ λιχανῷ, ἢ τὰ ἐκ τῆς κεφαλῆς πτερὰ ἀπέτιλλε, καὶ εἰ μὲν ἐγκαρτερήσειεν ὁ ὄρτυξ, ἡ νίκη μετὰ τοῦ θρέψαντος αὐτὸν ἐγίνετο· ἐνδύντος δὲ καὶ ὑποφυγόντος ὁ κόπτων ἢ ὁ τίλλων ἐνίκα: and Schol. on Aristoph. *Av.* 1297, where, instead of ἐν πυρῷ read ἐν γύρῳ. So also Suidas. There was a frame or board (τηλία), and on this a ring was drawn, or else the board was of a circular shape with a raised rim, and within this the fights took place, as well as the ὀρτυγοκοπία. Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 78: ἀλλὰ διημέρευσεν ἐν τῷ κυβείῳ, οὗ ἡ τηλία τίθεται καὶ τοὺς ἀλεκτρυόνας συμβάλλουσι, καὶ κυβεύουσι. Pollux, *supra*: καὶ τηλία μὲν ὁμοία τῇ ῥητοπώλιδι κύκλον ἐμπεριγράψαντες ἐνίστασαν τοὺς ὄρτυγας ἐπὶ ταῖς μάχαις ταῖς πρὸς ἀλλήλους. ὁ δὲ ἀνατραπεὶς καὶ ἐκπεσὼν τοῦ κύκλου ἡττᾶτο αὐτός τε καὶ ὁ τοῦ ὄρτυγος δεσπότης. Schol. on Aristoph. *Plut.* 1037: καὶ δὴ καὶ ἰδίως ἐκαλεῖτο τηλία περίφραγμα σανίδων ἐν τῇ ἀγορᾷ, ἐν ᾧ ἄλφιτα ἐπιπράσκοντο. καὶ ὀρτυγοτρόφοι τοὺς ὄρτυγας συνέβαλλον ἐν τούτῳ. The stake was sometimes the quail, sometimes money. Pollux, *supra*: καὶ ποτὲ μὲν ἐπ' αὐτοῖς διετίθεντο τοῖς ὄρτυξι, ποτὲ δὲ καὶ ἐπ' ἀργυρίῳ.

Discos now brought his bird. 'Is it for the quails or for money?' enquired the youth. 'I should not lose my bird in any case,' replied Discos; 'but I never stake him.' 'Very well,' said the first, 'for fifty drachmæ then.' The tiny champions were set opposite, and had scarcely caught sight of each other, ere their feathers ruffled up, and they darted furiously at one another with outspread wings. Neither budged an inch. Often as the battle was renewed, each maintained his ground, or occupied his adversary's; and for some time the victory was doubtful. 'I'll bet another fifty against you, Discos!' exclaimed one of the by-standers, who were all watching the contest with passionate delight; but hardly were the words spoken, when Discos' bird, as if infuriated at the doubts about his valour, charged with redoubled impetuosity against his foe, who, stunned by the blow, flew, after a short resistance, far beyond the boundaries of the arena. 'Vanquished! vanquished!' cried a host of voices; while the owner of the beaten bird seized his champion with great expedition, and spoke loudly into his ear, in order, if possible, to efface from its recollection the cry of the victor[7], which was meanwhile overwhelmed with applause, and borne off by Discos in triumph.

Charicles and Ctesiphon, after finishing their breakfast, had joined the spectators, and the gamesters alone had taken no notice of what was passing. But now the din waxed louder and louder, and from words the company at play had proceeded to blows. The attacks of all seemed directed against an elderly man, apparently of humble condition, who, either by good luck, or foul play, had won all the money that had been staked, and was now in danger of seeing it wrested back from him by force. Patiently, as a Spartan at the altar of Orthia, did he endure the blows that were levelled at him from all sides; resolved to part with his life rather than his winnings, which he had partly

[7] Poll. ix. 109: τοὺς δὲ ἡττηθέντας ὀρτυγας ἐμβοήσαντες κατὰ τὸ οὖς αὐτοὺς ἐξιῶντο, λήθην ἀνεργαζόμενοι (ἐνεργαζόμενοι?) τῆς τοῦ νενικηκότος φωνῆς.

concealed in the folds of his chiton, and partly clutched in his hands, which were convulsively pressed together. But all his resistance was in vain; while some forcibly opened his hands, others tore his garment, and plundered him, at the same time thumping and beating him; till at last he fled from the house, amid a peal of laughter, with a black eye, and the clothes half torn from off his back[8]. 'It serves him right,' shouted some of those who had assembled in the court; 'why does he intrude himself into such company?' 'But won't he go and lodge a complaint?' enquired Charicles. 'What, for being drubbed at play?' said one; 'he'll not dream of such a thing.' 'But, have ye heard,' he continued, 'that Ctesippos was condemned yesterday?' 'To be sure,' replied a second; 'or rather his father; in a trifle of some two thousand drachmæ.'

'Which Ctesippos?' asked Charicles; while several to whom the circumstance was new, at the same time stepped forward. 'The son of Ctesias,' replied the first. 'You all know the jovial set of fellows, who from so often getting into rows, were yclept the *triballi*. Well, it's that Ctesippos.' 'And why was he convicted?' pursued Charicles. 'A joke, I assure ye, a mere joke,' was the answer, 'which might very well have been excused in young gallants, intoxicated at the time.' 'No, no,' said a third, 'it was past a joke. I have been accurately informed of the whole transaction, and was myself a witness to their disgraceful behaviour before the diætetæ. It would be a bad look out for the public security, if such conduct were to go unpunished.' 'Prythee, tell us then,' said Ctesiphon, 'how it was; who is the accuser, and what the crime?' 'There was a certain man of unexceptionable character,' replied the other, 'Aristophon by name[9], who some time ago,

[8] This tale is from Alciph. *Epist.* ii. 54: καὶ οἱ μὲν πὺξ ἔπαιον, οἱ δὲ διέσχιζον τὸ ἱμάτιον. ἐγὼ δὲ ἀπρὶξ εἰχόμην τῶν κερμάτων, ἀποθανεῖν πρότερον ἢ προέσθαι τι ἐκείνοις τῶν ἐμοὶ πεπορισμένων αἰρούμενος. κ.τ.λ.

[9] This is a fair sample of the goings on of a large section of the younger people. Other instances of

when out on a campaign, laid a complaint before the strategos against this Ctesippos for rudeness and indecorum, and caused him to be punished; ever since which, he has been pursued with rancorous hatred both by father and son. A short time back, he went out with a friend in the dusk of the evening for a stroll in the market-place, and there met Ctesippos half seas over. The latter, directly he saw him, croaked out some unintelligible threat or other, and then went towards Melite, where, as it afterwards turned out, his father and several friends had assembled for a debauch. To them he explained what an excellent occasion now offered itself for taking vengeance on Aristophon; and forthwith they all sallied out into the market-place. Meanwhile Aristophon had turned, and met them almost at the same spot. Two of them seized his companion and held him fast; while Ctesippos and his father, and a third man, fell upon Aristophon, tore off his clothes, threw him into the dirt, beat him, stamped upon him with their feet, and discharged at him a torrent of the lowest abuse. While he thus lay, all helpless, Ctesias placed himself before him, crowing like a cock after a victory, and flapping his arms against his body in the manner of wings[10]. They then made off, taking his clothes with them, and their victim was assisted from the ground by some passers-

violence occur, for example, the cases of Euergos and Meidias in Demosthenes, of Simon and Eratosthenes in Lysias, of Timarchos in Æschines; whence it appears that public security was at a discount, and that there was good cause for the frequently-expressed fears of λωποδυσία and τοιχωρυχία. The instance in the text is from Demosth. *in Con.* p. 1257, which has been translated word for word. The assigned penalty of two thousand drachmæ is quite in rule, for there is no doubt that damages could be obtained in a δίκη αἰκίας. See Meier and Schömann, *Attic. Process*, p. 549. So too the story related by Diog. Laert. vi. 42, of Meidias, who struck Diogenes in the face, saying, 'My banker has three thousand drachmæ at your service.' This will, however, hardly warrant the inference that the sum named was the precise legal penalty for the assault.

[10] Demosth. *supra*: ἤδε γὰρ τοὺς ἀλεκτρυόνας μιμούμενος τοὺς νενικηκύτας. οἱ δὲ κρατεῖν τοῖς ἀγκῶσιν αὐτὸν ἠξίουν ἀντὶ πτερυγων τὰς πλευράς.

by, so shockingly maltreated that he has been under the doctor's hands ever since.'

'By my troth!' exclaimed Charicles, 'if that's a joke, I don't know what violence is.' 'How so?' retorted the apologist of Ctesippos; 'you must remember he was drunk at the time, and allowances must be made for youth. I know many sons of most distinguished families, who have got into rows about hetæræ; and as for bad words, how many there are who call each other by the foulest names, just in fun[11].' 'I can't say that I think that even such proceedings are exactly praiseworthy,' continued the narrator; 'but even if they could plead intoxication in palliation of their offence, nothing could at all justify their atrocious conduct afterwards. Aristophon naturally brought an action against them for the assault, and when the cause was about to come on before the diætetes, he begged me and others of his friends to be present. The defendants kept us waiting for a long time before they appeared. It was not until evening that father and son shewed themselves, with some of their fraternity, and then only to bring into contempt the solemnity of justice and the sacredness of the place; for without endeavouring to rebut the accusation, or even looking at the depositions, they sought to waste the time by miserable tom-fooleries. They led us singly to the altar, and swore by the dog and the platanus[12], that the boy was the son of an hetæra, and had

---

[11] This was the defence which Conon actually made. Demosth. p. 1261. Cf. Lysias, *in Sim.* p. 160.

[12] This occurs also, Demosth. *ibid.*, excepting the oath by the dog and the platanus: *ἐποίησαν μὲν γὰρ ἔξω μέσων νυκτῶν τὴν ὥραν (τῆς διαίτης), οὐδὲ τὰς μαρτυρίας ἀναγιγνώσκειν ἐθέλοντες, οὐδὲ ἀντίγραφα διδόναι, τῶν τε παρόντων ἡμῖν καθ' ἕνα οὕτωσὶ πρὸς τὸν βωμὸν ἄγοντες καὶ ἐξομνύζοντες καὶ γράφοντες μαρτυρίας, οὐδὲν πρὸς τὸ πρᾶγμα· ἀλλ' ἐξ ἑταίρας εἶναι παιδίον αὐτῷ τοῦτο καὶ πεπονθέναι τὰ καὶ τά.* Oaths generally do not seem to have been very religiously observed; while conversation appears to have been pretty frequently interlarded with such expressions as *μὰ τὸν Δία, νὴ τὸν Ἡρακλέα, νὴ τὴν Ἥραν*, and the like. Plato, *Leg.* xi. p. 917: *ἔπαινος δὲ ὅρκος τε περὶ παντὸς τοῦ πωλουμένου ἀπέστω.* Socrates considered it wrong to swear by a deity, though he used such exple-

undergone this and that; or wrote down evidence concerning things that had not the remotest connexion with the point at issue[13]. Now if such disgraceful behaviour, and such contempt of the laws were to remain unpunished, what safeguard, I should like to know, should we have against any insult or offence whatever?'

'You are quite in the right,' said an elegant youth, who had come from the drinking-room to listen to the story. 'I like to have my joke as well as another, and don't stick at a slight squabble when there is a woman in the case, but heaven forfend that I should have aught to do with such a mad set as your triballi. I knew Ctesippos of old; he was one of the roughest and most unruly boys at Hermippos' school, and often had a taste of the master's rod for his illnatured pranks.'

The name of Hermippos drew Charicles' eyes to the speaker. 'By Hercules,' he exclaimed, 'it's Lysiteles!' and hastened up to him. 'Charicles!' said the person thus accosted, in astonishment, 'you here; when did you come?' 'I returned yesterday from Syracuse,' was the answer. 'So, hail to thee, friend of my youth!' said Lysiteles. 'We'll celebrate your return with a carouse[14].

---

tives as *νὴ τὸν κύνα, τὴν πλάτανον, τὴν χῆνα*. Philostr. *Vit. Apollon.* vi. 19: *ὤμνυ γὰρ ταῦτα οὐχ ὡς θεούς, ἀλλ' ἵνα μὴ θεοὺς ὄμνυ*. The oath *κατὰ χηνός* was very common. So *Aves*, 520:

Λάμπων δ' ἔτι καὶ νῦν ὄμνυσιν τὸν χῆν' ὅταν ἐξαπατᾷ τι.

Indeed *τὸν χῆνα* seems to have been a trick of the tongue for *τὸν Ζῆνα*. So Zeno swears by the caper, *κάππαρις*, Diog. Laert. vii. 32; and some one else, by the cabbage, *κράμβη*. Eustath. *ad Od.* xix. 396.

[13] Demosth. *supra*. This was done merely to fritter away the time.

[14] It was a custom, often alluded to by Plautus, to give a banquet to a friend on his safe return home. So *Bacch.* iii. 6, 7: Salvus quom peregre advenis, cœna dabitur. *Stich.* iii. 2, 17:

'Cœnabis apud me, quoniam salvus advenis;'

and *Epidicus*, i. 1, 5. Plutarch, *Symp.* v. 5, 1: *ἐν ταῖς ὑποδοχαῖς, ἃς ἐποιεῖτο τῶν φίλων ἕκαστος ἐστιῶν ἡμᾶς ἥκοντας ἀπὸ τῆς Ἀλεξανδρείας*. The same occurred at departure, *προπέμπειν*. *Ibid.* iv. 3, 2: *θύοντας θεοῖς καὶ προπέμποντας φίλων καὶ ξενίζοντας*. Cf. Plaut. *Bacch.* i. 1, 61:

Ego sorori meæ cœnam hodie dare volo viaticam.

To-day you are my guest.' 'I thank thee for the invitation,' replied Charicles; 'but I am already engaged to dine with the noble friend in whose house I am at present quartered.' 'Good! so you'll come to-morrow instead,' said the young man; 'and now give me your hand that you will be there[15].' 'Be it so,' assented Charicles; 'but where?' 'At my house in the Cerameicos; you recollect it, don't you? We are undisturbed, and you need not fear that a crabbed old governor will send the jolly spirits packing[16]. You'll meet with some more of your acquaintances.' He had several questions more to put, but Charicles postponed answering them till next day, as it was time for him to be moving.

It was now the first hour after noon, and in the streets of the city the bustle had sensibly moderated. The main business of the day was transacted; the market-place was still; and the shops of the artizans alone wore their usual busy aspect. All the elements of social life with which the centre of this great city had so recently been thronged, had suddenly been scattered in all directions, and the busy crowd had dispersed, only to re-appear in a different form in the Gymnasia and other such places of resort without the walls. Hence the paths leading to the Academy, to the Lyceion, and the Cynosarges, were just then most frequented. The free burgher, not confined to the close atmosphere of his domicile by any base handicraft, sought these places of meeting; perhaps in order to whet his appetite for the approaching meal by some invigorating exercise, and by a warm or cold bath, or, it might be, only by a constitutional in the Dromos; or perhaps he amused himself by being a spectator of the feats of dexterity and skill exhibited by the wrestlers, and by

---

[15] So Eurip. *Helen.* 838:
ἐπὶ τοῖσδε τοίνυν δεξιᾶς ἐμῆς θίγε,
though this was on a more important occasion.

[16] Plato, *de Republ.* viii. p. 549: ὥσπερ πατὴρ υἱὸν μετὰ ὀχλημάτων συμποτῶν ἐξελαύνων.

gazing at the magnificent figures, there stripped to view; or perhaps he sought intellectual amusement in learned and attractive converse.

Charicles, too, after making a few purchases, directed his steps towards the Gymnasium, to indulge in its amusements, a pleasure of which he had long felt the want; and then after a bath he purposed going to Phorion's. From earliest childhood he had been accustomed by his father to gymnastic exercises. The lessons of the pædotribæ were quite as important in his eyes as his son's visits to school; and when the lad had grown into a youth, he encouraged him to attempt the more arduous exercise of the palæstra. Though he was averse to the one-sided exertions of the athletæ, yet a sensible course of gymnastics—as well as chariot-driving and the chase, together with the intercourse of learned men—ranked with him as the only occupations befitting a free-born youth. 'Our character,' he often observed to his son, 'depends on our avocations, and a man's mind takes its colour from the nature of his pursuits. He who consumes his days in paltry occupations or vulgar toil, can no more feel lofty aspirations and manly courage rising within his bosom, than can pusillanimity and a grovelling habit of thought find a place in the soul of him whose pursuits are noble and honourable[17].'

---

[17] Taken from the speech *περὶ συντάξεως*, attributed to Demosthenes: p. 173. So Plato, *Leg.* viii. 846, would banish all artisans from his commonwealth, manual labour being inconsistent with *τὸν κοινὸν τῆς πόλεως κόσμον*. It is difficult to obtain just views on the social position of this branch of the community. Solon's law is well known: *υἱῷ τρέφειν τὸν πατέρα μὴ διδαξάμενον τέχνην ἐπάναγκες μὴ εἶναι*. (Plutarch, *Sol.* 22). But in practice this was ineffective, for we find universally that no free-born youth would demean himself by any occupation of the kind. Thucydides, again (ii. 40), makes it the boast of Athens, that her sons could take a share in public affairs, as well as manage their own trade; thus totally contradicting Plato. This much is certain, that at this period the advantages arising from trade were appreciated at Athens, and that it was favoured accordingly; though it was considered unworthy of a free-man to work at it himself; and in this Plato, Xenophon and Aristotle agree. Plato, *Charm.* 163, is clearest on the sub-

Charicles was therefore well practised in the usual exercises; he was a quick runner, and skilful leaper: he hurled the discus and javelin with strength and dexterity, played at ball to admiration, and when at Syracuse was accounted one of its first wrestlers. Boxing, and the pancration, his father could never endure, and he used to praise the Spartan laws which forbade such contests.

Full of happy recollections of bygone days, our young hero walked along through the gate of Diochares, and the gardens leading to the Lyceion. He found the Gymnasium very full of company. In the arcades surrounding the peristyle were groups of men, young and old, engaged in discourse of various kinds. Here a sophist, seated amidst his scholars, was discussing by the method of interrogation, the pros and cons of some doctrine of ethics. The large semicircular bench of marble, on which he sat, could only accommodate half his auditory, so the others

---

ject: but trade is there not considered disgraceful in itself, though not befitting everybody; but handicrafts, *βανανσία καὶ χειροτεχνία*, (*de Republ.* ix. p. 590,) are pronounced against on ethical grounds; for the mind suffers, he thinks, as well as the body, from such occupations; *ibid.* vi. p. 495: *ὥσπερ τὰ σώματα λελώβηνται, οὕτω καὶ τὰς ψυχὰς συγκεκλασμένοι τε καὶ ἀποτεθρυμμένοι διὰ τὰς βανανσίας τυγχάνουσιν.* Xenoph. *Œcon.* 4, 2, is not a whit more favourable: *τῶν δὲ σωμάτων θηλυνομένων καὶ αἱ ψυχαὶ πολὺ ἀῤῥωστότεραι γίγνονται.* And Aristotle (*de Republ.* viii. 2) in propounding what a *νέος ἐλεύθερος* ought to learn, speaks out still more plainly; and the words, *ἡ δὲ βελτίστη πόλις οὐ ποιήσει βάνανσον πολίτην*, leave no doubt as to his own opinion; and though in a few Grecian towns trade held a somewhat higher place, yet the above sentiment prevailed in Greece, and indeed pervaded the whole of the ancient world. Herodot. ii. 167, after speaking of Egypt, says: *Εἰ μὲν νῦν καὶ τοῦτο παρ' Αἰγυπτίων μεμαθήκασιν οἱ Ἕλληνες, οὐκ ἔχω ἀτρεκέως κρῖναι, ὁρέων καὶ Θρήικας καὶ Σκύθας, καὶ Πέρσας, καὶ Λυδοὺς, καὶ σχεδὸν πάντας τοὺς βαρβάρους ἀποτιμοτέρους τῶν ἄλλων ἡγημένους πολιητέων τοὺς τὰς τέχνας μανθάνοντας καὶ τοὺς ἐκγόνους τούτων. τοὺς δὲ ἀπαλλαγμένους τῶν χειρωναξιέων γενναίους νομιζομένους εἶναι καὶ μάλιστα τοὺς ἐς τὸν πόλεμον ἀνειμένους. μεμαθήκασι δ' ὦν τοῦτο πάντες οἱ Ἕλληνες καὶ μάλιστα Λακεδαιμόνιοι. ἥκιστα δὲ Κορίνθιοι ὄνονται τοὺς χειροτέχνας.* Wealthy burghers, however, often employed slaves as artisans, but this was considered perfectly correct, and was practised by the most distinguished citizens, as for instance by the father of Demosthenes. See Böckh's *Publ. Econ. of Athens*, pp. 45, 69, 475; and the Excursus on *The Slaves*.

stood in front to catch the wisdom that proceeded from his mouth. Here a rhetorician was making a critical examination of a speech elaborated by one of his pupils. In several places little knots had formed, and were talking of the important occurrences in Asia. News had just arrived from the Macedonian host, announcing the continuance of the siege of Tyre, and some assayed a display of their topographical acquirements, by drawing in the sand with their sticks[18] a plan of the city and its position[19]. In the great court many were engaged in all kinds of exercises, while others were already hurrying to warm or cold baths, or anointing their limbs with pure oil in the Elæothesion.

Charicles strode through the Palæstra, to the exercise grounds in the open air. Here several were running races, amid the loud acclamations of the beholders, who encouraged first one, then another[20]. Others stood ready to jump, with the leaping-weights in their hands. On the course near the Xystos, a contest of a peculiarly interesting nature appeared to be going on. A dense ring of spectators had formed around, and many were leaving, while others streamed towards the spot. 'That's Ctesiphon, I'm sure, he is the soul of the Gymnasium,' cried a voice near Chari-

---

[18] Böttiger, *Vaseng.* ii. p. 61, has spoken of the custom of carrying a stick out of doors; cf. Casaubon, on Theophr. 21. Böttiger's assumption that the rest of Greece first imitated the Laconian usage, after the Spartan Hegemonia, seems groundless. Lysias, *de Inval.* p. 748: ὅτι μὲν δυοῖν βακτηρίαιν χρῶμαι τῶν ἄλλων μιᾷ χρωμένων, proves the habit to have been general. Cf. Schol. to Aristoph. *Plut.* 272. Young as well as old carried a cane, which was indeed quite a *sine qua non* to a careful dresser. Athen. xii. p. 543: σκίπωνί τε ἐστηρίζετο χρυσᾶς ἕλικας ἐμπεπαισμένῳ. Cf. *ib.* xi. p. 509; and xii. p. 553.

[19] So Plutarch, *Alcib.* 17, talking of Sicily: ὥστε πολλοὺς ἐν ταῖς παλαίστραις καὶ τοῖς ἡμικυκλίοις καθέζεσθαι, τῆς τε νήσου τὸ σχῆμα καὶ θέσιν Λιβύης καὶ Καρχηδόνος ὑπογράφοντας.

[20] Isocr. *Evag.* 32: καὶ ποιῶ καὶ ποιήσω ταὐτὸν, ὅπερ ἐν τοῖς γυμνικοῖς ἀγῶσιν οἱ θεαταί. καὶ γὰρ ἐκεῖνοι παρακελεύονται τῶν δρομέων οὐ τοῖς ἀπολελειμμένοις, ἀλλὰ τοῖς περὶ τῆς νίκης ἀμιλλωμένοις. Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* xxviii. p. 531: τοὺς μέν τινας ἑωρῶμεν ἐν τῷ δρόμῳ τρέχοντας καὶ κραυγὴ τῶν παρακελευομένων ἦν.

cles, who had also joined the throng, but could see nothing, in consequence of the dense mass of persons before him[21]. He stood on tiptoe, and could then just perceive the head of one of the wrestlers. It was really his friend who had been contending. But the struggle had already come to an end. Ctesiphon had cleverly discovered his adversary's weak point, had supplanted, and brought him to the earth. A boisterous shout of applause succeeded. The ring opened, and Charicles saluted his friend, who willingly accepted his challenge to have a throw with him also. Ctesiphon had unquestionably the advantage in strength, but Charicles wrestled with so much caution, and made such excellent use of every chance that offered, that the match lasted some time, and although his antagonist was again the conqueror, yet he at least earned the praise of being himself a most accomplished wrestler. The friends then went arm in arm to the bath, after which Charicles made the best of his way to the house of Phorion.

---

[21] Dio Chrysost. *ibid.*: *ὁρῶμεν οὖν πάνυ πολλοὺς ἑστηκότας πρὸς τῇ ἐξέδρᾳ τοῦ Ἡρακλέους καὶ ἑτέρους ἀεὶ προσάγοντας, τοὺς δὲ καὶ ἀπιόντας διὰ τὸ μὴ δύνασθαι ἰδεῖν. τὸ μὲν οὖν πρῶτον ἐπειρώμεθα ὁρᾶν ὑπερκύπτοντες, καὶ μόλις ἐωρῶμεν τοῦ γυμναζομένου τὴν κεφαλήν.*

SCENE THE SIXTH.

THE BANQUET.

FROM the first dawn of day the house of Lysiteles had been in a state of great commotion, for the young gallant was bent on celebrating, with more than usual expense, the return of the playmate of his childhood. Every luxury to be found in the Athenian market had been procured; and not content with leaving his slaves to make the requisite purchases, he had gone to the fish-market in person, to select the finest Copaic eels and the largest sea-pike. A first-rate cook had been hired, chaplets were bespoken, *recherché* unguents bought, and graceful female flute-players and dancing-girls engaged. In the spacious saloon, which Lysiteles had selected for the scene of their nocturnal feast, the couches were all set ready, and on tables of elegant design was a grand display of silver goblets and bowls of various sizes. Youthful slaves, in high-girt semi-transparent chitons, hurried through the halls and saloons, set things in order and cleaned them, spread embroidered tapestry over the mattrasses of the couches, smoothed the pillows, which were of a gay striped pattern, scoured vessels, and did not rest, till all the preparations for the reception of the guests had been completed.

The gnomon had long displayed a shadow of more than ten feet in length, when Charicles returned from the Academy, where Manes had met him by appointment, bearing the full-dress garments, and fashionable half-shoes. The day had flown rapidly while he was selecting numerous articles necessary for the commencement of his future establishment. Every thing had turned out according to his wishes; a blissful future opened before him, and he walked along in cheerful mood towards the house where the feast of friendship was preparing for him.

He was not far from his destination, when he perceived

Ctesiphon, who was coming from the Lyceion, and on his way to his own habitation. 'Quick, Manes,' he said to the slave behind him; 'you see Ctesiphon yonder? Run to him and tell him to wait for me[1].' The slave did as he was commanded; he soon overtook Ctesiphon, fast as he was walking, and seizing him by the garment, bid him wait until Charicles should arrive. 'Where is he?' asked Ctesiphon, turning round. 'Here he comes behind us,' answered the slave, as Charicles joined them, and saluted his friend. 'Bless me,' cried Ctesiphon, 'what a buck you are; pray whither are you bound?' 'To a dinner at Lysiteles',' answered Charicles; 'I promised yesterday to go; are not you invited too?' Ctesiphon answered in the negative. 'Oh! it would be too bad, were I to miss you from the circle of old friends whom I shall meet there. What if I bid you come along with me uninvited?' 'If you bid me,' said Ctesiphon, jocosely, 'of course I can't help myself.' 'Away we go then,' said Charicles; 'let us verify the adage: "To the exquisite banquet the exquisites go self-bidden."' 'But prythee invent some apology, for I shall assert that I was invited by you.' 'We'll concoct something as we go along,' said his friend, 'only let us be moving[2].'

They found the door of the hospitable mansion open; and a slave, who met them in the hall, ushered them into the saloon, where most of the other guests were already reclining on the couches. Lysiteles advanced to meet them with friendly salutations. 'Ah! Ctesiphon,' he exclaimed, as he saw them enter, 'you are come in the very nick of time to join us at the banquet; or if ought else brings you hither, defer it till another time. I looked all over the city for you yesterday, to invite you, but could not find

---

[1] It will be unnecessary here to cite in full the authorities from which the following account has been compiled, since they will be fully discussed in the Excursus on *The Meals*. The incident above is imitated from Plato, *de Republ.* i. p. 327.

[2] Taken from the excellent description, Plato, *Symp.* p. 174.

you[3].' 'Charicles has given me an invite in your name then,' answered Ctesiphon; 'for he forced me to come along with him.' 'Capital!' cried their polished host; 'here's a place for you next Glaucon; you, Charicles, will lie by me. Take off their sandals, slaves, and wash their feet, that they may recline.' The slaves unfastened the thongs of their shoes, and others brought silver basins, into which from beautifully-shaped ewers of the same metal, they poured over the feet of the new comers, who sat meanwhile upon the couches, not water only, but golden wine, to which an additional fragrance was imparted by an admixture of odoriferous balsam[4]. While the two friends were luxuriating in this lavishly sumptuous bath, which though it took Charicles rather by surprise, yet merely raised a smile in Ctesiphon, some of the guests went up and saluted the former. They were all acquaintances of his boyish days,—Polemarchos and Callicles, Nausicrates and Glaucon,—who now frankly shook hands with their old playmate, and reminded him of a thousand incidents of days long past. 'Enough, enough!' at last cried one of the party, as he lolled on his couch, 'that will do, friends, take your places, and let us fall to.'

'By my troth, Euctemon,' said Lysiteles, 'it is high time. Water, ho! for the hands, slaves, and then serve up what you've got. Think that you entertain us, and that we are your guests, and so have a care that you may merit our praise[5].'

The order was speedily executed, water and towels were handed round; then the slaves, two and two[6], brought in the tables, and loaded them with comestibles; while others presented bread of the finest quality in tiny baskets woven of slips of ivory[7]. At this juncture a loud knock-

[3] Plato, *Symp.* p. 175. See Excursus on *The Meals.*

[4] Plutarch, *Phoc.* 20. See Excursus on *The Meals.*

[5] Plato, *Symp.* p. 175. See Excursus on *The Meals.*

[6] See Excursus on *The Meals.*

[7] Athenæus, iv. p. 130: τραγή-

ing was heard at the outer door, and a slave came in to announce that Stephanos, the jester, was outside, and begged to inform the company that he was plentifully provided with every thing requisite for enjoying an abundant repast at a stranger's table.

'How say ye, my friends?' asked the lord of the mansion; 'it will hardly do, methinks, to shut the door on him. Let him in[8].' This however was quite unnecessary,

---

ματά τ' ἐν πλεκτοῖς ἐλεφαντίνοις ἐπεδόθη πᾶσι.

[8] When Carystius (Athen. vi. p. 235,) asserted that the character of a parasite, as one of the *dramatis personæ* of comedy, was first invented (*εὑρηθῆναι*) by Alexis, he probably only meant that this poet first distinguished such a character by the name *παράσιτος*; for such personages, as *κόλακες* or *γελωτοποιοί*, had long been of common occurrence, as is sufficiently shewn by a fragment of Epicharmus quoted by Athenæus directly afterwards:

Συνδειπνέω τῷ λῶντι, καλέσαι δεῖ μόνον, καὶ τῷ γα μὴ λιῶντι, κωὐδὲν δεῖ καλεῖν. τηνεὶ δὲ χαρίεις τ' εἰμὶ καὶ ποιέω πολὺν γέλωτα καὶ τὸν ἐστιῶντ' ἐπαινέω.

Philippos, described in Xenoph. *Symp.* i. 11, served as the original here. The name parasite had at first no evil signification, but was applied to persons of consideration, who were appointed to assist the magistrates and priests in the celebration of sacrificial feasts. Athen. vi. p. 234. According to Clearchos (Athen. vi. p. 235) this usage still subsisted after Alexander's time. *Ἔτι δὲ παράσιτον νῦν μὲν τὸν ἕτοιμον, τότε δὲ τὸν εἰς τὸ συμβιοῦν κατειλεγμένον. ἐν γοῦν τοῖς παλαιοῖς νόμοις αἱ πλεῖσται τῶν πόλεων ἔτι καὶ τήμερον ταῖς ἐντιμοτάταις ἀρχαῖς συγκαταλέγουσι παρασίτους.* The parasites of Comedy may be divided into three classes, in all of which obtrusiveness and sensuality is the common trait. Firstly the *γελωτοποιοί*, who deal in jokes, often at their own expense, and are content to be the butts of the company, provided they can get enough to eat and drink: such were Ergasilus in the *Captivi*, and Gelasimus in the *Stichus*, of Plautus, as well as Xenophon's Philippos. Next come the *κόλακες*, or *assentatores*, who are always flattering their patrons: such were the Kolax or Struthias of Menander, the Gnatho of Terence, and the Artotrogus in the *Miles Gloriosus* of Plautus. The third *nuance* is that of the *θεραπευτικοί*, who earned a place at table by all kinds of little attentions and services, and were somewhat akin to the *femmes d'intrigue* of the French comedies. See Plutarch, *de Adul.* 23: *πιστὸς ἔρωτος ὑπηρέτης καὶ περὶ λύσιν πόρνης ἀκριβὴς, καὶ πότου δαπάνης ἐκκαθᾶραι λογισμὸν οὐκ ἀμελὴς, οὐδὲ ῥᾳθυμος ἐν δείπνων παρασκευαῖς, θεραπευτικὸς δὲ παλλακίδων· πρὸς δὲ κηδεστὰς ἀποθρασύνεσθαι κελευσθεὶς, καὶ συνεκβαλεῖν γαμετὴν ἄτεγκτος καὶ ἀδυσώπητος.* Cf. *de Educ.* 17. Phormio in Terence, and Curculio in Plautus are samples, and, upon the whole, the parasites in the *Asinaria* and *Menæchmi*. Such characters, a

as the parasite was already at the door of the saloon, and said, 'I am, as ye well know, Stephanos the jester, who never refused when invited by any of you to a meal; wherefore it would not be fair were ye now to decline my invitation. I have brought a whole budget of good things.' 'Very well,' said Lysiteles; 'and besides, there are only nine of us, so lie you down next to Mantitheos, and be my guest.'

Fresh dishes, on which the Sicilian *artiste* had displayed his skill, were served up in profusion. 'Really,' said Glaucon, 'no Attic meal this, but a Bœotian one[9]!' 'Quite

---

little caricatured perhaps, are copied from real life, and would undergo any indignity for the chance of a good dinner: *οὔς οὔτε πῦρ, οὔτε σίδηρος, οὔτε χαλκὸς εἴργει μὴ φοιτᾶν ἐπὶ δεῖπνον*, as Plutarch says. The description of Chærephon quoted from Alexis by Athen. iv. p. 164, is from the life:

ὅπου γάρ ἐστιν ὁ κέραμος μισθώσιμος
ὁ τοῖς μαγείροις, εὐθὺς ἐξ ἑωθινοῦ
ἕστηκεν ἐλθών· κἂν ἴδῃ μισθούμενον
εἰς ἑστίασιν, τοῦ μαγείρου πυθόμενος
τὸν ἑστιῶντα, τῆς θύρας χασμωμένης
ἂν ἐπιλάβηται, πρῶτος εἰσελήλυθεν.

These fellows had a talent for finding out where a banquet was going on, and would waylay people at the baths or elsewhere, and force their company on them as guests. Eupolis, ap. Athen. vi. p. 236; Lucian, *de Parasit.* 51. Athenæus, vi. p. 249, says of the parasites at the table of Dionysius the younger: *ἀποπτύοντος δὲ τοῦ Διονυσίου πολλάκις παρεῖχον τὰ πρόσωπα καταπτύεσθαι· καὶ ἀπολείχοντες τὸν σίαλον, ἔτι δὲ τὸν ἔμετον αὐτοῦ, μέλιτος ἔλεγον εἶναι γλυκύτερον.* So Diog. Laert. ii. 67; Plutarch, *de Occulte Viv.* v. p. 611, relates a still more disgusting story, which, though it may be exaggerated, sufficiently shews in what reputation these fellows stood. They mostly attached themselves to young people, with whom they could play their cards to more advantage; at a later period, however, they seem to have been regarded as a necessary appendage at the tables of the rich. So Lucian, *de Parasit.* 58: *ὅτι πλούσιος ἀνὴρ, εἰ καὶ τὸ Γύγου χρυσίον ἔχει, μόνος ἐσθίων πένης ἐστὶ καὶ προϊὼν ἄνευ παρασίτου πτωχὸς δοκεῖ..... καὶ πλούσιος ἄνευ παρασίτου ταπεινός τις καὶ εὐτελὴς φαίνεται.* The female parasites, *κολακίδες*, or *κλιμακίδες*, Plutarch, *de Adul.* p. 192, were of quite a different order. Athen. vi. p. 256; Val. Max. ix. 1.

[9] The Bœotians were renowned above all other Greeks for *πολυφαγία*, and the comedians have not failed to turn this to good account. So also Plutarch, *de Esu Carn.* 6: *τοὺς γὰρ Βοιωτοὺς ἡμᾶς οἱ Ἀττικοὶ καὶ παχεῖς καὶ ἀναισθήτους καὶ ἠλιθίους μάλιστα διὰ τὰς ἀδηφαγίας προσηγόρευον.* With this compare the proverb *Βοιωτία ὗς*, as also Pind. *Olymp.* vi. 152, and the passage of Eubulos, quoted by Athenæus, x. p. 417:

right,' interrupted Euctemon, always most in his element when he saw a well-spread table before him, 'none of your Attic dinners for me, where your fiddle faddles are served up on dainty dishes. Just look at those Copaic eels; there is Bœotian luxury for you! By Jove, the lake must have sent its most ancient inhabitants to the Athenian market.'

'Ah!' said Stephanos, who had already made one or two futile attempts at raising a laugh, 'that must indeed be a happy lake, which always carries such dishes within it, and keeps always drinking, and yet never is too full!'—'of water!' broke in Callicles with a laugh; 'but you are a prodigy far greater; for put down ever so much wine, you have never had enough.'

Amidst a variety of gossip, the meal was brought to a close, though much too early for Stephanos: and Lysiteles, perceiving that the company would partake of nothing more, made a sign to the slaves, who with obedient alacrity handed water and sweet-smelling smegma wherewith to wash the hands, while others bore off the viands, and swept the fragments from the floor. After this, garlands of myrtle and roses[10], party-coloured ribands, and per-

---

Πονεῖν μὲν ἅμμες καὶ φαγεῖν μάλ' ἀνδρικοὶ καὶ καρτερῆσαι, τοὶ δ' Ἀθηναῖοι λέγειν καὶ μικρὰ φαγέμεν, τοὶ δὲ Θηβαῖοι μέγα.

More important still are the words of Polybius, *Fragm.* xx. 4, 7: ὁρμήσαντες πρὸς εὐωχίαν καὶ μέθας οὐ μόνον τοῖς σώμασιν ἐξελύθησαν, ἀλλὰ καὶ ταῖς ψυχαῖς. Cf. *ib.* xx. 6, 5. So devoted were they to feasting and idleness, that, according to Athen. iv. p. 148, when Alexander destroyed their city, their whole property was no more than four hundred and forty talents.

[10] The chaplets were always distributed after the meal, just before the πότος began and the libation was made. Athen. xv. p. 685: Ἡ δὲ τῶν στεφάνων καὶ μύρων πρότερον εἴσοδος εἰς τὰ συμπόσια ἠγεῖτο τῆς δευτέρας τραπέζης. Cf. Plutarch, *Sept. Sap. Conv.* 5. Myrtle was for the most part the material of these chaplets, and hence the part of the market where they were sold was called αἱ μύρῑναι. The rose ranked highest among the flowers that were interwoven in the chaplet, (Achill. Tat. ii. 1: βασιλεὺς τῶν ἀνθέων), hence the Demos in Aristoph. *Equit.* 966, is to be ἐστεφανωμένος ῥόδοις. But the violet and the ambiguous ὑάκινθος were also employed. Theocr. *Id.* x. 28:

καὶ τὸ ἴον μέλαν ἐντὶ καὶ ἁ γραπτὰ ὑάκινθος·

fumed unguents, were distributed all round, and a domestic came forward with a golden bowl, into which he poured undiluted wine from a silver can, by way of libation. Two pretty flute-girls, in all the freshness of blooming youth, then entered the saloon. Lysiteles seized the bowl, poured some wine out of it, and exclaiming, 'To the good Genius!' took a draught, and then handed the vessel to Charicles, who lay on his right, that it might pass round the table. The maidens accompanied this ceremony with subdued and solemn tones, until the last of the guests had returned the cup. On this, the party waxed merrier, the minstrels struck up the hymn of praise, and this being ended, the slaves brought in the dessert, and placed on the table the crater, tastefully ornamented with dancing bacchanals.

'And now first of all, my friends,' exclaimed Glaucon, rising, 'what's to be the rule of drinking this evening[11]?' 'I vote that we have no rules at all,' replied Ctesiphon,

---

ἀλλ' ἔμπας ἐν τοῖς στεφάνοις τὰ πρῶτα λέγονται.

Violet-chaplets were in special favour among the Athenians (Aristoph. *Acharn.* 636), hence the name *ἰοστέφανοι*. Chaplets were often formed of a great variety of flowers. Cf. *Anthol. Pal.* iv. 1, and v. 74:

Πέμπω σοι, Ῥοδόκλεια, τόδε στέφος ἄνθεσι καλοῖς
αὐτὸς ὑφ' ἡμετέραις πλεξάμενος παλάμαις,
ἔστι κρίνον, ῥοδέη τε κάλυξ, νοτέρη τ' ἀνεμώνη,
καὶ νάρκισσος ὑγρὸς, καὶ κυανανγὲς ἴον.

Also *ib.* 147:

Πλέξω λευκόϊον, πλέξω δ' ἁπαλὴν ἅμα μύρτοις
νάρκισσον, πλέξω καὶ τὰ γελῶντα κρίνα.
πλέξω καὶ κρόκον ἡδὺν, ἐπιπλέξω δ' ὑάκινθον
πορφυρέην, πλέξω καὶ φιλέραστα ῥόδα,
ὡς ἂν ἐπὶ κροτάφοις μυροβοστρύχου Ἡλιοδώρας
εὐπλόκαμον χαίτην ἀνθοβολῇ στέφανος.

Besides myrtle, the leaves of the white-poplar and the ivy were used. Theocr. ii. 121:

κρατὶ δ' ἔχων λεύκαν, Ἡρακλέος ἱερὸν ἔρνος,
πάντοτε πορφυρέῃσι περιζώστρῃσιν ἑλικτάν.

Here the *πορφυραῖ περιζῶστραι* must be *ταινίαι*, which were fastened on the chaplet. Cf. Plato, *Symp.* p. 212, where Alcibiades comes to Agathon's, wearing such a chaplet: *καὶ ἐπιστῆναι ἐπὶ τὰς θύρας ἐστεφανωμένον αὐτὸν κιττοῦ τινι στεφάνῳ δασεῖ καὶ ἴωνι, καὶ ταινίας ἔχοντα ἐπὶ τῆς κεφαλῆς πάνυ πολλάς.* In the neighbourhood of Pandosia, on the west coast of Italy, whither Persephone was said to have come to pluck flowers, it was held disreputable to wear purchased flowers at festivals: see Strabo, vi. 1, 5.

[11] Plato, *Symp.* p. 176. See Excursus on *The Symposia.*

'but leave it free to every one to drink what he likes.' 'Out upon you,' said Polemarch; 'we must have an Archon, man! that's the main thing at a carouse.' 'Yes, by Jove!' said Nausicrates, 'an Archon we must have. I will submit to his laws, even if he should command me to carry that lovely fluting-girl about in my arms, or to kiss the pretty cup-bearer, who stands yonder, like a roguish Eros[12].' The majority of the guests seconded him. 'So now then for the astragali,' said Lysiteles, 'that the best throw may determine who is to be king.' 'Not so,' cried Polemarch; 'for we might chance to be blessed with that sober Ctesiphon, or that sponge Stephanos, for our president. I propose that we choose Glaucon king; he understands right well how to administer the functions of the office.' This proposition was approved of, and Glaucon declared his readiness to accept office, as conductor of the symposion. 'Now then,' said he, with serio-comic mien, 'I order you slaves, in the first place, to mix the wine well. The adage says:

Five drink, or three, but drink not ever four.

We'll take care to avoid the last; but it is old Chian that our friend is treating us to, which will bear the water well; so mix two parts water to one of wine. And put some snow into it, which will make it all the fresher; or if you've got none, some of Stephanos's frigid jokes will do as well; after which, pour out into the little cups; we'll begin with these, and finish up with the larger. But briskly round, I say, with the wine, and don't forget to have a large goblet ready for those who have to drink fines.'

'But, Glaucon, allow me,' interrupted Ctesiphon; 'you talk of nought but drinking; shan't we imprimis have some games, or singing, or conversation wherewith to amuse us at our cups[13]?' 'Ay to be sure!' said Glaucon; 'but first

[12] Lucian, *Saturn.* 4. See Excursus on *The Symposia.*

[13] The words of Eryximachos. Plato, *Symp.* p. 214: πῶς οὖν, ὦ Ἀλκιβιάδη, ποιοῦμεν; οὕτως οὔτε τι

for the wine.' From the hand of the slave he received the *cylix*; 'Zeus Soter!' he exclaimed, and drank; the rest followed his example. 'Now, friends, in the next place, what's to be done?' he continued. 'Anything but learned discourse,' cried Euctemon, and Polemarch agreed with him. 'Philosophy,' said they, 'is like the lady of the house: neither the one nor the other has any business at a symposion.' 'No more has gambling,' added Nausicrates; 'it only breeds contention, and then farewell to jollity.' 'Let us have a song then,' proposed Glaucon. 'Or guess riddles?' said Ctesiphon. 'Riddles for ever!' cried Charicles; 'I love the griphæ above everything else, they give rise to so much fun.' This motion found most seconders. 'Good,' said Glaucon; 'to him who guesses right I give one of the *tæniæ*; and the person who set the riddle must give him a kiss. He who fails to solve it, must drink off this goblet of unmixed wine. But for you, Stephanos,' he added, laughing, 'salt water will be poured out in place of wine, or else, full well I know that you will never guess right. Of course, each one proposes his enigma to his right-hand neighbour. So here's for you first, Ctesiphon. Listen,' he said, after thinking a moment:

> We're sisters twain, one dying bears the other;
> She too expires, and so brings forth her mother[14].

'That's easily guessed,' answered Ctesiphon without hesitation; 'the sisters are night and day, who by turns die, and bring forth each other.' 'Right,' said Glaucon, 'thus I deck your brow with this fillet, and here's my kiss. It's your turn now!'

Ctesiphon begged for a short space to reflect, and then turned to Lysiteles and said:

λέγομεν ἐπὶ τῇ κύλικι, οὔτε τι ᾄδομεν; ἀλλ' ἀτεχνῶς, ὥσπερ οἱ διψῶντες, πιόμεθα;

[14] A riddle of the tragedian Theodectes. Athen. x. p. 451:

εἰσὶ κασίγνηται δίτταὶ, ὧν ἡ μία τίκτει τὴν ἑτέραν, αὐτὴ δὲ τεκοῦσ' ὑπὸ τῆσδε τεκνοῦται.

A thing, whose match or in the depths profound
Of ocean, or on earth can ne'er be found;
Cast in no mortal mould, it's growth of limb
Dame Nature orders by the strangest whim;
'Tis born, and lo! a giant form appears;
Towards middle age a smaller size it wears;
And now again, its day of life nigh o'er,
How wondrous! 'tis gigantic as before[15].

'A strange sort of creature that!' said Lysiteles; 'and one I shall hardly hit upon. Great in its childhood, little in its prime, and big again at last. Ah! I have it,' he suddenly exclaimed; 'one need only look at the gnomon; it is the shadow, which is great in the morning, and then contracts, till, towards evening, it again increases.'. 'He's guessed it!' cried the whole party, and Lysiteles received a tænia and a kiss.

'Now Charicles,' said he, 'it's your turn to guess:'

Nor mortal fate, nor yet immortal thine,
Amalgam rare of human and divine;
Still ever new thou comest, soon again
To vanish fleeting as the phantom train;
Ever invisible to earthly eye,
Yet known to each one most familiarly[16].

Your riddle is somewhat vague and obscure,' said Charicles after a little consideration; 'but if I mistake not, the solution is sleep, isn't it? But you should have made it plainer. Now mind, Euctemon,' he proceeded, 'my riddle is full of contradictions. Beware of the penalty.' 'Ah for the penalty, I can get over that, but you surely won't deprive me of your kiss!' 'By the bye,' cried

---

[15] Also by Theodectes, *ibid.*:

Τίς φύσις οὔθ' ὅσα γαῖα φέρει τροφὸς, οὔθ' ὕσα πόντος,
οὔτε βροτοῖσιν ἔχει γνίων αὔξησιν ὁμοίαν;
ἀλλ' ἐν μεν γενέσει πρωτοσπόρῳ ἐστὶ μεγίστη,
ἐν δὲ μέσαις ἀκμαῖς μικρὰ, γήρᾳ δὲ πρὸς αὐτῷ
μορφῇ καὶ μεγέθει μείζων πάλιν ἐστὶν ἁπάντων.

[16] From Alexis, ap. Athen. x. p. 449:

Οὐ θνητὸς, οὐδ' ἀθάνατος, ἀλλ' ἔχων τινὰ
σύγκρασιν, ὥστε μήτ' ἐν ἀνθρώπου μέρει,
μήτ' ἐν θεοῦ ζῆν, ἀλλὰ φύεσθαί τ' ἀεὶ
καινῶς, φθίνειν τε τὴν παρουσίαν πάλιν,
ἀόρατος ὄψιν, γνώριμος δ' ἅπασιν ὤν.

Glaucon, 'there is one thing we have forgotten. Suppose the riddle is not solved, must the next try to guess?' 'Not so,' said Ctesiphon; 'whoever can guess it first gets the riband and kiss; but if he guesses wrong, let him drink the fine.' This was agreed to, and turning to Euctemon, Charicles spoke thus:

> Know'st thou the creature, that a tiny brood
> Within her bosom keeps securely mewed?
> Though voiceless all, beyond the ocean wide
> To distant realms their still small voices glide.
> Far, far away, whome'er t' address they seek
> Will understand; yet no one hears them speak[17].

This proved too much for Euctemon's acumen. Hard as he tried to unriddle the mystery of the dumb speakers, it was all of no avail, and he had to drink the fine. 'I know!' cried Stephanos: 'it is the city; and her children are the speakers, who cry out so that their voice may be heard far across the sea in Asia and Thrace.' A roar of laughter followed. 'But, Stephanos,' said Charicles, 'did you ever see an orator that was dumb? he must then be impeached thrice for *paranomia*, and condemned[18].' 'Salt-water,' screamed several voices; and, though he tried hard to get off, Stephanos was forced to drink off the goblet of brine. 'I will tell you the meaning of the enigma,' Ctesiphon now said: 'it is a letter, and its children that it conceals within it are the characters, which, mute and voiceless, speak only to him to whom the letter is addressed.' 'Bravo!' cried Glaucon; 'how ever will you find room on your head for all the *tæniæ* that you're earning to-day?' It was now Euctemon's turn. 'You'll have to drink too,' said he to Nausicrates, who had mean-

---

[17] This riddle, one of the best extant, is proposed in the *Sappho* of Antiphanes; Athen. x. p. 450:

Ἔστι φύσις θήλεια βρέφη σώζουσ' ὑπὸ κόλποις
αὐτῆς. ὄντα δ' ἄφωνα βοὴν ἵστησι γεγωνὸν,
καὶ διὰ πόντιον οἶδμα καὶ ἠπείρου διὰ πάσης,
οἷς ἐθέλει θνητῶν· τοῖς δ' οὐ παρεοῦσιν ἀκούειν
ἔξεστιν· κωφὴν δ' ἀκοῆς αἴσθησιν ἔχουσιν.

[18] This too is all from Antiphanes.

time pulled one of the flute-players on to his couch; 'tell me what this is:'

A man it is, and a man 'tis not;
'Tis always carried, yet it legs hath got:
Ordered to come to every dinner,
Yet sure to come unbid, the sinner!
Though fond of cups, ne'er drinks, but then
It swallows more than any ten.

'Oh!' said Nausicrates, 'the subject is not far off. That's no other than Stephanos.' 'I?' said the parasite; 'that's false. Alack, nobody bids me to a banquet. The world has grown so serious, that no one laughs at me now[19].' 'Quite right!' retorted Nausicrates; 'as a wreath it is ordered, and as a parasite you come uninvited, and drink more than ten others.' Thus it went the round of the guests, till at last it came to Stephanos. 'Now you will stare,' said he:

Nine moons roll by ere infants see the light;
Ten years the elephant, that beast of might,
Bears in her vasty womb the embryo freight:
But longer still, I bear a monster great;
Greater, still greater, stronger grows it ever,
Yet, woe is me! delivered am I never[20].

'Egad!' exclaimed Glaucon, 'I had rather not have guessed it either, that I might not have that beard of thine to hug: but it is so very palpable; for that it is hunger which you carry in your paunch, we can all very well comprehend.'

The fun was kept up in this manner for some time, until the dancers, whom Lysiteles had ordered, entered

---

[19] The complaint of Philip, Xenoph. *Symp.* 1, 15: Ἐπεὶ γὰρ γέλως ἐξ ἀνθρώπων ἀπόλωλεν, ἐῤῥει τὰ ἐμὰ πράγματα. Πρόσθεν μὲν γὰρ τούτου ἕνεκα ἐκαλούμην ἐπὶ τὰ δεῖπνα, ἵνα εὐφραίνοιντο οἱ συνόντες, δι' ἐμὲ γελῶντες· νῦν δὲ τίνος ἕνεκα καὶ καλεῖ μέ τις. Cf. Plaut. *Capt.* iii. 1, 10.

[20] A witticism of Gelasimus, Plaut. *Stich.* i. 3, 14:

Audivi sæpe (verbum) hoc volgo dicier
Solere elephantum gravidam perpetuos decem
Esse annos: ejus ex semine hæc certe est fames;
Nam jam complures annos utero hærot meo.

the saloon. A man, whose trade it was to exhibit such performances, led in a graceful girl, and a handsome lad, who were followed by a female flute-player[21]. The circle of couches was extended, and the *danseuse* advanced to the side which was left open. The boy took the cithara, and struck the strings to the accompaniment of the flute. The sound of the cithara presently ceased, the maiden took some hoops, and, as she danced to the tune of the flute, whirled them into the air, and caught them one after the other as they fell, with remarkable skill. More and more hoops were handed to her, till at least a dozen were hovering aloft betwixt her hands and the ceiling; while the grace of her movements, together with the dexterity she evinced, elicited loud applause from the spectators.

'Really, Lysiteles,' said Charicles, 'you are entertaining us right royally. Not only do you set before us a noble feast, but also provide pleasures for the eye and ear.'

'Pay attention,' said the friendly host; 'she will soon exhibit greater skill.' A large hoop, set all round with pointed knives, was now brought in, and placed upon the ground. The damsel commenced dancing afresh, and threw a summersault[22] right into the centre of the hoop,

---

[21] Xenoph. *Symp.* 2, 1; from which well-known scene this is taken with slight alterations. The Syracusan appears to have presented himself at the house of Callias without previous arrangement, though as a matter of course he received money for the display of his company: *ταῦτα δὲ καὶ ἐπιδεικνὺς, ὡς ἐν θαύματι, ἀργύριον ἐλάμβανεν.*

[22] The simple way of dancing, which consists merely in rhythmical movement of the body, gave place at an early period to grotesque feats of agility; thus even in Homer, (*Il.* xviii. 605,) we meet with *κυβιστητῆρες*, who threw regular summersaults, as we learn from Plato, *Symp.* p. 190: *ὥσπερ οἱ κυβιστῶντες καὶ εἰς ὀρθὸν τὰ σκέλη περιφερόμενοι κυβιστῶσι κύκλῳ.* At a later time, to excite additional interest, they jumped over pointed weapons. Plato, *Euthyd.* p. 294: *ἐς μαχαίρας γε κυβιστᾶν καὶ ἐπὶ τροχοῦ δινεῖσθαι.* See Xenophon, § 11: *μετὰ δὲ τοῦτο κύκλος εἰσηνέχθη περίμεστος ξιφῶν ὀρθῶν. εἰς οὖν ταῦτα ἡ ὀρχηστρὶς ἐκυβίστα τε καὶ ἐξεκυβίστα ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν.* The *στρόβιλος* (Poll. iv. 101) seems to have been different from *δινεῖσθαι ἐπὶ τροχοῦ*, which would appear rather to be alluded to by Cic. *in Pison.* 10: 'cumque ipse nudus in convivio saltaret, in quo ne tum quidem, cum illum suum saltatorium

81464

and then out again, repeating the feat several times, till the beholders grew quite nervous, and Nausicrates springing up, begged that a stop might be put to the perilous game, lest the lovely creature should meet with an accident. The boy next made his *début*, and danced with such art as to give still greater effect to the matchless symmetry of his form. His whole figure was in expressive motion; it was impossible to tell whether the hands, the neck, or the feet, had most share in producing the impression which the gracefulness of his postures worked among the spectators[23].

---

versaret orbem, fortunæ rotam pertimescebat.' Male and female κυβιστῆρες are represented in many antique works of art. Cf. Tischbein, *Engravings from ancient Vases*, i. 60.

[23] Desirable as would be a discussion of the whole art of ancient dancing, and for which moreover there is no lack of material, still, as most of the dances belong to the theatrical representations and public festivals, such an investigation would be beyond the scope of the present work. A few general remarks must here suffice. The fundamental notion of all Greek dancing is the bodily expression of some inward feeling (σώματος or νοήματος μίμησις); and that which poetry effected by words (λέξις), dancing had to do by movement, κίνησις. Hence the intimate connection which was conceived to subsist between the two arts, the latter being supposed to be a development of the natural action accompanying recitation. Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 814 and 816. Lucian well observes (*de Salt.* 69) that in no other art is so equal an activity of the mind and body required; for the leading idea must, as it were, penetrate the whole body, in order that each of its movements may be a speaking expression of it. Plutarch (*Symp.* ix. 15, 2,) divides the action of the dance into φορά, σχῆμα and δεῖξις, of which the first two are related to one another in the same way as φθόγγοι and διαστήματα in Music, while of the δεῖξις he observes, οὐ μιμητικόν ἐστιν, ἀλλὰ δηλωτικὸν ἀληθῶς τῶν ὑποκειμένων. But the chief characteristic of Grecian dancing, and that which elevates it into a fine-art, is, that it did not consist in mere senseless evolutions, but was the outward representation of an inward idea, which all the limbs took their due share in expressing. Xenoph. *Symp.* 2, 16: ὅτι οὐδὲν ἀργὸν τοῦ σώματος ἐν τῇ ὀρχήσει ἦν, ἀλλ' ἅμα καὶ τράχηλος καὶ σκέλη καὶ χεῖρες ἐγυμνάζοντο. Since too the arms and hands were the most capable of expressive action, dancers were termed χειρόσοφοι and χειρονόμοι. Lucian, *Rhet. Præc.* 17; and *Lexiph.* 14. So Plutarch, *Fragm. de Anim.* 8: καὶ ὀρχεῖται ὁ ἄνθρωπος, ἀλλὰ ταῖς χερσί. Cf. Antiph. ap. Athen. iv. p. 134: οὐχ ὁρᾷς ὀρχούμενον ταῖς χερσὶ τὸν βάκηλον; But the Greeks had also their *pirouettes* and *battements*, as appears from Lucian, *de Salt.* 71: τὴν μὲν οὖν γε σύντονον κίνησιν τῆς ὀρχηστικῆς καὶ στροφὰς αὐτῆς, καὶ περιαγωγάς, καὶ πηδήματα, καὶ ὑπτιασμοὺς τοῖς μὲν ἄλλοις

Immense applause fell to his share also, and many of the company even preferred the boy's performance to that of the girl.

'But now,' said Glaucon, 'let them rest themselves. Lysiteles, order the *cottabos*[24], that we too may display our skill.' 'Yes, the cottabos, the cottabos!' they all cried, and the word seemed to have exerted quite an electric effect upon the whole party. 'Ha!' cried Ctesiphon to Charicles, 'this is a Sicilian game; you must be a greater adept at it than any of us.' 'I have had some practice therein,' answered he; 'but the game is possibly a still greater favourite at Athens than in its native land.' 'But how shall we play it?' enquired one, 'with the *manes*, or

---

τερπνὰ εἶναι συμβέβηκεν ὀρῶσι. See Poll. iv. 99: *ῥικνοῦσθαι, ὅπερ ἦν τὸ τὴν ὀσφὺν φορτικῶς περιάγειν.* Also Eustath. *ad Odyss.* ix. 376: *ἀναπηδήσαντες εἰς ὕψος πρὸ τοῦ κατενεχθῆναι ἐπὶ γῆν παραλλαγὰς πολλὰς τοῖς ποσὶν ἐποίουν.* Though the art of dancing was so highly prized; though it served to give eclat to the festivals and shows; and though the guests of the symposia dearly loved to see the feats of a skilful *artiste;* still in private life it was little practised, and there seems to have arisen almost a prejudice against it; and though in Homer the sons of Alcinous gain renown by their dexterity in this accomplishment, yet, at a later period, it seems to have been considered incompatible with the dignity of a man. We know from Herodot. vi. 129, the opinion of Cleisthenes hereupon, and how Hippocleides, by suffering himself to be seduced to the dance, lost his bride; indeed it was usually looked upon as an admonitory symptom of incipient intoxication. So Alexis ap. Athen. iv. p. 134:

ἅπαντες ὀρχοῦντ' εὐθύς, ἂν οἶνον μόνον ὀσμὴν ἴδωσι.

To dance was also thought a symptom of the highest state of transport that could be induced by wine. See Xenoph. *Hier.* 6, 2; hence the epithet *παροίνιοι ὀρχήσεις*, Athen. xiv. p. 629: *ἦν δέ τις καὶ Ἰωνικὴ ὄρχησις παροίνιος·* and Lucian, p. 288: *τὸ Φρύγιον τῆς ὀρχήσεως εἶδος, τὸ παροίνιον καὶ συμποτικόν, μετὰ μέθης γιγνόμενον, ἀγροίκων πολλάκις πρὸς αὔλημα γυναικεῖον ὀρχουμένων.* Of these private dances there are but scanty notices; one however, called *ἄνθεμα*, is mentioned by Athen. *Ib.*: *ἦν δὲ καὶ παρὰ τοῖς ἰδιώταις ἡ καλουμένη ἄνθεμα.* It was accompanied by these words:

Ποῦ μοι τὰ ῥόδα, ποῦ μοι τὰ ἴα, ποῦ μοι τὰ καλὰ σέλινα;
Ταδὶ τὰ ῥόδα, ταδὶ τὰ ἴα, ταδὶ τὰ καλὰ σέλινα.

Social dances, in which both sexes might take part, such as Plato desires (*Leg.* vi. p. 771), do not appear to be mentioned anywhere. Consult however Aristoph. *Lysist.* 408:

Ὦ χρυσοχόε, τὸν ὅρμον, ὃν ἐπεσκεύασας ὀρχουμένης μου τῆς γυναικὸς ἑσπέρας, ἡ βάλανος ἐκπέπτωκεν ἐκ τοῦ τρήματος.

[24] See Excursus on *The Games.*

the bowls?' 'With the manes,' decided Glaucon; 'there's then more room to display one's skill.'

A tall candelabrum was set in the midst of the circle. From this was suspended the balance, so adjusted, that when the scale-pan descended smartly, it must strike the head of the manes, placed beneath. Glaucon now stepped forward, his arm bent, with the cylix in his hand, and jerked the residue of the wine towards the scale. But only a few drops hit it, and the plate merely oscillated a little from side to side. 'He loves me not,' said he, retiring in vexation to his seat. 'You should discharge it more in a stream,' said Ctesiphon. He took the cup, and the humid volley flew like a ball into the scale-plate, which descended,

---

[25] See *Gallus*, p. 498, for the custom of wearing garlands on the breast, ὑποθυμίδες, or ὑποθυμιάδες. They sometimes occur on monuments. See Winkelm. *Monum. ined.* 200.

A vase-painting from Tischbein, *Engrav.* II. 45, supposed to represent Dionysos and Ariadne. The chair (καθέδρα) is of a shape that frequently occurs; on it is a skin (κώδιον) instead of a cushion; on the seat of Dionysos is a panther-skin; on his head is a garland, and one also on his breast (ὑποθυμιάς).

and rang repeatedly against the bronze head beneath. Thus the game went round again and again. At one time the throw succeeded, at another it did not. Glaucon too, had the luck, eventually, to obtain a better augury as to his loves; but Ctesiphon surpassed them all.

'Yes,' said Glaucon, 'he understands throwing the wine away, better than drinking it; but now he must do the latter also. A larger beaker there! that will hold at least ten cyathi, and also a breast-garland[25]. We will drink in a circle. What's the harm if we do get a little wetted[26]? The earth drinks, the plants drink, and as they are refreshed by the water of heaven, so is the spirit of man cheered by wine. It lulls our cares to sleep, as poppy-juice and mandrake do the senses, and wakes us up to merriment, as oil nourishes the flame[27].' A large goblet was brought, and seized by Glaucon, who turning to the right, exclaimed: 'Friendship and love to thee, Ctesiphon[28]:'—he then emptied the measure, without drawing breath. 'By my troth, you force me now to break my determination,' exclaimed Ctesiphon. 'Oh! don't be alarmed,' cried Stephanos: 'I know of a first-rate specific; if you get drunk to-day, drink again to-morrow, that will set you right[29].' 'Eat bitter almonds,' said Euctemon; 'that's a sure receipt for being able to stand much liquor[30].'

---

[26] A euphemism for being drunk is *βαπτίζεσθαι*. So Plato, *Symp.* p. 176: *καὶ γὰρ καὶ αὐτός εἰμι τῶν χθὲς βεβαπτισμένων*. One slightly touched or hit was called *ἀκροθώραξ* (Latinè, *ictus* or *saucius*). Plutarch, *Symp.* iii. 8, 1: *τοῦ δὲ ἀκροθώρακος ἔτι μὲν ἰσχύειν τὸ φανταστικὸν, ἤδη δὲ τεταράχθαι τὸ λογιστικόν.*

[27] Xenoph. *Symp.* 2, 24: *τῷ γὰρ ὄντι ὁ οἶνος ἅρδων τὰς ψυχὰς τὰς μὲν λύπας, ὥσπερ ὁ μανδραγόρας τοὺς ἀνθρώπους, κοιμίζει, τὰς δὲ φιλοφροσύνας, ὥσπερ ἔλαιον φλόγα, ἐγείρει.*

[28] See the Excursus on *The Symposia.*

[29] *οἴνῳ τὸν οἶνον ἐξελαύνειν*, or *κραιπάλην κραιπάλῃ*, was a proverb. Antiphan. apud Athen. ii. p. 44; and Plutarch, *de San. Tuend.* 11.

[30] Topers resorted to various devices to prevent or allay drunkenness. Aristotle recommends sweet wine,

Pledging now became the order of the day, and the party grew more uproarious. Several called for drinking horns[31]. Nausicrates held one of the flute-players locked in his embrace, the other knelt near Callicles, and beat the tabor[32]; the cottabos was forgotten.

Meanwhile the dancers had gone away. At this moment their owner re-appeared, and informed the guests

---

cabbage, and olives. *Probl.* iii. 12, 17, and 35. This property of cabbage, *ῥάφανος*, or *κράμβη*, Athenæus endeavours to establish by sundry quotations from the poets (i. p. 34). Bitter almonds are also mentioned as a specific by Plutarch, *Symp.* i. 6, 4. Cf. Athen. ii. p. 52. It has been remarked in *Gallus*, p. 497, that the *στέφανοι* and *ὑποθυμιάδες* were also deemed antidotes against the effects of wine. According to Diod. Sic. iv. 4, it was on this account that Dionysos wore the *μίτρα* round his brow.

[31] The common forms of drinking-vessels which perpetually recur on monuments, are the *κύλιξ*, the *φιάλη*, and the *καρχήσιον*, or, what much resembles it, the *κάνθαρος*. The *κύλιξ* occurs most frequently, and when empty is generally held by one of its two handles. The *φιάλη*, a kind of saucer, without handle or foot, was laid on the palm of the left hand, whilst the right sometimes holds a drinking-horn. These were called *κέρατα* or *ῥυτά*, and occur in manifold shapes. The original and oldest shape, is that of the simple bullock's horn, (Gerhard, *Auserl. Gr. Vasenb.* 16, 23, 25,) but the pointed end of this was afterwards transformed into the figures of divers beasts. Athenæus, (xi. p. 497,) according to the present text, dates its invention from the time of Ptolemy Philadelphus, though he had previously quoted the word *ῥυτά* from Demosthenes (*in Mid.* p. 565). The supposition that something has been omitted in the passage of Athenæus, and that the account refers to the *δικέρας*, is very probable. The proper *ῥυτόν* had an opening in the bottom, from which the wine poured into the mouth of the drinker. See the fresco, *Pitt. d'Ercol.* v. pl. 46; see also Dorotheos of Sidon ap. Athen. *supra: τὰ ῥυτὰ κέρασιν ὅμοια εἶναι, διατετρημένα δ' εἶναι· ἐξ ὧν κρουνιζόντων λεπτῶς κάτωθεν πίνουσιν· ὠνομάσθαι δὲ ἀπὸ τῆς ῥύσεως.* Inasmuch as the *ῥυτόν* differed nothing in form from the *κέρας*, it is not surprizing that the name is also applied to similarly shaped vessels having no opening. According to the kind of head in which the lower end of the *rhyton* terminated, so was it named, as for example, *γρὺψ*, *κάπρος*, *ἵππος*, *Πήγασος*, and so on. See Woodcuts in Excursus on *The Meals*, and on *The Symposia*; also Panofka *Recherches, etc.* pl. v.; Tischbein, *Collection of Engravings from Anc. Vases*, ii. 7. With regard to the material, see Note 22 to Scene VIII.

[32] In a vase-painting, (Tischbein, ii. 55,) a *παῖς*, who is not one of the *συμπόται*, kneels at the lower end of the *κλίνη*, and beats the *τύμπανον*, whilst the *αὐλητρὶς*, standing by the second *κλίνη*, blows the double-flute. So also in Millin, *Peint. d. Vas. Gr.* i. 38. See Woodcuts in the Excursus on *The Symposia*.

that he was about to exhibit a mimic dance. Helena would receive Paris in her thalamos, and be persuaded to elope with him[33]. A gorgeous couch was here introduced, and then Helena entered in bridal array. All her motions and gestures indicated an inward struggle; she was evidently expecting her lover. Gracefully she sank down on the purple coverlet of the bed, and when the flutes struck up a Phrygian melody, announcing the approach of the seducer, her bosom heaved with stronger emotion: she rose not to

---

[33] See Xenoph. *Symp.* 9, 2, where the Syracusan informs the company that Ἀριάδνη εἴσεισιν εἰς τὸν ἑαυτῆς τε καὶ Διόνυσου θάλαμον. μετὰ δὲ τοῦθ' ἥξει Διόνυσος ὑποπεπτωκὼς παρὰ θεοῖς, καὶ εἴσεισι πρὸς αὐτὴν, ἔπειτα παιζοῦνται πρὸς ἀλλήλους. This announcement is like the prologue of the dramas, serving to prepare the spectator for what is to follow: though perfect μιμητικὴ ought not of course to require such an explanation beforehand. See Lucian, *de Salt.* 62. But this pompous prelude was quite to be expected from one like the Syracusan stroller. Such spectacles seem to have been common in Xenophon's day. So in Longus, (*Pastor*, ii. p. 67,) the fable of Pan and Syrinx, which had just before been related, is introduced as a mimic dance. Οἱ δὲ μάλα ταχέως ἀναστάντες ὠρχήσαντο τὸν μῦθον τοῦ Λάμωνος. ὁ Δάφνις Πᾶνα ἐμιμεῖτο, τὴν Σύριγγα Χλόη. ὁ μὲν ἱκέτευε πείθων, ἡ δὲ ἀμελοῦσα ἐμειδία. ὁ μὲν ἐδίωκε καὶ ἐπ' ἄκρων τῶν ὀνύχων ἔτρεχε, τὰς χηλὰς μιμούμενος· ἡ δὲ ἐνέφαινε τὴν κάμνουσαν ἐν τῇ φυγῇ, κ. τ. λ. But other dances also, not exactly representing a legend, the ἐπιλήνιος ὄρχησις, for instance, were decidedly of a mimic character. *Ibid.* p. 66: Δρύας δὲ, ἀναστὰς καὶ κελεύσας συρίζειν διονυσιακὸν μέλος, ἐπιλήνιον αὐτοῖς ὄρχησιν ὠρχήσατο, καὶ ἐφίκει ποτὲ μὲν τρυγῶντι, ποτὲ δὲ φέροντι ἀῤῥίχους, εἶτα πατοῦντι πρὸς βύτρυς, εἶτα πληροῦντι τοὺς πίθους, εἶτα πίνοντι τοῦ γλεύκους. The interesting tale in Lucian, *de Salt.* 63, about the Cynic Demetrios, in Nero's time, shews that the mimic art must afterwards have reached a high degree of perfection. This man blamed and ridiculed mimic dances; but a celebrated performer begged him first to see him dance, before he condemned the art. Upon this he represented, quite alone, (αὐτὸς ἐφ' ἑαυτοῦ), the story of the infidelity of Aphrodite, and so perfect was his delineation of the characters, that the Cynic cried out in astonishment, ἀκούω, ἄνθρωπε, ἃ ποιεῖς, οὐχ ὁρῶ μόνον, ἀλλά μοι δοκεῖς ταῖς χερσὶν αὐταῖς λαλεῖν. As Lucian remarks, (§ 37,) the mimic art took its subjects from the παλαιὰ ἱστορία only; and this statement is confirmed by Xenophon's Ariadne, by the Ἀφροδίτης καὶ Ἄρεος μοιχεία, above referred to, and by the Ἑλένης ἁρπαγὴ, which, along with many other instances, is mentioned by Lucian, *Ib.* § 45. The words of Xenophon have been closely followed here, though the *dramatis personæ* have been changed. See Millingen, *Uned. Monum.* ii. 12, and Tischbein, *Homer nach antiken*, vii. 3.

meet him, stifling with difficulty her desire to do so. Paris came dancing in, his eyes full of an expression of the tenderest affection. He sat down on the bed, and with supple arms clasped the peerless form. And when she, full of shame, and yet full of love, returned his fond embrace, a universal tumult arose, and the spectators, unable to contain themselves, swore it was no acting, but a reality; the boy and the girl loved each other, there was no doubt about it.

'My sandals, slave!' cried Nausicrates. 'Whither away?' enquired Lysiteles. 'To see Antiphile, my soul's idol.' Not a few of the guests rose to go; though Glaucon, Euctemon, and Stephanos protested that they would not budge an inch till the bowl was drunk out. 'Kindle torches there,' cried Lysiteles[34], 'and light the gentlemen out.' 'Thanks to thee,' said Charicles, extending to him his hand; 'my chaplet shall deck the Hermes before thy door[35].'

---

[34] Respecting the lighting-apparatus, see Notes 1 and 5 to Scene IX.

[35] See the tale about Xenocrates, Athen. x. p. 437: *καὶ λαβὼν τὸν χρυσοῦν στέφανον καὶ ἀναλύων τῷ Ἑρμῇ τῷ ἱδρυμένῳ ἐπὶ τῆς αὐλῆς ἐπέθηκεν, ὥσπερ εἰώθει καὶ τοὺς ἀνθινοὺς ἑκάστοτε ἐπιτιθέναι στεφάνους, ἑσπέρας ἀπαλλασσόμενος ὡς αὐτόν.*

SCENE THE SEVENTH.

THE TRITON.

IT was one of the last days of the month of Hecatombæon, and the sun's golden orb, rising above the ocean-mirror, began to illumine with its rays the pediment of the citadel, and the lofty statue of the tutelar goddess, who seemed gazing earnestly over her awakening city, as she looked towards the placid sea, where new-born light was still struggling with the mists of the morning. At this moment a ship, more beautiful than any before seen in the roads of Piræus, weighed anchor in the harbour. Though of an unusual size and stoutness of build, it glided lightly and buoyantly over the watery expanse, impelled vigorously by stalwart oarsmen, whose voices kept time in a rude sailor-chorus[1]. A fresh westerly breeze waved the purple

[1] In order that the oars might keep time, a sort of chaunt, *κέλευσμα*, was universally used, at least in larger ships; a *κελευστὴς*, appointed for the purpose, leading, and the rowers chiming in. So Æschyl. *Pers.* 403:

εὐθὺς δὲ κώπης ῥοθιάδος ξυνεμβολῇ
ἔπαισαν ἅλμην βρύχιον ἐκ κελεύσματος.

The *κελευστὴς*, inasmuch as the quickness or slowness of the time depended upon him, exercised considerable influence on the crew: *οἷον καὶ ἐν τριήρει, ἔφη, ὅταν πελαγίζωσι καὶ δέῃ περᾶν ἡμερίους πλοῦς ἐλαύνοντας, οἱ μὲν τῶν κελευστῶν δύναντα ι τοιαῦτα λέγειν καὶ ποιεῖν, ὥστε ἀκουᾶν τὰς ψυχὰς τῶν ἀνθρώπων ἐπὶ τὸ ἐθελοντὰς πονεῖν, κ. τ. λ.* Xenoph. *Œcon.* 21, 3; cf. *de Republ. Athen.* 1, 2; and Ovid, *Trist.* iv. 1, 7:

In numerum pulsa brachia versat aqua.

That the *κέλευσμα* was sung, and was something more than mere beating time, is clear from Lucian, *Catapl.* 19, where Cyniscos, not possessing an obolus to pay Charon for his passage, offers as an equivalent his services at the oar. Charon accepting the proposal, he inquires: *Ἦ καὶ ὑποκελεῦσαι δείσει;* ΧΑΡ. *Νὴ Δί', ἥνπερ εἰδῇς κέλευσμά τι τῶν ναυτικῶν.* ΚΥΝ. *Οἶδα καὶ πολλά, ὦ Χάρων, τῶν ναυτικῶν. ἀλλ' ὁρᾷς, ἀντεπηχοῦσιν οὗτοι δακρύοντες, ὥστε ἡμῖν τὸ ᾄσμα ἐπιταραχθήσεται.* On which the Scholiast remarks: *Ὡς ἐν τοῖς πλοίοις λέγειν εἰώθασι κελεῦσαι, ᾠδὴν δὲ λέγει ναυτικὴν, ἣν ὑποκέλευσμα καλεῖ, διότι ἑνὸς καταρχομένου οἱ ἄλλοι ὑπήκουον τὸ ᾀδόμενον, ὥσπερ καὶ ὅτε τὴν ὀθόνην τῶν πλοίων μετὰ τῆς κεραίας ἐπὶ τὸν ἱστὸν ἀναφέρουσιν.* So in the *Ranæ*, 205, the frogs sing the *κέλευσμα*. On board triremes a flute gave the time, and there was a *τριηραύλης* on purpose. Demosth. *de*

pennon, and swelled the white sail, which swept over the water like an impending cloud. The briny flood yielded to the deep-ploughing keel, while the spray bedewed the gaily painted sides, ever and anon spirting up to the gilded figure-head—a Triton with distended cheeks, blowing a conch, the tutelary genius of the vessel, and which thence derived its name[2]. The master, a merchant of Hera-

---

Coron. p. 270. So Dionysodoros, the flute-player, prided himself that his performances had never taken place on board a trireme. Diog. Laert. iv. 22. Cf. Max. Tyr. *Diss.* iii. p. 47.

[2] Every ship had its peculiar device to distinguish it, and this was usually called the *παράσημον*. What this was, its significance, and its position in the ship, are discussed by Scheffer, *de Milit. Nav.*; and by Enschedé, in his *Diss. de tutelis et insignibus navium*; though this refers more to Roman than to Grecian vessels. The *παράσημον* was sometimes the figure of a deity, sometimes of a beast, or other striking object; but where it was placed is doubtful. The passage in Æschylus, *Sept. Cont. Theb.* 193:

τί οὖν; ὁ ναύτης ἆρα μὴ εἰς πρῴραν φυγὼν
πρύμνηθεν εὗρε μηχανὴν σωτηρίας;

does not mean, as has been supposed, that the sailor flees to the images of the gods placed at the prow, but only, as the context shews, that he flies from one place to another, as the Theban women had done. No doubt the *παράσημον* is often mentioned as being at the *πρῷρα*. Herod. iii. 37: *ἔστι γὰρ τοῦ Ἡφαίστου τῶγλαμα τοῖσι Φοινικηίοισι Παταϊκοῖσι ἐμφερέστατον, τοὺς οἱ Φοίνικες ἐν τῇσι πρῳρῃσι τῶν τριήρεων περιάγουσι.* And the Scholiast adds: *θεοὶ οἱ Παταϊκοὶ Φοινικικοὶ ἐν ταῖς πρύμναις ἱδρυμένοι.* So again, Herod. iii. 59: *καὶ τῶν νεῶν καπρίους ἐχουσέων τὰς πρώρας ἠκρωτηρίασαν*, where the expression, *πρῶραι κάπριαι* does not refer to the figure-head, but to the peculiar build of the ships. Yet it is placed in the prow by Diod. Sic. iv. 47: *Διαπλεῦσαι γὰρ αὐτόν φασιν οἱ μὲν ἐπὶ νεὼς προτομὴν ἐπὶ τῆς πρώρας ἐχούσης κριοῦ·* and Schol. to Apoll. *Rhod.* ii. 168: *ἐπὶ κριοπρώρου σκάφους ἔπλευσεν.* Euripides, however, places it in the stern. *Iphig. in Aul.* 232:

χρυσέαις δ' εἰκόσιν
κατ' ἄκρα Νηρῇδες ἔστασαν θεαὶ,
πρύμναις σῆμ' Ἀχιλλείου στρατοῦ.

Ibid. 263:

πρύμνας σῆμα ταυρόπουν ὁρᾶν
τὸν πάροικον Ἀλφεόν.

So also *Ib.* 240; and 248:

τοῖς δὲ Κάδμος ἦν χρύσεον δράκοντ' ἔχων
ἀμφὶ ναῶν κόρυμβα.

where the same place is doubtless meant, though the grammarians restrict the word *κόρυμβα* to the decorations of the prow, as opposed to *ἄφλαστα*. See *Etymol. M. ἄφλαστον.* The poets, however, do not seem to have adhered very rigorously to this distinction. Apollonius has, ii. 603:

Ἔμπης δ' ἀφλάστοιο παρέθρισαν ἄκρα κόρυμβα.

Cf. Eustath. *ad Iliad.* ix. 241.

Two things seem clear from the passages of Euripides: first, that every state had its peculiar *σημεῖον* or *ἐπίσημον*, which served to distinguish all ships belonging to it. Thus a Pallas was the *σημεῖον* of Athens, as appears

clea, strode the deck in high spirits[3]. Having disposed of his cargo of wheat to advantage, he had freighted the ship with oil, and sundry productions of Attic industry, which he intended for the markets of Pontus. But he designed first to steer for Chios, to complete his cargo with wine, and then to touch at Andros to land some passengers, and to take in water, for which that rocky island was famed. He was ruminating over his fortunate adventure, and

---

from the Scholion on the *Acharn.* 521: Παλλάδια δὲ ἐν ταῖς πρώραις τῶν τριήρων ἦν ἀγάλματά τινα ξύλινα τῆς Ἀθηνᾶς καθιδρυμένα, ὧν ἐπεμελοῦντο μέλλοντες πλεῖν. Thus we have Ἀττικὸν σημεῖον, Polyæn. *Strateg.* iii. 11, 11; and Περσικὰ σημεῖα, *ib.* viii. 53, 1. In the second place this universally used ἐπίσημον was on the after part of the ship, though the scholiast just cited asserts the contrary. But surely the poet is as good an authority as the scholiast, who most likely was under a misapprehension; for, besides this general σημεῖον, which was a national distinction, there was doubtless in the fore-part of each ship a special device, by means of which the individual ships might be distinguished, and this was properly the παράσημον. At least this was more particularly the case with all private ships, all of which would not perhaps have the state-symbol also. From this παράσημον the ship derived its name. Lucian, *Navig.* 5: καταντικρὺ δὲ ἀνάλογον ἡ πρώρα ὑπερβέβηκεν ἐς τὸ πρόσω μηκυνομένη, τὴν ἐπώνυμον τῆς νεὼς θεὸν ἔχουσα, τὴν Ἶσιν ἑκατέρωθεν. Thus in the bas-relief referred to in Note 4, a helmeted Minerva appears on the πρώρα as a παράσημον. With this compare Ovid, *Trist.* i. 10, 1. That every ship had its proper name, is expressly stated by Palæph. 29: ὄνομα δὲ ἦν τῷ πλοίῳ Πήγασος, ὡς καὶ νῦν ἕκαστον τῶν πλοίων ὄνομα ἔχει. This name was written upon the ship, Poll. i. 86: τὸ δὲ ὑπὲρ τὸ προῦχον ἀκροστόλιον ἡ πτυχὶς ὀνομάζεται, καὶ ὀφθαλμὸς, ὅπου καὶ τοὔνομα τῆς νεὼς ἐπιγράφουσι. Also Eustath. *ad Iliad.* xiv. 717: πτυχὴ δέ ἐστιν, ὅπου οἵ τε ὀφθαλμοὶ ζωγραφοῦνται καὶ τὸ τῆς νεὼς ὄνομα ἐπιγράφεται. See also Hippocr. *Epist.* iii. p. 786; and Palæph. 30: ἐγέγραπτο δὲ ἐπὶ τοῦ πλοίου "Ἵπποι ὑπόπτεροι." Such an inscription may have often served without any further παράσημον.

Fritzsche infers from Aristoph. *Ran.* 48, that the names of living persons were given to ships; but perhaps the poet only uses the two-fold meaning of ἐπιβατεύειν, to give an unexpected turn to the dialogue. *Ran.* 1433, has also been adduced, though with very little probability, to shew there were ships which bore the names of Cleocritos and Cinesias. The thing is not impossible in itself, even though no instance could be adduced; but that triremes were ever named after private individuals does not appear probable.

[3] The ships of the ναύκληροι were partly decked, partly not. Antipho, *de Cæde Herod.* p. 715: ἐν ᾧ μὲν γὰρ ἐπλέομεν, ἀστέγαστον ἦν τὸ πλοῖον, εἰς ὃ δὲ μετέβημεν, ἐστεγασμένον. τοῦ δὲ ὑετοῦ ἕνεκα ταῦτ' ἦν. Cf. Alciphr. *Epist.* i. 12. The passengers resorted mostly to the deck, κατάστρωμα, which is opposed to the κοίλη ναῦς. Herod. iii. 118, 119; Lucian, *Navig.* 5.

calculating how far the profits of the voyage would go towards covering the expense of his new vessel. The passengers too—what with the fineness of the weather, and the prospect of a quick passage—were in excellent spirits, and inhaled with great zest the fresh morning air; some chiming in with their voices, others beating time with their feet to the monotonous chaunt of the crew.

Aft, near the cheniscos[4], where stood the steersman, who grasped the rudder with practised hand, were two young men, who complacently surveyed the vessel, and at their side was a third, who seemed in less cheerful mood, and appeared to gaze regretfully at the city which was rapidly receding from his view. 'A noble bark,' exclaimed the one; 'it must be a quarter of a stadium in length[5], and, as I am told, it draws a depth of water equal to its breadth. Only look at that giant mast, that mighty sail, and the beautiful arrangement of the rigging! And yet it obeys the helm as readily as a fishing-boat.' 'At any rate,' replied the person thus addressed, 'we have done better in waiting a

[4] The χηνίσκος, properly a προτομὴ χηνὸς, or goose-neck, is often mentioned as a part of the ship, but it is doubtful whereabouts it was. The *Etymol. M.* says: χηνίσκος τὸ τῆς πρώρας μέρος, οὗ ἀπήρτηνται αἱ ἄγκυραι, ὃ καὶ τῆς τρόπιδός ἐστιν ἀρχή. εἰσὶ δ' οἳ μᾶλλον τὸ τῆς πρύμνης ἐφασαν ἄκρον, πρὸς ὃ ἐπιζεύγνυνται αἱ ἐπωτίδες τῆς νεώς. In several ancient seals it is distinctly placed in the fore, in others in the after part of the vessel, sometimes at both ends; now turned outwards, and then again bent inwards towards the ship. It appears most probable, however, that its proper place was the after-part, πρύμνα. Lucian, *Navig.* 5: ὡς δὲ ἡ πρύμνα μὲν ἐπανέστηκεν ἠρέμα καμπύλη, χρυσοῦν χηνίσκον ἐπικειμένη, and *Ver. Hist.* ii. 41: ὅ τε γὰρ ἐν τῇ πρύμνῃ χηνίσκος ἄφνω ἐπτερύξατο καὶ ἀνεβόησε. Cf. Eustath. *ad Iliad.* vii. 86; *ad Odyss.* xii. 408; see also Plate vi. 2, in Gorio v. Agyagfalva's *Wunderungen durch Pompeii*, which represents an allegorical relief from Pompeii, in which the χηνίσκος is conspicuously represented in the stern. As appears from the above-cited passage from Lucian, it was gilt, as well as the παράσημα, with which, however, it must not be confounded. See also Lucian, *Jup. Trag.* 47.

[5] It may be doubted whether, at the time in question, merchant-ships were built of such a size, but the one described by Lucian, (*Navig.* 5,) was considerably larger: ἡλίκη ναῦς. εἴκοσι καὶ ἑκατὸν πήχεων ἔλεγεν ὁ ναυπηγὸς τὸ μῆκος, εὖρος δὲ ὑπὲρ τὸ τέταρτον μάλιστα τούτου καὶ ἀπὸ τοῦ καταστρώματος ἐς τὸν πυθμένα, ᾗ βαθύτατον κατὰ τὸν ἄντλον, ἐννέα πρὸς τοῖς εἴκοσι.

few days, than if we had trusted our lives to that ricketty craft of the Byzantine. The owner, too, is more to my taste; his whole bearing inspires confidence; and at sea, you know, it makes all the difference, whether you are in the hands of an honest man, or of a rogue who in the hour of danger looks only to his own safety, and leaves the rest to shift for themselves.' 'I take him to be honest enough,' said the first; 'but in any exigency, I should not make so sure of his constancy. Why at such a period even the firm ties of friendship will relax; in the desire of self-preservation every other consideration is lost, and the instinctive love of life overwhelms all feeling for another[6].' 'After all,' interposed the third, who had joined the speakers, 'I am a mere fool for exposing myself so needlessly to the dangers and privations of a voyage. You, Charicles, have a considerable sum to receive in Andros; and you, Ctesiphon, intend to sell an estate of yours at Chios[7]; whilst I have been mad enough to go with you, for no earthly purpose, but just to see the vintage of the noble Chian wine, (which I should do far better to drink quietly at home at Athens;) and here I am rolling about on this ship till I positively feel quite queer, instead of rocking lovingly and merrily on the knee of my Antiphile. And, what is worse than all, you have dawdled and dawdled, till we shall certainly arrive too late for the vintage.' 'Be easy on that score, Nausicrates,' answered Charicles with a smile; 'with this wind we can make Andros before nightfall[8]: to-morrow you will be at Chios, and in ten or twelve days, again, perhaps, embrace your Antiphile.'

Meanwhile the Triton glided swiftly along the coast of

---

[6] From Eugène Sue's *Salamander:* cf. Achill. Tat. iii. 3.

[7] See Terent. *Phorm.* iv. 3, 75.

[8] From what Bröndsted says of his passage to Ceos, it must have been easy to go from Athens to Andros in a day. In Homer's time only four days were required from Lesbos to the Peloponnese. *Odyss.* iii. 180:

τέτρατον ἦμαρ ἔην, ὅτ' ἐν Ἄργεϊ νῆας ἐίσας
Τυδείδεω ἕταροι Διομήδεος ἱπποδάμοιο
στῆσαν.

Attica; the sun rose higher in the sky, and the passengers commenced preparations for breakfast. The three friends followed their example, though Nausicrates was a long time in settling the preliminaries. While the other passengers deposited themselves without more ado on the bare deck, he made his two slaves unpack his travelling couch, and spread over it a costly carpet, and arrange the cushions with exquisite nicety. This however would not do—the sun was too hot for him, so the couch had to be shifted to a position where the sail afforded a shade[9]. At length he succeeded in selecting the spot where he could proceed to breakfast with the least possible annoyance.

The passengers were so occupied in animated conversation, that the vessel's speed gradually diminished without their perceiving it. The breeze, at first so fresh, flagged by degrees, and the hour of noon brought a dead calm. The sail hung loosely from the mast, and the rowers had to labour harder with the oar. A pale streak in the sky to the south-east, whose breadth kept gradually increasing, made the practised steersman uneasy. 'We shall have a storm,' said he to the owner, who had approached him; 'let us steer for Ceos, and take refuge in its safe harbour.' The Heracleote thought otherwise. 'There will be rain,' said he, 'that's all; and, before it comes, we shall perhaps have got to Andros. Put your helm to larboard, and keep close along Eubœa, so that in case of accident, we may be within reach of the havens of Carystos or Geræstos. But I have no fear.' The steersman shook his head doubtfully, and the event too soon proved the truth of his prediction. The storm gathered with an incredible rapidity; the heavens, lately so serene, became shrouded in sombre gray;

[9] The Attic fopling in Alciphron, *Epist.* i. 12, is the original of this sketch: *οὐ γὰρ ἀνεχόμενος τῶν ξύλων τῆς ἁλιάδος ἐπί τε ταπήτων τινῶν ξενικῶν καὶ ἐφεστρίδων κατακλινεὶς (οὐ γὰρ οἷός τε ἔφασκεν εἶναι κεῖσθαι, ὡς οἱ λοιποὶ, ἐπὶ τῶν καταστρωμάτων, τὴν σανίδα οἶμαι νομίζων λίθου τραχυτέραν) ἥτει παρ' ἡμῶν, σκιὰν αὐτῷ μηχανήσασθαι, τὴν τοῦ ἱστίου σινδόνα ὑπερπετάσαντας, ὡς οὐδαμῶς οἷός τε ὢν φέρειν τὰς ἡλιακὰς ἀκτῖνας.*

single puffs broke the calm, and heralded the coming tempest.

The helmsman altered the ship's course, steering right down on Eubœa; but it was too late. With mad fury the hurricane burst forth; the waves upheaved themselves in wrathful strife, and black clouds turned the bright day into a twilight broken only by the fitful gleam of the lightning athwart the sky[10]. In vain did the sailors attempt to take in the canvass. On one side only they succeeded[11]; and this but increased the danger, for the tempest pounced furiously on the other portion of the sheet, and nearly threw the vessel on her beam-ends.

Wilder and wilder blew the gale; the waves rose mountain-high; at one moment the Triton sank into the abyss, the next she was in the clouds. The creaking of the mast, the snapping of the rigging, the shouts of the crew, the lamentations of the women who were on board, all increased the horrors of the scene. The rain poured down in such torrents that nothing could be seen; no one knew which way the vessel was being hurried; and all thought that the next second she would strike upon a rock. At length a gust fiercer than the rest seized the mast, which cracked and broke. 'She's sprung a leak,' cried several voices; 'over with the cargo!' 'Open the oil-jars,' exclaimed a voice above the rest, 'and smooth the sea[12].' A host of hands forthwith set to work to lighten the ship:

---

[10] The whole description of the shipwreck is taken from Achill. Tat. iii. 1, seqq.

[11] Achill. Tat. *supra.* *καὶ ὁ κυβερνήτης περιάγειν ἐκέλευε τὴν κεραίαν. καὶ σπουδῇ περιῆγον οἱ ναῦται πῇ μὲν τὴν ὀθόνην ἐπὶ θάτερα συνάγοντες ἄνω τοῦ κέρως βίᾳ (τὸ γὰρ πνεῦμα σφοδρότερον ἐμπεσὸν ἀνθέλκειν οὐκ ἐπέτρεπεν), πῇ δὲ πρὸς θατερον μέρος, φυλάττοντες τοῦ πρόσθεν μέτρου, ἵ' αθ' ὃ συνέβαινεν οὔριον εἶναι τῇ περιαγωγῇ τὸ πνεῦμα.* The whole passage, however, is somewhat obscure, and seems to suppose a disposition of the sails and yards, to which we are unaccustomed. In the relief above referred to, the yards, and apparently the sails also, seem to consist of two portions, united by thongs or ropes.

[12] The belief that the sea might be calmed by pouring oil upon it, is of ancient date. Plutarch, *Quæst.*

earthen vessels and chests were pitched overboard. The owner yielded to necessity, and consigned his own venture, along with the passengers' luggage, to the tender mercies of the deep. But all was of no avail, the ship sank deeper and deeper; and there being now no hope of saving her, the owner signed to the helmsman to have the boat got ready. He himself was the first to spring into it, followed by the helmsman and crew, who immediately began to cut the rope[13].

A fierce struggle now arose between those in the boat and the passengers left on board the ship, who struck at them with oars and poles, trying to prevent the cutting of the rope, which would destroy their last faint hope of escape; whilst the others as obstinately defended themselves, fearful that the boat would sink, if more got into it. Meanwhile, the powerful hand of Ctesiphon had grasped the rope, drawing the boat close alongside the Triton. 'Quick! Charicles,' cried he; and then leapt after his friend, dragging with him the trembling Nausicrates. Several essayed to follow, but few only succeeded; most of them fell short into the sea. Severed by axes, the rope at length gave way, and the boat parted from the ship amid the loud curses of those left behind. Too soon were these destined

---

Nat. 12, discusses the question: *διὰ τί τῆς θαλάττης ἁλαίῳ καταῤῥαινομένης γίνεται καταφάνεια καὶ γαλήνη;*

[13] Achill. Tat. iii. 3: *Τέλος δ' ὁ κυβερνήτης ἀπειπὼν ῥίπτει μὲν τὰ πηδάλια ἐκ τῶν χειρῶν, ἀφίησι δὲ τὸ σκάφος τῇ θαλάσσῃ καὶ εὐτρεπίζει ἤδη τὴν ἐφολκίδα καὶ τοῖς ναύταις ἐμβαίνειν κελεύσας τῆς ἀποβάθρας ἦρχεν. Οἱ δὲ εὐθὺς κατὰ πόδας ἐξήλλοντο. Ἔνθα δὴ καὶ τὰ δεινὰ ἦν καὶ ἦν μάχη χειροποίητος. Οἱ μὲν γὰρ ἐπιβάντες ἤδη τὸν κάλων ἔκοπτον ὃς συνέδει τὴν ἐφολκίδα τῷ σκάφει. τῶν δὲ πλωτήρων ἕκαστος ἔσπευδε μεταπηδᾶν, ἔνθα καὶ τὸν κυβερνήτην ἐωράκεσαν ἐφέλκοντα τὸν κάλων· οἱ δὲ ἐκ τῆς ἐφολκίδος μεταβαίνειν οὐκ ἐπέτρεπον κ.τ.λ. Ἔνθα δή τις ἀπὸ τῆς νεὼς νεανίσκος εὔρωστος λαμβάνεται τοῦ κάλω καὶ ἐφέλκεται τὴν ἐφολκίδα καὶ ἦν ἐγγὺς ἤδη τοῦ σκάφους. ηὐτρεπίζετο δὲ ἕκαστος, ὡς, εἰ πελάσειε, πηδήσων εἰς αὐτήν. Καὶ δύο μὲν ἢ τρεῖς ηὐτύχησαν οὐκ ἀναιμωτί· πολλοὶ δὲ ἀποπηδᾶν πειρώμενοι ἐξεκυλίσθησαν τῆς νεὼς κατὰ τῆς θαλάσσης. Ταχὺ γὰρ τὴν ἐφολκίδα ἀπολύσαντες οἱ ναῦται πελέκει κόψαντες τὸν κάλων, τὸν πλοῦν εἶχον, ἔνθα αὐτοὺς ἦγε τὸ πνεῦμα· οἱ δὲ ἐπὶ τῆς νεὼς ἐπηρῶντο καταδῦναι τὴν ἐφολκίδα.*

to be accomplished; for at the very moment when the Triton sank into her watery grave, and the last cry of agony burst from the perishing souls on board, a giant billow overwhelmed the skiff itself, and buried in the waves all but a few who clutched desperately at pieces of wreck which floated round them.

Pallidly rose the sun on the succeeding morn, throwing a dim and melancholy light over the devastations of the previous day, which were but too plainly indicated by the stranded wreck, and the corpses of the drowned mariners which had been cast on shore. The storm had ceased, although the swell had not yet subsided, and the breakers still foamed furiously on the rocky strand of Eubœa[14]. In a tiny bay, sheltered from the more savage violence of the waves by projecting rocks, lay, high up on the beach, what seemed to be the lifeless body of a young man. Beside it knelt a slave, who was endeavouring to restore animation to the stiffened limbs, by diligent chafing and rubbing. He now and then would cast a glance at the pale and beautiful countenance, and wipe away the foam and salt-water that trickled down on it from the fair-coloured locks.

While he was thus engaged, a third figure appeared on the cliffs above. To judge from his apparel, his net and basket, he was a slave, despatched to secure the finny requisites for his master's breakfast, and at the same time he was apparently spying about, on his own account, for any chance booty that the storm of yesterday might have thrown in his way[15]. On perceiving the group

---

[14] Τὰ Κοῖλα τῆς Εὐβοίας was that part of the coast of Eubœa which reached from Chalcis to Geræstos. Strabo, x. 1: ὅτι τῆς Εὐβοίας τὰ Κοῖλα λέγουσι τὰ μεταξὺ Αὐλίδος καὶ τῶν περὶ Γεραιστὸν τόπων. It was a very dangerous spot for shipping. Dio Chrysost. *Or.* vii. p. 222: καὶ ταῦτ', εἶπεν, ἔστι τὰ Κοῖλα τῆς Εὐβοίας, ὅπου κατενεχθεῖσα ναῦς οὐκ ἂν ἔτι σωθείη. σπανίως δὲ σώζονται καὶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων τινὲς.

[15] The *Rudens* of Plautus served

below, he descended, impelled by curiosity, and approaching the slave, who was so intently engaged, that he had not yet observed him, demanded what he was about. 'Heaven be praised, that you have come,' exclaimed the other, springing to his feet. 'Our ship was lost in the storm; we were thrown up here on a piece of the wreck, and my lord has almost perished with wet and fatigue. Help me to try and revive him.' 'Blockhead!' said the fisherman; 'and so you throw away your chance of becoming free? He sleeps sound enough; let him alone; and be off yourself whither you will. To-day you save his life, and to-morrow, perhaps, you will wear chain and collar. Away, I say. You will never have such a chance again.' 'So you would advise,' answered the slave, 'and so would many more; but may Zeus forfend that I should desert my lord, whom I played with when we were boys, and lived with in a foreign land. Besides, 'tis better to live with a good and generous master, than, with the empty name of free-man, to drag on a miserable life. But now, no more of that; your master, perhaps, lives close at hand?' 'A short stadium hence,' replied the fisherman; 'his country-house is just behind the cliff.' 'Run then,' cried the slave; 'run, and say that a noble Athenian has been shipwrecked; pray him to send hither wine and dry garments. Make haste, and you shall be richly rewarded for your trouble.' The fisherman shook his head; but setting down his net and basket, he disappeared.

The slave redoubled his exertions, and the wan limbs, he fancied, began to re-assume something of the colour of life. He next applied his cheek to the nostrils and mouth, laying his hand at the same time on the heart of his master. 'He breathes,' cried the slave, bounding up in

---

as the original in the following picture. The house of Dæmones is in like manner near the sea; so likewise Gripus has gone out to fish on his master's account: iv. 1, 6:

Sed Gripus servus noster quid rerum gerat,
Miror, de nocte qui abiit piscatum ad mare.

See statues of fishermen with the basket in *Mus. Pio-Clem.* II. 32, 33.

ecstasy; 'and I feel his heart still beats, feebly though it be!' Snatching up a handful of wild thyme, he rubbed it briskly in his hands, and held it before the face. The youth moved, and for a moment opened his eyes, but closed them again. 'Charicles!' cried the honest slave, 'awake!' The other again unclosed his eyelids, and attempted to raise himself. 'Manes,' said he with feeble accents, 'is it you? Where are we?' 'Safe,' answered the slave, 'and on dry land.' 'And Ctesiphon?' enquired his master. Manes turned away his face, and was silent. 'Poor Ctesiphon! Poor Nausicrates!' sobbed the youth, the tears flowing from his eyes. 'May be, they are also saved,' interposed the slave; 'who knows? As I was hauling you on to the board, which brought us hither, I saw them grasp hold of a fragment of the stern, big enough to bear them both.' 'You have saved my life, Manes,' said Charicles, taking hold of his attendant's hand; 'the moment we return to Athens you shall be free.' 'Yet allow me to remain in your house,' replied the faithful domestic. 'But now, pray, be mindful of yourself. Let me lead you where the sun has warmed the air.'

While the youth, assisted by Manes, was endeavouring to rise, the fisherman returned. He brought with him wine and bread in a basket, and was followed by two other slaves with blankets and dry clothes. On hearing of the disaster, the kind-hearted owner of the neighbouring villa had ordered the survivors to be conveyed to his abode, where a bath was being prepared with all speed. The warm dry clothing, and the genial heat of the wine soon infused new strength and animation into the chilled limbs of Charicles; but he sat silent and abstracted, recalling to himself the scene of yesterday, and sick at heart with the loss of his dearest friend on earth.

Manes, reinvigorated by his share of the dry clothing and restoratives, had mounted the projecting cliff, and was gazing sea-ward over the still agitated waters. His eye became suddenly riveted on a dark object, that seemed

gradually nearing the shore, urged onward by the current. He called the fisherman, and asked him what it was. 'A piece of timber,' replied the man; 'belike a bit of your ship.' 'No such thing,' retorted Manes, who could now discern more plainly the outline of the object; 'it is a boat. Surely no fishermen have ventured out in such weather?' 'By Poseidon, they would be mad, an' they had; may be 'tis some fishing boat that the storm has driven out to sea.' 'No, no!' cried Manes, 'there is somebody aboard of her, rowing hard in to shore.' The skiff drew nearer, and three men became plainly distinguishable on board. Two of them had oars; the third sat between them doing nothing. At this moment Charicles, attracted by the conversation, approached the speakers. As he gazed fixedly at the advancing skiff, a presentiment came over him, which he scarce dared confess even to himself. And now the boat assayed to land; but as it approached, it was repeatedly borne back again by the violence of the surf, till at last a prodigious wave carried the frail bark, far better than the expertest steersmen could have hoped, right over the rocks, on to the shallowest place upon the beach[16]. Out sprang the man who stood in the bows, and held her fast with one hand, while with his other he assisted a second person, apparently weak and exhausted, to land; the third then followed, violently pushing out the boat, which was immediately stove in upon the rocks. 'That's Ctesiphon, as I live!' cried Manes. 'I almost think you are right,' said Charicles. 'So surely as you are Charicles,' replied the domestic; 'and Nausicrates is with him.' 'Away then,' cried the master; 'run and guide them hither.'

Manes was right: when the boat upset, Ctesiphon and Nausicrates had clung to the ship's rudder, which had been unshipped, and was floating by; the steersman had done

---

[16] Plaut. *Rudens*, i. 2, 75:

Ut afflictantur miseræ! Euge, euge, perbene!
Ab saxo avortit fluctus ad litus scapham,
Neque gubernator umquam potuit rectius.

Cf. Dio Chrysost. *supra*: τὸ μὲν δὴ ἀκάτιον εἰς τραχύν τινα αἰγιαλὸν ὑπὸ τοῖς κρημνοῖς ἐκβαλόντες διέφθειραν.

the same, and thus had they endured through the horrors of the night, in momentary danger of being swept off and engulphed by every wave. As morning dawned, Ctesiphon espied, floating not far off, an empty fishing skiff, which had, probably, been loosened from its moorings by the fury of the tempest, and thus carried out to sea. 'A God-send for our rescue!' he cried, as he dashed into the sea, gallantly cleaving the flood with his brawny arms. The steersman followed his example, and they both reached the skiff in safety, and managed to assist Nausicrates, whose strength was utterly exhausted, in getting aboard. At first they tried to reach the coast of Attica, but were forced to abandon the attempt; so resigning themselves to the current, they were carried by it to the Eubœan coast, where, to their astonishment and delight, they found the friend whom they supposed had perished; and they soon received, under a hospitable roof, that attention which their exhausted frames required.

They spent two days at the country-house in recruiting; and then, the weather having cleared, and the clouds, which had enveloped the peaks of Eubœa[17], being dissipated, the three friends debated as to what was next to be done. 'Carystos is not far hence,' said Ctesiphon; 'we had best take ship there, and return at once to Athens.' 'Not for worlds!' exclaimed Nausicrates; 'I'll not tempt the forbearance of Poseidon a second time. I shall take the shortest route to Athens, and once there, catch me ever again venturing one foot out to sea; if I do, I give Poseidon leave to treat me as he just now threatened to do[18]. But how can I possibly travel to Athens in such a plight as this? I have lost all my baggage, besides two

---

[17] Dio Chrysost. *supra*: *βουλοίμην δ' ἂν ἔγωγε καὶ μετὰ πέντε ἡμέρας λῆξαι τὸν ἄνεμον· ἀλλὰ οὐ ῥᾴδιον, εἶπεν, ὅταν οὕτω πιεσθῇ τὰ ἄκρα τῆς Εὐβοίας ὑπὸ τῶν νεφῶν, ὡς γε νῦν κατειλημμένα ὁρᾷς.*

[18] Plaut. *Most.* ii. 2, 1.

slaves, of whom one alone cost me five minæ, the last new moon but one. That would not so much matter, had but my Persian carpet been saved. As it is, I have not a garment fit to appear in, and you yourselves are no better off. Listen, therefore, to my advice: it can't be more than two days' journey to Chalcis, and a friend of mine lives there, who pays me an annual visit at the Dionysia. We will make use of him; he shall provide us with new suits, and then we will start for home.'

This proposal was agreed to; and though Ctesiphon had his laugh at the timidity of the un-Salaminian[19] Nausicrates, he allowed that under existing circumstances it would be insane to think of continuing their journey. Their amiable host provided them with a vehicle, drawn by mules, and he himself accompanied them for some distance on horseback[20].

Nausicrates' scheme was doomed to be frustrated, for he discovered that his friend was from home, having gone for his health to the medicinal spa of Ædepsos, distant about a day's journey; and that a fortnight might elapse before he returned. Charicles and Ctesiphon now proposed crossing over at once to the main-land; but their friend was of a different opinion. 'I have often,' said he, 'heard my friend talk with rapture of the delightful mode of living at these baths; and now that we are so near, it would be unpardonable not to pay them a visit. Listen,—these rings are of great value; I will pawn them[21], and we can thus procure a scanty wardrobe; and then proceed to visit

---

[19] Ἀσαλαμίνιος. Aristoph. *Ran.* 204.

[20] Æschin. *de Falsa Leg.* p. 282. See Note 6 to Sc. 1.

[21] Money was frequently lent on pledges; for instance even on a horse, while things of less value were often left in pawn for small sums. Aristoph. *Plut.* 450:

ποῖον γὰρ οὐ θώρακα, ποίαν ἀσπίδα
οὐκ ἐνέχυρον τίθησιν ἢ μιαρωτάτη;

At Athens it was forbidden to pawn arms. See Böckh's *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 129. Aristoph. *Lysist.* 113:

κἂν εἴ με χρείη τοὔγκυκλον
τουτὶ καταθεῖσαν ἐκπιεῖν αὐθημερόν,

appears to allude to pawning clothes.

my friend at the spa.' He expatiated so alluringly on the pleasures of the spot, that they actually decided on proceeding thither—and in truth, Ædepsos[22] was a place that well merited even a more distant pilgrimage. Besides its many natural beauties, which made it a charming place of residence, the celebrity of the waters had caused the erection of several handsome dwelling-houses and other edifices. The neighbourhood abounded in game of all sorts, and the variety of choice fish caught in the deep limpid bays of the coast, was well worthy of the table of the most fastidious epicure. Many resorted to the place, not merely from Eubœa, but from the main-land; and whilst some came to reap benefit from the waters, the sole object of others was the pleasant society and the luxurious mode of life. The height of the season was towards the end of spring; but, though autumn was now beginning, there was no lack of visitors.

The next morning found the three friends already on their road to Ædepsos. Although no admirer of pedestrian excursions, yet on this occasion Nausicrates was very content to overlook the fatigue of such a manner of travelling, in the feeling of security he derived from being again on *terra firma*, and in the anticipation of the expected pleasures of the far-famed spot.

---

[22] This may perhaps be an anachronism. No evidence has been adduced to shew that at so early a period any one of the numerous spas of Greece was able to attract from a distance those who were in pursuit of health or of amusement. Afterwards, however, Ædepsos became quite a Grecian Baiæ. Plutarch, *Sympos.* iv. 4, gives the following account of it: Τῆς Εὐβοίας ὁ Αἴδηψος, οὗ τὰ θερμά, χωρίον ἐστὶν αὐτοφυὲς πολλὰ πρὸς ἡδονὰς ἔχον ἐλευθερίους, καὶ κατεσκευασμένον οἰκήσεσι καὶ διαίταις, κοινὸν οἰκητήριον ἀποδέδεικται τῆς Ἑλλάδος, κ. τ. λ. In later times, indeed, numerous thermæ are mentioned: Λεβεδίοις δὲ τὰ λουτρὰ ἐν τῇ γῇ θαῦμα ἀνθρώποις ὁμοῦ καὶ ὠφέλεια γίνεται. Ἔστι δὲ καὶ Τηΐοις ἐπὶ τῇ ἄκρᾳ λουτρὰ τῇ Μακρίᾳ, κ. τ. λ. Pausan. vii. 5, 5. Thus too we read of one in Elis: λουομένοις δὲ ἐν τῇ πηγῇ καμάτων τέ ἐστι καὶ ἀλγημάτων παντοίων ἰάματα. Pausan. vi. 22, 4. Warm springs were in an especial manner held sacred, as appears from Aristotle, *Probl.* xxiv. 19, where he discusses the question: Διὰ τί τὰ θερμὰ λουτρὰ ἱερά;

It was nearly noon, when they encountered a litter borne by four slaves[23]. Four stalwart bearers followed behind, to relieve the others from time to time; and the whole appearance of the equipage proclaimed its owner to be a person of considerable wealth. Probably it was some invalid who had wooed in vain the healing Nymphs of Ædepsos; for the curtains on both sides of the litter were closed, and the bearers strode cautiously along, for fear of stumbling, or causing any concussion.

Passing the *cortège*, our travellers kept on their road, which skirted a brook, overgrown with thickets of underwood. They had not gone far, when they heard female voices close at hand, in the direction of the brook, accom-

---

[23] The use of litters was probably introduced from Asia into Greece at an early period, although the Greek *εὐτέλεια* would most likely divest this mode of conveyance of much of the luxury attached to it in the East. Their use appears to have been, for the most part, confined to women. So Suidas, s. v. *φορείων*, says, *πλέγμα ποιήσαντες ἐκ λύγων φορεῖον τύπῳ γυναικείων δέρμασι βοείοις ἐπιπλάσαντες ἀκατεργάστροις.* For a man to employ them without special cause, was considered worthy of reproach. Dinarchos, *in Demosth.* p. 23, mentions it as a sign of *τρυφή*. *τρυφῶν ἐν τοῖς τῆς πόλεως κακοῖς καὶ ἐπὶ φορείου κατακομιζόμενος τὴν εἰς Πειραιᾶ ὁδὸν καὶ τὰς τῶν πενήτων ἀπορίας ὑνειδίζων.* Hence they were hardly ever used except in cases of personal infirmity; and thus even in the time of Pericles, the mechanician Artemon, who used a litter because he was lame, gained the nickname of *Περιφόρητος*. Anacr. ap. Athen. xii. p. 533; Plutarch, *Pericl.* 27. For sick persons it was a simple bed rather than a litter, and hence it was often also called *κλίνη*. Lysias, *de Vuln. Præm.* p. 172; Andoc. *de Myst.* p. 30. The regular litters, like those of the Romans, (see *Gallus*, p. 341, seq.,) were constructed for a recumbent posture, and were covered in, as appears from the passage just quoted from Suidas. There were also *rideaux* at the sides. Plutarch, *Eumen.* 14: *Ἀκούσας δ' ὁ Εὐμένης ἦκε πρὸς αὐτοὺς δρόμῳ τοὺς κομίζοντας ἐπιταχύνας, καὶ τοῦ φορείου τὰς ἑκατέρωθεν αὐλαίας ἀνακαλύψας προϋτεινε τὴν δεξιὰν γεγηθώς.* Eumenes was carried because he was ill. When the Macedonian rule introduced luxury more and more into Greece, the litter, no doubt, became more splendid. Thus at Corinth Antigonus sends Nicæa to the theatre *ἐν φορείῳ κεκοσμημένῳ βασιλικῶς.* Plutarch, *Arat.* 17. The bearers were usually four in number. Lucian, *Epist. Saturn.* 28: *ἐς γῆρας ἀφικόμενον τοῖς αὐτοῦ ποσὶν, ἀλλὰ μὴ φοράδην ἐπὶ τεττάρων ὀχούμενον.* Id. *Somn. seu Gallus*, 10: *φοράδην ὑπὸ τεττάρων κεκομισμένον.* Hence, perhaps, are to be explained the four talents, which Artaxerxes gave to the bearers of Pelopidas. Plutarch, *Pelop.* 30. Cf. Lucian, *Cyn.* 9.

panied by much merriment and laughter. Approaching the spot, they beheld through a break in the bushes a most fascinating spectacle. By the margin of the brook sat a blooming fair one, dabbling with her feet in the brawling stream, and behind her a female slave held a parasol[24] to

[24] A parasol, σκιάδειον, was an indispensable article to a Grecian, or at least to an Athenian lady; it was usually carried by a female slave; but on festivals this service was performed by the daughters of the Metœci. So in Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 821, the chorus of women taunt the men who had thrown away their σκιάδειον, the shield. These parasols occur frequently on vases. The accompanying figure is taken from Millin, *Peintures de Vases Antiques*, ii. pl. 70. See also, Paciaudi *de umbellæ gestatione.*

shade her delicate form from the scorching rays; whilst another of more tender age knelt on the ground, and joked confidentially with her mistress. A little way off a male-slave was packing up the breakfast things, which had been spread among the tall grass[25]; and on the road close by was a carriage drawn by mules[26], the driver of which was

---

This parasol much resembled ours, being constructed of moveable ribs, so that it could be put up or down as required.

τὰ δ' ὦτα γάρ σου, νὴ Δί', ἐξεπετάννυτο, ὥσπερ σκιάδειον, καὶ πάλιν ξυνήγετο.

Aristoph. *Equit.* 1347, on which the Scholiast observes: *ἐκτείνεται δὲ καὶ συστέλλεται πρὸς τὸν κατεπείγοντα καιρόν.* Cf. Ovid, *Art. Am.* ii. 209:

Ipse tene distenta suis umbracula virgis.

They were occasionally carried by men, but this was considered a mark of effeminacy. Aristoph. *Aves*, 1507, does not prove this, but a fragment of Anacreon, quoted by Athen. xii. p. 534, does: *καὶ σκιαδίσκην ἐλεφαντίνην φορέει γυναιξὶν αὔτως.* In later times, instead of a parasol, women wore on the head a *θολία*, which was something like a modern straw-hat. Poll. vii. 174: *θολία δ' ἐκαλεῖτο πλέγμα τι θολοειδὲς, ᾧ ἀντὶ σκιαδίου ἐχρῶντο αἱ γυναῖκες.* See Scholiast on Theocr. xv. 39; and Harpocr. s. v. *Θόλος.*

[25] This description is from Eurip. *Iphig. Aul.* 410:

ἀλλ' ὡς μακρὰν ἔτεινον, εὖρυτον παρὰ κρήνην ἀναψύχουσι θηλύπουν βάσιν, αὐταί τε πῶλοί τ'. ἐς δὲ λειμώνων χλόην καθεῖμεν αὐτὰς, ὡς βορᾶς γενσαίατο.

[26] Very little can be said of the carriages of the Greeks, and even their general shape is a subject involved in doubt. Of names even we have but a scanty list, the general terms *ζεῦγος* and *ὄχημα* being mostly employed. The use of carriages was very limited, and he who used one in the city and environs was always set down as effeminate or proud. So Demosth. *adv. Phœnipp.* p. 1046: *ἀποδόμενος τὸν πολεμιστήριον ἵππον καταβέβηκεν ἀπὸ τῶν ἵππων καὶ ἀντ' ἐκείνου ὄχημα αὐτῷ τηλικοῦτος ὢν ἐώνηται, ἵνα μὴ πεζῇ πορεύηται. τοσαύτης τρυφῆς μεστὸς οὗτός ἐστι.* This explains the anecdote in Diog. Laert. iv. 3: Speusippos, while going to the Academy in a carriage, met Diogenes, and saluted him with a *Χαῖρε*, to which the Cynic replied: *Ἀλλὰ μὴ σύ γε, ὅστις ὑπομένεις ζῆν τοιοῦτος ὤν.* Cf. Aristoph. *Thesm.* 811. It was considered arrogant even in women. Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 565. Hence Lycurgus, the orator, caused a law to be enacted, that the women should not drive to Eleusis, that the poorer classes might not feel the distinction. Plutarch, *Dec. Or. Vit.* iv. p. 378. His own wife, however, transgressed the law, and he had to silence the sycophants with a talent. Timoleon drove into the assembly, and continued in his carriage during the debate; but he did so because he was blind. Plutarch, *Timol.* 38. It is a mark of distinction in Andoc. *de Myst.* p. 23: *τὸν δὲ τῶν κακῶν τούτων αἴτιον Διοκλείδην, ὡς σωτῆρα ὄντα τῆς πόλεως, ἐπὶ ζεύγους ἤγον εἰς τὸ πρυτανεῖον στεφανώσαντες.*

As regards the different kinds of carriages, the meagre account of Poll.

conversing with a second slave, whose dress bespoke him to be a eunuch.

The trio stood enchanted, their eyes fixed on the sporting maidens, who, casting aside the irksome trammels of stiffness and formality, were giving loose to the exuberance of their spirits. The younger female attendant, who appeared to be regarded rather as a companion than a slave, now brought a handful of flowers, which she had just culled, and as she showered them into the lap of her mistress, whispered something in her ear, which might not be heard even by the bushes around. In pretended wrath, the lady seized her gold-embroidered shoe, to strike her offending domestic; but in the attempt it slipped from her hand, and flew into the brook.

The maidens all set up a scream, when Charicles, with rash resolve, dashed down, and rescued the floating shoe. The women screamed louder than ever, and assayed to flee; but in the twinkling of an eye Charicles gallantly handed the slipper to the damsel, who rose blushing and confounded, and looked around, but in vain, for her veil

---

x. 51, must suffice. Strangely enough, he speaks as if carriages were only used early in the morning: ἀλλ' εἰ μὲν αἰωρήσει τῇ δι' ὀχημάτων χρῷτό τις περὶ τὴν ἕω, θέρους ὄντος, πρινὴ τὸν ἥλιον περιφλέγειν, τὰ εἴδη τῶν ὀχημάτων ἱστέον, εἴτε ἅρματα, εἴτε ὄχους, εἴτε ἁμάξας, εἴτε λαμπήνας αὐτὰ προσήκει καλεῖν. ἔστι δὲ τοὔνομα ἡ λαμπήνη ἐν τῇ Σοφοκλέους Ναυσικάᾳ καὶ ἐν τοῖς Μενάνδρου ἁλιεῦσιν. To these names may be added ἅρμα, ἀπήνη, and ὄχημα; but these are either general terms, or are used to denote carriages not in ordinary use, and this may also be said of the Lacedæmonian κάναθρον. Müller, *Dorians*, ii. p. 292. We learn also that carriages were adapted partly for sitting, and partly for lying down in; they were partially covered; and were sometimes on two, sometimes on four wheels. Poll. x. 52: τὰ δὲ ἐνθρόνια, τὰ ἐὲ εἰς τὸ κατακλῖναι ἐνεύναια, τὰ δὲ κατάστεγα, καὶ στεγαστά, καὶ καμάραι· οὕτω γὰρ ὠνόμασεν Ἡρόδοτος· καὶ Ξενοφῶν δὲ ἐν τῇ Παιδείᾳ τὸ ἐστεγασμένον μέρος τῆς ἁμάξης ὑποσημαίνων ἔφη, καὶ κατέκλιναν καὶ κατεκάλυψαν τὴν σκηνήν· καὶ τὰ μὲν τετράκυκλα, τὰ δὲ δίκυκλα. Mules were frequently used: on them was placed an easy saddle with a back to it, ἀστράβη, (*clitella*,) but this word came afterwards to be used for the beast itself. Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 558: ἐπ' ἀστράβης ὀχούμενος ἐξ Ἀργούρας τῆς Εὐβοίας. With this compare Lysias, *de Inval.* p. 747: εἰ γὰρ ἐκεκτήμην μὴν οὐσίαν, ἐπ' ἀστράβης ἂν ᾠχούμην, and Machon, ap. Athen. xiii. p. 582.

and mantle, which had been left behind at the spot where they had breakfasted. Charicles too felt no little emotion; he fancied that he had never in his existence beheld a form more lovely, or more fascinating features. The sparkling brilliancy of her eyes was mingled with a look of soft rapture; a profusion of light hair descended on her neck in luxuriant ringlets, while the finely-pencilled arch of the eye-brows was of a jetty black: in the delicate whiteness of her cheeks rose a soft tinge of natural vermilion; the mouth was like a rose-bud, just on the point of unfolding its leafy chalice[27]; and her whole person possessed an irresistible charm of youthful loveliness. For a few moments only was the happy Charicles permitted to revel in the contemplation of such surpassing beauties; the cries of the female-slaves had summoned the male-attendants, and the females fled faster than ever, on seeing Nausicrates and Ctesiphon also approach. Many a longing lingering look did Charicles cast after the disappearing carriage, which he regretted he could not follow.

His pleasant reverie was disagreeably broken by Manes, who informed him that he had learnt, in conversing with the driver of the vehicle, that it was the family of a rich Athenian, who was aged and infirm, and was being conveyed home from Ædepsos in the litter. The fair enchantress was this person's wife, but Manes was unable to tell his name. 'What, married?' cried Charicles, in agitation. 'And to a sick old fellow?' continued Nausicrates. 'By Hera, though, she was beautiful; tender and lovely as Aphrodite, with the life and bloom of an Artemis. Ay! ay! the statues of both goddesses must have stood in her mother's *thalamos*[28].'

---

[27] The description of Leucippe in Achill. Tat. i. 4: *ὄμμα γοργὸν ἐν ἡδονῇ· κόμη ξανθή, τὸ ξανθὸν οὖλον· ὀφρὺς μέλαινα, τὸ μέλαν ἄκρατον· λευκὴ παρειά, τὸ λευκὸν εἰς μέσον ἐφοινίσσετο καὶ ἐμιμεῖτο πορφύραν, οἷον εἰς τὸν ἐλέφαντα Λυδία βάπτει γυνή· τὸ στόμα ῥόδων ἄνθος ἦν, ὅταν ἄρχηται τὸ ῥόδον ἀνοίγειν τῶν φύλλων τὰ χείλη.* The *ὄμμα γοργὸν ἐν ἡδονῇ* in this passage, corresponds to the expression, *τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν τὸ ὑγρὸν ἅμα τῷ φαιδρῷ*, in Lucian, *Imag.* 6.

[28] Such effects were commonly

The friends continued their journey; but Charicles had turned silent and thoughtful, and the banter of his companions was manifestly unpleasing to him. The attractions, also, of the baths were quite lost upon him, and in spite of their Chalcian host's kind endeavours to make their stay as agreeable as possible, Charicles would only stop a day or two, and incessantly urged his friends to return, since pressing business called him to Athens. At length Nausicrates yielded to his solicitations, though much against his inclination, since he was successfully endeavouring to console himself for his recent calamities with the pleasures the place afforded. 'Pressing business, no doubt!' he would say snappishly to Charicles; 'that fair apparition is the real magnet[29] of attraction to Athens. But what's the good? she is married, you know.' The colour that suffused the cheek of Charicles shewed that Nausicrates was right in his conjecture; still as the other persisted that he must be in Athens by a certain day, Nausicrates was at last obliged to yield the point.

---

attributed to the frequent survey of beautiful statues, and even Empedocles noticed the supposed fact. Plutarch, *de Plac. Philos.* v. 12: Ἐμπεδοκλῆς τῇ κατὰ τὴν σύλληψιν φαντασίᾳ τῆς γυναικὸς μορφοῦσθαι τὰ βρέφη. πολλάκις γὰρ εἰκόνων καὶ ἀνδριάντων ἡράσθησαν γυναῖκες, καὶ ὅμοια τούτοις ἀπέτεκον. On this hinges the whole plot in Heliodor. *Æthiop.* iv. 8, where the queen of the Æthiopians declares that she has brought forth a white child, because she had the image of Hesione before her. See Galen. *Hist. Phil.* xix. p. 329. The same author states elsewhere: ἐμοὶ δὲ καὶ λόγος τις ἀρχαῖος ἐμήνυσεν, ὅτι τῶν ἀμόρφων τις δυνατὸς εὔμορφον θέλων γεννῆσαι παῖδα, ἐποίησε γράψαι ἐν πλατεῖ ξύλῳ εὐειδὲς ἄλλο παιδίον καὶ ἔλεγε τῇ γυναικί συμπλεκόμενος ἐκείνῳ τῷ τύπῳ τῆς γραφῆς ἐμβλέπειν. ἡ δὲ ἀτενὲς βλέπουσα καὶ ὡς ἔστιν εἰπεῖν ὅλον τὸν νοῦν ἔχουσα, οὐχὶ τῷ γεννήσαντι, ἀλλὰ τῷ γεγραμμένῳ ὁμοίως ἀπέτεκε τὸ παιδίον. *De Therica*, xiv. p. 254. The reader may attach what credit he chooses to Oppian, *Cyneg.* i. 361, where it is stated that the Lacedæmonians placed before their pregnant ladies, pictures representing

Νιρέα καὶ Νάρκισσον, ἐϋμμελίην δ' Ὑάκινθον.

[29] The comparison of a fascinating woman with a magnet, λίθος Ἡρακλεία, or Μαγνῆτις, occurs in classic writers. Lucian, *Imag.* 1: εἰ δὲ κἀκείνη προσβλέψειέ σε, τίς ἔσται μηχανὴ ἀποστῆναι αὐτῆς. ἀπάξει γάρ σε ἀναδησαμένη, ἔνθα ἂν ἐθέλῃ, ὅπερ καὶ ἡ λίθος ἡ Ἡρακλεία δρᾷ τὸν σίδηρον. Cf. Achill. Tat. i. 17.

SCENE THE EIGHTH.

THE INVALID.

IT was now two months since Charicles had returned to Athens; but that peace of mind and cheerfulness which accompanied him on board the Triton, had not been the partners of his return. His property had, by Phorion's assistance, been securely and advantageously invested; slaves had been purchased, and his abode fitted up with every convenience. The walls and ceilings of the chambers and saloons were decorated in a light and cheerful style, so that in the opinion of every body it was an excellent and commodious abode. The possessor alone was dissatisfied, and felt lonely in the empty, cheerless rooms. But even in the convivial circle he was not happy. The turmoil of the market-place was irksome, and the spirit-stirring life of the Gymnasium disturbed his reveries; his highest pleasure was a stroll to the great platanus-tree, where, in the grateful privacy of the spot, he could bury himself in undisturbed meditation.

'You are in love,' his friends would often say jokingly, when the petals of an autumnal flower in his garland happened to drop off[1]. 'To be sure I am,' had formerly been his laughing retort; but now he did not relish the sally; and the mounting colour proclaimed that now at all events the proverb held good. Some well-meant advice of Phorion's had had the most serious effect upon him. One day, he had shewn this friend of his father's over his

---

[1] When leaves fell from a chaplet, it was looked upon as a sign of the wearer's being in love. See Callimachus, 45:

Ἕλκος ἔχων ὁ ξεῖνος ἐλάνθανεν· ὡς ἀνιηρὸν
πνεῦμα διὰ στηθέων, εἶδες, ἀνηγάγετο;
τὸ τρίτον ἡ γῆ ἔπινε, τὰ δὲ ῥόδα φυλλοβολοῦντα
τὠνδρὸς ἀπὸ στεφάνων πάντ' ἐγένοντο χαμαί.

and the discussion in Athen. xv. p. 669: διὰ τί δὲ λέγονται, τῶν ἐστεφανωμένων ἐὰν λύωνται οἱ στέφανοι, ὅτι ἐρῶσι.

newly-furnished dwelling. Among other things the women's apartments had not been omitted; and, in fact, matters almost looked as if a bride were daily expected at the house. 'You've done quite right, my friend,' was Phorion's remark; 'but this is not enough. Seek out now a discreet housewife, to preserve thee from the follies of youth, and to bring a blessing on thy house withal. Choose for thyself a damsel of equal rank, not dowerless, for then she will not assume her due position in the household[2]; nor yet a great heiress, or thine own independence will be bartered for her portion. You are nearly a stranger in this city, so let me woo for you. Pasias, my brother's son, has a daughter, a comely child, both modest and thrifty; if you desire it, I will solicit her hand for you.' Charicles made no answer to this proposal; for although he felt that Phorion was right, and that a happy marriage would be the best means of driving from his heart the image of the fair unknown, yet he could not endure the idea of uniting himself for life with a girl of whom he knew nothing. He had communicated Phorion's plan to his friend Ctesiphon, who, on hearing it, betrayed an extraordinary emotion. He answered so evasively that Charicles could not comprehend the meaning of his behaviour. On the other hand, he placed entire confidence in the rectitude of Phorion's intentions, and, by entertaining the offer, he would have an opportunity of displaying his gratitude to his benefactor.

Occupied with such thoughts as these, he was one evening crossing the market-place, toward sunset, on his way to the *Cerameicos*, when he felt himself pulled by the cloak. He turned round, and before him stood an aged female-slave, making gestures, expressive partly of alarm, partly of delight. 'Charicles!' she cried, 'Oh dearest Charicles, is it really you?' He now recognised the crone. It was Manto, the nurse of his childhood, who was sick

---

[2] Menandr. *Sent. Sing.* 371: νύμφη δ' ἄπροικος οὐκ ἔχει παῤῥησίαν. Comp. Excursus on *The Women*.

when Charinos fled from Athens, and so had remained behind with the greater number of the slaves. She narrated, how a wealthy man, Polycles by name, had purchased the whole of the slaves left by his father, and herself among the number. 'You know him surely,' she continued; 'he was an intimate friend of your father.' 'I remember to have heard the name frequently,' replied Charicles. 'Ah! and many is the time he has mentioned you,' proceeded Manto; 'but he has been laid up for many months past with a grievous malady, against which all his treasures avail him nothing; while we, poor bodies, are all sound and well'—as she said this, she spat three times before her[3]—'but he'll be right glad, I warrant,

---

[3] The superstitious usage, *πτύειν εἰς κόλπον*, had two significations, both of which may perhaps be traced to a common origin. Firstly, it was supposed possible thus to appease the vengeance of Nemesis consequent on self-glorification, or for having cherished and expressed over-sanguine expectations. Secondly, it was done on seeing any one afflicted with a bad complaint, such as insanity or epilepsy, or on witnessing the misfortune of another; and it was supposed that by this means a like evil could be averted from oneself. This idea is nowhere more distinctly expressed than in Plin. *Nat. Hist.* xxviii. 4, 7: 'Despuimus comitiales morbos, hoc est, contagia regerimus. Simili modo et fascinationes repercutimus dextræque clauditatis occursum. Veniam quoque a deis spei alicujus audacioris petimus in sinum spuentes.' Also Theocr. vi. 39, where Polyphemus says:

ὡς μὴ βασκανθῶ δὲ, τρὶς εἰς ἐμὸν ἔπτυσα κόλπον.

and the Scholiast correctly remarks: *ποιοῦσι γὰρ καὶ μέχρι τοῦ νῦν μάλιστα τοῦτο αἱ γυναῖκες τὸ νεμεσητὸν ἐκτρεπόμεναι.* Καλλίμαχος· *Δαίμων, τοι κόλποισιν ἐπιπτύουσι γυναῖκες.* Cf. the obscure and corrupt passage quoted by Plut. *Symp.* v. 7, 4. Another instance occurs in Lucian, *Navig.* 15, where Lycinus checks Adimantus, who is puffed up with visions of wealth and fortune, with the words, *ὑπερμαζᾷς γε, ὦ Ἀδείμαντε, καὶ ἐς τὸν κόλπον οὐ πτύεις.* The second case, where the spectator of another man's misfortune desires to avert the same calamity from himself, is passed over by Pliny with the words, *Despuimus comitiales morbos*, and he omits *in sinum*. See also Plaut. *Capt.* iii. 4, 18:

Et illic isti, qui sputatur, morbus interdum venit.

But whatever the Roman custom may have been, the Greeks certainly used *πτύειν εἰς κόλπον* in such cases, as is plain from Theoph. *Char.* 16, where a token of the *δεισιδαίμων* is said to be: *Μαινόμενόν τε ἰδὼν ἢ ἐπίληπτον φρίξας εἰς κόλπον πτύσαι.* Cf. Tibull. i. 2, 97. We may add also that both significations of the custom become identical when we consider that ridicule and commiseration equally

to hear you are come back.' Hereupon followed a stream of questions, interrupted now by sobs, now by fits of laughter; and Charicles would never have satisfied all her queries, had not she suddenly bethought herself that she had better take home the vegetables which her mistress had sent her out to purchase.

Polycles was, as Manto had stated, a very wealthy man. His country estates, his houses in the city and Piræus, and his numerous slaves, yielded him, with no trouble, a secure income; which, however, was as nothing compared to that which he derived from the ready money lying at the money-changers', or lent out elsewhere, at a high rate of interest. Those who were more intimate with the state of his affairs, were convinced that his property amounted in all to more than fifty talents. He had remained single till his fifty-fifth year, and then, in compliance with his late brother's dying request, he had married his only surviving daughter, Cleobule, a blooming girl of sixteen. But in the midst of the festivity of the marriage-feast, he was attacked with apoplexy, which had been succeeded by tedious and painful illness. No means of relief had been neglected. The veteran family physician, a man of no mean skill, had called in the advice of other medical men, but the resources of their art were exhausted without success:—neither their exertions, nor the tenderness of Cleobule, who nursed the patient like a dutiful daughter, availed to reunite the ruptured threads of his existence. Polycles was not satisfied with applying for aid to the successors of Æsculapius, but tried the efficacy of certain charms; while interpreters of dreams[4] were con-

---

imply an elevation of oneself over another.

[4] The interpretation of dreams was one of the oldest and most natural provinces of *μαντική*; and from the time of Homer, who makes dreams the ministers of the gods to incite men to action, down to the latest period of declining heathenism, we find *ὀνειρόπολοι*, *ὀνειροκρίται*, or *ὀνειρομάντεις* in requisition, and the prophetic

sulted, expiations placed in the cross-ways[5], and aged women reputed to have the power of curing diseases by mysterious arts, and magic songs, had been summoned to attend. Whole days and nights had also been passed by the sufferer in the temple of Æsculapius[6], but to no purpose.

---

visions of the night propitiated by anxious ceremonies. These are described in numerous passages: thus in Æschyl. *Pers.* 206, which, though Atossa is the speaker, of course alludes to Grecian usages:

καὶ ταῦτα μὲν δὴ νυκτὸς εἰσιδεῖν λέγω.
ἐπεὶ δ' ἀνέστην καὶ χεροῖν καλλιρρόου
ἔψαυσα πηγῆς, ξὺν θυηπόλῳ χερὶ
βωμῷ προσέστην, ἀποτρόποισι δαίμοσι
θέλουσα θῦσαι πέλανον, ὧν τέλη τάδε.

This sprinkling with water usually pertained to such an *ἀποτροπιασμός*. So, again, Aristoph. *Ran.* 1338:

ἀλλά μοι, ἀμφίπολοι, λύχνον ἅψατε,
κάλπισί τ' ἐκ ποταμῶν δρόσον ἄρατε, θέρμετε δ' ὕδωρ,
ὡς ἂν θεῖον ὄνειρον ἀποκλύσω.

These passages are confirmed by Xenoph. *Symp.* 4, 33: καὶ ἐάν τι ὄναρ ἀγαθὸν ἴδῃς τοῖς ἀποτροπαίοις θύεις; So, again, Theoph. *Char.* 16: καὶ ὅταν ἐνύπνιον ἴδῃ πορεύεσθαι πρὸς τοὺς ὀνειροκρίτας, πρὸς τοὺς μάντεις, πρὸς τοὺς ὀρνιθοσκόπους ἐρωτήσων, τίνι θεῷ ἢ θεᾷ προσεύχεσθαι δεῖ. On which Casaubon has remarked that it was considered in some measure an *ἀποτρόπαιον* to tell a dream to the face of day. Eurip. *Iphig. Taur.* 42:

ἃ καινὰ δ' ἦκει νὺξ φέρουσα φάσματα,
λέξω πρὸς αἰθέρ', εἴ τι δὴ τόδ' εἰς ἄκος.

Cf. Sophocl. *Electr.* 416. The dream-interpreters made a regular trade of their pretended art, and exacted fees for their services. Aristoph. *Vesp.* 52:

εἶτ' οὐκ ἐγὼ, δοὺς δύ' ὀβολοὺς, μισθώσομαι
οὕτως ὑποκρινόμενον σαφῶς ὀνείρατα.

In Alciphr. *Epist.* iii. 59, two drachmæ are the fee. Dreams dreamt towards morning, 'post mediam noctem, quum somnia vera,' (Hor. *Sat.* I. 10, 33) were regarded as the most significant, and to these, therefore, the dream-interpreters confined themselves. Philostr. *Vit. Apollon. Tyan.* ii. 37: οἱ γὰρ ἐξηγηταὶ τῶν ὄψεων, οὓς ὀνειροπόλους οἱ ποιηταὶ καλοῦσιν οὐδ' ἂν ὑποκρίναιντο ὄψιν οὐδενὶ οὐδεμίαν, μὴ πρότερον ἐρόμενοι τὸν καιρὸν ἐν ᾧ εἶδεν. ἂν μὲν γὰρ ἑῴος ᾖ καὶ τοῦ περὶ τὸν ὄρθρον ὕπνου, ξυμβάλλονται αὐτὴν, ὡς ὑγιῶς μαντευομένης τῆς ψυχῆς, κ. τ. λ.

[5] The belief that sickness and other evils could be got rid of by means of *καθάρματα* placed at the cross-ways, is well known. The throwing them into flowing water is chiefly mentioned by Roman authors; nor does the passage in Theocr. xxiv. 92, seem properly referable to this custom. The Roman usage is often alluded to. See Virg. *Ecl.* viii. 101; Tibull. iv. 4, 7; Ovid, *Metam.* xv. 327.

[6] This too appears to have been much in vogue, so much so, that apartments were provided in the temples of this god, in which sick persons might reside. Pausan. ii. 27, 2: τοῦ ναοῦ δέ ἐστι πέραν, ἔνθα οἱ ἱκέται τοῦ θεοῦ καθεύδουσιν. Again, x. 32, 8: Σταδίοις δὲ ἀπωτέρω Τιθορέας ἑβδομήκοντα ναός ἐστιν Ἀσκληπιοῦ...ἐντὸς μὲν δὴ τοῦ περιβόλου τοῖς τε ἱκέταις καὶ, ὅσοι τοῦ θεοῦ δοῦλοι, τούτοις μὲν ἐνταῦθά εἰσι καὶ οἰκήσεις. See Aristoph. *Plut.* 410, 653; cf. Plaut. *Curc.* i. 1, 61; ii. 1.

At last, hearing of a happy cure effected, in a similar case, by the baths of Ædepsos, he repaired thither for the benefit of the waters; but the Nymphs had refused their succour; and, some days ago, the doctor had declared that the patient would never need any herb more, save the parsley[7].

Next day Charicles was on the point of going out. The previous evening, he had come to the resolution of marrying, and he had determined that Phorion should play the suitor for him. At this moment a slave rapped at the door, on an errand from Polycles. Weak as the patient was, he had expressed great pleasure on hearing that the son of his old friend was in Athens, and now sent to say he wished to see him once more before his end, which he felt was drawing nigh. Charicles could not refuse a request expressive of so much kindliness, and therefore promised to attend. 'It were better to come along with me at once,' said the slave. 'My master is very low now, and his friends have just met at his bed-side.' 'Well, lead on,' said Charicles; not unwilling to put off for a time his intended visit to Phorion; 'lead on, I follow you.'

When they approached the residence of Polycles, they found a slave standing before the open door in order to

---

Probably some temples were accounted more efficacious than others. Thus Bdelycleon took his father to Ægina. Aristoph. *Vesp.* 122:

διέπλευσεν εἰς Αἴγιναν· εἶτα συλλαβὼν νύκτωρ κατέκλινεν αὐτὸν εἰς Ἀσκληπιοῦ.

So also many persons sought for aid at the oracle of Amphiaraos, near Oropos, and threw a gold or silver coin into the holy spring. Lastly, between Tralles and Nysa, not far from Acharaca, there was a village with a shrine sacred to Pluto and Persephoné, and a *Χαρώνιον ἄντρον*, whither sick people were brought. Strabo, xiv. 1, 44: *λέγουσι γὰρ δὴ καὶ τοὺς νοσώδεις καὶ προσέχοντας ταῖς τῶν θεῶν τούτων θεραπείαις φοιτᾶν ἐκεῖσε καὶ διαιτᾶσθαι ἐν τῇ κώμῃ πλησίον τοῦ ἄντρου παρὰ τοῖς ἐμπείροις τῶν ἱερέων, οἳ ἐγκοιμῶνταί τε ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν καὶ διατάττουσιν ἐκ τῶν ὀνείρων τὰς θεραπείας.*

[7] Σέλινον, *apium*, was especially used for decking tombs. Hence the adage mentioned by Plutarch, *Timol.* 26: *ὅτι τὰ μνήματα τῶν νεκρῶν εἰώθαμεν ἐπιεικῶς στεφανοῦν σελίνοις· καὶ παροιμία τις ἐκ τούτου γέγονε, τὸν ἐπισφαλῶς νοσοῦντα, Δεῖσθαι τοῦτον τοῦ σελίνου.*

prevent any one from rapping too loudly, and so disturbing his lord. Charicles entered, and everything that he saw corroborated Manto's testimony concerning the wealth of the possessor. Even the sick chamber, into which he was admitted after a slight delay, was furnished with peculiar magnificence. Before the door hung a costly piece of tapestry, wrought in rich and varied colours, the product of Babylonish industry. The sick man's bed[8] was over-

[8] The account given of the Roman bed in *Gallus*, (pp. 285-291) will, in its chief points, be also applicable to the Grecian couch; but the particulars which Pollux gives are more copious, and will, if properly investigated, make the matter very plain. In Homer we have simply a bedstead and coverlet, and there is no mention of a mattress of any kind. In later times also, the beds of the poorer classes were probably of this description. Instead of a mattress, stout coverlets, especially *κώδια*, sheepskins, were often spread underneath. Plutarch, *Dec. Or. Vit.* iv. p. 379, relates of the orator Lycurgus: 'Εμελέτα δὲ νυκτὸς καὶ ἡμέρας, οὐκ εὖ πρὸς τὰ αὐτοσχέδια πεφυκώς, κλινιδίου δὲ αὐτῷ ὑποκειμένου, ἐφ' ᾧ μόνον ἦν κώδιον καὶ προσκεφάλαιον, ὕπως ἐγείροιτο ῥᾳδίως καὶ μελετῴη.

But the *εὐνή*, the complete bed of a wealthy Greek, consisted of the following parts: *κλίνη*, *ἐπίτονοι*, *τυλεῖον* or *κνέφαλον*, *προσκεφάλαιον*, and *ἐπιβλήματα* or *περιβλήματα*. The *κλίνη*, or bedstead, was of very simple construction. Its four sides, *ἐνήλατα*, attic *κραστήρια* (Phryn. p. 178) were not so much boards, as posts or bars jointed into one another, and supported by the feet. Only at the end where the head lay, was there a back, *ἀνάκλιντρον* or *ἐπίκλιντρον*. Poll. x. 34: *μέρη δὲ κλίνης καὶ ἐνήλατα καὶ ἐπίκλιντρον'...Σοφοκλῆς δ' ἐν 'Ιχνευταῖς Σατύροις ἔφη, ἐνήλατα ξύλα τρίγομφα διατορεῦσαί σε δεῖται.* Cf. Id. vi. 9. Occasionally there was a board at the foot as well as at the head of the bed, but this was unusual. A bedstead of this kind, *κλίνη ἀμφικνέφαλος*, is mentioned by Pollux, x. 35, as having belonged to Alcibiades. This reading, however, seems to be corrupt; for besides the unintelligibility of the phrase, the word *κνέφαλον* is totally different from *προσκεφάλαιον*: there seems to be no doubt that *ἀμφικέφαλος* is the correct reading.

The *κλίνη* was usually of wood; hence *ἐνήλατα ξύλα*. Valuable woods were often employed, as maple, *σφένδαμνος*, Poll. x. 35, or box-wood, *ibid.* § 34. But most likely these were only used as veneer, at least *χαμεύνη παράκολλος* (*ibid.* § 36) leads to this supposition. Passow's explanation, 'a low couch, to one end only of which was attached an *ἀνακλιντήριον*, on which the head rested: being called *ἀμφίκολλος*, if it had one at both ends,' is not only at variance with the etymology, but also contradicts Pollux, § 34, who evidently speaks of the material only. 'That veneering was practised among the ancients, has been mentioned in *Gallus*, p. 295. There is no doubt, too, that frames of bronze were likewise in request. When, however,

hung with a purple Milesian coverlet, from under which peeped the ivory feet. Soft party-coloured pillows sup-

---

Pollux adds, (x. 35) *σὺ δ' ἂν καὶ ἐλεφαντίνην εἶποις καὶ χελώνης*, we must refer the tortoise-shell altogether to a later period; while with regard to the ivory, Timæus, ap. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* xii. 29, mentions as a proof of the excessive luxury prevailing at Acragas: *ὅτι ἀργυραῖς ληκύθοις καὶ στλεγγίσιν ἐχρῶντο καὶ ἐλεφαντίνας κλίνας εἶχον ὅλας*. Cf. Dio Chrysos. *Or.* xiii. 434. The feet of the *κλίνη*, however, were frequently of more valuable material; ivory, for instance, or the precious metals. So in Poll. x. 34, *ἀργυρόπους*; and Clearchos, ap. Athen. vi. p. 255: *κατέκειτο δι' ὑπερβάλλουσαν τρυφὴν ἐπὶ ἀργυρόποδος κλίνης*. So also Plato Com. ap. Id. ii. p. 48:

Κἄτ' ἐν κλίναις ἐλεφαντόποσιν καὶ στρώμασι πορφυροβάπτοις
κἂν φοινικίσι Σαρδιανικαῖσιν κοσμησάμενοι κατάκεινται.

The *κλῖναι* captured from the Persians at Platæa were *ἐπίχρυσοι καὶ ἐπάργυροι*. Herodot. ix. 80, 82.

Girths were stretched across the *κλίνη* to support the mattress. Poll. § 36: *καὶ μὴν τό γε τῇ κλίνῃ ἢ τῷ σκίμποδι ἐντεταμένον, ὡς φέρειν τὰ τυλεῖα, σπαρτία, σπάρτα, τόνος, κειρία· τάχα δὲ καὶ σχοῖνος καὶ σχοινία, καὶ κάλοι*. The general name for them was *τόνος*. Aristoph. *Lysist.* 923. Thus used, they were called *κειρία*: mere cords were used for the commoner kinds of beds. Aristoph. *Aves*, 814:

Σπάρτην γὰρ ἂν θείμην ἐγὼ τῇ 'μῇ πόλει;
οὐδ' ἂν χαμεύνῃ, πάνυ γε κειρίαν ἔχων.

These girths supported a mattress, called *κνέφαλον* or *τυλεῖον*, also *τύλη*. See Lobeck on Phryn. p. 173. This was covered with linen or woollen ticking, or even with leather. Poll. x. 40, and again, § 39, from Sophocles, *λινορράφῃ τυλεῖα*. The stuffing, *τὸ ἐμβαλλόμενον πλήρωμα, ὃ γνάφαλον καλοῦσι* (Poll. 41), was usually flocks of wool, and thus *κνέφαλον* (*κνάφαλον*) derives its name from *κναφεύς*. Some vegetable material was also employed, Poll. 41: *ἡ μέντοι καλουμένη λυχνὶς ἀνθήλη ἐκαλεῖτο*, though what is meant by *λυχνὶς*, is another matter: neither Hesychius nor the *Etymol. M.* give a satisfactory explanation.

On the *ἐπίκλιντρον* lay, as is abundantly manifest from the antiques, a round cushion, *προσκεφάλαιον*, which served the purpose of a pillow; but occasionally there are also a couple of four-cornered ones behind. The expression *ποτίκρανον* (Poll. vi. 9) is identical in meaning. Cf. Theocr. xv. 3. The *προσκεφάλαια ὑπανχένια*, Poll. x. 38, were those employed at night, whereas those used at the *δεῖπνον* are called *ὑπαγκώνια στρώματα*, because it was the custom to lean upon the elbow. See Poll. vi. 10. In the vase-paintings the covers of these cushions are almost invariably represented as striped, and usually of brilliant colours. They were perhaps stuffed with feathers, though this is uncertain; for the *πτίλωτα* mentioned by Poll. x. 38, appear to mean something different.

Over the *κνέφαλον* were spread coverlets, which bear manifold designations, Poll. vi. 10: *περιστρώματα, ἐπιβλήματα, ἐφεστρίδες, χλαῖναι, ἐπιβόλαια, δάπιδες, κ. τ. λ.*, besides the *τάπητες* and *ἀμφιτάπητες*, Id. vi. 9. The latter were shaggy on both sides, the former only on one: *ἀμφιτάπητες οἱ ἐξ ἑκατέρου δασεῖς, τά-*

ported his back and head; and the hard pavement of the floor was covered, after the Asiatic fashion, with a soft

---

πητες δὲ οἱ ἐκ θατέρου. The other names either require no explanation, or do not admit of any certain one. This article afforded occasion for the display of great extravagance: and though the various kinds mentioned by Pollux (x. 42,) belong rather to the *symposium*, still it is certain that magnificent coloured coverlets were used also for the beds. There was, moreover, little or no difference between the couches used for meals, and those employed for sleeping purposes, except that the former were distinguished by the greater elegance of their coverlets and cushions. So a fragment of Phylarchos ap. Athen. iv. p. 142, which refers to Sparta in her degenerate time: στρωμναί τε (παρεσκευάζοντο) τοῖς μεγέθεσιν οὕτως ἐξησκημέναι πολυτελῶς καὶ τῇ ποικιλίᾳ διαφόρως, ὥστε τῶν ξένων ἐνίους τῶν παραληφθέντων ὀκνεῖν τὸν ἀγκῶνα ἐπὶ τὰ προσκεφάλαια ἐρείδειν. We may well conceive that the bed would be correspondingly magnificent also. According to Plutarch, *Dec. Or. Vit.* iv. p. 366, Isocrates had a προσκεφάλαιον κρόκῳ διάβροχον. The Asiatics, however, regarded the Greek bed as a very common affair in comparison with their own. Athen. ii. p. 48: πρῶται δὲ Πέρσαι, ὥς φησιν Ἡρακλείδης, καὶ τοὺς λεγομένους στρώτας ἐφεῦρον, ἵνα κόσμον ἔχῃ ἡ στρῶσις καὶ εὐάφειαν. And presently, Ἀρταξέρξης σκηνήν τε ἔδωκεν αὐτῷ διαφέρουσαν τὸ κάλλος καὶ τὸ μέγεθος καὶ κλίνην ἀργυρόποδα, ἔπεμψε δὲ καὶ στρώματα πολυτελῆ καὶ τὸν ὑποστρώσοντα, φάσκων οὐκ ἐπίστασθαι τοὺς Ἕλληνας ὑποστρωννύειν. Cf. Plutarch, *Pelop.* 30. The most celebrated στρώματα came from Miletus, at least in early times, Aristoph. *Ran.* 542, and also from Corinth, as appears from Antiphanes ap. Athen. i. p. 27: ἐκ Κορίνθου στρώματα. Carthage also is mentioned in a line from Hermippos, *ibid.* p. 28:

Καρχηδὼν δάπιδας καὶ ποικίλα προσκεφάλαια.

Lastly, there is a remarkable passage in Poll. vi. 10, where coverlets of feathers are mentioned: ὅτι δὲ καὶ πτίλοις τὰ κνέφαλα ἐφήπλουν, Εὔβουλος ἐν Ἀγχίσει διδάσκει. καὶ πτερωτὰ καὶ πτιλωτὰ προσκεφάλαια ὀνομάζουσι. This passage confirms what was said in *Gallus*, p. 288, about the *plumarii*. They wrapped themselves up in these coverlets at night, though a special night-dress, ἐνεύναιον, was put on. Poll. x. 123. In winter furs were used. Plato, *Prot.* p. 315: Ὁ μὲν οὖν Πρόδικος ἔτι κατέκειτο ἐγκεκαλυμμένος ἐν κωδίοις τισὶ καὶ στρώμασι, καὶ μάλα πολλοῖς ὡς ἐφαίνετο. The κώδιον is a sheep-skin, προβάτου δορά, as we are informed by Pollux, vii. 16. But the σισύρα is particularly mentioned as a night-coverlet, thus in Aristoph. *Nub.* 10:

ἐν πέντε σισύραις ἐγκεκορδυλημένος.

Cf. *Eccles.* 347; *Aves*, 122; *Lysist.* 933. This also was used in winter (*Eccl.* 421), and is perhaps nothing but a κώδιον. Poll. vii. 70, says: ἡ δὲ σισύρα περίβλημα ἂν εἴη ἐκ διφθέρας. The use of this kind of coverlet was perhaps confined to the less wealthy, who would have to content themselves with much less sumptuous appliances. We must allow for the comic exaggeration in the description of a poor man's bed given in Aristoph. *Plut.* 540:

πρὸς δέ γε τούτοις ἀνθ' ἱματίου μὲν ἔχειν ῥάκος, ἀντὶ δὲ κλίνης

carpet, and the couch resting upon this, was thus rendered still more easy and elastic[9]. Close by stood a round table, whose three bronze goat's feet sustained its maple top[10]. In one corner of the apartment a magnificent tri-

---

στιβάδα σχοίνων κόρεων μεστὴν, ἣ τοὺς εὕδοντας ἐγείρει.
καὶ φορμὸν ἔχειν ἀντὶ τάπητος σαπρόν· ἀντὶ δὲ προσκεφαλαίου
λίθον εὐμεγέθη πρὸς τῇ κεφαλῇ.

Cf. *Lysist.* 916, where are mentioned all the parts belonging to an ordinary bed, as κλινίδιον, τύνος, ψίαθος, προσκεφάλαιον, and σισύρα. The frame of the common bed is called σκίμπους, ἀσκάντης, and κράββατος. Socrates slept on a σκίμπους. Plato, *Protag.* p. 310. The three words are precisely identical in meaning, though κράββατος is rejected by Attic writers. See *Nubes*, 633, and 709; Poll. x. 35; vi. 9; Eustath. *ad Il.* xvi. 608; and *ad Odyss.* xxiii. 184: Λέχος δὲ δῆλον ὅτι τὴν κλίνην λέγει, ἣν οἱ ὕστερον καὶ ἀσκάντην καὶ σκίμποδα ἔλεγον, ὡς δηλοῖ ὁ γράψας οὕτως· ἀσκάντης Ἀττικῶς, συνηθέστερον δὲ ὁ σκίμπους, ὁ δὲ κράββατος, φησὶ, παρ' οὐδενί. Cf. Suid. and Hesych. Gerhard, *Piture Tarquin.* p. 29. The χαμεύνη or χαμεύνιον was nothing more than a shake-down. Theocr. xiii. 34:

ἐκβάντες δ' ἐπὶ θῖνα κατὰ ζυγὰ δαῖτα πένοντο
δειελινοὶ, πολλοὶ δὲ μίαν στορέσαντο χαμεύνην·
λειμὼν γὰρ σφιν ἔκειτο μέγας, στιβάδεσσιν ὄνειαρ.

On this the Scholiast remarks: στιβάδα δὲ καλοῦσι τὴν ἐξ ὕλης χορτώδη καταστρωσιν. Cf. Plutarch, *Lycurg.* 16: ἐκάθευδον...ἐπὶ στιβάδων, ἃς αὐτοὶ συνεφόρουν τοῦ παρὰ τὸν Εὐρώταν πεφυκότος καλάμου. The word φυλλάδες, Poll. vi. 9, probably means the same thing. Afterwards χαμεύνιον signified a bed low, and near the ground, and was hence opposed to the taller κλίνη, and was that used by the poorest class, being of reeds, bast-mat, or rushes. Liban. *Orat.* xxxvii. ἐν χαμευνίοις δεῖ σε καθεύδειν, ἣν κελεύω, καὶ πάλιν ἐπὶ κλίνης, ἣν ἐπιτρέπω. And Poll. x. 43: καὶ μὴν τοῖς μὲν οἰκέταις ἐν κοιτῶνι ἢ προκοιτῶνι, ἢ πρὸ προκοιτῶνος ἀναγκαῖα σκεύη, χαμεύνια καὶ ψίαθοι, καὶ φορμοὶ καὶ σάμαξ. ἔστι δὲ ὁ σάμαξ ῥίψ καλάμου τοῦ καλουμένου σάκτου. μάλιστα δὲ ἐπὶ στρατιᾶς τούτῳ ἐχρῶντο. The ψίαθος was a mat of this kind; see Poll. x. 175, 178, and vi. 11: and φορμὸς is perhaps the same thing. Theocr. xxi. 13: νέρθεν τᾶς κεφαλᾶς φορμὸς βραχύς.

[9] Xenoph. *Cyrop.* viii. 8, 16: ἐκείνοις (Μήδοις) γὰρ πρῶτον μὲν τὰς εὐνὰς οὐ μόνον ἀρκεῖ μαλακῶς ὑποστρώννυσθαι, ἀλλ' ἤδη καὶ τῶν κλινῶν τοὺς πόδας ἐπὶ ταπίδων τιθέασιν, ὅπως μὴ ἀντερείδῃ τὸ δάπεδον, ἀλλ' ὑπείκωσιν αἱ τάπιδες: and again, *Memor.* ii. 1, 30: οὐ μόνον τὰς στρωμνὰς μαλακὰς, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰς κλίνας καὶ τὰ ὑπόβαθρα ταῖς κλίναις παρασκευάζεις. What the arrangement of the ὑπόβαθρα διαγώνια was, is, however, doubtful.

[10] Maple, σφένδαμνος, seems to have been much prized. Athen. ii. p. 49: Τράπεζαι ἐλεφαντόποδες τῶν ἐπιθημάτων ἐκ τῆς καλουμένης σφενδάμνου πεποιημένων. Κρατῖνος

Γαυριῶσαι δ' ἀναμένουσιν ὧδ' ἐπηγλαϊσμέναι
μείρακες φαιδραὶ τράπεζαι τρισκελεῖς σφενδάμνιναι.

pod, apparently of Corinthian or Sicyonian workmanship, held a copper coal-pan[11], for the autumn-air was chilly.

Around the bed were placed chairs of ebony, inlaid skilfully with golden tendrils[12], and each provided with a coloured cushion. Upon one of these sat the doctor, a demure elderly man, of simple, yet dignified exterior. His short beard, as well as his dark locks, now sprinkled with the snows of life's winter, were arranged with peculiar care, and, together with the dazzling whiteness of his robe, shewed him to be one who studied a modest neatness of person, and avoided in his appearance whatever might create an unpleasing impression[13]. He had deposited a plain *etui*, containing his instruments and medicines, on the table near him, while with his right hand he felt the sick man's pulse.

At his side stood three friends of the family[14], their gaze fixed inquiringly on the physician's countenance; while at the foot of the bed an aged slave, with clasped hands[15], was gazing intently on his dying master. Long

---

Three-footed tables were called *τρίποδες*, but they also bore the name of *τράπεζαι*. Thus we have *τράπεζα τετράπους*, *τρίπους*, and *μονόπους*, Poll. x. 80, and 69. The disk, *ἐπίθημα*, of the *τρίπους*, was usually round, and was sometimes a horizontal section of the whole trunk, like the Roman *orbes*. Poll. § 81: *τὸ δ' ἐπίθημα τοῦ τρίποδος κύκλον καὶ ὅλμον προσήκει καλεῖν*. Poll. *supra*: *ἐν δὲ τοῖς Δημιουργοῖς καὶ τράπεζά τις μονόκυκλος πέπραται*. See *Gallus*, p. 294.

[11] These coal-pans, *ἀνθράκια*, *ἐσχάρια*, also *λάρκοι* and *φορμοὶ* (Poll. x. 100, and vii. 110), served partly as stoves, as in Italy. See *Gallus*, p. 278; Stuart, *Antiq. of Athens*, i. pl. 19.

[12] Covers or cushions were placed on the very simple, yet beautifully shaped settles, and were also called *προσκεφάλια*, or *ποστίκρανα*, though they were used for sitting on. Plato, *de Repub.* i. p. 328: *καθῆστο δὲ ἐστεφανωμένος ἐπί τινος προσκεφαλαίου τε καὶ δίφρου*. Cf. Theocr. xv. 2. See Excursus on *The Theatres*.

[13] After Galen *in Hippocr. Epid.* xvii. 2. See Excursus on *The Doctors*.

[14] There were numerous visitors on the sick, so as even to be burdensome. See Note 16. This is taken from Demosth. *Aphob.* 2, p. 840, where three relations surround the sick-bed. In the reliefs, the death of Meleager, for instance, several persons are always present.

[15] Clasping the hands—with us a

and silently did the leech hold the sick man's wrist, and at last let it go, though without uttering a word that might encourage hope.

The slave who had conducted Charicles now approached, and first whispered his arrival to the doctor, with whose assent[16] he further announced it to his master. The sick man pushed back the felt-cap[17], which he had drawn down over his forehead, and extended his right hand to Charicles. 'Joy to you[18], son of my friend,' he murmured

---

sign of devotion or of excessive grief—is not properly an antique attitude, still instances occur in which something of the kind is met with as an expression of the latter passion. For instance, in a fresco at Pompeii, representing Medea about to kill her children, the pedagogue stands in the back-ground, with his hands in this posture. There is also a relief, representing a servant in a like attitude beside a sick-bed. But to clasp the hands round the knees, while in a sitting position, is mentioned as a token of the deepest grief. Böttiger has adduced as an instance, Appul. *Metam.* iii. p. 173: 'Complicitis denique pedibus ac palmulis inter alternas digitorum vicissitudines super genua connexis, sic grabatum coxim insidens ubertim flebam.' Cf. Dio Chrys. *Or.* xvi. p. 458: *μέλαιναν ἐσθῆτα καὶ συμπλοκὰς χειρῶν, καὶ ταπεινὰς καθέδρας.* So too Basil. *Hom.* ii. p. 63: *οἱ γεωργοὶ δὲ ταῖς ἀρούραις ἐπικαθήμενοι καὶ τὰς χεῖρας κατὰ τῶν γονάτων συμπλέξαντες· τοῦτο δὲ τῶν πενθούντων σχῆμα.* These are the *καθίσεις ἄμορφοι* of Plutarch, *Consol. ad Uxor.* iii. p. 456. In other cases, clasping the hands was supposed to act as a spell. Thus, 'adsidere gravidis, vel, cum remedium alicui adhibeatur, digitis pectinatim inter se implexis veneficium est.' Plin. *Nat. Hist.* xviii. 6, 17. So Juno sits at the threshold of Alcmena, 'digitis inter se pectine junctis,' Ovid, *Metam.* ix. 299. Wringing the hands, also, can hardly be adduced as a customary symptom of grief at any early period; we have, however, *τῷ χεῖρε συντρίψας* in Heliod. *Æthiop.* vii. p. 307.

[16] Galen *in Hippocr. Epid.* xvii. 2, directs that the doctor shall decide as to the admission of visitors: *ταῦτα δὲ πολυπραγμονήσας ὁ ἰατρὸς αὐτὸς ἐργάσεται καὶ διατάξει.*

[17] The word *πιλίδιον*, which is used to denote such a head-dress for the sick, can hardly be translated otherwise. See Plato, *de Rep.* iii. p. 406: *ἐὰν δέ τις αὐτῷ (τῷ κάμνοντι) μακρὰν δίαιταν προστάττῃ, πιλίδιά τε περὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν περιτιθεὶς καὶ τὰ τούτοις ἑπόμενα, κ.τ.λ.* Cf. Plut. *adv. Colot.* 33. See Excursus on *The Dress.*

[18] Nothing is harder to translate than the conventional formulæ of salutation. The ordinary Greek greeting, *χαῖρε*, literally 'rejoice,' or 'joy with you,' answers, doubtless, to our 'Good day,' but this would sound ridiculous if transferred to a classic idiom; while, on the other hand, the literal English equivalent of *χαῖρε* sounds no less

feebly; 'and thanks for fulfilling my wish. I was present at the festival of naming you, and thus you stand now at my dying bed.' 'Health to you also,' answered Charicles, 'and joy, although now you are in pain and anguish.

---

strange to us. The phrase χαῖρε or χαίρειν (κελεύω), was the oldest, and, at the same time, most universal form of salutation among the Greeks, and was used both for meeting and taking leave, and corresponds therefore both to *salve* and *vale*. Though anything but appropriate on some occasions, as in cases of suffering or misfortune, still as being the usual phrase, it was employed all the same, though sometimes with a qualifying ὅμως, as in Æschyl. *Pers.* 845:

ὑμεῖς δὲ πρέσβεις, χαίρετ', ἐν κακοῖς ὅμως.

In place of this ancient form, others afterwards came into use. Thus from Lucian, *de Saltat.* 76, we learn that καλῶς ἔχε was said to the sick. He tells us that a very lanky dancer appearing on the stage at Antioch, ἐπεβόησαν, Καλῶς ἔχε, ὡς νοσοῦντι. In Lucian's time a new distinction appears to have arisen between the various salutations that were in use, and this occasioned the treatise ὑπὲρ τοῦ ἐν τῇ προσαγορεύσει πταίσματος. He informs us that though ὑγιαίνειν might be said at other times of the day, yet in the morning χαῖρε alone was used. ἀφικόμενος παρὰ σέ, ὡς προσείποιμι τὸ ἐωθινόν, δέον τὴν συνήθη ταύτην φωνὴν ἀφεῖναι καὶ χαίρειν κελεύειν, ἐγὼ δ' ὁ χρυσοῦς ἐπιλαθόμενος ὑγιαίνειν σε ηὐξίουν, εὔφημον μὲν καὶ τοῦτο, οὐκ ἐν καιρῷ δὲ, ὡς οὐ κατὰ τὴν ἕω. *Pro lapsu inter salut.* 1. We further learn that at an earlier period, at least in the time of Alexis and Philemon, no such distinction existed, and that ὑγιαίνειν, and εὖ πράττειν, were customary. The first is the Pythagorean salutation; the second, according to Lucian, § 4, was first introduced by Plato; according to Diog. Laert. x. 14, by Epicurus as a superscription of his letters. We have all three in a fragment of Philemon, quoted by Lucian, § 6:

Αἰτῶ δ' ὑγίειαν πρῶτον, εἶτ' εὐπραξίαν,
τρίτον δὲ χαίρειν, εἶτ' ὀφείλειν μηδενί.

In the time of Aristophanes, the ancient χαῖρε (ἀρχαιοτάτη φιλικὴ προσφώνησις, Eustath. *ad Il.* ix. 197,) seems to have been regarded as old-fashioned, and to some extent it became the mode to say ἀσπάζομαι. Aristoph. *Plut.* 322:

Χαίρειν μὲν ὑμᾶς ἐστιν, ὦ ἄνδρες δημόται,
ἀρχαῖον ἤδη προσαγορεύειν καὶ σαπρόν·
ἀσπάζομαι δέ.

See *Nub.* 1145, where Socrates says, Στρεψιάδην ἀσπάζομαι. It appears from Herodotus, ii. 80, that it was customary to greet with words; though afterwards it became the fashion to kiss the hand, breast, or knee of a superior. Lucian, *Nigrin.* 21: οἱ δὲ σεμνότεροι καὶ προσκυνεῖσθαι περιμένοντες, οὐ πόρρωθεν, οὐδ' ὡς Πέρσαις νόμος, ἀλλὰ δεῖ προσελθόντα καὶ ὑποκύψαντα, καὶ πόρρωθεν τὴν ψυχὴν ταπεινώσαντα καὶ τὸ πάθος αὐτῆς ἐμφανίσαντα τῇ τοῦ σώματος ὁμοιότητι, τὸ στῆθος ἢ τὴν δεξιὰν καταφιλεῖν. Also *Alexand.* 55: προὔτεινέ μοι κῦσαι τὴν δεξιάν, ὥσπερ εἰώθει τοῖς πολλοῖς. This usage is evidently borrowed from observances in the worship of the gods; see Lucian, *de Sacrif.* 12: ὁ δὲ πένης ἱλάσατο τὸν θεὸν φιλήσας μόνον τὴν αὐτοῦ δεξιάν.

May the gods transform into lightsome day the dark night that now encompasses you[19].' 'Nay,' said Polycles; 'I am not to be deceived. I am not one of those, who, when they meet with suffering or misfortune, send for a sophist to console them[20]. Rather tell me something of the fate

[19] The words of Atossa when she hears the news of the life of her son (*Persæ*, 306),

καὶ λευκὸν ἦμαρ νυκτὸς ἐκ μελαγχίμου,

seem to contain a far more natural solution of the adage, λευκὴ ἡμέρα, than the far-fetched derivations that have been given. Plutarch, *Pericl.* 27, says it originated from an incident in the Samian war, in which Pericles divided the Athenian troops into eight companies, and every day one of these was always allowed to rest. They drew lots for it, and that company which drew the one white bean, rested. He adds, διὸ καί φασι, τοὺς ἐν εὐπαθείαις τισὶ γενομένους λευκὴν ἡμέραν ἐκείνην ἀπὸ τοῦ λευκοῦ κυάμου προσαγορεύειν. The more usual derivation is from the Scythian or Thracian custom of marking those days on which they had been prosperous with a white, the others with a black pebble. Suidas says: λευκὴ ἡμέρα. Φύλαρχος γάρ φησι, τοὺς Σκύθας μέλλοντας καθεύδειν ἀγειν τὴν φαρέτραν καὶ, εἰ μὲν ἀλύπως τύχοιεν τὴν ἡμέραν ἐκείνην διαγαγόντες, καθιέναι εἰς τὴν φαρέτραν ψῆφον λευκήν· εἰ δὲ ὀχληρῶς, μέλαιναν. After death these were counted. See Plin. *Nat. Hist.* vii. 40, 41.

[20] The philosophers of antiquity seem in some sort to have undertaken the care of souls, at all events they frequently administered consolation at times of suffering and sorrow. Dio Chrysostom is very explicit. *Or.* xxvii. p. 529: πεπονθασι γὰρ δὴ οἱ πολλοὶ πρὸς τοὺς ἐκ φιλοσοφίας λόγους, ὥσπερ, οἶμαι, πρὸς τὰ τῶν ἰατρῶν φάρμακα. οὔτε γάρ τις ἐκείνοις εὐθὺς πρόσεισιν, οὐδὲ ὠνεῖται, πρὶν ἢ περιπεσεῖν φανερῷ νοσήματι καὶ ἀλγῆσαί τι τοῦ σώματος· οὔτε τῶν τοιούτων λόγων ἀκούειν ἐθέλουσιν ὡς τὸ πολὺ, ὕτῳ ἂν μὴ λυπηρόν τι ξυνενεχθῇ καὶ τῶν δοκούντων χαλεπῶν.....Κἂν ἀπολέσας τύχῃ τινὰς τῶν οἰκείων, ἢ γυναῖκα, ἢ παῖδα, ἢ ἀδελφόν, ἀξιοῦσιν ἀφικνεῖσθαι τὸν φιλόσοφον καὶ παρηγορεῖν. See Plutarch, *de Superstit.* 7. It is related of Antiphon: ἐν Κορίνθῳ τε κατεσκευασμένος οἴκημά τι παρὰ τὴν ἀγορὰν προέγραψεν, ὅτι δύναται τοὺς λυπουμένους διὰ λόγων θεραπεύειν. καὶ πυνθανόμενος τὰς αἰτίας παρεμυθεῖτο τοὺς κάμνοντας. Plut. *Dec. Or. Vit.* iv. p. 344. See Phot. *Bibl.* Cod. 259. Similar instances occur elsewhere; so Aristoph. *Plut.* 177:

Φιλέψιος δ' οὐχ ἕνεκά σου μύθους λέγει;

and we know from Dio Chrysost. *Or.* xi. p. 323, how fond the Greeks were of listening to amusing tales, whether true or not. Many persons turned to their own profit the superstition of others. See Isocrates, *Ægin.* 2, p. 551. Cf. Plato, *de Repub.* ii. p. 364: ἀγύρται δὲ καὶ μάντεις ἐπὶ πλουσίων θύρας ἰόντες πείθουσι, κ.τ.λ. And Dio Chrysost. *Or.* xxx. p. 553. Demosthenes taunts Æschines with having pursued an occupation of the kind; *de Coron.* p. 313; and it is related of Epicurus: σὺν τῇ μητρὶ περιϊόντα αὐτὸν ἐς τὰ οἰκίδια καθαρ-

of thy family.' The youth, accordingly, delivered a brief recital of the fortunes of his house since the flight from Athens.

The sick man evinced so much emotion in the course of the narration, that at last the doctor motioned Charicles to break off. 'Is the draught ready that I ordered to be prepared?' he enquired of a slave who just then entered. 'Manto will bring it immediately,' was the reply. 'Manto!' exclaimed Polycles; 'why not Cleobule?' 'She heard that gentlemen were with you,' replied the slave. 'They are only near friends of the family,' said the sick man; 'she need not mind them. I prefer taking the draught from her.' The slave departed to inform the lady of his master's wishes, and the doctor again felt the patient's pulse, whilst the bystanders stood aside.

One of the three, who had been addressed as Sophilos, had seized Charicles by the hand, and retired with him to a corner of the room. His age was between fifty and sixty, and his exterior bespoke affluence, as well as polish and good breeding. Time had furrowed his brow, and rendered grey his locks; but his firm carriage and active step betokened one still vigorous, and he conversed with all the vivacity of youth. A gentle earnestness and good-humoured benevolence beamed in his countenance, and his whole appearance was calculated to awaken confidence, and attract the beholder[21].

μοὺς ἀναγινώσκειν. These καθαρμοὶ were connected with the recitation of mysterious spells. Of the same kind were the magic sentences serving as amulets, ἀλεξιφάρμακα, like the Ἐφέσια γράμματα. So a fragment of Menander:

Ἐφέσια τοῖς γαμοῦσιν οὗτος περιπατεῖ
λέγων ἀλεξιφάρμακα.

The interpretation of dreams has already been discussed. See Note 4, Sc. VIII. A kindred art to this is mentioned by Alciphron, *Epist.* iii. 59. The πινάκια there mentioned seem to be analogous to the Roman *sortes*, and the operation may be compared to our cutting of cards. No doubt a great number of persons made a living as οἰωνισταί, ἀγύρται, τερατοσκόποι and γόητες, though their trade stood in very ill odour.

[21] Periplectomenes in the *Miles* of Plautus, III. 1, has served as the original of Sophilos.

As Charicles recounted the misfortunes of his family, Sophilos had listened with sympathy, and, when he now further questioned Charicles about many passages in his life, his glance dwelt on the youth with peculiar satisfaction. Whilst they were engaged in low-toned conversation, the hanging was pushed aside, and Cleobule entered, followed by a female slave. Nearly overcome with timidity, she did not dare to raise her eyes, but kept them fixed on the glass phial[22] in her right hand, and she hastened to

---

[22] Though the invention of glass falls in the days of early Phœnician legend, still from this we cannot infer how soon articles of this material came into common use in Greece. It seems to have been long ranked with precious stones, and was always called λίθος, (*Nubes*, 766); whilst later, crystal is called ὕαλος ὁρωρυγμένη, (Achill. Tat. ii. 3.) In Herodot. ii. 69, where we read, ἀρτήματά τε λίθινα χυτὰ καὶ χρύσεα ἐς τὰ ὦτα ἐνθέντες, the name ὕαλος does not seem to be even known, so that it must then have been still a rarity. For these λίθινα χυτὰ are of glass, as is manifest from a comparison of the above passage with Plato, *Tim.* p. 61: τό τε περὶ τὴν ὕαλον γένος ἅπαν ὅσα τε λίθων χυτὰ εἴδη. The first mention of the name, and at the same time of glass utensils, occurs *Acharn.* 73:

> ξενιζόμενοι δὲ πρὸς βίαν ἐπίνομεν
> ἐξ ὑαλίνων ἐκπωμάτων καὶ χρυσίδων
> ἄκρατον οἶνον ἡδύν.

But here, as in Herodotus, it is evident that such vessels are costly rarities, for the ὑάλινα ἐκπώματα are mentioned along with vessels of gold, and the passage is descriptive of magnificence and luxury. By degrees glass became more common, and not only drinking-vessels, but also large bowls were made of this material. Pausan. ii. 27, 3: γέγραπται δὲ ἐνταῦθα καὶ Μέθη, Παυσίου καὶ τοῦτο ἔργον, ἐξ ὑαλίνης φιάλης πίνουσα· ἴδοις δ' ἂν ἐν τῇ γραφῇ φιάλην τε ὑάλου καὶ δι' αὐτῆς γυναικὸς πρόσωπον. In Athenæus, iv. p. 129, in the description of the wedding-feast of Caranos the Macedonian, mention occurs of a glass bowl which measured two cubits in diameter: ὑαλοῦς πίναξ δίπηχύς που τὴν διάμετρον. But the period when the use of glass became most common, was when its manufacture, and particularly the art of polishing it, arrived at such wonderful perfection in Alexandria. Athen. xi. p. 1042: κατασκευάζουσι δὲ οἱ ἐν Ἀλεξανδρείᾳ τὴν ὕαλον μεταῤῥυθμίζοντες πολλάκις πολλαῖς ἰδέαις ποτηρίων παντὸς τοῦ πανταχόθεν κατακομιζομένου κεράμου τὴν ἰδέαν μιμούμενοι. Consult *Gallus*, p. 303, and 373. See also the description of a crystal vase in Achill. Tat. ii. 3: ὑάλου μὲν τὸ πᾶν ἔργον ὁρωρυγμένης· κύκλῳ δὲ αὐτὸν ἄμπελοι περιέστεφον ἀπὸ τοῦ κρατῆρος πεφυτευμέναι. Οἱ δὲ βότρυς πάντῃ περικρεμάμενοι· ὁμφαξ μὲν αὐτῶν ἕκαστος, ὅσον ἦν κενὸς ὁ κρατήρ· ἐὰν δὲ ἐγχέῃς οἶνον, κατὰ μικρὸν ὁ βότρυς ὑποπερκάζεται καὶ σταφυλὴν τὴν ὅμφακα ποιεῖ. Cf. Strabo, xvi. 2, 25.

The commonest drinking vessels were of burnt clay, κεράμεια. Those manufactured in Attica were very celebrated, and were exported in con-

present to her sick husband and uncle the potion which it contained; the physician having first mingled in it something from his drug-box. She next smoothed the pillow, bending affectionately over her husband, as if to enquire whether he felt any relief.

The eyes of all present were fastened on this picture of dutiful affection, but the gaze of Charicles especially, seemed riveted to the spot. When Cleobule entered, he was conversing with Sophilos, with his back to the door, and she on her part was so entirely occupied with tending the sick man, that her face had not once been turned towards the group behind her. Yet there was something in that graceful figure that awoke scarcely stifled emotions in his breast. It was the very image of the apparition by the brook. There was the same delicate structure and youthful swell of the limbs, though they were now enveloped in a dress of more ample folds; the same profusion of blond tresses, though now gathered in a gold-coloured caul; and that very same gracefulness of movement, though modified of course by the altered circumstances.

The physician next prescribed a bath for his patient. This was easily effected, as Polycles had apartments constructed for the purpose in his own house, which were fitted up with every requisite appliance. They bore a miniature resemblance to the larger public baths; although Polycles, being stricken in years, had seldom any use for the cold bath, confining himself to one of a warmer character. There

---

siderable quantities. See Herod. v. 88; Aristoph. *Acharn.* 900; Athen. i. p. 28; xi. pp. 480, 484. There was also throughout Greece an important internal traffic in these pottery wares, and certain kinds were even imported into Athens. Eubulos, quoted in Athen. i. p. 28, praises Κνίδια κεράμια, Σικελικὰ βατάνια, Μεγαρικὰ πιθάκνια. See Plut. *de vit. Ær. al.* 2; and Athen. xi. p. 464. Besides these, there were utensils of brass, silver, and gold, often embossed. Demosth. *in Euerg.* p. 1155: ὑδρία χαλκῆ πολλοῦ ἀξία. Again *in Timoth.* p. 1193, we have φιάλαι λυκιουργεῖς δύο, worth 237 drachmas. For further information see Dodwell, *Class. Tour*, ii. p. 200, and Welcker, in the *Rhein. Mus.* for 1839.

was also a regular sudatory, and in it the laver[23] used in taking the hotter baths. Orders were given for raising

[23] The Roman baths have been very fully discussed in *Gallus*, pp. 366-397, and as what has there been said is, for the most part, applicable to the baths of Greece, it will not be necessary to repeat it here; and besides the absence of accurate information respecting the Grecian baths of the better age, leaves us to infer many of the details from the analogy of the baths of Rome. Here, therefore, the method of bathing will be alone investigated. The daily bath was by no means so indispensable with the Greeks as it was with the Romans; nay, in some instances the former nation looked on it as a mark of degeneracy and increasing effeminacy, when the baths were much frequented. But so far as the bath was necessary to cleanliness, its neglect was considered a matter of reproach. So *Lysistr.* 280: *ῥυπῶν, ἀπαράτιλτος, ἐξ ἑτῶν ἄλουτος.* And *Nubes*, 835:

ὧν ὑπὸ τῆς φειδωλίας
ἀπεκείρατ' οὐδεὶς πώποτ', οὐδ' ἠλείψατο
οὐδ' ἐς βαλανεῖον ἦλθε λουσόμενος.

It was said in ridicule of the Dardans that they only washed thrice in their lives, *τρὶς ἐν τῷ βίῳ λούνται μόνον, ὅταν γεννῶνται, καὶ ἐπὶ γάμοις, καὶ τελευτῶντες.* Nicol. Damasc. quoted by Stobæus, *Tit.* v. 51. Yet the frequent use of the bath in the *βαλανείοις* was deemed a *τρυφὴ* in the better period, and persons of simple habits abstained from it. So Plato, *Symp.* p. 174, relates of Socrates, *ἔφη γὰρ οἱ Σωκράτη ἐντυχεῖν λελουμένον τε καὶ τὰς βλαύτας ὑποδεδεμένου, ἃ ἐκεῖνος ὀλιγάκις ἐποίει*: and in Plutarch, *Phoc.* 4, we read, *Φωκίωνα γὰρ οὔτε γελάσαντά τις, οὔτε κλαύσαντα ῥᾳδίως Ἀθηναίων εἶδεν, οὐδ' ἐν βαλανείῳ δημοσιεύοντι λουσάμενον.* Demosth. *adv. Polycl.* p. 1217, speaks of it as a mark of the bad discipline of a ship's crew: *διεφθαρμένον μὲν πλήρωμα καὶ εἰωθὸς, ἀργύριον πολὺ προλαμβάνειν, καὶ ἀτελείας ἄγειν τῶν νομιζομένων ἐν τῇ νηῒ λειτουργιῶν, καὶ λοῦσθαι ἐν βαλανείῳ.* Hence the youth in Sparta was *καὶ λουτρῶν καὶ ἀλειμμάτων ἄπειρος.* Plutarch, *Lyc.* 16. But it was only the *βαλανεῖα*, that is, the warm baths, *θερμὰ λουτρὰ*, which were censured, and in early times they were not even allowed within cities. Athen. i. p. 18: *προσφάτως δὲ καὶ τὰ βαλανεῖα παρῆκται, τὴν ἀρχὴν οὐδὲ ἔνδον τῆς πόλεως ἐώντων εἶναι αὐτά.* So the *Δίκαιος λόγος*, *Nubes*, 991, advises the youth *βαλανείων ἀπέχεσθαι*, and maintains this opinion against the question which the *Ἄδικος λόγος* puts, 1045,

καίτοι τίνα γνώμην ἔχων ψέγεις τὰ θερμὰ λουτρά;
Δ. *ὅτιὴ κάκιστόν ἐστι καὶ δειλὸν ποιεῖ τὸν ἄνδρα.*

Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 761, wishes to confine the use of warm baths to old people, *γεροντικὰ λουτρὰ θερμὰ παρέχοντας.* See Plutarch, *de San. Tuend.* i. p. 515: and *Symp.* viii. 9.

The *βαλανεῖα* were either public, *δημόσια*, (Xen. *de Repub.* 2, 10,) or private establishments, *ἴδια*, *ἰδιωτικὰ*, though the latter terms may also be supposed to denote baths in private houses, which also naturally existed. In some vase-paintings the bathing tubs bear the inscriptions ΔΗΜΟΣΙΑ and ΙΔΙΑ. See Tischbein, *Coll. of Engr.* i. pl. 58, from which the accompanying cut is taken. A public bath is to be understood in Diog. Laert. vii. 12,

this apartment to a moderate temperature, previous to the patient being carried thither. Cleobule hastened to



where Zeno is mentioned as *τῶν εἰς τὴν ἐπισκευὴν τοῦ λουτρῶνος συμβαλλομένων εἷς*. A private bath is mentioned by Isæus, *de Dicæog. her.* p. 101, and in Id. *de Philoctem. her.* p. 140, we hear of such an one being sold for three thousand drachmas. A *βαλανεῖον ἰδιωτικὸν* is referred to by Plutarch, *Demetr.* 24, and also by Alciphron, *Epist.* i. 23. In these baths, and in the public ones as well, the *βαλανεὺς* received a trifle, *ἐπίλουτρον*. So *Nubes*, 835, where Socrates and his scholars abstain from bathing for the sake of economy. See *Ranæ*, 710; Lucian, *Lexiph.* 2: *σὺ δὲ, ὦ παῖ, στλεγγίδα μοι καὶ βύρσαν, καὶ φωσώνια, καὶ ῥύμματα νανστολεῖν ἐς τὸ βαλανεῖον καὶ τοὐπίλουτρον κομίζειν. ἔχεις δὲ χαμάζε παρὰ τὴν ἐγγυοθήκην δύ' ὀβολώ*. Schol. *τὸ ἐπίλουτρον δὲ τὸ ἐν τῇ συνηθείᾳ βαλανικὸν*, and that this is to be understood of the public baths, appears from Athen. viii. p. 351: *ἐν Φασήλιδι δὲ πρὸς τὸν παῖδα διαμφισβητοῦντος τοῦ βαλανέως περὶ τοῦ ἀργυρίου· ἦν γὰρ νόμος πλείονος λούειν τοὺς ξένους· Ὦ μιαρὲ, ἔφη, παῖ, παρὰ χαλκοῦν με μικροῦ Φασηλίτην ἐποίησας*.

Of the arrangement of the baths we know extremely little, for Lucian's *Hippias* refers to a bath arranged in the taste of a later age. It is rather remarkable that in the vase-paintings we never meet with any basin or tub, wherein the bathers might stand or sit. There is always a round or oval basin, resting on one foot, beside which the bathers stand quite naked to wash themselves. These basins are doubtless the *λουτῆρες* and *λουτήρια*. See Moschion apud Athen. v. p. 207. The *ὑπόστατον*, Poll. x.

superintend in person the needful preparations, and as she turned round to go towards the door, her eye fell upon

---

46, is the leg or foot whereon the vessel rested. Nevertheless we cannot doubt but that there were also tubs in the baths, which one might get into. They were called πύελοι, (in Homer ἀσάμινθοι). See Schol. on Aristoph. *Equit.* 1060: 'τὰς πυέλους καταλήψεσθ' ἐν βαλανείῳ.' τὰς ἐμβάσεις. πύελος γὰρ ὄρυγμα, ἐμβατὴ ἔνθα ἀπολούονται, and Pollux, vii. 166, quotes a fragment of Aristophanes:

ἀλλ' ἀρτίως κατέλιπον αὐτὴν σμωμένην
ἐν τῇ πυέλῳ.

Some of them were calculated to contain several persons; as we see from a passage of Eupolis ap. Poll. vii. 168: λέγει γοῦν ἐν Διαιτῶντι, εἰς βαλανεῖον εἰσελθὼν μὴ ζηλοτυπήσῃς τὸν συμβαίνοντά σοι εἰς τὴν μάκτραν. So that, in the main points, the λουτὴρ answers to the Roman *labrum*, and the πύελος to the *alveus*.

In the βαλανεῖον there was also frequently a vapour-bath or sudatory, πυρία, πυριατήριον. Herodot. iv. 75, mentions it as usual; also Eupolis apud Poll. ix. 43; Aristot. *Probl.* ii. 11; 29; and 32. There seems to have been nothing in the Grecian sweating-baths similar to the Roman *concamerata sudatio*, with its *Laconicum;* but the bathers sat, on the contrary, in separate tub-like compartments. This is what is meant by the πυρίας χαλκᾶς in the fragment of Moschion, referred to above. See also Athen. xii. p. 519: παρὰ Συβαρίταις δ' εὑρήθησαν καὶ πύελοι, ἐν αἷς κατακείμενοι ἐπυριῶντο. These πύελοι used in the vapour-baths were also called πυρίαι. Phrynich. *Epit.* p. 325. A bathing establishment also was not complete without an anointing room, ἀλειπτήριον, which is probably the same as the *elæothesium* of Vitruvius. See Poll. vii. 166. It is doubtful whether an ἀποδυτήριον, in which to deposit the clothes, was an essential portion of the baths; it was probably of later date. Though Lucian talks of such a place, where ἱματιοφυλακοῦντες, (Lat. *capsarii,*) are stationed, yet in Aristotle's time such people were unknown, and the bathers looked after their own clothes: for in discussing the punishment to be awarded, ἐὰν μέν τις ἐκ βαλανείου κλέψῃ, he says, ἐν δὲ τῷ βαλανείῳ... ῥᾴδιον τῷ βουλομένῳ κακουργεῖν. οὐδὲν γὰρ ἰσχυρὸν ἔχουσι πρὸς τὴν φυλακὴν οἱ τιθέντες, ἀλλ' ἢ τὸ αὐτῶν ὄμμα. *Probl.* xxix. 14. See Theoph. *Char.* 8; Diog. Laert. vi. 52; Athen. iii. p. 97.

The question whether there were common baths for women, can only be answered from the monuments. There are many very wanton vase-paintings representing women washing together at a λουτήρ. Tischbein, *Coll. of Engr.* iii. 35; iv. 26; 27; 28. Millin, ii. 9, &c. A vessel in the Museum at Berlin is especially interesting; a woman's bath of very remarkable construction is depicted on it. Water descends upon the bathers in a shower, from certain heads of animals fixed to the capitals of the pillars of the bath-room. If these representations do not refer to baths in private houses, it would appear that there were common baths for women, and perhaps even public ones. The almost illegible inscription on the λουτήρ, in Tischbein, iv. 28, looks very much like ΔΗΜΟΣΙΑ, though this is far from certain. At Athens, it is true that nothing of the

Charicles, who was standing near it. Suddenly she started as though she had seen the Gorgo's head, or some spectre



kind would be admissible; but in other towns, those of Magna Græcia for instance, where the women were under less restraint, it might well have been the case.

In the vase-paintings the women are in a state of nudity, except in a single instance where one has a slight shift, χιτώνιον. See the wood-cut. Poll. x. 181, says: τὸ μέντοι δέρμα, ᾧ ὑποζώννυνται αἱ γυναῖκες λουόμεναι, ἢ οἱ λοῦντες αὐτὰς, ᾧαν λουτρίδα ἔξεστι καλεῖν Θεοπόμπου εἰπόντος ἐν Παισί,

τηνδὶ περιζωσάμενος ᾧαν λουτρίδα
κατάδεσμον ἥβης περιπέτασον.

Φερεκράτης δὲ ἐν Ἴπνῳ καταλέγων τὰ ἐργαλεῖα τῆς παιδοτριβικῆς

ἤδη μὲν ᾧαν λούμενοι περιζώννυνται.

But neither of the passages he quotes refer to women; and such a περίζωμα or ὑπόζωμα is never represented. Cf. Jacobs, *Animadv. ad Anthol.* iv. p. 224. The other necessaries, such as oil, towel and strigils, were brought from home by slaves. See *Gallus*, p. 393. For the form of the *strigil* or scraper, στλεγγὶς or ξύστρα (Phryn. p. 229; 460), the handle of which was hollow, see Aristoph. *Thesm.* 556:

ἐπεὶ τόδ' οὐκ εἴρηχ', ὁρᾷς, ὡς στλεγγίδας
λαβοῦσαι
ἔπειτα σιφωνίζομεν τὸν οἶνον.

It was usually of iron, among the Spartans of cane (Plut. *Inst. Lac.* 32), at Acragas, according to Ælian, *Var. Hist.* xii. 29, of silver, as well as the λήκυθοι. Sometimes it was of peculiar shape, as we see from vases and gems. Millin, *Peint. d. Vas.*

risen out of Hades; and the glass phial would have dropped from her hand, had not the doctor caught it. With a

---

ii. 45; 63. Concerning the oil, see Theophr. *Char.* 11. The *ῥύμμα*, however, was mostly provided by the *βαλανεὺς*, thus in Aristoph. *Lysist.* 377, the woman says,

εἰ ῥύμμα τυγχάνεις ἔχων, λουτρόν γέ σοι παρέξω.

Ran. 710: *ὁ πονηρότατος βαλανεὺς, ὀπύσοι κρατοῦσι κυκησιτέφρου, ψευδονίτρου κονίας καὶ Κιμωλίας γῆς.* Schol. *ταῦτα τοιαῦτα καθάρματά ἐστιν, οἷς οἱ λουόμενοι χρῶνται τῶν βαλανέων πωλούντων.* For the various sorts, lye, *κονία*, (Plato, *de Repub.* iv. p. 430,) alkaline salts, nitre, *νίτρον*, fuller's earth, *γῆ Κιμωλία*, &c., see Beckmann's *History of Inventions.* What resemblance the *σμήματα* or *σμήγματα* bore to our soap cannot be determined. See *Gallus*, p. 378.

It was invariably the rule to be soused with cold water immediately after either a warm or a sweating-bath. Plutarch, *de primo. frig.* 10: *ἱκανῶς δὲ καὶ ὁ τῶν μετὰ λουτρὸν ἢ πυρίαν περιχεαμένων ψυχρὸν ἀνιὼν ἀτμὸς ἐνδείκνυται κ.τ.λ.* Cf. Fragm. *in Hesiod. περιχεόμενοι κατὰ κρατός τε καὶ ὤμων*, and Paus. ii. 34, 2, complains that at the hot springs of Methana, *λουσαμένῳ δὲ ἐνταῦθα οὔτε ὕδωρ ἐστὶν ἐγγὺς ψυχρὸν, οὔτε ἐσπεσόντα ἐς τὴν θάλασσαν ἀκινδύνως νήχεσθαι.* Cf. Plato, *de Repub.* i. p. 344: *ὥσπερ βαλανεὺς ἡμῶν καταντλήσας κατὰ τῶν ὤτων ἀθρόον καὶ πολὺν λόγον.* So Lucian, *Demosth. encom.* 16. This dashing with cold water was performed by the *βαλανεὺς* and his assistants, *παραχύται.* Plutarch, *de Invid.* 6: and *Apophth. Lac.* 49. The vessel used for the operation was called *ἀρύταινα*, and perhaps also *ἀρύβαλλος*, as Poll. vii. 166, supposes from the passage in *Equit.* 1090, though the Scholiast explains it differently. See Athen. xi. p. 1039; and Theophr. *Char.* 9: *δεινὸς δὲ καὶ πρὸς τὰ χαλκεῖα τὰ ἐν τῷ βαλανείῳ προσελθὼν καὶ βάψας ἀρύταιναν βοῶντος τοῦ βαλανέως αὐτὸς αὑτοῦ καταχέασθαι.* In a vase-painting, Tischbein, i. 58, is represented a boy in the character of a *παραχύτης* with the *ἀρύταινα*, and in Moses, *Collect. of Ant. Vas.* p. 14, there is a woman over whom the water is being poured. It may be added, that the *παραχύται* also brought the hot water. See Athen. xii. p. 518.

The hour of bathing was, in the better period, that preceding the chief meal, *δεῖπνον*, of this no proof need be adduced. In later and more degenerate days, noon is sometimes mentioned. Lucian, *Lexiph.* 4: *καὶ γὰρ ὁ γνώμων σκιάζει μέσην τὴν πόλον· καὶ δέος μὴ ἐν λουτρίῳ ἀπολουσώμεθα κ.τ.λ.*, and Alciph. *Epist.* iii. 60: *ὡς γὰρ ἐλούσαντο οἱ πολλοὶ καὶ μεσοῦσα ἡμέρα ἦν.* But, even at an earlier period, voluptuaries bathed several times a day. See Menander, quoted by Athen. iv. p. 166:

καίτοι νέος ποτ' ἐγενόμην κἀγώ, γύναι·
ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐλούμην πεντάκις τῆς ἡμέρας
τότ', ἀλλὰ νῦν.

See also the fragment of Simonides in Meineke, p. 127:

λοῦται δὲ πάσης ἡμέρας ἅπο ῥύπον
δὶς, ἄλλοτε τρὶς, καὶ μύροις ἀλείφεται.

Still, even then, the bath was regarded for the most part as a preliminary to a meal. See Artemidor. *Oneirocr.* i. 64: *νῦν δὲ οἱ μὲν οὐ πρότερον ἐσθίουσιν, εἰ μὴ λούοιντο· οἱ δὲ καὶ ἐμφαγόντες· εἶτα δὴ λούονται μέλλοντες δειπνήσειν. καὶ ἔστι νῦν τὸ βαλα-*

deep blush, and downcast eyes, she rushed hurriedly past the young man, who was himself so surprised and confused, that he did not hear the question which Sophilos just then put to him. It was now necessary to leave the sick chamber, and he was not sorry to do so. Approaching the bed, he expressed a hope that its tenant would amend, and then hastened from the chamber in a tumult of contending emotions.

νεῖον οὐδὲν ἄλλο ἢ ὀδὸς ἐπὶ τροφήν. At that time, games, such as the cottabos, were played at the baths. See Diog. Laert. vi. 46. In winter, the baths, particularly the firing-place, served for the poor to stay and warm themselves, if the *βαλανεὺς* allowed it. Aristoph. *Plut.* 951:

ΔΙΚ. *καὶ μήν, ἐπειδὴ τὴν πανοπλίαν τὴν ἐμὴν*
ἔχων βαδίζεις, ἐς τὸ βαλανεῖον τρέχε·
ἔπειτ' ἐκεῖ κορυφαῖος ἑστηκὼς θέρου.
κἀγὼ γὰρ εἶχον τὴν στάσιν ταύτην ποτέ.
ΧΡ. *ἀλλ' ὁ βαλανεὺς ἕλξει θύρας' αὐτόν.*

Cf. also, *ib.* 535, which latter passage is admirably illustrated by Alciphr. *Epist.* i. 23: *ἐβουλευσάμην οὖν Ὀδύσσειον βούλευμα, δραμεῖν εἰς τοὺς θόλους ἢ τὰς καμίνους τῶν βαλανείων· ἀλλ' οὐδὲ ἐκεῖσε συνεχώρουν οἱ τῶν ὁμοτέχνων περὶ ταῦτα κυλινδούμενοι ...ὡς οὖν ᾐσθόμην, οὐκ εἶναί μοι εἰς ταῦτα εἰσιτητέον δραμὼν ἐπὶ τὸ Θρασύλλου βαλανεῖον ἰδιωτικῆς οἰκίας εὗρον τοῦτο κενὸν καὶ καταβαλὼν ὀβολοὺς δύο καὶ τὸν βαλανέα τούτοις ἵλεων καταστήσας ἐθερόμην.*

SCENE THE NINTH.

THE WILL.

IT was one of those blustering nights, so common at the commencement of Mæmacterion. The wind blew from Salamis, driving before it the scud of black rain-clouds over the Piræus; and when they opened for a moment, the crescent of the waning moon would peer forth, throwing a transient glimmer on the distant temples of the Acropolis. In the streets of the sea-port, generally so full of bustle, reigned deep repose, only broken by the dull roaring of the sea, or the groaning of the masts, as some more violent gust swept through the rigging of the vessels yet remaining in the harbour. Occasionally too some half intoxicated sailor would stagger lanternless[1] from the wine-shops to-

[1] As the streets were not lighted, (see *Gallus*, p. 80, Note 19,) it was enjoined by custom, or perhaps even by authority, that all who went out after dusk should be preceded by a slave with a light. Torches, δᾷδες, φανοί, δεταί, λαμπάδες, λαμπτῆρες, mostly purchased at the time from a κάπηλος, were used for this purpose. See Lysias, *de Cæde Erat.* p. 27; Nicostr. ap. Athen. xv. p. 700; Plutarch, *Arat.* 6; Aristoph. *Eccles.* 692, 978; *Vesp.* 1331. They consisted of a bundle of pine-splints, (hence δεταί, and in Athen. xv. p. 700: ἔκ τινων ζύλων τετμημένων δέσμη,) or of other dried woods, probably made more inflammable by means of pitch. Thus the tendrils of the vine were used. *Lysistr.* 308:

τῆς ἀμπέλου δ' ἐς τὴν χύτραν τὸν φανὸν ἐγκαθέντες,
ἆψαντες εἶτ' ἐς τὴν θύραν κριηδὸν ἐμπέσοιμεν.

On which the Scholiast remarks: ἐκ δὲ τῶν ἀμπελίνων τὰς λαμπάδας κατεσκεύαζον εἰς ἔξαψιν, ὡς καὶ ἐν Λημνίαις φησί. In the early times, however, no mention occurs of the pitch-torches of oakum, *funalia*, or the wax-torches, so much used by the Romans. See Note 5, *infra*. They used also lanterns of horn, called φανοί, but by the Attics λυχνοῦχοι. Among many other passages we may refer to Phryn. *Ecl.* p. 59: Φανός· ἐπὶ τῆς λαμπάδος, ἀλλὰ μὴ ἐπὶ τοῦ κερατίνου λέγε. τοῦτο δὲ λυχνοῦχον. Phot. *Lex.* p. 238: Λυχνοῦχον· τὸν κεράτινον φανὸν, ἀπὸ τοῦ λύχνου ἐν αὐτῷ περιέχεσθαι· φανὸς δὲ ἡ ἐκ ξύλων λαμπάς. Athen. xv. p. 699: ὅτι δὲ λυχνοῦχοι οἱ νῦν καλούμενοι φανοὶ ὠνομάζοντο Ἀριστοφάνης ἐν Αἰολοσίκωνι παρίστησι·

Καὶ διαστίλβονθ' ὁρῶμεν
ὥσπερ ἐν καινῷ λυχνούχῳ
πάντα τῆς ἐξωμίδος.

Translucent horn seems to have been the usual material for these lanterns. See a fragment quoted by Athenæus,

wards the harbour; or some foot-pad would sneak along the sides of the houses, ready to pounce on the cloak of a belated passenger; and hiding cautiously behind a Hermes or an altar, whenever the bell of the night-patrol was heard[2].

In a small room of a house situated some distance from the harbour, a young man of unprepossessing exterior lay stretched upon a low couch, which was too short for his figure. His hollow eyes and sunken cheeks, the carelessness of his demeanour, his hasty way of draining the cup in his right hand, and the coarse jokes that from time to time escaped him, sufficiently marked him as one of those vulgar

---

supra:

κερατίνου τε φωσφόρου λύχνου σέλας.

See *Gallus*, p. 314. In this lantern the lamp was placed. See *Vesp.* 246. In the absence of such a lantern, a pot or basket supplied its place. So *Acharn.* 453: *σπυρίδιον διακεκαυμένον λύχνῳ.* How general the use of such lights was, is shewn by the manner in which the Chorus in the *Clouds*, 612, extols the moon, for saving people this expense:

πρῶτα μὲν τοῦ μηνὸς εἰς δᾷδ' οὐκ ἔλαττον ἢ δραχμήν,
ὥστε καὶ λέγειν ἅπαντας ἐξιόντας ἑσπέρας·
μὴ πρίω, παῖ, δᾷδ', ἐπειδὴ φῶς Σεληναίης καλόν.

See also the fragment of Epicharmus quoted in the following note, where the parasite very characteristically steals about without a light.

[2] The altars and Hermæ before the houses, the public monuments and the arcades, were well adapted for nocturnal hiding-places. See Andoc. *de Myst.* p. 19. Nocturnal depredators, who stole people's clothes, λωποδύται, would avail themselves of them. The often-expressed fear of such characters proves how numerous they were at Athens. Antipho. *de Cæde Volunt.* p. 631: *ἔστι δὲ οὐκ ἀπεικὸς, ὡς οὗτοί φασιν, ἀλλὰ εἰκὸς, ἀωρὶ τῶν νυκτῶν πλανώμενον ἐπὶ τοῖς ἱματίοις διαφθαρῆναι.* The nightly patrols, περίπολοι, seem to have apprehended suspicious characters whom they found in the street, at least so says the parasite in a fragment of Epicharmus, ap. Athen. vi. p. 236:

λύχνον δ' οὐχ ὁ παῖς μοι συμφέρει· ἔρπω δ' ὀλισθάζων τε καὶ κατάσκοτος ἔραμος. αἴ κα δ' ἐντύχω τοῖς περιπόλοις, τούτοις ἀγαθὸν ἐπιλέγω τοῖς θεοῖς, ὅτι οὐ λῶντι παίων, ἀλλὰ μαστιγῶντί με.

These περίπολοι, as can be abundantly shewn, carried a bell to ascertain whether the watch were awake and at their posts, and the latter were probably required to answer at the sound of the same. *Aves*, 842, 1159; Schol. on the former passage: *οἱ περίπολοι τὰς φυλακὰς περισκοποῦντες, ἐρχόμενοι ἐπὶ τοὺς φύλακας κώδωνας εἶχον καὶ διὰ τούτων ἐψόφουν, πειράζοντες τὸν καθεύδοντα, καὶ ἵνα οἱ φυλάττοντες ἀντιφθέγγωνται.* Cf. Hesych. s. v. *κωδωνίσαι* and *κωδωνοφορῶν.* See also Plutarch, *Arat.* 7, concerning the surprisal of Sicyon: *Ἀναβάντων δὲ τῶν πρώτων ὁ τὴν ἐωθινὴν φυλακὴν παραδιδοὺς ἐφώδευε κώδωνι καὶ φῶτα πολλὰ καὶ θόρυβος ἦν τῶν ἐπιπορευομένων.*

roués, who were accustomed to waste the day at the dice-board, and devote the night to riot and debauchery. On the table near him, beside the nearly empty punch-bowl, stood a lamp with a double wick, whose light abundantly illumined the narrow chamber. There were also the remnants of the frugal supper that he had just concluded, and a second goblet, which a slave, who sat upon another couch opposite the young man, replenished pretty frequently. Between them was a draught-board which the slave was eyeing attentively, whilst the other surveyed it with tolerable indifference. The game was by no means even. The menial evidently had the advantage; and he now made a move which reduced his adversary to great straits.

'A stupid game, this!' exclaimed the youth, as he tossed the pieces all in a heap; 'a game where it's all thinking, and nothing won after all. Dicing for me,' he added with a yawn. 'But what has got Sosilas? It must be past midnight; and such weather as this, I should not over-enjoy the walk from the town to the haven.' 'He's gone to Polycles,' replied the slave. ''Twas said he would not live till morning, and Sosilas seems vastly concerned about him.' 'I know,' answered the youth; 'but then why did he send for me, just at this time of all others? The morning would have done quite as well; and I must needs leave a jolly party, forsooth; and here I am, hang it, and have to stand my own wine; for not a drop had the old hunks provided.' 'All I know,' replied the slave, 'is, that he bade me fetch you, wherever you were, as he must have speech with you this very night, without fail.' 'Then why doesn't he come?' retorted the other, peevishly. 'Did he go unattended?' 'Syrus went with him; he'll come to no harm. And even suppose he didn't return,' continued the slave with a smile, 'why, you're his next relation and heir, aren't you? Two houses in the city, besides this here—a carpenter's shop[3], and may be some

[3] See Excursus on *The Slaves*.

five or six talents in ready cash:—in sooth, no such bad heritage!' The youth lolled back complacently on the couch. 'Yes, Molon,' said he, 'when he's once out of the way, then'—At this moment came a violent rap at the outer door. 'There he is!' cried the slave, as he hastily caught up the draught-board and one of the goblets, smoothed down the cushion and coverlet of the couch he had been sitting on, and stationed himself at the stripling's elbow, as if he had been waiting on him.

Steps were now audible in the court-yard, and a gruff voice was heard giving orders to a slave in harsh accents. The door opened, and in walked a man with a large beard, and dark and forbidding features. He was wrapped, after the Spartan fashion, in a short mantle of coarse thick texture, and wore Laconian shoes. In his hand was a stout cudgel, with its handle bent in the form of a cross[4]. The sight of the drinking cups and the unwonted illumination of the chamber made him forget the greeting. He approached the slave in a rage. 'Ah! you knave!' cried he, raising his stick, 'what d'ye mean by these two burners, and such large wicks[5]? Does not the winter consume oil enough

---

[4] In ancient reliefs and paintings, representing scenes from comedy, are to be seen old men with sticks whose handles curl inwards in a serpentine fashion. See *Mus. Borb.* i. 20; iv. 24.

[5] So Strepsiades says, Aristoph. *Nub.* 57:

οἴμοι, τί γάρ μοι τὸν πότην ἥπτες λύχνον;
δεῦρ' ἔλθ', ἵνα κλάῃς. Θ. διὰ τί δῆτα κλαύσομαι;
Σ. ὅτι τῶν παχειῶν ἐνετίθεις θρυαλλίδων.

Similarly the chorus, *Vesp.* 251, forbids the wick to be drawn out too much:

τί δῆ παθὼν τῷ δακτύλῳ τὴν θρυαλλίδ' ὠθεῖς; καὶ ταῦτα τοὐλαίου σπανίζοντος, ὦ 'νόητε. οὐ γὰρ δάκνει σ', ὅταν δέῃ τίμιον πρίασθαι.

The oil-lamp, λύχνος, was the only sort of light the Greeks had for household use. Perhaps in more ancient times chips of wood, or pieces of pine, burning in a chafing-dish, answered the purpose; but when manners grew more refined, this method of lighting was entirely superseded by the lamp, and torches were used only out of doors. Athen. xv. p. 700, says: οὐ παλαιὸν δ' εὕρημα λύχνος· φλογὶ δ' οἱ παλαιοὶ τῆς τε δαδὸς καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ξύλων ἐχρῶντο: but this refers to the very earliest period only; and when he wrote, the lamp had been known for perhaps nearly a thousand years. Wax and tallow lights are scarcely ever mentioned, and then only in the very latest times, and by the Roman name, κανδῆλαι. Athen. p. 701: Ἐμοὶ δέ, παῖ δωρόδειπνε, ἀσσαρίου κανδήλας πρίω. Moreover they are here used as a substitute for

without this? And you, Lysistratos'—he here turned to the youth—'seem to make yourself quite at home in my house?' 'Oh! to be sure, uncle,' answered the other, drily; 'wine on credit from the tavern, since yours is safe under lock and key. Do you suppose I'm going to wait

---

torches. Suidas, very improperly, derives the word from the Greek:—*ἀπὸ τοῦ καίειν δῆλα.*

The lamps were usually of burnt earth, (*τροχήλατοι, Eccles.* 1—5,) or of metal. Their form is well known: they had sometimes one, sometimes two or more orifices for the wick, which from their likeness to the nostrils were called *μυκτῆρες* and *μύξαι*; hence *λύχνοι δίμυξοι, τρίμυξοι*, &c. Poll. ii. 72. The wick, Atticè *θρυαλλὶς*, otherwise *ἐλλύχνιον* and *φλόμος*, (Poll. vi. 103; x. 115,) was, partly at least, made of the woolly leaves of a plant, which was thence called *φλόμος λυχνῖτις*. Dioscor. iv. 106; Plin. xxv. 10, 74; Hesych. *Φλόμος· πόα τις, ἣ καὶ αὐτὶ ἐλλυχνίου χρῶνται· ἡ αὐτὴ δὲ καὶ θρυαλλίς.* Also Phot. p. 95: *Θρυαλλίς· ἐσχάρα· λύχνος· ἀκτίς· καὶ βοτάνη πρὸς λύχνον ἁρμόζουσα.* As the lamps were generally small and low, and without feet, they were set upon a stand, *λυχνίον* or *λύχνιον*, also *λυχνία* or *λυχνεῖον*, (Athen. xv. p. 700,) the *candelabrum* of the Romans. What has been said on this subject in *Gallus*, p. 313, need not be here repeated. See especially Athen. iv. p. 147: (*ὑψίλυχνοι αὐγαί*); xv. p. 700; Poll. x. 118. The word *ὀβελισκολύχνιον* however requires explanation. Poll. 117, says: *τὸ δὲ ὀβελισκολύχνιον, στρατιωτικὸν μέν τοι τὸ χρῆμα· εἴρηται δὲ ὑπὸ Θεοπόμπου τοῦ κωμικοῦ ἐν Εἰρήνῃ,*

ἡμᾶς δ' ἀπαλλαχθέντας ἐν ἀγαθαῖς τύχαις
ὀβελισκολυχνίου καὶ ξιφομαχαίρας πικρᾶς.

And Athen. xv. p. 700, refers to the same expression of Theopompus, which however they both seem to have misunderstood. But Aristot. *de Repub.* iv. 15, p. 1299, explains the military connexion in which Theopompus employs the word. Aristotle is speaking of the necessity of assigning, in small states, several functions to the same individual, there not being persons enough singly to undertake them, and then be succeeded by others. Small states, however, often require as many offices as large ones, though these may not be individually of so much importance. He then says: *διόπερ οὐδὲν κωλύει πολλὰς ἐπιμελείας ἅμα προστάττειν· οὐ γὰρ ἐμποδιοῦσιν ἀλλήλαις, καὶ πρὸς τὴν ὀλιγανθρωπίαν ἀναγκαῖον τὰ ἀρχεῖα οἷον ὀβελισκολύχνια ποιεῖν.* Now as he is talking of the heaping several offices on one person, we might fancy the allusion to be to a *candelabrum*, which, obelisk-like, is set with lamps from bottom to top; but, inasmuch as Theopompus combines it with *ξιφομάχαιρα*, a thing which admitted of a twofold use, it seems certain that the comparison refers to something else than the multitude of the offices; and we then arrive at another solution, namely, that a person who is employed first for this and then for that official duty, is like the *ὀβελισκολύχνιον*, which served both for a spear and a candlestick, and which would thus be a very compendious implement for a soldier, — *στρατιωτικὸν χρῆμα*, as Pollux calls it.

here half the night for you, without a drop to drink?' 'I didn't expect to be kept so long,' said the old man, somewhat softened, as he hastily scanned the apartment. 'You may go,' he said to the slave; 'we don't want you any more; leave us, and go to bed.' The slave departed; Sosilas bolted the door, and returned to his nephew.

'He is dead,' whispered he, drawing a long breath; 'Polycles is dead, and a property of sixty talents and more is left without natural heirs.' The nephew started. 'Well! and what good is that to us, if we do not come in for a share?' 'That's just the question,' answered the uncle. 'Lysistratos,' he resumed after a short silence, 'you may be a rich man, if you will.' 'Will? ay! by Dionysos will I, and no mistake,' laughed the nephew. 'Only do what I tell you,' said Sosilas, 'and you have your desire. We are connected—very distantly, I grant—with Polycles; for my long-deceased wife and Cleobule's mother were first cousins. Yet this connexion gives us no title to the property. But, now, what if a will were produced naming me heir!' 'You mean a forged one,' said Lysistratos, musingly; 'but how will it be accredited without you have his signet ring? And do you suppose Polycles, during his long illness, has not himself arranged about bequeathing his property?' The old man quietly opened an adjoining room, and fetched out of it a box, which he unfastened, and drew forth a document with a seal. 'Look ye there, read that,' said he, as he placed it before the youth. 'What's the superscription?' 'By Dionysos!' cried the youth, springing to his feet, '"The last will of Polycles." How came you by this?' 'Very simply,' replied the uncle. 'When Polycles was starting to Ædepsos, and Sophilos, who had got him in his meshes, was luckily gone upon a journey, he summoned me, as a relative of his wife's, and entrusted me with this will, in the presence of the three witnesses therein named.' 'Capital!' shouted Lysistratos; 'so you can substitute another of your own composing. But, still, even then, you will want his signet: do you

think you could imitate it[6]?' 'That would be a dangerous experiment,' replied the uncle; 'and, besides, you can perceive by the superscription, in what peculiar shaky characters it is written; so that it would be almost impossible to forge an imitation, nor indeed do we want one.'

Saying this he produced a knife, removed the shell which served as a capsule to the seal[7], and said, 'See! that's Polycles' seal, and there is just such another beneath the writing[8]; and now look at this,' cried he, as he placed side by side with it another seal, hanging by a slip of string. 'By Poseidon! exactly the same,' exclaimed Lysistratos, in amazement; 'but I can't conceive what all this is about.' 'You'll understand presently,' replied the uncle. He took the knife, and without hesitation severed the string to which the seal was appended, opened the document, and spread it before his nephew. 'Look,' he said with a malicious grin; 'supposing "Sosilas" stood *here* instead of "Sophilos," and *there*, "Sophilos" instead of "Sosilas." I should not so much mind then.' The youth read in astonishment. 'I' faith!' he exclaimed, 'that were

---

[6] Forgery of seals must have occurred early, for Solon enacted a law against it: *δακτυλιογλύφῳ μὴ ἐξεῖναι σφραγῖδα φυλάττειν τοῦ πραθέντος δακτυλίου.* Diog. Laert. i. 57. Afterwards it occurred frequently, as may be concluded from Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 424:

προτοῦ μὲν οὖν ἦν ἀλλ' ὑποῖξαι τὴν θύραν,
ποιησαμέναισι δακτύλιον τριωβόλου.

Thucydides, i. 132, also relates that Argilios, the ambassador from Pausanias to Artabazos, opened the letter entrusted to him: *καὶ παραποιησάμενος σφραγῖδα, ἵνα, ἢν ψευσθῇ τῆς δόξης, ἡ καὶ ἐκεῖνος μεταγράψαι τι αἰτήσῃ, μὴ ἐπιγνῷ, λύει τὰς ἐπιστολάς.*

[7] The custom of keeping a seal in a capsule, *κόγχη*, lest it should be injured, is only mentioned in a single passage, Aristoph. *Vesp.* 585, but the allusion is clear and decisive:

κἂν ἀποθνήσκων ὁ πατὴρ τῷ δῷ καταλείπων παῖδ' ἐπίκληρον,
κλάειν ἡμεῖς μακρὰ τὴν κεφαλὴν εἰπόντες τῇ διαθήκῃ
καὶ τῇ κόγχῃ τῇ πάνυ σεμνῶς τοῖς σημείοισιν ἐπούσῃ,
ἕδομεν ταύτην, ὅστις ἂν ἡμᾶς ἀντιβολήσας ἀναπείσῃ.

And the Scholiast says: *ὡς κόγχας ἐπιτιθέντων ταῖς σφραγῖσιν ἀσφαλείας ἕνεκα.*

[8] Important documents, although they were to be sealed up, were also, it seems, attested by a seal beneath the writing. Plato, *Leg.* ix. p. 856; cf. Demosth. *in Pantæn.* p. 978; and *in Aphob.* p. 837.

indeed a master-stroke; and there are only two letters to alter; for, as good luck has it, the fathers' names are the same. But the seal?' he added, 'the seal? how could you venture to break open the deed?'

The old man made a second dive into the mysterious box, and drew out something resembling a signet. 'I learnt how to make this substance from a cunning fellow who went about soothsaying. If pressed when soft, upon a seal, it receives all the characters with perfect accuracy, and in a short time becomes as hard as stone[9].' The will had been opened before, and the seal appended to it was merely an impression of this. 'Can you distinguish between it and the genuine one?' 'No, that I can't,' answered the nephew. 'So then, it will be an easy matter to re-seal the deed, when we have altered the letters in these two places.' 'But how am *I* to become rich by this?' now interposed the youth suspiciously; '*my* name is not mentioned in the testament.' 'Listen a moment,' replied the uncle; 'the inheritance, as you may have read, is coupled with one condition—that the heir must marry the widow Cleobule, and if he object to this, must be content with five talents, as his portion; but he will have the right of giving the widow in marriage, along with the rest of the property, to whomsoever he may judge proper[10]. Now I am too old to marry again; and, besides, I was warned against it in a dream. I dreamt that I wished to take a wife, and went

---

[9] Lucian, *Alexander seu Pseudomantis*, 21, mentions many artifices to which that impostor had recourse for opening letters containing inquiries addressed to him, and then sealing them again without detection. But the seal is there of wax, which is here designedly supposed not to be the case. He, however, mentions two methods of taking impressions in a soft substance which afterwards became hard. The second of these, which is that intended in the text, he describes thus: τιτάνου γὰρ ἐς κόλλαν ἐμβαλὼν, ᾗ κολλῶσι τὰ βιβλία, καὶ κηρὸν ἐκ τούτου ποιήσας, ἔτι ὑγρὸν ὄντα ἐπετίθει τῇ σφραγῖδι καὶ ἀφελὼν (αὐτίκα δὲ ξηρὸν γίγνεται καὶ κέρατος, μᾶλλον δὲ σιδήρου παγιώτερον) τούτῳ δὲ ἐχρῆτο πρὸς τὸν τύπον. See Note 14.

[10] See Note 20.

to the bride's house to be betrothed to her, but when I assayed to go away again, the door was fastened, and could not be opened. Two interpreters of dreams, whom I consulted, foretold that I should die on the day of my betrothment[11]; and that is warning enough: but you shall marry Cleobule, if you will privately cede half the property to me.' The nephew reflected for a moment. 'It's an unequal partition,' he said at last; 'your share is unencumbered, while my moiety will be saddled with the widow.' 'Fool!' retorted Sosilas; 'Cleobule is such a beauty, that many a man would be glad to take her without any dowry at all; besides which it all depends on me, you know, whether you get a farthing.' After some higgling, it was finally settled that the uncle should not receive the five talents over and above his half of the property, but that these should be included in the partition.

'Now hand me the will,' said the old man; 'with this little sponge I erase the two letters, and the more easily, because the paper is so good[12]. Look! they are now

---

[11] Artemidor. *Oneirocr.* i. 78: *οἶδα δέ τινα, ὃς ἔδοξεν εἰσελθεῖν εἰς πορνεῖον καὶ μὴ δύνασθαι ἐξελθεῖν. καὶ ἀπέθανεν οὐ μετὰ πολλὰς ἡμέρας.*

[12] The observations in *Gallus*, p. 325, on the paper of the ancients, are perhaps open to question; owing chiefly to the uncertainty as to the meaning of the passage in Pliny, *Nat. Hist.* xiii. 12, 23, which is the main source of our knowledge about this manufacture. Sprengel, *Allgem. Encyclop.* explains the words, 'Præparantur ex eo chartæ, diviso acu in prætenues, sed quam latissimas philuras;' 'The *inner pith* was split by a pointed instrument into very thin, but broad *slices*.' This can hardly be the meaning, for the inner pith of the papyrus appears to be much too porous for the fabrication of paper. Again, if Pliny had meant that the stalk was cut into plates or slices, he would have used the word *laminæ* or *tabellæ*, certainly not *philuræ*. Nor were longitudinal sections made of the stalk, for each strip would have then contained all degrees of quality; whereas Pliny says, 'principatus medio, atque inde scissuræ ordine,' that is, the strips were such, that the innermost one was the best, and they gradually became inferior as they got nearer the outer part, *propiores cortici*. This agrees also with what Pliny adds as to the process of manufacture: 'Premitur deinde prelis, et siccantur sole plagulæ atque inter se junguntur, proximarum semper bonitatis diminutione ad deterrimas. Nunquam plures scapo, quam vicenæ.' The old explanation of Winkelmann, ii. p. 97, seems to be the best, that the many bast-

scarce distinguishable. This ink,' continued he, as he produced a little box[13], and the writing-reed, 'is of just the

---

like skins or layers placed one under another were peeled off, (these are the philuræ,) and thus the strips produced.

The paper of the Nile was known and used in Greece long before the time of Herodotus, for he says, v. 58: *καὶ τὰς βύβλους διφθέρας καλέουσι ἀπὸ τοῦ παλαιοῦ οἱ Ἴωνες, ὅτι κοτὲ ἐν σπάνι βύβλων ἐχρέωντο διφθέρῃσι αἰγέῃσί τε καὶ οἰέῃσι.* The universal name for the paper, as a writing material, is *βίβλος*; the single leaf is called *χάρτης*; and when written upon, or designed for such a purpose, it is denominated *γραμματεῖον* and *γραμματίδιον*; though these words are sometimes used to mean merely the tablets, *πίνακες*, *δέλτοι*, smeared over with softened wax, *μάλθη* or *μάλθα*, (Poll. x. 58,) which were also early used. The word *μάλθη* is explained by Harpocration, Photius, Hesychius and Suidas to be *μεμαλαγμένης κηρός*, and we may readily suppose that the wax was mixed with something to make it less brittle; Suidas also mentions *σκληρόκηροι δέλτοι*, which would better preserve the writing on them: *μόλις μὲν γράφονται, διατηροῦσι δὲ τὰ γραφέντα.* These wax tablets were only used for letters, and matters of no permanent moment. A passage in Demosthenes, *in Steph.* ii. p. 1132, is worthy of attention: *Ἔτι τοίνυν κἂν ἀπὸ τοῦ γραμματείου γνοίη τις, ἐν ᾧ ἡ μαρτυρία γέγραπται, ὅτι τὰ ψευδῆ μεμαρτύρηκε. λελευκωμένον τε γάρ ἐστι καὶ οἴκοθεν κατεσκευασμένον. καίτοι τοὺς μὲν τὰ πεπραγμένα μαρτυροῦντας προσήκει οἴκοθεν τὰς μαρτυρίας κατεσκευασμένας μαρτυρεῖν· τοὺς δὲ τὰς προκλήσεις μαρτυροῦντας, τοὺς ἀπὸ ταὐτομάτου προστάντας, ἐν μάλθῃ γεγραμμένην τὴν μαρτυρίαν, ἵνα, εἴ τι προσγράψαι ἢ ἀπαλεῖψαι βουληθῇ, ῥᾴδιον ᾖ.* Nothing could be easier than to alter anything written on a wax tablet, and ink-marks could also readily be effaced. The Nile paper, particularly when good, did not, to any great extent, imbibe the writing fluid, which, as we learn from Pliny, resembled our Indian-ink, and could easily be washed off with a wetted finger, or a sponge. See Chamæleon ap. Athen. ix. p. 407: *ἦκεν εἰς τὸ Μητρῷον, ὅπου τῶν δικῶν ἦσαν αἱ γραφαί, καὶ βρέξας τὸν δάκτυλον ἐκ τοῦ στόματος διήλειψε τὴν δίκην τοῦ Ἡγήμονος.* Hence the paper might be cleaned, and then used a second time, as *παλίμψηστον*. See *Gallus*, p. 328. With regard to the price, all that can be stated is that a piece of paper for a bond cost two chalcus, *i. e.* a quarter of an obole. Demosth. *in Dionysod.* p. 1283: *λαβὼν γὰρ ἀργύριον φανερὸν καὶ ὁμολογούμενον ἐν γραμματιδίῳ δυοῖν χαλκοῖν ἐωνημένῳ καὶ βιβλιδίῳ μικρῷ πάνυ τὴν ὁμολογίαν καταλέλοιπε τοῦ ποιήσειν τὰ δίκαια.* See Note 19 to Scene iv.

[13] Pollux, iv. 18, and x. 59, mentions among the requirements of a school-boy, the ink-stand, *πυξίον*, which he also calls *μελανοδόχον*. The ink, *τὸ μέλαν*, was not a chemical preparation, but merely a pigment which was prepared for use by rubbing; Demosth. *de Corona*, p. 313: *τὸ μέλαν τρίβειν*. Instead of quills, they employed reeds, *κάλαμοι γραφεῖς*. Poll. x. 61. See *Gallus*, p. 327. Plutarch, *Demosth.* 29, relates of that orator, that he used to bite his

same blackness as the writing. There we have it, all right. Who will assert that it was not always as it now stands?' 'Excellent!' said the nephew; 'now for the seal.' The old man carefully folded up the deed again, moistened some clay[14], tied the string, and impressed the forged stamp upon the clay. 'There!' said he, 'isn't it the same seal?' 'Well, that beats everything,' cried Lysistratos, as he compared the two seals; 'no one will ever dream that it is a forgery.' A rustling outside the door startled the old man. He snatched up the will and the other contents of the box, which he bore off, and fastened the door of the room adjacent, sealing it for greater security. Then taking the lamp, he explored the court, to discover, if possible, the cause of the disturbance. 'It was nothing,' he said, when he came back; 'most likely the storm which made the door shake. It will soon be morning; Lysistratos, come into my bed-chamber, and let us have a short nap.'

The two worthies had not been long gone, when Molon glided softly into the room, and groped about, in the dark,

---

writing-reed while meditating: *καὶ λαβὼν βιβλίον, ὡς γράφειν μέλλων, προσήνεγκε τῷ στόματι τὸν κάλαμον, καὶ δακὼν, ὥσπερ ἐν τῷ διανοεῖσθαι καὶ γράφειν εἰώθει, χρόνον τινὰ κατέσχεν, εἶτα συγκαλυψάμενος ἀπέκλινε τὴν κεφαλήν.* See also Plutarch, *Dec. Or. Vit.* iv. p. 376. A pointed instrument, *γραφεῖον*, was used for writing on waxed tablets. Poll. iv. 18; x. 59. Cf. Plut. *Eumen.* 1.

[14] In early times a kind of earth, called *cretula* by the Romans, seems to have been exclusively used for seals, and it continued to be so employed after wax had come into vogue. See Beckmann's *Hist. of Inventions*. The Greeks named this material *ῥύπος*. Aristoph. *Lysist.* 1199:

καὶ μηδὲν οὕτως
εὖ σεσημάνθαι, τὸ μὴ οὐχὶ τοὺς ῥύπους
ἀνασπάσαι.

The double meaning of the word *ῥύπος* gave occasion to the witty answer of Lais, recorded by Athen. xiii. p. 585: *πρὸς Λαΐδα τὴν Κορινθίαν ἐραστὴς ἀποσφράγισμα πέμψας ἐκέλευε παραγίνεσθαι. ἡ δ', οὐ δύναμαι, εἶπε, πηλός ἐστι.* See Poll. x. 59. The document to be sealed was tied round by a thread, *λίνον*; or perhaps it was pierced on the open side, and the thread drawn through. See Paul. *Sent.* xxv. 6. The material for the seal was then put on the ends of this thread, part under the knot, part upon it, and then stamped. See Lucian, *Alexand.* 21: *βελώνην πυρώσας τὸ ὑπὸ τὴν σφραγῖδα μέρος τοῦ κηροῦ διατήκων ἐξήρει καὶ μετὰ τὴν ἀνάγνωσιν τῇ βελώνῃ αὖθις ἐπιχλιάνας τὸν κηρὸν, τόν τε κάτω ὑπὸ τῷ λίνῳ καὶ τὸν αὐτὴν τὴν σφραγῖδα ἔχοντα, ῥᾳδίως συνεκόλλα.*

for one of the sofas. A gleam of moon-light shone through the open door; and he hastily seized something that lay in the folds of the drapery; and then, as quickly and softly, vanished, his gestures denoting the prize to be one on which he set a high value.

When morning dawned on the house of the deceased, it found the inmates already busy with preparations for the burial. An earthen vessel, filled with water, stood before the door, to signify to the passenger that it was a house of mourning[15]. Within, the women were occupied in anointing and laying out the corpse. Cleobule, inexperienced, and woe-begone like an orphan child, had begged the aid of Sophilos; who, even without solicitation, would have undertaken to conduct the funeral. She had always looked on Polycles in the light of an affectionate uncle, who had indulged her every wish; and now she wept for him as for a parent; while she applied herself to her mournful duties, assisted by her mother, whom she had sent for on the previous evening, as her child-like tremors, which had been early nourished by nurses' fairy-tales and ghost-stories, rendered solitude in the house of death insupportable[16].

It was still early, and Sophilos was just debating with

---

[15] See Excursus on *The Burials*; also Eurip. *Alcestis*, 98:

πυλῶν πάροιθε δ' οὐχ ὁρῶ
πηγαῖον ὡς νομίζεται
χέρνιβ' ἐπὶ φθιτῶν πύλαις.

Cf. Hesych. s.v. ὄστρακον: ὁπότε τις ἀποθάνοι γάστραν πρὸ τῶν θυρῶν ἐτίθεσαν, ἐξ ἄλλης οἰκίας λαμβάνοντες καὶ πληροῦντες ὕδατος.

[16] The fear of apparitions and of being alone in the dark is occasionally mentioned. See the story of Democritus, quoted in the Excursus on *The Burials*; and Plaut. *Mostell.* ii. 2, 76. These fears were fostered by a multitude of terrifying stories, of which several survive. A ghost-story that may be compared to that of the Marble Guest occurs in Dio Chrysost. *Or.* xxxi. p. 618: τελευτήσαντος δὲ πρᾶγμα πάντων ἀνοητότατον καὶ ἀσεβέστατον ἐποίει. τὸν γὰρ ἀνδριάντα αὐτοῦ, τὸν ἑστῶτα ἐν μέσῃ τῇ πόλει, νύκτωρ ἐμαστίγου. τοιγαροῦν εἴτε ἀπὸ τύχης, εἴτε δαιμονίου τινὸς νεμεσήσαντος αὐτῷ, κινηθείς ποτε ἐκ τῆς βάσεως ἠκολούθησεν ἅμα τῇ μάστιγι καὶ κτείνει τὸν ἄνδρα. A somewhat similar story may be found in Lucian's *Philopseudos*.

the women, as to the order of the interment, when Sosilas also made his appearance, with sorrow in his aspect, but exultation in his heart. He had hastened, he said, to bring the will which the deceased had deposited in his hands; as, perhaps, it might contain some dispositions respecting his interment[17]. He then named the witnesses who had been by, when he received the will, and whose presence would now be necessary at the opening. Cleobule was somewhat disconcerted to find the document that was to decide her future fate, placed in the custody of one, to whom, from early childhood, she had entertained feelings of aversion. Polycles had never been explicit on this head, merely assuring her, in general terms, that she had been cared for. And such she now hoped was the case; but yet she had rather that anybody else had produced the will. Sophilos, on the other hand, did not seem at all put out by the circumstance. He praised Sosilas for

[17] That the will was opened immediately on the testator's death is evident from the fact that it often contained dispositions regarding the burial. See Notes 25 and 26. Cf. Lucian, *Nigrin.* 30, whence it also appears that the opening did not take place judicially, as at Rome, but in private before witnesses. It is true that a more public procedure is mentioned in Lucian, *Tim.* 21: *καὶ ὁ μὲν νεκρὸς ἐν σκοτεινῷ που τῆς οἰκίας πρόκειται, ὑπὲρ τὰ γόνατα παλαιᾷ τῇ ὀθόνῃ σκεπόμενος περιμάχητος ταῖς γαλαῖς. ἐμὲ δὲ (πλοῦτον) οἱ ἐπελπίσαντες ἐν τῇ ἀγορᾷ περιμένουσι κεχηνότες...Ἐπειδὰν δὲ τὸ σημεῖον ἀφαιρεθῇ καὶ τὸ λίνον ἐντμηθῇ, καὶ ἡ ὀέλτος ἀνοιχθῇ, καὶ ἀνακηρυχθῇ μου ὁ καινὸς δεσπότης κ.τ.λ.* We must not, however, infer from this that the practice was prevalent at any early period, for Lucian frequently introduces much that is quite irrelevant to earlier Attic customs. On the contrary, we must suppose that the will was opened in private, and not proved before a court till afterwards; and this view is borne out by Demosth. *in Aphob.* ii. p. 837: *ἀλλ' ἐχρῆν, ἐπειδὴ τάχιστ' ἐτελεύτησεν ὁ πατήρ, εἰσκαλέσαντας μάρτυράς πολλοὺς παρασημήνασθαι κελεῦσαι τὰς διαθήκας, ἵν', εἴ τι ἐγένετο ἀμφισβητήσιμον, ἦν εἰς τὰ γράμματα ταῦτ' ἐπανελθεῖν.* The *μάρτυρας πολλοὺς* would not have been required, if the proceedings had been of a judicial character. Nor can we conclude from Demosth. *in Steph.* i. p. 1104, that wills were opened in the presence of diætetes, for in the case there mentioned the matter was already a subject of litigation, and a copy only of the will could be produced. See Meier and Schömann, *Att. Proc.* p. 259.

his punctuality, and desired that the witnesses might be cited to attend; but this the other said was not necessary, as he had already sent them notices to that effect.

Before long, the three made their appearance. 'You were present,' said Sosilas to them, 'when Polycles committed his last will to my charge?' They replied in the affirmative. 'You will be ready then to testify that this is the deed which he entrusted to me?' 'The superscription and the seal,' answered one of them, 'are what prove its authenticity. All that we can witness to, is that a testament was deposited with you, not, that this is the identical one in question[18]; still there is no ground for the contrary assumption, since the seal is untouched, and may be recognized as that of Polycles.' 'Do you, therefore, satisfy yourself, Cleobule, that I have faithfully discharged your husband's behest. Do you acknowledge this seal?' With trembling hand Cleobule took the deed. 'An eagle clutching a snake,' said she; 'it is the device of his signet.' She next handed the testament to Sophilos, who also pronounced it all right. 'Open it then,' said Sosilas to one of the witnesses, 'that its contents may be known. My sight is bad; do one of you read for me.'

[18] The presence of witnesses at the depositing of a will was considered necessary for several reasons. Firstly, in order that the existence of the testament might not be kept secret; secondly, that the fact of its deposition might be defended against any cavils; next, as an additional security against forgery; and lastly, to prove that the testator was free from any mental infirmity which might incapacitate him, by Solon's law, from any testamentary disposition of his property. See Note 19, *infra*. The witnesses, however, knew nothing of the contents of the will, and therefore could not attest the authenticity of the same at its opening. Isæus, *de Nicostr. her.* p. 75: καὶ τῶν διατιθεμένων οἱ πολλοὶ οὐδὲ λέγουσι τοῖς παραγινομένοις ὅ, τι διατίθενται, ἀλλ' αὐτοῦ μόνου τοῦ καταλιπεῖν διαθήκας μάρτυρας παρίστανται. Cf. Id. *de Apollodori her.* p. 160. Wills, however, were frequently forged, and palmed off as genuine. Aristot. *Probl.* xxix. 3: διὰ τί ἐνίοις δικαστηρίοις τοῖς γένεσι μᾶλλον ἢ ταῖς διαθήκαις ψηφιοῦνται; ἢ ὅτι γένους μὲν οὐκ ἔστι καταψεύσασθαι, ἀλλὰ τὸ ὂν ἀποφαίνειν. διαθῆκαι δὲ πολλαὶ ψευδεῖς ἤδη ἐξελέγχθησαν οὖσαι. Cf. Demosth. *in Macart.* p. 1051; and Lucian, *adv. indoct.* 19.

The string was cut, the document unfolded, and the witness read as follows:

"The testament of Polycles the Pæanian. May all be well; but should I not recover from this sickness, thus do I devise my estate[19]. I give my wife[20] Cleobule, with all my fortune, as set down in the accompanying schedule[21], —save and except all that is herein otherwise disposed,— to my friend Sosilas, the son of Philo, to which end I

---

[19] The form in which a will was drawn up is well known to us. We have extracts or epitomes of wills in Demosth. *in Steph.* i. p. 1110; *in Aphob.* i. p. 826. Of much greater value however are the wills of Plato, Aristotle, Theophrastus, Lycon, and Epicurus, which have been preserved verbatim by Diogenes Laertius. They mostly commence by a kind of title; thus Demosth. *in Steph.* p. 1110: Τάδε διέθετο Πασίων Ἀχαρνεύς: or as in Diog. Laert. iii. 41: Τάδε κατέλιπε Πλάτων καὶ διέθετο. The formula Ἔσται μὲν εὖ is a common commencement. Diog. Laert. v. 11: Ἔσται μὲν εὖ· ἐὰν δέ τι συμβαίνῃ, τάδε διέθετο Ἀριστοτέλης. So *ib.* § 51: Ἔσται μὲν εὖ· ἐὰν δέ τι συμβῇ, τάδε διατίθεμαι: and Lycon's will, *ib.* § 69, commences: Τάδε διατίθεμαι περὶ τῶν κατ' ἐμαυτὸν, ἐὰν μὴ δυνηθῶ τὴν ἀῤῥωστίαν ταύτην ὑπενεγκεῖν. Certain persons were by a law of Solon incapacitated from making a will. Demosth. *in Steph.* ii. p. 1133: τὰ ἑαυτοῦ διαθέσθαι εἶναι, ὅπως ἂν ἐθέλῃ, ἂν μὴ παῖδες ὦσι γνήσιοι ἄῤῥενες, ἂν μὴ μανιῶν ἢ γήρως, ἢ φαρμάκων, ἢ νόσου ἕνεκεν, ἢ γυναικὶ πειθόμενος, ἢ ὑπὸ τῶν τοῦ παρανόμων, ἢ ὑπ' ἀνάγκης, ἢ ὑπὸ δεσμοῦ καταληφθείς. This can only refer to cases in which the mind was enfeebled, for bodily infirmity was no impediment. Thus in Isæus, *de Apollod. her.* p. 160: εἴ τις τελευτήσειν μέλλων διέθετο. Cf. Diog. Laert. iv. 44. The document was superscribed with the name of the testator. Demosth. *in Steph.* i. p. 1106: γραμματεῖον ἔχειν, ἐφ' ᾧ γεγράφθαι, Διαθήκη Πασίωνος. At the end, curses were often imprecated against those who should act contrary to its dispositions. Demosth. *Phorm.* p. 960: ἀλλ' ἐναντία τῇ διαθήκῃ καὶ ταῖς ἀπ' ἐκείνης ἀραῖς γραφείσαις ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς ἐλαύνεις, διώκεις, συκοφαντεῖς.

[20] The Attic laws of inheritance are obscure and difficult. Polycles and Cleobule are here supposed without heirs male, while the latter, as niece of the testator, would be heir at law. There occur instances of a third person being designated as spouse as well as κύριος of the testator's widow. The cases of Demosthenes' father, Demosth. *in Aphob.* may be cited, and perhaps also that of Pasion. Id. *in Steph.* p. 1110. Cf. Diog. Laert. x. 19.

[21] Usually the various goods and chattels are enumerated in the will; here, however, Plato's will has been the model: σκεύη τὰ γεγραμμένα, ὧν ἔχει τὰ ἀντίγραφα Δημήτριος. Diog. Laert. iii. 43.

adopt him as my son[22]. But should he refuse to marry her, then I bequeath to him the five talents lying with Pasion, the money-changer; but I then constitute him guardian of Cleobule, and he shall give her, with the rest of the property, to some husband of his own choosing; who shall take possession of my house. I give and bequeath my house on the Olympieion to Theron, the son of Callias; and the lodging-house in the Piræus to Sophilos, son of Philo. To the son of Callipides I bequeath my largest silver bowl, and to his wife a pair of gold ear-rings, and two coverlets and two cushions of the best in my possession; that I may not seem to have forgotten them[23]. To my physician, Zenothemis, I leave a legacy of one thousand drachmæ, though his skill and attention have deserved still more[24]. Let my sepulchre be erected in a fitting spot of the garden outside the Melitic gate[25]. Let Theron, together with Sophilos and my relatives, see to it that my obsequies and monument be neither unworthy of me, nor yet on too sumptuous a scale[26]. I expressly prohibit Cleobule and the women, as well as the female slaves, from cutting off their hair, or otherwise disfiguring themselves[27]. To Demetrius, who has long been free, I remit his ransom, and make him a present of five minæ, a himation and a chiton, in consideration of his faithful services[28]. Of the

---

[22] See Isæus, *de Aristarch. her.* p. 258; and Petit. *Leg. Att.* p. 579.

[23] Lycon's will, ap. Diog. Laert. v. 72: *Δίδωμι δὲ τῷ* Καλλίνου *παιδίῳ θηρικλείων ζεῦγος καὶ τῇ γυναικὶ αὐτοῦ ῥοΐσκων ζεῦγος, ψιλοτάπιδα, ἀμφίταπον, περίστρωμα, προσκεφάλαια δύο τὰ βέλτιστα τῶν καταλειπομένων.*

[24] *Ibid.*: *Τιμησάτω δὲ καὶ τοὺς ἰατροὺς Πασίθεμιν καὶ Μειδίαν, ἀξίους ὄντας καὶ διὰ τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν τὴν περὶ ἐμὲ καὶ τὴν τέχνην καὶ μείζονος ἔτι τιμῆς.*

[25] Theophrastus' will, *ibid.* v. 53: *θάψαι δὲ καὶ ἡμᾶς, ὅπου ἂν δοκῇ μάλιστα ἁρμόττον εἶναι τοῦ κήπου, μηδὲν περίεργον περὶ τὴν ταφήν, μήτε περὶ τὸ μνημεῖον ποιοῦντας.*

[26] Lycon's will, *ibid.* v. 70: *περὶ δὲ τῆς ἐκφορᾶς καὶ καύσεως ἐπιμεληθήτωσαν Βούλων καὶ Καλλῖνος μετὰ τῶν συνήθων, ὅπως μήτ' ἀνελεύθερος γένηται μήτε περίεργος.*

[27] See Excursus on *The Burials.*

[28] Diog. Laert. v. 72: *Δημητρίῳ μὲν ἐλευθέρῳ πάλαι ὄντι ἀφίημι τὰ*

slaves, I hereby manumit[29] Parmeno, and Chares[30], with his child; but Carion and Donax must work for four years in the garden, and shall then be made free, if they shall have conducted themselves well during that period[31]. Manto shall be free immediately on Cleobule's marriage[32], and shall also receive three minæ. Of the children of my slaves none are to be sold, but are to be kept in the house till they are grown up, and then set free[33]. Syrus however shall be sold[34]. Sophilos, Theron, and Callipides will discharge the duties of executors[35]. This testament is placed in the keeping of Sosilas. Witnesses: Lysimachos, son

---

λύτρα καὶ δίδωμι πέντε μνᾶς, καὶ ἱμάτιον καὶ χιτῶνα· ἵνα πολλὰ πεπονηκὼς μετ' ἐμοῦ, βίον εὐσχήμονα ἔχῃ.

[29] The manumission of slaves, and of their children, occurs very frequently in wills. So in Aristotle's will, Diog. Laert. v. 15. Also a female slave is sometimes assigned to a freed-man. Thus in Lycon's will: δίδωμι δὲ καὶ Σύρῳ ἐλευθέρῳ ὄντι τέτταρας μνᾶς, καὶ τὴν Μηνοφώραν δίδωμι.

[30] The assertion of Limburg Brower, *Hist. de la Civilisation des Grecs*, i. p. 254, that slaves might not have the same names as free-men, is utterly unfounded. In the above-mentioned wills a host of the most distinguished names are mentioned as being those of slaves, for instance, Dionysios, Philo, Cimon, Callias, Demetrios, Crito, Chares, Euphranor, Agathon, Nicias, &c. Had not this been the case, the law (Gell. ix. 2), that no slave might bear the names Harmodius or Aristogeiton, would have been superfluous.

[31] Diog. Laert. v. 55: Μάνην δὲ καὶ Καλλίαν παραμείναντας ἔτη τέτταρα ἐν τῷ κήπῳ καὶ συνεργασαμένους καὶ ἀναμαρτήτους γενομένους ἀφίημι ἐλευθέρους. So also Id. v. 73: καὶ Ἀγάθωνα δύο ἔτη παραμείναντα ἀφεῖσθαι ἐλεύθερον.

[32] Aristotle's will. Diog. Laert. v. 15: Τάχωνα δὲ ἐλεύθερον εἶναι, ὅταν ἡ παῖς ἐκδοθῇ.

[33] This humane disposition is also made by Aristotle. *Ib.*: μὴ πωλεῖν δὲ τῶν παιδίων μηδένα τῶν ἐμὲ θεραπευόντων, ἀλλὰ χρῆσθαι αὐτοῖς· ὅταν δ' ἐν ἡλικίᾳ γένωνται, ἐλεύθερα ἀφεῖναι κατ' ἀξίαν.

[34] Theophrastus' will. Diog. Laert. v. 55: Εὔβιον δ' ἀποδόσθαι.

[35] In Theophrastus' will we have: Ἐπιμεληταὶ δὲ ἔστωσαν τῶν ἐν τῇ διαθήκῃ γεγραμμένων Ἱππαρχος, Νηλεύς, Στράτων, κ.τ.λ. Diog. Laert. v. 56. These ἐπιμεληταί, or executors, are not quite identical with the ἐπιτρόποι in Aristotle's or Plato's wills, for the latter took also the office of guardians. See Meier and Schömann, *Att. Proc.* p. 445.

of Strato; Hegesias, of Hegio; and Hipparchos, of Callippos."

A deathlike stillness reigned among the audience when the reader had concluded. At the first words Cleobule had turned pale, and sunk back on a settle half-fainting, while her mother, who was crying, supported her. Sophilos placed his hand on his lips, and was lost in thought: the witnesses mutely surveyed the scene. Sosilas alone seemed perfectly composed. 'Take courage,' said he, approaching Cleobule; 'fear not that I will lay claim to the happiness that Polycles intended for me. I myself too am astonished, and could easily be dazzled by the tempting prize: but I am too old to dream of wedding a young bride. Willingly do I resign the rich inheritance, and shall select for you a husband more suitable in age.'

Cleobule turned away with a shudder. Sosilas grasped the will, saying, 'Nothing more is now wanting but the attestation of the witnesses, that such was the tenor of the will, when opened[36].' The witnesses accordingly set their seals to the writing. 'It is not the only testament that Polycles has left,' remarked one of them. 'How? What?' exclaimed Sosilas, turning pale; 'nothing is said here about the existence of another will.' 'I don't rightly understand it,' replied the witness; 'but two days after you received this, Polycles called me and four others in as witnesses, on his depositing another document—doubtless a duplicate of this—in the hands of Menecles, to whose house he had caused himself to be conveyed.'

The effects of this disclosure on those present, were, as might have been expected, extremely various. Sosilas stood like one utterly undone; a faint ray of hope glimmered in the bosom of Cleobule; Sophilos eyed narrowly the countenance of the forger, who quailed before his glance; and the witnesses looked doubtingly at one an-

[36] The passage from Demosthenes quoted in Note 17, shews that the witnesses, after opening the will, set their seals to it.

other. Sosilas at length broke the silence. 'This will,' said he with some vehemence, 'is genuine; and even supposing that there is another authentic one in existence, its contents will of course be the same.' 'Why! it is indeed hardly to be supposed,' rejoined Sophilos, 'that Polycles would have changed his mind in two days: but we must invite Menecles to produce the copy in his custody, without loss of time.' A slave here entered, and whispered a message in his ear. 'The very thing:' he cried. 'Menecles is not less punctual than you. Two of his witnesses have already arrived, in obedience to his summons; and he will therefore shortly be here in person.' The men now entered. Sosilas walked up and down the room, and gradually recovered his composure. Even should his plans be unpleasantly disturbed by the contents of the second will, still a wide field would be open for litigation, in which he had an even chance of coming off victor. Menecles soon arrived with the other two witnesses, and delivered the will. The superscription and seal were found to be correct, and its contents tallied with those of the first, word for word, with the exception of the two names, which were interchanged. At the end was a postscript, to the effect, that an exactly similar testament was deposited with Sosilas the Piræan[37].

---

[37] This custom of depositing several copies of a will in the hands of different persons seems to have been very common. In Demosth. *in Steph.* ii. p. 1137, where we read: διαθηκῶν οὐδεὶς πώποτε ἀντίγραφα ἐποιήσατο· ἀλλὰ συγγραφῶν μέν, ἵνα εἰδῶσι καὶ μὴ παραβαίνωσι, διαθηκῶν δὲ οὔ. τούτου γὰρ ἕνεκα καταλείπουσιν οἱ διατιθέμενοι, ἵνα μηδεὶς εἰδῇ, ἃ διατίθενται, all that is meant is that no open copies were given, whence the contents of a man's will might be divulged in his life-time. This agrees with Isæus, *de Apollod. Her.* p. 160. But several copies might be left for additional security. Arcesilaos took this precaution. In a letter to Thaumasias, in which he commits a copy to his keeping, we read, κεῖνται δὲ Ἀθήνησιν αὗται παρά τισι τῶν γνωρίμων, καὶ ἐν Ἐρετρίᾳ παρ' Ἀμφικρίτῳ. Diog. Laert. iv. 44. So Theophrastus had deposited three copies. See his will in Diog. Laert. v. 57: αἱ διαθῆκαι κεῖνται ἀντίγραφα τῷ Θεοφράστου δακτυλίῳ σεσημασμέναι, μία μὲν, κ.τ.λ. The witnesses might, or might not, be the same; thus in Theophrastus' second will, we have μάρ-

The reading of this caused a violent scene, and plenty of abuse and recrimination followed on both sides. Sosilas pronounced it a forgery, and went off declaring that he would make good his claims before a court of law.

The morning of the funeral had arrived; and, before day-break, a crowd of mourners, and of others actuated merely by motives of curiosity, had collected in and around the house, either to attach themselves to the procession, or merely to be spectators of the pageant. Even the day before, whilst the corpse lay in state, the door was crowded by persons who in the course of their lives had never before crossed its threshold. Several too had evinced much celerity in putting on mourning, being very anxious to establish their claims to a distant relationship with the defunct, when they learnt the property was in dispute, and there seemed a prospect of good fishing in the troubled waters[38].

Charicles, however, did not present himself within; although perhaps the house possessed greater attractions for him, than for any of the others. The impression his late unexpected appearance made on Cleobule had not escaped him, and he held it improper to disturb her duties to the departed by a second visit. Still he could not omit accompanying the funeral procession to the place of interment; and in fact Sophilos, who somehow felt a great liking for the youth, had himself invited him to be present. The old gentleman had paid him several visits, and, in a significant manner, had described how much Cleobule was imperilled by the will, which he was convinced was a forgery. Charicles was perhaps more disquieted at this than even Sophilos himself. Whichever way the matter might be decided, it would of course make no difference

---

τυρες οἱ αὐτοί, but for the third will others are selected. Cf. Lysias, *in Diogit.* p. 864; Isæus, *de Philoct. Her.* p. 123.

[38] See Aristoph. *Equites*, 864.

to him personally; for, in case a fraud were detected, the lady would become the wife of Sophilos; and, as regarded himself, he had made up his mind, that, even under the most favourable circumstances, it would never befit one of his years and condition to marry a widow of such large property[39]: he was, nevertheless, pained to think that such a fascinating creature might fall into the power of one, who, to judge from all accounts, must be utterly unworthy of her. He had caught only a hasty glimpse of Sosilas at Polycles' house, and therefore was the more inclined to attend the funeral, where he would be sure to obtain a good view of him;—impelled by these reasons, he had repaired to the house of woe at an early hour, but forbore to enter, waiting outside in order to attach himself to Sophilos, as soon as he should come out.

The first ray of the morning sun had not as yet beamed forth, when the procession began to move. In front, the plaintive tones of the flutes resounded in Carian mode; next followed the friends of the deceased, and any others of the male sex who wished to join the train. Behind these came freedmen[40], bearing the bed, on which lay the corpse, as if asleep, wearing a white robe and garland. The magnificent purple pall was half hidden by numberless chaplets and tæniæ[41]: beside it walked slaves bearing vessels of ointment, and other needful accessories. Behind the bier followed the women, and among them Cleobule, led by her mother. Never, perhaps, had she appeared

---

[39] So Plutarch, *Amat.* 2, says, with regard to a somewhat similar case: Παραδόξου δὲ τοῦ πράγματος αὐτοῦ φανέντος, ἥ τε μήτηρ ὑφεωρᾶτο τὸ βάρος τοῦ οἴκου καὶ τὸν ὄγκον, ὡς οὐ κατὰ τὸν ἐραστήν. See Excursus on *The Women.*

[40] This supposition is the most natural one in the absence of relations. See Excursus on *The Burials.*

[41] The passage of Alciphron, *Epist.* i. 36, quoted in the Excursus on *The Burials*, need not lead us to suppose that the bier of young persons only was thus crowned. Plutarch, *Philop.* 21, speaking of Philopœmen's burial, says: Αὐτὴν δὲ τὴν ὑδρίαν ὑπὸ πλήθους ταινιῶν καὶ στεφάνων μύλις ὁρωμένην ἐκόμιζεν ὁ τοῦ στρατηγοῦ τῶν Ἀχαιῶν παῖς, Πολύβιος.

more beautiful, never was it more manifest, that the fresh vermilion, which tinged her delicate cheeks, was no deceitful work of the cosmetic pencil[42].

---

[42] There can be no doubt that painting was almost universal among the Grecian women. The reason of this may have been that the *οἰκουρεῖν, σκιατραφεῖσθαι*, and the *ἀεὶ καθῆσθαι* of the girls and women robbed them of their natural freshness and colour, which they thus endeavoured to imitate. Thus Ischomachos counselled his young wife to take exercise, that she might do without the rouge which she was accustomed constantly to use. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 10, 10: *συνεβούλευον αὐτῇ, μὴ δουλικῶς ἀεὶ καθῆσθαι.* Cf. Stob. *Tit.* lxxiv. 61: *Εἰ γὰρ μηδέν τι ὀκνῆσαι αὐτὴν καὶ περὶ γυμνασίας ἔχειν ἀναπείσαις, ἐνταῦθα εὕροις ἂν καί, οὗ πάλαι ἐπεθυμοῦμεν, τὸν κόσμον τῷ σώματι. Τοῦ μὲν γὰρ ὑγιαίνειν οὐδὲν ἔμοιγε δοκεῖ ἄλλο τι περίθημα καὶ περιδέραιον κρεῖττον. Πόῤῥω δ' ἂν εἴη καὶ τοῦ δεηθῆναι γυνὴ ὑγιαίνουσα καὶ ψιμυθίου, καὶ ὑπ' ὀφθαλμῷ ὑπογραφῆς, καὶ ἄλλου χρώματος ζωγραφοῦντος καὶ ἀφανίζοντος τὰς ὄψεις.* While the women were engaged in their ordinary domestic avocations, paint may have been partially disused, but it was resumed when they were going out, or wished to be specially attractive. So in Lysias, *de Cæde Eratosth.* p. 15, the woman retouches her complexion when she goes to meet her paramour, and the next morning her husband observes, *ἔδοξε δέ μοι τὸ πρόσωπον ἐψιμυθιῶσθαι.* See *Lysistrata*, 149; cf. *Eccl.* 878; *Plut.* 1064; and Plutarch, *Alcib.* 39. The pigments employed were *ψιμύθιον, i.e. cerussa*, or white lead, *ἄγχουσα* or *ἔγχουσα, παιδέρως, συκάμινον*, and *φῦκος*, which last chiefly supplied the red tint; all the red colours were derived from the vegetable kingdom. *Φῦκος* is not mentioned in earlier times; *ἄγχουσα* occurs most frequently. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 10, 2: *Ἐγὼ τοίνυν, ἔφη, ἰδών ποτε αὐτὴν, ὦ Σ., ἐντετριμμένην, πολλῷ μὲν ψιμυθίῳ, ὅπως λευκοτέρα ἔτι δοκοίη εἶναι, ἢ ἦν, πολλῇ δὲ ἐγχούσῃ, ὅπως ἐρυθροτέρα φαίνοιτο τῆς ἀληθείας κ.τ.λ.* Cf. *Lysistr.* 48; *Eccl.* 929; and a fragment of Alexis in Athen. xiii. p. 568:

συμβέβηκ' εἶναι μέλαιναν· κατέπλασε ψιμυθίῳ.
λευκόχρως λίαν τίς ἐστι· παιδέρωτ' ἐντρίβεται.

See *Etymol. M. ἐψιμυθιῶσθαι.* The folly and repulsiveness of the practice are well pictured by Ischomachos, Xen. *Œcon.* 10, 8: *Αἱ δ' ἀπάται αὗται τοὺς μὲν ἔξω πως δύναιντ' ἂν ἀνεξελέγκτως ἐξαπατᾶν, συνόντας δὲ ἀεὶ ἀνάγκη ἁλίσκεσθαι, ἂν ἐπιχειρῶσιν ἐξαπατᾶν ἀλλήλους. Ἢ γὰρ ἐξ εὐνῆς ἁλίσκονται ἐξανιστάμενοι πρὶν παρασκευάσασθαι, ἢ ὑπὸ ἱδρῶτος ἐλέγχονται, ἢ ὑπὸ δακρύων βασανίζονται, ἢ ὑπὸ λουτροῦ ἀληθινῶς κατωπτεύθησαν.* So also an amusing fragment of Eubulos, ap. Athen. xiii. p. 557:

Μὰ Δί', οὐχὶ περιπεπλασμέναι ψιμυθίοις,
οὐδ' ὥσπερ ὑμεῖς συκαμίνῳ τὰς γνάθους
κεχριμέναι. κἂν ἐξίητε τοῦ θέρους,
ἀπὸ τῶν μὲν ὀφθαλμῶν ὑδροῤῥόαι δύο
ῥέουσι μέλανος, ἐκ δὲ τῶν γνάθων ἱδρὼς
ἐπὶ τὸν τράχηλον ἅλοκα μιλτώδη ποιεῖ,
ἐπὶ τῷ προσώπῳ δ' αἱ τρίχες φορούμεναι
εἴξασι πολιαῖς, ἀνάπλεῳ ψιμυθίου.

The eyebrows were painted with a dark colour, called *μέλαν* or *ἄσβολος*. Alexis, ap. Athen. xiii. p. 568:

τὰς ὀφρῦς πυῤῥὰς ἔχει τις· ζωγραφοῦσιν ἀσβόλῳ.

The procession soon approached the garden, in the centre of which the funeral pyre had been erected. The bier having been lifted upon it, unguent vessels and other articles were thrown in, and a blazing pine-link was then applied to the pile, which being constructed of the most inflammable materials, took light at once, and the consuming flame shot high aloft, amid the loud lamentations and sobs of those present. Sincere tears of deepest sorrow were shed by Cleobule. With tottering step she approached the blazing pile, to throw into it a vessel of ointment, as a last libation of love; when, in her distraction, a sudden draught of air drove the flame towards her, without her being conscious of the danger. 'For heaven's sake!' screamed several voices, and Charicles, reckless of everything, darted ahead of all the rest, and with his hands smothered the flame, which had already caught the border of her robe; he then led the trembling Cleobule to her mother, who was hastening towards her[43].

A part only of the escort tarried till the ashes were collected, and all the rites duly discharged. Of this number was Charicles. But when the bones had been consigned to the ground, and the women had bidden farewell to the new-made grave, he also, with Sophilos, wended his way back towards the city. The possible consequences of the unhappy will formed the topic of conversation. Charicles

---

It was also called *στίμμις*. Poll. v. 101: *καὶ τὰ ὑπογράμματα καὶ ἡ στίμμις παρ' Ἴωνι ἐν Ὀμφάλῃ καὶ τὴν μέλαιναν στίμμιν ὀμματογράφον.* Instances occur of men painting, so Demetrios Phalereus, mentioned by Duris, ap. Athen. xii. p. 542. Ischomachos too asks his wife if she would prefer him painted. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 10, 5. The passage however is evidently corrupt, since *ἀνδρείκελον* was never used for painting the eyes. We should probably read *μίλτῳ ἢ ἀνδρεικέλῳ*. In Tischbein's *Engravings*, ii. 58, is a vase-painting of a female in a sitting posture occupied in rouging with a brush. This is copied in Böttiger's *Sabina*, Pl. ix. The operation was also performed with the finger.

[43] After Terent. *Andr.* i. 1, 102:

In ignem imposita est: fletur. Interea hæc soror,
Quam dixi, ad flammam accessit imprudentiùs.
Satis cum periclo: ibi tum exanimatus Pamphilus
Bene dissimulatum amorem et celatum indicat.
Adcurrit, mediam mulierem complectitur, etc.

could not conceal how very different an impression Sosilas had made upon him from what he had expected. To-day the man had looked so unassuming and devout, and withal so venerable, that he had well nigh dropped his suspicions. 'Who ever would believe,' said he, 'that beneath this exterior lurked such knavery!' 'You will meet with plenty more such,' answered Sophilos, 'who go about with the aspect of lambs, but within are the most poisonous scorpions[44]; it is just these that are most dangerous of all.'

At the city-gate they separated. A strange slave had followed them at a distance all the way. He now stood still for a moment, apparently undetermined which of the two he should pursue. 'Youth is more liberal,' said he half aloud, after reflecting a moment, 'especially when in love.' With this he struck into the path Charicles had taken, and which led through a narrow lonely lane, between two garden-walls; here he redoubled his pace, and soon overtook Charicles. 'Who art thou?' asked the youth, retreating back a step. 'A slave, as you see,' was the reply, 'and one who may be of service to you. You seem interested in Cleobule's fate, eh?' 'What business is that of yours?' retorted Charicles; but his blush was more than a sufficient answer for the slave. 'It is not indifferent to you,' he proceeded, 'whether Sophilos or Sosilas be the heir.' 'Very possibly; but wherefore these enquiries? what is this to you, sirrah?' 'More than you think,' rejoined the slave. 'What shall be my reward if I hand you the proof that one of the two wills is a forgery?' 'You! A miserable slave!' exclaimed the youth, astonished. 'The slave is often acquainted with his master's most secret dealings,' answered the other. 'Come now, what's to be my reward?' 'Freedom, which is your rightful due for the discovery of such a crime[45].'

---

[44] Hermipp. *Fragm. Com.* p. 381. Mein.

[45] It is not here intended to assert that when a mere private right was violated, freedom was publicly given as a μήνυτρα to the slave who in-

'Good,' replied the slave, 'but the freedman must have the means wherewith to live[46].' 'That also shall you have; five minæ are yours, if you speak the truth.' 'Thy name is Charicles,' said the slave; 'no one hears your promise, but I'll trust you. My master is Sosilas, and they call me Molon.' He opened a small bag, and pulled something out of it with a mysterious air. 'See, here is the signet,' said he, 'with which the forged will was sealed.' He took some wax, wetted it, and impressed the seal thereon. 'That is the device of Polycles, an eagle clawing a snake; you will be the eagle.' He related how he had witnessed the forgery through a crack in the door; how a rustling he had made was near betraying him; and how Sosilas in his haste to bundle up the things had unwittingly let the false stamp drop on the coverlet. 'Now then,' said he, 'haven't I kept my word?' 'By the gods! and so will I,' cried Charicles, almost beside himself with wonder and joy. 'Not five—no—ten minæ shalt thou have. And now to Sophilos with all speed.' 'No!' said the slave, 'I trust to you. Do you go by yourself, and have me called, when you have need of me.'

---

formed, but the manumission might be otherwise effected. See Excursus on *The Slaves*.

[46] After Plaut. *Epid.* v. 2, 60:

PE. Optumum atque æquissimum oras: soccos, tunicam, pallium
Tibi dabo. EP. Quid deinde porro? PE. Libertatem. EP. At postea?
Novo liberto opus est, quod pappet. PE. Dabitur: præbebo cibum.

SCENE THE TENTH.

THE DIONYSIA.

AMONG the festivals which Athens celebrated annually, or at greater intervals,—either in honour of the gods, for the renown and credit of the state, or for the amusement of its burghers—there were doubtless several that laid claim to a greater share of political importance, or a deeper religious significancy, than the rest, as, for example, the Panathenæa and the Eleusinia. But the last-mentioned object—pleasure—was attained most eminently by that feast at which the giver of joy and jollity, Dionysos himself, was worshipped. Almost might it be said, that the original intention of the festival,—to thank the god for the noblest gift brought by the returning seasons,—had been lost sight of in the tumult of passion and unbridled mirth. On these days all sobriety or serious occupation was banished, and the people, one and all, embraced with open arms the myrmidons of the god, Methe and Comos, resigning themselves all too willingly to their sway. To have their fill of enjoyment, with public spectacle and carouse, and to revel self-oblivious in a transport of delight—such was the all-absorbing desire of young and old, the common goal to which all pressed forward; nay, even the most sober-minded, bidding adieu to the stringency of habit, followed the maxim:

> Ne'er blush with drink to spice the feast's gay hour,
> And reeling own the mighty wine-god's power.

The feast of the city-*Dionysia*[1], which was celebrated

[1] At the Dionysia the most unbridled merriment and drunkenness were the order of the day, and were held quite blameless. Nor was this the case at Athens only: see Plato, *Leg.* i. p. 637. He says elsewhere, in extenuation: πίνειν δε εἰς μέθην οὔτε ἀλλοθί που πρέπει, πλὴν ἐν ταῖς τοῦ τὸν οἶνον δόντος θεοῦ ἑορταῖς. *Leg.* vi. p. 775. Indeed, it was considered as an act of gratitude due to the giver of the grape, and under

at the beginning of spring, with the greatest splendour and festivities, was most popular of all. Not only did it attract the inhabitants of Attica[2]; but a vast number of strangers, eager for spectacles and diversion, streamed into Athens on these anniversaries to share in the fun and frolic.

The first anniversary of this festival since Charicles had returned to Athens, had now arrived. The milder days of spring had set in early[3]; the winterly rest and stillness of the port had yielded to new life and animation; craft were beginning to run in from the neighbouring ports and islands; and the merchants were fitting out their ships on any venture that promised a lucrative return[4]. Innumerable guests had poured to the scene of the festival from all the regions of Greece[5]; every house was kept

---

Ptolemy Dionysios it was thought a crime to remain sober; so at least says Lucian, *de Calumn.* 16: *παρὰ Πτολεμαίῳ τῷ Διονύσῳ ἐπικληθέντι ἐγένετό τις, ὃς διέβαλε τὸν Πλατωνικὸν Δημήτριον, ὅτι ὕδωρ τε πίνει καὶ μόνος τῶν ἄλλων γυναικεῖα οὐκ ἐνεδύσατο ἐν τοῖς Διονυσίοις. καὶ εἴ γε μὴ κληθεὶς ἔωθεν ἔπινέ τε πάντων ὀρώντων καὶ λαβὼν Ταραντινίδιον ἐκυμβάλισέ τε καὶ προσωρχήσατο, ἀπολώλει ἄν.*

[2] Country folks naturally resorted to the city-Dionysia, though more rarely in early times. Isocrates, *Areop.* p. 203, says that the ancient inhabitants of Attica were so comfortably off in their farms, (*ὥστε*) *πολλοὺς τῶν πολιτῶν μηδ' εἰς τὰς ἑορτὰς εἰς ἄστυ καταβαίνειν, ἀλλ' αἱρεῖσθαι μένειν ἐπὶ τοῖς ἰδίοις ἀγαθοῖς μᾶλλον ἢ τῶν κοινῶν ἀπολαύειν.*

[3] By spring is here meant the milder season of the year generally. In Attica this may be supposed usually to have set in some time in March; though occasionally cold weather might return. Plutarch, *Demetr.* 12: *Τῇ δ' ἡμέρᾳ, ᾗ τὰ Διονύσια ἐγίνετο, τὴν πομπὴν κατέλυσαν ἰσχυρῶν πάγων γενομένων παρ' ὥραν καὶ πάχνης βαθείας πεσούσης ἧς οὐ μόνον ἀμπέλους καὶ συκᾶς ἀπάσας ἀπέκαυσε τὸ ψῦχος, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοῦ σίτου τὸν πλεῖστον κατέφθειρεν ἐν χλάῃ.*

[4] Navigation was suspended during the winter. Hesiod, *Opp.* 619. The time of the city-Dionysia was the period when the sea was again considered navigable. Theophr. *Char.* 3: *τὴν θάλατταν ἐκ Διονυσίων πλώιμον εἶναι.*

[5] The Dionysia and other festivals were visited by a very great concourse of strangers. Xenoph. 1, 11: *ἐπὶ δὲ τούτων ἕκαστα οἱ μὲν ἰδιῶται ἔρχονται καὶ εἰς πόλεις, ἃς ἂν βούλωνται, θεαμάτων ἕνεκα καὶ εἰς τὰς κουνὰς πανηγύρεις.* Æschin. *in Ctesiph.* p. 428: *Κτησιφῶν δὲ (ἀνακηρύττειν κελεύει) ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ...οἱ δὲ ἐκκλησιαζόντων Ἀθηναίων, ἀλλὰ τραγῳδῶν*

open for the reception of distant friends; every tavern was too small to accommodate its crowd of visitors. Many had even erected booths in the streets and public places, intending to turn the festival to profit; for, besides the sight-seers and pleasure-hunters, a mass of the lower classes had come, in the hopes of picking up something among such a confluence of idlers. Retail-dealers[6] of all descriptions had arrived; pimps, with their bevies of Corinthian beauties; jugglers, and strolling mountebanks, laden with the apparatus of their art, and the decorations of their booths[7]; all were ready to devote their utmost exertions to the amusement of the public, and the replenishment of their own purses.

Charicles was one of the few who could not be allured into the universal tone of enjoyment. Since the death of Polycles more than four months had passed, and these had been to him a period of disquiet and of painful irresolution. Cleobule's affairs had taken a most happy turn. In addition to the slave's statement, and the production of the forged signet, another decisive proof had been obtained. With his usual circumspection and prudence, Polycles had, during his stay at Ædepsos, deposited a third copy of the will in the hands of a respectable man there[8]. Of course

---

ἀγωνιζομένων καινῶν, οὐδ' ἐναντίον τοῦ δήμου, ἀλλ' ἐναντίον τῶν Ἑλλήνων: and again, *ib.* p. 434: *οἱ δὲ ἀνηγορεύοντο ἐνώπιον ἁπάντων τῶν* Ἑλλήνων. So Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 584, speaking of the insult offered him by Meidias in the theatre, says, *ἡμαρτηκότα ἀσελγῶς ἐν πανηγύρει, μάρτυρας τῆς ὕβρεως τῆς αὐτοῦ πεποιημένον οὐ μόνον ὑμᾶς, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοὺς ἐπιδημοῦντας ἅπαντας τῶν* Ἑλλήνων.

[6] See Excursus on *The Markets and Commerce.*

[7] The *θαυματοποιοί*, or wandering jugglers, are mentioned by Plutarch, *de Facie in Orbe Lunæ*, 8: *θαυματοποιοῦ τινος ἀποσκευὴν καὶ πυλαίαν καταυωτισάμενοι καὶ παρέλκοντες.* They naturally frequented public festivals. Dio Chrysostom, *Or.* viii. p. 278, speaking of the Isthmian games, says, *πολλῶν δὲ θαυματοποιῶν θαύματα ἐπιδεικνύντων, πολλῶν δὲ τερατοσκόπων τέρατα κρινύντων.* The Syracusan in Xenophon's *Symposium* had unquestionably come to Athens on account of the Panathenæa.

[8] Such caution was necessary. Diog. Laert. iv. 43: *τρεῖς τε διαθήκας ποιησάμενος ἔθετο τὴν μὲν ἐν* Ἐρετρίᾳ *παρὰ* Ἀμφίκριτον, *τὴν δὲ*

this also testified against Sosilas, and the forgery he had committed was now so manifest, that he might congratulate himself on the magnanimity of Sophilos in not proceeding against him. Charicles had therefore no further cause for alarm about the fate of Cleobule; but he became the more anxious and uncertain respecting his own destiny, particularly as Sophilos delayed his marriage, and had let fall some expressions which seemed to indicate that he had no intention of ever celebrating it; nay, he even hinted that he designed his young friend, whom he treated almost like a son, for the bridegroom of the wealthy widow. This it was that rendered our hero so uneasy.

The heart of Charicles leaned, it is true, towards Cleobule, and it pained him to fancy the possibility of her being the bride of another; but Phorion's warning, not to become dependent on a rich wife, resounded loudly in his ears; and he was the more alive to the truth of his sage monitor's advice, from his own innate love of freedom and independence. His humble patrimony almost vanished in comparison with the dowry which Cleobule would bring her future husband, and her property, not his, would be the basis of the establishment. 'No!' he had mentally ejaculated, '"Look out for a wife befitting you," says the proverb[9], as Ctesiphon, not without reason, lately reminded me: never will I sacrifice to inclination the position that a free man ought to enjoy.' And thus he fancied that by dint of calm reason he had vanquished the passion that filled his bosom: when, on the day preceding the festival, Sophilos came to him, as he often did, with looks of the sincerest friendship. 'I have a weighty business to discuss with you, Charicles,' said he, after the first salutations, 'and I wish, in the celebration of the festival, to be one care lighter. The will of Polycles makes it

---

Ἀθήνησι παρά τινας τῶν φίλων, τὴν δὲ τρίτην ἀπέστειλεν εἰς οἶκον, κ.τ.λ.

[9] τὴν κατὰ σαυτὸν ἔλα. Plutarch, *de Educ. Puer.* 19. See Excursus on *The Women.*

imperative on me to give away Cleobule in marriage, and I have already delayed doing so almost too long. Two fathers have been suing for her on behalf of their sons, but neither of them is to my taste, and—' 'What, are you not going to wed her yourself then?' hastily interposed Charicles. 'What would you think of me?' replied Sophilos. 'I'm the wrong side of fifty; brisk and hearty to be sure; my eye is still undimmed, my limbs robust and active; and though this hair be grey, yet the intellect is unenfeebled by age[10]; but, notwithstanding, do you suppose that, at my time of life, I am going to undertake the cares of a husband and a father, and embitter my days with a thousand anxieties[11]? I have had my share of them, and am resolved to pass the remainder of my life in peace.' 'But can you resist the temptation of so rich a dower?' enquired Charicles. 'What do I want with riches?' said Sophilos gravely. 'Have not I more already than I want, and does not my property nearly equal that which was left by Polycles? But for whom should I pile up riches? My sons fell in the wars against Philip—one son I still might have, if—but why advert now to that painful subject? Enough! for Cleobule's sake I will never put forward any claim to the property; the second time she shall not be wedded to an old man. The choice of her husband rests with me; but it were better that she should have one of her own choosing, and if I err not, you are the man.' 'I?' cried Charicles in confusion, while the blood mounted to his cheeks; 'Cleobule choose me?' The thought of being so near happiness, that he had only to stretch out his hand to obtain it, had such a powerful effect on Charicles, that he had to call to mind all the objections with which calm reflection had combated his wishes, in order not to prove a deserter of his principles.

---

[10] The words of Periplectomenes, and the remark of Palæstrio. Plaut. *Mil.* iii. 1, 35.

[11] The reason given by Periplectomenes for not wishing to marry. *Ibid.* v. 125.

'I thank you,'—he replied at length in a collected tone, —'for the twofold happiness you design for me; but this marriage would be unsuited to my circumstances.' 'Unsuited?' repeated Sophilos in amazement. 'A young and blooming bride, and good and well-mannered withal, with such a property too, and not suit? Or is it because she is a widow, that you hesitate? Fool that you must be! call her a bride rather, a bride of sixteen, whose bridegroom never escorted her to the thalamos, for he was a dying man from the very hour of the marriage-feast. Search Athens through, and you will not find a damsel who could with more confidence enter the grotto of Pan at Ephesos, where, as they say, the god takes terrible vengeance on the conscious delinquent[12].' 'Not for that,'

---

[12] Achill. Tat. viii. 6, relates that pure virgins only might enter this grotto, which Pan had dedicated to Artemis, and wherein he had hung up his pipe. Hence, any damsel suspected of incontinence was made to enter it, and the doors were closed; if she was innocent, the clear tones of the flute were heard, the doors flew open of themselves, and the maiden came out scatheless. Were the contrary the case, the flute remained mute, sounds of wailing reached the ears, the doors remained closed, and the female was seen no more. This story may not have been the pure invention of the writer, but may have been founded on some local legend, most likely of considerable antiquity. Ælian, *Hist. Anim.* xi. 6, mentions a similar test of virginity in the dragon's cave at Lanuvium, and this is elsewhere corroborated; as also is what Achilles Tatius relates of the Στυγὸς ὕδωρ, by which an oath was tested. Hence the tale about Pan's grotto need not be considered pure fiction. Ordeals were as well known in antiquity as in the middle ages. The earliest instance of the kind occurs, Sophocl. *Antig.* 264, where the guards over the corpse of Polyneices assert their innocence:

ἦμεν δ' ἕτοιμοι καὶ μύδρους αἴρειν χεροῖν
καὶ πῦρ διέρπειν, καὶ θεοὺς ὀρκωμοτεῖν
τὸ μήτε δρᾶσαι, μήτε τῳ ξυνειδέναι
τὸ πρᾶγμα βουλεύσαντι, μήτ' εἰργασμένῳ.

This of course does not refer to torture, or anything of the kind, but is a voluntary offer of the speakers to attest their innocence by lifting hot iron, passing through the fire, and similar acts. Brunk, incorrectly it would appear, compares the θαυμαστὴ ἱεροποιΐα at Soracte. See Strabo, v. 2, 9. Pliny, *Nat. Hist.* vii. 2, however clearly shews that this was a piece of mummery got up by the priests to deceive the credulous people. A similar imposture took place, according to Strabo, xii. 2, 7, at Castabala in Cilicia, ὅπου φασὶ τὰς ἱερείας γυμνοῖς τοῖς ποσὶ δι' ἀνθρακίας βαδίζειν ἀπαθεῖς. A case much more in point occurs in Pausan. vii. 25, 8, where it is related that the purity of the priestesses in a

answered Charicles; 'Cleobule is the most loveable creature I have ever seen, but her property does not assort with mine. I will not live in the house of a wife whom I have to thank for my good fortune; I will be free and independent, and owe my position to myself, not to another.' 'You might be right,' rejoined Sophilos, 'with any other than an innocent, merry creature like Cleobule, who would never dream of giving herself airs on the strength of her superior wealth; trust me, all the power she would ever exercise over you would be that of love. Come, don't be a fool, and mar Cleobule's happiness and your own, by pride and obstinacy; for that you love each other, I know full well. I had wished to affiance you this very day; but since you raise scruples, we'll talk thereon when the festival is over.'

The Dionysia had begun, and pleasure was the sole pursuit of all through the live-long day. Strangers and citizens paraded the streets in holiday attire, with garlands on their brows; altars and Hermæ were wreathed with chaplets; and in every thoroughfare stood huge bowls filled with the gift of the god, inviting all that liked, to

---

temple in Achaia was put to the proof by making them drink ox-blood, which was thought to be deadly poison: *πίνουσαι δὲ αἷμα ταύρου δοκιμάζονται. ἡ δ' ἂν αὐτῶν τύχῃ μὴ ἀληθεύουσα, αὐτίκα ἐκ τούτου τὴν δίκην ἔχει.* Cf. Aristoph. *Equites*, 80; Plutarch, *Themist.* 31, and Scholiast thereon. Another peculiar ordeal was the altar, *ἐσχάρα*, (Heliod. *Æthiop.* x. 8,) by which the crimes of *παρθενεύειν* and perjury were assayed. When a guilty person ascended the altar, flames issued from it spontaneously. Similar to this was the water-ordeal of an oath, to which class belongs the *Στυγὸς ὕδωρ* already referred to. Achill. Tat. viii. 12: *Ἡ δὲ κρίσις· ἐγγράψας τὸν ὅρκον γραμματείῳ μηρίνθῳ δεδεμένον περιεθήκατο τῇ δέρῃ. Κἂν μὲν ἀψευδῇ τὸν ὅρκον, μένει κατὰ χώραν ἡ πηγή· ἂν δὲ ψεύδηται τὸ ὕδωρ ὀργίζεται καὶ ἀναβαίνει μέχρι τῆς δέρης, καὶ τὸ γραμματεῖον ἐκάλυψε.* So the *ὕδωρ ὅρκιον* and the *φρέαρ ἐλέγχου*, mentioned by Philostr. *Vit. Apollon.* i. 6, and iii. 14. A sort of wager of battle is also mentioned, Heliod. *Æthiop.* vii. 4. Something of the kind is alluded to by Chæreas, ap. Charit. viii. 4: *Σὺ μὲν ἔμελλες τὴν δίκην κρίνειν, ἐγὼ δὲ ἤδη νενίκηκα παρὰ τῷ δικαιοτάτῳ δικαστῇ. πόλεμος γὰρ ἄριστος κριτὴς τοῦ κρείττονός τε καὶ χείρονος.*

drink to their very heart's content[13]. Everywhere peals of loud laughter and boisterous mirth assailed the ear; nought was to be seen but troops of merry-makers, and reckless swarms of comastæ, impudently caricaturing the pomp and ceremony of the festal procession.

But the most curious sight of all was the mob that beset the theatre. Since early dawn the seats had been crammed with spectators, who attentively followed the solemn contest of the tragedians, previous to being diverted, a little later, by the more lively fare of the comedians[14]. From time to time stormy rounds of cheering and applause burst from the serried mass; while at intervals might also be heard a shrill whistling, directed at an obnoxious passage in the play, or the bad performance of some actor, or, perchance, meant for some one among the audience.

Outside the theatre also, sight-seers of more humble pretensions found abundant materials for amusement. Here a puppet-man had set up his little theatre[15], and, with

---

[13] A Delphian oracle, quoted by Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 531, enjoined the Athenians,

μεμνῆσθαι Βάκχοιο καὶ εὐρυχόρους κατ' ἀγυιὰς
ἱστάναι ὡραίων Βρομίῳ χάριν ἄμμιγα πάντας,
καὶ κνισσᾶν βωμοῖσι, κάρη στεφάνοις πυκάσαντες.

Cf. *in Macart.* p. 1072: *κατ' ἀγυιὰς κρατῆρας ἱστάμεν.* This was also the case at the Dionysia at Pellene in Achaia, and elsewhere. Pausan. vii. 27, 2: *τούτῳ καὶ Λαμπτηρίαν ἑορτὴν ἄγουσι καὶ δᾳδάς τε ἐς τὸ ἱερὸν κομίζουσιν ἐν νυκτὶ καὶ οἴνου κρατῆρας ἱστᾶσιν ἀνὰ τὴν πόλιν πᾶσαν.*

[14] Kannegiesser, *Die alte kom. Bühne in Athen*, has supposed that because the scene of several comedies of Aristophanes is laid early in the morning, therefore the representation of them commenced at daybreak. But, on the other hand, it is clear from *Aves*, 785, that the tragedy was acted early, and the comedy in the afternoon:

αὐτίχ' ὑμῶν τῶν θεατῶν εἴ τις ἦν ὑπόπτερος,
εἶτα πεινῶν τοῖς χοροῖσι τῶν τραγῳδῶν ἤχθετο,
ἐκπτόμενος ἂν οὗτος ἠρίστησεν ἐλθὼν οἴκαδε·
κᾆτ' ἂν ἐμπλησθεὶς ἐφ' ἡμᾶς αὖθις αὖ κατέπτετο.

[15] Puppets moved by strings, *νευρόσπαστα*, are mentioned by Herodotus, ii. 48, as having been introduced from Egypt: *ἀντὶ δὲ φαλλῶν ἄλλα σφὶ ἐστι ἐξευρημένα ὕσον τε πηχυαῖα ἀγάλματα νευρόσπαστα, τὰ περιφορέουσι κατὰ κώμας γυναῖκες, νεῦον τὸ αἰδοῖον, κ.τ.λ.* See Lucian, *de Syr. dea*, 16; Aristot. *de Mundo*,

practised hand, guided the hidden strings that set in motion the pigmy figures, which performed the most grotesque antics, to the intense delight of the children and nurses standing round[16]. Elsewhere a Thessalian exhibited the dexterity of two damsels, who, with the most infinite coolness and agility, made surprising leaps amidst sharp sword-blades stuck upright in the ground, threw somersaults[17], or sitting down upon a potter's wheel in rapid motion, read and wrote with ease[18]; whilst the man himself from time to time opened his mouth wide, and let fly a stream of sparks among the horrified spectators[19], or, with apparent difficulty, gulped down swords and daggers[20]. Not far off a juggler had pitched his tent, taking the prudent precaution of placing projecting barriers[21], to keep over-

---

6: ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ οἱ νευροσπάσται μίαν μήρινθον ἐπισπασάμενοι ποιοῦσι καὶ αὐχένα κινεῖσθαι καὶ χεῖρα τοῦ ζώου, καὶ ὦμον, καὶ ὀφθαλμόν. Cf. Heindorf, ad Hor. *Sat.* ii. 7, 82. Persons strolled about, exhibiting them for a livelihood. Xenoph. *Symp.* 4, 55: οὗτοι γὰρ τὰ ἐμὰ νευρόσπαστα θεώμενοι τρέφουσί με.

[16] Plaut. *Cist.* v. 2, 45:

Nutrix.....
.... me spectatum tulerat per Dionysia.

[17] On this κυβιστᾶν εἰς μαχαίρας, see Note 22 to Scene VI. Cf. Athen. iv. p. 129; and *Mus. Borb.* iv. 58, where we actually see represented this κυβιστᾶν εἰς κύκλον περίμεστον ξιφῶν ὀρθῶν.

[18] Xenoph. *Symp.* 7, 2: εἰσεφέρετο τῇ ὀρχηστρίδι τροχὸς τῶν κεραμεικῶν, ἐφ' οὗ ἔμελλε θαυμασιουργήσειν: and a little further: καὶ μὴν τό γε ἐπὶ τοῦ τροχοῦ ἅμα περιδινουμένου γράφειν τε καὶ ἀναγιγνώσκειν, θαῦμα μὲν ἴσως τί ἐστιν.

[19] This trick was well known to the Greeks. Hippolochos, apud Athen. iv. p. 129: (εἰσῆλθόν) τινες καὶ θαυματουργοὶ γυναῖκες, εἰς ξίφη κυβιστῶσαι καὶ πῦρ ἐκ τοῦ στόματος ἐκριπίζουσαι γυμναί.

[20] Plutarch, *Lyc.* 19, where an Athenian, ridiculing the short Spartan swords, says, ῥᾳδίως αὐτὰς οἱ θαυματοποιοὶ καταπίνουσιν ἐν τοῖς θεάτροις. The apparatus used for performing this feat was nearly the same as that now employed for the same purpose. See Achill. Tat. iii. 20, where Satyros finds in a chest, χλαμύδα καὶ ξίφος τὴν μὲν κώπην ὅσον παλαιστῶν τεσσάρων, τὸν δὲ σίδηρον ἐπὶ τῇ κώπῃ βραχύτατον, δακτύλων ὅσον οὐ πλείω τριῶν. Ὡς δὲ ἀνελόμενος τὸ ξίφος ὁ Μενέλαος ἔλαθε μεταστρέψας κατὰ τὸ τοῦ σιδήρου μέρος τὸ μικρὸν ἐκεῖνο ξίφος, ὥσπερ ἀπὸ χηραμοῦ τῆς κώπης κατατρέχει τοσοῦτον, ὅσον εἶχεν ἡ κώπη τὸ μέγεθος· ὡς δὲ ἀνέστρεψεν εἰς τὸ ἔμπαλιν, αὖθις ὁ σίδηρος εἴσω κατεδύετο. Τούτῳ δ' ἄρα, ὡς εἰκός, ὁ κακοδαίμων ἐκεῖνος ἐν τοῖς θεάτροις ἐχρῆτο πρὸς τὰς κιβδήλους σφαγάς.

[21] Casaubon, on Athen. i. p. 19,

curious observers from his apparatus-table. Simple rustics and fishermen beheld with wonderment how at first the pebbles lay, one under each of the mysterious cups, then all are under one, after which they all vanished, finally reappearing out of the conjurer's mouth[22]. But when, after causing them to disappear a second time, he finally drew them all three out of the nose and ears of the nearest spectator, several of them scratched their heads, as not knowing what to make of it; and one plain countryman, shaking his head, said to his neighbour, 'I say, if this chap come near my farm, then good-bye to goods and gear[23].' But the heartiest laughter was heard round the booth of a man who was exhibiting a number of trained monkeys, dressed in motley suits, with masks before their faces, and which performed elaborate dances like so many well-behaved human beings[24]. The trainer's switch kept

---

and on Theophr. *Char.* 6, has discussed the ancient jugglers. Cf. Beckmann's *History of Inventions*, from which mainly, Böttiger has compiled his meagre account. He is quite wrong too in supposing the performers had nothing erected to conceal their secret apparatus, for the contrary is expressly asserted by Plato, *de Republ.* vii. p. 514: *ὥσπερ τοῖς θαυματοποιοῖς πρὸ τῶν ἀνθρώπων πρόκειται τὰ παραφράγματα, ὑπὲρ ὧν τὰ θαύματα δεικνῦσιν.*

[22] One of the commonest tricks was that of passing objects from under one cup to another (*παροψίδες μικραί*). The performers were hence called *ψηφοκλέπται, ψηφοπαῖκται, ψηφολόγοι.* Athen. i. p. 19; Poll. vii. 201. Suidas quotes the words of an unknown writer: *ὥσπερ οἱ ψηφολόγοι τοὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς τῷ τάχει τῆς μεταθέσεως τῶν ψήφων ἀπατῶντες συναρπάζουσι.* See Artemidor. *Oneirocr.* iii. 56; and Alciphron, *Epist.* iii. 20, is still more explicit in his description: *Εἷς γάρ τις, εἰς μέσους παρελθὼν καὶ στήσας τρίποδα, τρεῖς μικρὰς παρετίθει παροψίδας. εἶτα ὑπὸ ταύταις ἔσκεπε μικρά τινα καὶ λευκὰ καὶ στρογγύλα λιθίδια, οἷα ἡμεῖς ἐπὶ ταῖς ὄχθαις τῶν χειμάῤῥων ἀνευρίσκομεν. ταῦτα ποτὲ μὲν κατὰ μίαν ἔσκεπε παροψίδα, ποτὲ δέ, οὐκ οἶδ' ὅπως, ὑπὸ τῇ μιᾷ ἐδείκνυ, ποτὲ δὲ παντελῶς ἀπὸ τῶν παροψίδων ἠφάνιζε καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ στόματος ἔφαινεν. εἶτα καταβροχθίσας τοὺς πλησίον ἑστῶτας ἄγων εἰς μέσον, τὴν μὲν ἐκ ῥινός τινος, τὴν δὲ ἐξ ὠτίου, τὴν δὲ ἐκ κεφαλῆς ἀνῃρεῖτο..*

[23] Alciphr. *ib.*: *Μὴ γένοιτο κατ' ἀγρὸν τοιοῦτο θηρίον· οὐ γὰρ ἀλώσεται ὑπ' οὐδενὸς καὶ πάντα ὑφαιρούμενος τὰ ἔνδον φροῦδά μοι τὰ κατ' ἀγρὸν ἀπεργάσεται.*

[24] Lucian, *Piscat.* 36, mentions an ape-comedy like this, though his description refers to a somewhat later

them a long while in order, and his man was just collecting the small copper-coins from the by-standers[25], when a wag amused himself with throwing some nuts among the dancers, who, in a twinkling, forgetful alike of all propriety and the parts they played, made a descent on the prey, and began scratching and biting each other for the possession of it, to the great amusement of the spectators[26]. The confusion which ensued was a fine opportunity for the thieves and cut-purses, who were following their calling in great force, and levying contributions on the crowd, or at the tables of the pedlars, where all sorts of wares, clothes, and ornaments, both false and genuine, were displayed for sale[27]. Not a few of the purchasers, when they came to pay, discovered themselves to be minus girdle and purse; but it was Dionysia-time, and so nobody was disconcerted by such occurrences.

Whilst all beside resigned themselves to mirth and joviality, Cleobule sat weeping in her chamber. Her thoughts were bent on the future; and, occupied with the

---

date. Cf. *de Merc. Cond.* 5. Dogs, horses, and other animals were trained for the same purpose. Plutarch, *Gryll.* 9: *κόρακας διαλέγεσθαι καὶ κύνας ἄλλεσθαι διὰ τροχῶν περιφερομένων· ἵπποι δὲ καὶ βόες ἐν θεάτροις κατακλίσεις καὶ χορείας καὶ στάσεις παραβόλους, καὶ κινήσεις οὐδὲ ἀνθρώποις πάνυ ῥᾳδίας ἀκριβοῦσιν.*

[25] As with us a person goes round with a plate to the spectators, so the Greek *θαυματοποιοὶ* went round to collect the *θεωρικόν*. Theophrastus, *Char.* 6, among the tokens of *ἀπόνοια*, mentions: *ἐν θαύμασι τοὺς χαλκοὺς ἐκλέγειν, καθ' ἕκαστον παριὼν, καὶ μάχεσθαι τοῖς τὸ σύμβολον φέρουσι καὶ προῖκα θεωρεῖν ἀξιοῦσι.* Hence it appears that they gave to some persons free-admission tickets, which entitled them to see without paying. See also Lucian, *Asin.* 37; Xenoph. *Symp.* 2, 1.

[26] Lucian, *ibid.*: *καὶ μέχρι πολλοῦ εὐδοκιμεῖν τὴν θέαν, ἄχρι δή τις θεατὴς ἀστεῖος, κάρυα ὑπὸ κόλπον ἔχων, ἀφῆκεν ἐς τὸ μέσον· οἱ δὲ πίθηκοι ἰδόντες καὶ ἐκλαθόμενοι τῆς ὀρχήσεως τοῦθ', ὅπερ ἦσαν, πίθηκοι ἐγένοντο ἀντὶ πυῤῥιχιστῶν καὶ ξυνέτριβον τὰ προσωπεῖα καὶ τὴν ἐσθῆτα κατερῥήγνευον, καὶ ἐμάχοντο περὶ τῆς ὀπώρας πρὸς ἀλλήλους.*

[27] Aristoph. *Pax*, 760:

ὡς εἰώθασι μάλιστα
περὶ τὰς σκηνὰς πλεῖστοι κλέπται κυπτάζειν
καὶ κακοποιεῖν.

See Excursus on *The Markets and Commerce*. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 10, 3, mentions false trinkets, *ὄρμους ὑποξύλους*.

wishes secretly cherished in her heart, she had not quitted the house, declining all share in those pleasures which her sex was permitted by custom to enjoy. For a short time she had surveyed the festival from a window, but the merry multitude possessed no attractions for her; there was one only whom she had desired to see, and she had seen him, but alas! only to feel to her sorrow that his thoughts were not occupied with her, for he had directed no kindly gaze toward the house. Charicles had passed moodily by, his eyes cast straight before him. 'He loves me not,' she said to herself, as with tears in her eyes, she left the window; 'I am forgotten, and all the oracles have played me false.' Thus she sat sorrowing in her chamber, her beautiful head leaning on the white arm which rested on the side of the chair. Chloris, her favourite and confidential slave, knelt before her, and beside her stood the aged Manto, trying, with anxious solicitude, to divine the reason of her tears. 'Art thou unwell, my mistress?' she enquired; 'hast thou peradventure been blighted by the evil-eye[28]? If so, let us send for the old Thessalian crone, who can counteract each spell.' But Chloris understood better than Manto what was passing through her mistress' heart. She had noticed that the youth had found favour with her lady in the adventure of the brook, and that since Polycles' death the inclination cherished in secret had become a consuming passion. Why else would Cleobule have so often stealthily cracked the leaves of the telephilon[29]? or why did she so repeatedly shoot the slippery

[28] It is curious to remark how the belief in the evil-eye has descended to the present time, and the ὀφθαλμὸς βάσκανος of the Greeks corresponds to the *mal-occhio* and *mauvais-œil* of our day. Δυσμενὴς καὶ βάσκανος ὁ τῶν γειτόνων ὀφθαλμὸς, says Alciphron, *Ep.* i. 15; and Heliod. *Æthiop.* iii. 7, comments on and defends the popular superstition. Plutarch devotes a special chapter to the subject. *Sympos.* v. 7: περὶ τῶν βασκαίνειν λεγομένων καὶ βάσκανον ἔχειν ὀφθαλμόν. There, as in Heliodorus, the notion is partly derided, partly defended. Not only the person, but his property could be affected by βασκανία. Virg. *Ecl.* 103. See Note 3 to Scene VIII.

[29] Just as the leaves of the ox-eye

apple-pips out of her taper fingers against the ceiling[30]? or so carefully treasure up those trumpery sandals? and to what must Chloris ascribe the numberless cups and vases, which her mistress had fractured in her fits of abstraction[31]? 'Pooh, pooh!' said she to Manto, volunteering an answer for Cleobule; 'our mistress wears the ring with the Ephesian legend on it[32]; and that's a sure

---

daisy, *Chrysanthemum leucanthemum*, are consulted in Germany as love's oracle—a game immortalized by Göthe's Gretchen—so the Greeks had recourse to more than one *μαντεία* of this sort in affairs of the heart. The usual way was to place the leaf on the ring made by bending the fore-finger to the thumb, and then to burst it with a slap of the other hand. The broad petal of the poppy-flower, hence called *πλαταγώνιον*, was used, as well as that of the anemone; but the *τηλέφιλον* is much more frequently mentioned, though it is uncertain whether this word denotes a particular plant, or was only another name for the *πλαταγώνιον*. See Pollux, ix. 27, who apparently does not distinguish between them: *Τὸ δὲ πλαταγώνιον οἱ ἐρῶντες ἢ ἐρῶσαι ἔπαιζον· καλεῖται μὲν γὰρ οὕτω καὶ τὸ κρότδλον καὶ τὸ σεῖστρον, ᾧ καταβαυκαλῶσιν αἱ τίτθαι ψυχαγωγοῦσαι τὰ δυσυπνοῦντα τῶν παιδίων. Ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ τοῦ τηλεφίλου καλουμένου φύλλα ἐπὶ τοὺς πρώτους δύο τῆς λαιᾶς δακτύλους εἰς κύκλον συμβληθέντας ἐπιθέντες τῷ κοίλῳ τῆς ἑτέρας χειρὸς ἐπικρούσαντες, εἰ κτύπον ποιήσειεν εὔκροτον ὑποσχισθὲν τῇ πληγῇ τὸ φύλλον, μεμνῆσθαι τοὺς ἐρωμένους αὐτῶν ὑπελάμβανον.* So also Phot. *Lex.* p. 432; Suidas and Hesych. The Scholiasts on Theocr. iii. 28, incline however to the former supposition. But a different sort of augury is there described, derived not from the sound produced by the slap, but from the discoloration of the skin, produced by some corrosive principle in the leaf or fruit. In the case of a favourable augury this was red. On the whole it seems that the word *τηλίφιλον* was used to designate the leaf (or fruit) of every plant used as an oracle of 'distant love.' See *Anthol. Pal.* v. 296:

Ἐξότε τηλεφίλου πλαταγήματος ἠχέτα βόμβος
γαστέρα μαντῴου μάξατο κισσυβίου,
ἔγνων, ὡς φιλέεις με.

Pollux, ix. 128, mentions another method, by means of inflated lily-leaves: *Καὶ μὲν καὶ τὸ κρίνον διπλοῦν ὂν καὶ διάκενον ἔνδοθεν ἐμφυσήσαντες, ὡς ὑποπλῆσαι πνεύματος πρὸς τὰ μέτωπα ῥηγνύντες ἐσημαίνοντο τὰ παραπλήσια τῷ κτύπῳ.*

[30] Poll. ix. 128: *Ἔτι τοίνυν τὸ σπέρμα τῶν μήλων, ὅπερ ἔγκειται τοῖς μήλοις ἔνδοθεν, ἄκροις τοῖς πρώτοις τῆς δεξιᾶς δύο δακτύλοις συμπιέζοντες ἔτι διάβροχον καὶ ὀλισθηρὸν ὄν, εἰ πρὸς ὕψος πηδήσειεν, ἐσημαίνοντο τούτῳ τὴν εὔνοιαν τὴν παρὰ τῶν παιδικῶν.*

[31] Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 401:

ἐάν γέ τις πλέκῃ
γυνὴ στέφανον, ἐρᾶν δοκεῖ· κἂν ἐκβάλῃ
σκεῦός τι, κατὰ τὴν οἰκίαν πλανωμένη,
ἀνὴρ ἐρωτᾷ, τῷ κατέαγεν ἡ χύτρα;
οὐκ' ἔσθ' ὅπως οὐ τῷ Κορινθίῳ ξένῳ.

[32] It was very usual to wear amu-

safeguard against the evil-eye. It is merely a transient qualm; go and prepare the potion our doctor prescribed in such a case.'

Away went Manto. Chloris affectionately embraced her mistress' knees, and giving a roguish peep upwards, said in dolorous tone, 'Alas! that odious bath.' 'What mean you?' asked Cleobule, raising herself. 'I mean the journey to Ædepsos,' answered the abigail; 'that's to blame for all. We must go to Argyra, and bathe in the water of the Selemnos[33], the wondrous efficacy of which the byssos-seller from Patræ extolled so much the other day.' 'You silly thing!' scolded the mistress with a deep blush, 'there you go chattering again!' 'Am I not right?' said the slave in coaxing tones; 'but, may be, help is nearer at hand. How runs the proverb? "He who gave

---

lets, *προβασκάνια*, as a protection against spells or misfortune. The Phalli and other obscene emblems hung about children, or even affixed to houses, as a safeguard against fascination, are well known. Plutarch, *Sympos.* v. 7, 3: *Διὸ καὶ τὸ τῶν λεγομένων προβασκανίων γένος οἴονται πρὸς τὸν φθόνον ὠφελεῖν, ἑλκομένης διὰ τὴν ἀτοπίαν τῆς ὄψεως, ὥστε ἧττον ἐπερείδειν τοῖς πάσχουσιν.* Rings, also, probably with some secret token, were deemed a security against every danger. Aristoph. *Plut.* 883:

οὐδὲν προτιμῶ σου. φορῶ γὰρ πριάμενος
τὸν δακτύλιον τονδὶ παρ' Εὐδάμου δραχμῆς.

So also a fragment of Antiphanes, apud Athen. iii. p. 123:

οὐ γὰρ κακὸν ἔχω μηδ' ἔχοιμ'. ἐὰν δ' ἄρα
στρέφῃ με περὶ τὴν γαστέρ' ἢ τὸν ὀμφαλὸν
παρὰ Φερτάτου δακτύλιός ἐστί μοι δραχμῆς.

So again Charicleia possesses a magic ring; Heliod. *Æthiop.* iv. 8. In Lucian, *Philops.* 17, Eucrates, who had been sadly plagued by ghosts, says he is free now, *μάλιστα ἐξ οὗ μοι τὸν δακτύλιον ὁ Ἄραψ ἔδωκε σιδήρου τοῦ ἐκ τῶν σταυρῶν πεποιημένου.* An amulet bearing certain Ephesian characters, is mentioned by Anaxilas, ap. Athen. xii. p. 548: *ἐν σκυταρίοις ῥαπτοῖσι φορῶν Ἐφεσῆια γράμματα καλά.* On the subject of these Ephesian characters, Photius, who gives instances of their efficacy, observes (p. 40): *ὀνόματα ἄττα καὶ φωναὶ ἀντιπάθειάν τινα φυσικὴν ἔχουσαι.* Hesychius gives the six original words; cf. Eustath. *ad Odyss.* xix. 247: *ὅτι ἀσαφῶς καὶ αἰνιγματωδῶς δοκεῖ ἐπὶ ποδῶν καὶ ζώνης καὶ στεφάνης ἐπιγεγράφθαι τῆς Ἀρτέμιδος τὰ τοιαῦτα γράμματα.*

[33] The little river Selemnos, in Achaia, was said, in reference to the myth of its metamorphosis, to be a cure for the love-sick. Pausan. vii. 23, 2: *τὸ ὕδωρ τοῦ Σελέμνου σύμφορον καὶ ἀνδράσιν εἶναι καὶ γυναιξὶν ἐς ἔρωτος ἴαμα λουομένοις ἐν τῷ ποταμῷ λήθην ἔρωτος γίνεσθαι.*

.the wound will also heal it[34]." Isn't it so?' Cleobule turned away her face and wept. 'I knew it long ago,' continued the domestic; 'but why cry so, my lady? Hasn't Sophilos left the choice to yourself? and as to Charicles, his wishes on the matter must be known to every one who attended at the funeral.' 'He has forgotten me,' said Cleobule mournfully; 'he hates me.' 'Nonsense!' retorted Chloris; 'but if so, we must send for the woman of Thessaly. I'm told that she has often, by melting waxen images, and pronouncing charms the while, or by the magic virtue of the iynx, and other secret arts, led the hearts of faithless swains back again to their loves[35].' 'In the name of all the gods, no!' cried Cleobule; 'I have heard that such love-charms may imperil the life of their object.' 'Well then,' continued Chloris, 'let us resort to more simple methods. A half-faded garland from the head of the damsel, or a bitten apple, has often done wonders[36].' 'I'm to propose to him myself, then?' said the lady rising;

---

[34] Ὁ τρώσας αὐτὸς ἰάσεται, originally the answer of the oracle to Telephos, but afterwards employed in this sense. Charit. vi. 3: φάρμακον γὰρ ἕτερον ἔρωτος οὐδέν ἐστι πλὴν αὐτὸς ὁ ἐρώμενος. τοῦτο δὲ ἄρα τὸ ἀδόμενον λόγιον ἦν, ὅτι ὁ τρώσας αὐτὸς ἰάσεται.

[35] That fertile subject, the magic arts of the ancients, can be merely touched on here. The Φαρμακεύτρια of Theocritus is the most instructive treatise on this head which Greek literature supplies. Consult also Tiedemann, *Kurze Uebersicht d. Gesch. d. Artes magicæ*. For a case of poisoning by a φίλτρον, see Antipho, *de Venef.* pp. 608, 614.

[36] A chaplet that had been worn, or a bitten apple, served as a declaration of love, or as a substitute for a *billet doux*, in the same way as drinking out of the same goblet. Note 25 to Scene II. See Lucian, *Tox.* 13: καὶ γραμματεῖά τε εἰσεφοίτα παρὰ τῆς γυναικὸς αὐτῷ καὶ στέφανοι ἠμιμάραντοι καὶ μῆλά τινα ἀποδεδηγμένα καὶ ἄλλα, ὁπόσα αἱ μαστροποὶ ἐπὶ τοῖς νέοις μηχανῶνται, κατὰ μικρὸν αὐτοῖς ἐπιτεχνώμεναι τοὺς ἔρωτας κ.τ.λ. Also Id. *Dial. Meretr.* xii.; and Alciph. *Epist.* iii. 62; and i. 36; also Theocr. xi. 10, says of Polyphemus:

Ἤρατο δ' οὔτι ῥόδοις, οὐ μάλοις, οὐδὲ κικίννοις.

Merely throwing an apple at a person was a declaration of love. *Anth. Pal.* v. 79:

Τῷ μήλῳ βάλλω σε· σὺ δ', εἰ μὲν ἑκοῦσα φιλεῖς με
δεξαμένη τῆς σῆς παρθενίης μετάδος.

'no, Chloris, you are not in earnest, surely?' 'Let us have recourse to Sophilos then,' interposed the indefatigable slave; 'besides, old Manto, you know, was once Charicles' nurse. Yes, I have it; she must be our main agent. Just leave it to me, and ere three days have passed I'll bring the truant back.'

SCENE THE ELEVENTH.

THE RING.

DEEP repose was still spread over Athens, and her citizens were dreaming off, at their leisure, the fumes of the festival just ended; when Manto emerged from her mistress' abode, on the secret errand with which Chloris had commissioned her. The streets were still noiseless, although it was past day-break. A few slaves only had begun their day's avocations, or were busied in attending to the first morning-requirements of their lords. Here and there tipsy comastæ, their faded bravery of coronals and tæniæ reeking with ointment, and all dangling from their heads, came reeling homewards from their prolonged debauch, a female flute-player staggering in the van.

Manto hobbled away, without stopping, to the house where Charicles dwelt. Who more desirous than she to promote his marriage with Cleobule! Faithfully attached to the interests of her mistress, she was no less so to Charicles, who had been committed to her fostering care from his earliest infancy. But she was also bound to him by a certain cherished mystery, of which she was now the sole living repository. Nor must it be forgotten that the moment of Cleobule's marriage was that also of her own manumission, and she hoped to pass the remnant of her days in the house of Charicles, released from toils and troubles. Yet there was still something else that spurred on her footsteps. An unlooked-for event threatened suddenly to defeat all her wishes and hopes, and bring about a discovery, the consequences of which could not be foreseen. She too had mingled in the crowd of spectators yesterday; and while intent upon the feats of a rope-dancer, a slave, whose guise was more that of a farm-bailiff than a town-servant, had edged through the press, and twitching her cloak, had bidden her, in a tone, partly of entreaty,

partly of command, to follow him. Much alarmed she had done so; and when they had escaped from the crowd, he sharply eyed her, and asked who was her master. 'My master is dead,' was her answer. The man demanded more hurriedly, whether he had left a son. 'No,' she replied, somewhat perplexed; 'he had not been married a year, when he died.' The slave looked at her for a moment with attention. 'You are certainly the woman,' he then exclaimed, 'who one-and-twenty years ago took up a boy exposed on the altar of Pity, early in the morning. I watched you; you bore off the vessel[1] with the infant to Nicarete the midwife: she has unfortunately been dead this long while; but I conjure you by the gods, tell me to whom you gave the boy: it was my master's son, and he has no other.' Manto, confused, tried to get off; but her trembling plainly shewed that the slave was not mistaken. He begged, he conjured, he threatened her, and Manto had very nearly lost her self-possession and confessed the truth; but the thought that Charicles —for he, in truth, was the boy—might find his parents again in a manner he least desired, restored her presence of mind. To be sure he could not be of lowly origin; this was proved by the fine linen-cloth that lay by the child, the golden ring with a blue stone ingeniously carved, and also by the collar, and the various gold and silver baubles[2]. But nevertheless, Charicles, who was happy in the recollection of the loved and familiar faces of his supposed parents, and who was, at present, in the independent enjoyment of an ample fortune, might have to make an unpleasant exchange; and Cleobule—there was no know-

[1] Children were exposed in large earthen vessels, ἐν χύτραις. Mœr. Att. p. 102: ἐγχυτρισμὸς, ἡ τοῦ βρέφους ἔκθεσις, ἐπεὶ ἐν χύτραις ἐξετίθετο. See Schol. on *Ranæ*, 1288: τὸ δὲ ἐν ὀστράκῳ, ἐπεὶ ἐν χύτραις ἐξετίθεσαν τὰ παιδία, διὸ καὶ χυτρίζειν ἔλεγον. Cf. Schol. on *Vesp.* 228; and Hesych. s. v. The sale of children is also alluded to, *Anthol. Pal.* v. 178.

[2] See Excursus on *Education*. See also Heliod. *Æthiop.* iv. 8.

ing what might intervene to thwart her wishes. At all events she thought it would be better that the revelation should be deferred till after the wedding, and she therefore promised to meet the slave the next new-moon, at sunset, near the Acharnian gate, making all sorts of excuses for not saying more at the present moment. 'But how am I to trust you,' answered the slave, 'if I don't know who you are?' 'I swear to thee by the Dioscuri,' was her assurance. 'Women's oaths are fleeting as the water, on which they are written[3],' he interposed; 'tell me to whom you belong.' 'What good will that be to you?' answered she. 'To one so distrustful as you, that will be but a poor satisfaction; for how would you know after all whether I were speaking the truth?' Without his observing it, she had gradually drawn him back near the spectacle, and profited by the lucky moment to disappear in the tumult.

This it was that urged her to the house of Charicles at so early an hour. She wished at all events to attain one object, before she could be traced out, and the truth come to light prematurely, contrary to her desire. She expected to find the house still in repose, and had intended to wait before it till the inmates were astir; but to her astonishment the door was open, and, on entering the peristyle of the court, she was met by Charicles, who was just giving orders to a slave. 'Quick, quick!' said he; 'take this paper, and fasten it to some pillar in the market-place, so that every one can read it, and bid the crier make known with a loud voice through the streets and in the full market, that whoever has found a gold ring with a

---

[3] Εἰς ὕδωρ γράφειν, or εἰς τέφραν, was a proverbial expression for fruitless undertakings, particularly invalid oaths. So Hellad. *Chrest.* apud Phot. *Bibl.* p. 530: ὁ στίχος ὁ καὶ παροιμιαζόμενος,

ὅρκους ἐγὼ γυναικὸς εἰς ὕδωρ γράφω.

ἐστὶ μὲν Σοφοκλέους, τοῦτον δὲ παρῳδήσας ὁ Φιλωνίδης ἔφη,

ὅρκους δὲ μοιχῶν εἰς τέφραν ἐγὼ γράφω.

And in Lucian, *Catapl.* 21, Micyllos says: Παίζεις, ὦ Χάρων, ἢ καθ' ὕδατος, φασίν, ἤδη γράφεις, παρὰ Μικύλλου ἤδη τινὰ ὀβολὸν προσδυκῶν.

blue stone, bearing the device of a running satyr, holding a hare, and will bring it to Charicles, the son of Charinos, shall receive the reward of two minæ[4]. Give my address, and add that the ring may easily be recognised, on account of a flaw in the stone right across the satyr's body.'

Manto had only caught the concluding words. 'You have lost a ring?' asked she, approaching Charicles, when the slave had disappeared. 'Yes,' said he, 'a trinket, that my dying mother gave me, with significant but enigmatical words.' 'By all the Gods!' cried the slave, 'surely not the ring with the blue stone?' 'The very same,' he replied; 'but how do you know anything about it?' 'I have seen it on your finger,' said she, trying to hide her confusion. 'Yet I have seldom worn it in Athens,' answered Charicles. 'Yesterday, at the bath, I took it off, and in some incomprehensible manner, it has disappeared; though I, not habitually wearing it, did not discover my loss till I went to bed. I had rather have lost the half of my estate than

---

[4] Things lost, stolen, or found, runaway slaves, and commodities for sale, were advertised either by the public crier, a placard on the walls, or a board set up in some frequented spot. See Lucian, *Demon.* 17: Ἐπεὶ δέ ποτε καὶ χρυσοῦν δακτύλιον ὁδῷ βαδίζων εὗρε, γραμμάτιον ἐν ἀγορᾷ προτιθεὶς ἠξίου τὸν ἀπολέσαντα, ὅστις εἴη τοῦ δακτυλίου δεσπότης, ἥκειν καὶ εἰπόντα ὁλκὴν αὐτοῦ καὶ λίθον καὶ τύπον ἀπολαμβάνειν. Lucian also travesties the form of proclaiming a fugitive slave. *Fugit.* 26: εἴ τις ἀνδράποδον Παφλαγονικόν, τῶν ἀπὸ Σινώπης βαρβάρων, ὄνομα τοιοῦτον, οἷον ἀπὸ κτημάτων, ὑπωχρον, ἐν χρῷ κουρίαν, ἐν γενείῳ βαθεῖ, πήραν ἐξημμένον καὶ τριβώνιον ἀμπεχόμενον, ὀργίλον, ἄμουσον, τραχύφωνον, λοίδορον, μηνύειν ἐπὶ ῥητῷ αὐτονόμῳ. In most cases a fixed reward, μήνυτρα, or σῶστρα, was promised in the advertisement. So in Xenoph. *Memor.* ii. 10, 1. Cf. Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* vii. p. 264. The state also offered large rewards for the discovery of the perpetrators of great crimes. Thus on the occasion of the mutilation of the Hermæ, ἦσαν γὰρ κατὰ τὸ Κλεωνύμου ψήφισμα χίλιαι δραχμαὶ, κατὰ δὲ τὸ Πεισάνδρου μύριαι. Andoc. *de Myst.* p. 14. Cf. Plutarch, *Alcib.* 20; Böckh's *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 248. For the corresponding Roman custom, consult *Gallus*, Note 8 to Scene IV. p. 44. The usage of proclaiming commodities for sale some days beforehand is mentioned in the Excursus on *The Markets and Commerce*. In some states this was compulsory in judicial sales. See Theophrast. ap. Stob. *Tit.* xliv. 22: Οἱ μὲν οὖν ὑπὸ κήρυκος κελεύουσι πωλεῖν καὶ προκηρύττειν ἐκ πλειόνων ἡμερῶν. Cf. Demosth. *in Aristocr.* p. 687: οἱ τὰ μικρὰ καὶ κομιδῇ φαῦλα ἀποκηρύττοντες.

this ring; for, as my mother said, it possesses a secret, the explanation of which is lost for ever along with it. But what's the matter? You tremble; and besides, what brings you here at this early hour?' 'Let us go where we are by ourselves[5],' replied the crone; 'for I must speak with you.' 'Not now, my good Manto; I must go back to the bath, whither I have already dispatched Manes. Rest awhile here, and await my return.'

The city had gradually awakened to its wonted every-day activity. The market-place was beginning to fill; and though many were missing, who had not yet got over the unpleasant consequences of yesterday's carouse, the soberer section of the community adhered to the usual custom, and met at the regular hour in this focus of city-life. Ctesiphon, having found the Gymnasium very thin to-day, was here in hopes of meeting some friends. A number of people were standing before a pillar in the arcade of the Trapezitæ, reading a notice. 'Go and see what it is,' said he to his attendant. The slave ran off, and soon returned quite out of breath. 'Master,' he exclaimed, 'what a lucky fellow our Satyros is! Charicles has lost a ring, and promises two minæ to the person that restores it to him. Satyros is the finder; I saw him yesterday with just such an one, which he had picked up in the street.' 'Or stolen,' replied Ctesiphon; 'for that's just like the fellow. Was not he with me and Charicles at the bath yesterday? To be sure! and Charicles wore two rings[6]. The knave has purloined it. Follow me.'

---

[5] Græcè *αὐτοί ἐσμεν*. Plato, *Leg.* viii. p. 836. So Plaut. *Cas.* ii. 2, 25: *Nos sumus.*

[6] The free Greek, if not of the very poorest class, wore a ring, not only as an ornament, but as a signet, to attest his signature, or for making secure his property. See Excursus on *The Grecian House;* and Notes 6, 7, and 8 to Scene IX. The antiquity of this usage cannot be certainly determined. No trace of it, however, is to be found in Homer. And Pliny, *Nat. Hist.* xxxiii. 1, 4, rightly concludes from Hom. *Od.* viii. 443, that the use of the signet-ring was at that time unknown. Odysseus merely ties the χηλός, containing

After a vain hunt, Charicles had returned home, and was pacing, out of humour, up and down the peristyle of

---

the presents, with a knot taught him by Circe. The legends of a later time will not of course prove anything about the customs of the heroic age. Hence the *σφραγίς* of Theseus, *ἣν αὐτὸς φέρων ἔτυχεν*, mentioned by Pausan. i. 17, 3, or the sealed letters of Agamemnon and Phædra, alluded to by Euripides, *Iphig. in Aul.* 154; *Hippol.* 859, cannot here be adduced in evidence. It is highly probable that the use of the ring, and the custom of sealing, came from the East, where it was common; *e.g.* at Babylon, as is affirmed by Herodotus, i. 195, and abundantly attested by the hundreds of rings and signet cylinders now in the British Museum, and elsewhere. See Lavard, *Discoveries at Nineveh and Babylon*, pp. 156, 608. One of the oldest accounts is that of the ring of Polycrates, though it is uncertain whether this stone was cut, and served as a signet, *σφραγίς*. But in Solon's time this use of the ring was common, as is clear from the law he enacted, (see Note 6 to Scene IX.) though, from the existence of genuine signet rings, we cannot infer that they necessarily contained cut stones. In later times rings served also as ornaments, and hence several were often worn, and in the degenerate period the hands were literally covered with them. Hippias wore two. Plato, *Hipp. Min.* p. 368. But people soon went beyond this. So Aristoph. *Eccles.* 632, we read *σφραγῖδας ἔχοντες*, and again, *Nub.* 332, we have *σφραγιδονυχαργοκομῆται*, though the Scholiast's explanation is absurd. Demosthenes too adorned his hands with rings in so conspicuous a manner that, at a time of public disaster, it was stigmatized as unbecoming vanity. Dinarch. *in Demosth.* p. 29: *καὶ καταισχύνων τὴν τῆς πόλεως δόξαν χρυσὸν ἐκ τῶν δακτύλων ἀναψάμενος περιεπορεύετο, τρυφῶν ἐν τοῖς τῆς πόλεως κακοῖς.* And Diog. Laert. v. 1, says of Aristotle, *ἐσθῆτί τε (ἦν) ἐπισήμῳ χρώμενος καὶ δακτυλίοις, καὶ κουρᾷ.* Of the cost of these articles we have frequent mention. Thus Ælian, *Var. Hist.* xii. 30, says of the Tarentines, *Ὁμολογεῖ δὲ καὶ Εὔπολις ἐν τῷ Μαρικᾷ, ὅστις αὐτῶν εὐτελέστατος, σφραγῖδας εἶχε δέκα μνῶν.* Hence the luxury of the later ages need not excite our surprise. So Lucian, *Icaromen.* 18: *εἴ τινα ἴδοιμι ἐπὶ χρυσῷ μέγα φρουοῦντα, ὅτι δακτυλίους τε εἶχεν ὀκτώ, κ.τ.λ.* Id. *Somn. seu Gall.* 12. *ἐγὼ δὲ τὴν ἐσθῆτα τὴν ἐκείνου ἔχων καὶ δακτυλίους βαρεῖς ὅσον ἑκκαίδεκα ἐξημμένους τῶν δακτύλων, κ.τ.λ.* The value of the ring depended in the first instance on the stone, and more still on the skill of the engraver. The onyx, *Σαρδῶνυξ, σαρδόνυξ*, was well adapted for the display of art, and was therefore very highly esteemed. See Lucian, *de Syria dea*, 32; and *Dial. Meretr.* IX.: *εἶχε δὲ καὶ αὐτὸς Παμμένων δακτύλιον ἐν τῷ μικρῷ δακτύλῳ μέγιστον, πολύγωνον, καὶ ψῆφος ἐνεβέβλητο τῶν τριχρώμων, ἐρυθρά τε ἦν ἐπιπολῆς.* The golden sling-formed rim, *σφενδόνη*, (Plato, *de Repub.* ii. p. 359; Eurip. *Hippol.* 857,) in which the stone, *ψῆφος, σφραγίς*, was set, was also highly finished and facetted. Some rings had no stone, but were merely of metal, *ἄψηφοι*. Artemidor. *Oneirocr.* ii. 5: *Ἀγαθοὶ δὲ καὶ οἱ χρυσοῖ (δακτύλιοι) οἵ γε ψήφους ἔχοντες· ἐπεὶ οἵ γε ἄψηφοι ἀκερδεῖς τὰς ἐγχειρήσεις σημαίνουσι διὰ τὸ ἄψηφον. ψῆφον γὰρ καλοῦμεν, ὥσπερ λίθον*

the court, when Ctesiphon appeared with a face of joy. 'Cheer up,' cried he, 'your ring is found, and won't cost you two minæ. The knave that stole it is already fast in the pillory.' He then recounted briefly how it had been recovered, and expressed surprise that a cracked ring like this could be worth so large a reward. Charicles was about to explain the reason of his setting so high a value

---

τὸν ἐν δακτυλίῳ, οὕτω καὶ τὸν τῶν χρημάτων ἀριθμόν. As with us, they were sometimes solid, sometimes only plated, but passed off as solid. Artem. *Ib.*: Ἀεὶ δὲ ἀμείνονες οἱ ὀλόσφυροι· οἱ γὰρ κενοὶ καὶ θεῖον ἔνδον ἔχοντες δύλους καὶ ἐνέδρας σημαίνουσι διὰ τὸ ἐμπεριέχειν τὸ ἐγκεκρυμμένον, ἢ μείζονας τὰς προσδυκίας τῶν ὠφελειῶν διὰ τὸ μείζονα τὸν ὄγκον τοῦ βάρους ἔχειν. That women also wore rings cannot be doubted, though the allusions to the fact are very scanty. It would seem that these were not the same as those of the men, but were of amber, and so forth. Artemidor. *Ib.* A snake-shaped ring was discovered in a tomb along with sundry female ornaments. See Stackelberg, *Gräber d. Hell.* pl. 73. The ring was worn on the fourth finger, παραμέσος. The heading of the lost 8th chapter of Plutarch, *Sympos.* iv., runs, Διὰ τί τῶν δακτύλων μάλιστα τῷ παραμέσῳ σφραγῖδας φοροῦσιν; they were therefore so worn usually, μάλιστα, but not invariably. Men did not wear any other gold ornament, at least it was much reprobated if they did. See a fragment of Anacreon, ap. Athen. xii. p. 534 :

νῦν δ' ἐπιβαίνει σατινέων, χρύσεα φορέων καθέρματα
πᾶϊς Κύκης, καὶ σκιαδίσκην ἐλεφαντίνην φορέει
γυναιξὶν αὔτως.

Whether this word καθέρματα means ear-rings or ὅρμοι is dubious. It was considered a dishonour, and a token of foreign manners, for men to have their ears bored. Xenoph. *Anab.* iii. 1, 31 : ἀλλὰ τούτῳ γε οὐδὲ τῆς Βοιωτίας προσήκει οὐδέν, οὔτε τῆς Ἑλλάδος παντάπασιν· ἐπεὶ ἐγὼ αὐτὸν εἶδον, ὥσπερ Ανδὸν, ἀμφότερα τὰ ὦτα τετρυπημένον. Cf. Diog. Laert. ii. 50; Aristot. *Probl.* xxxii. 7. Women and girls, however, not only used ear-rings, ἐνώτια, ἑλλόβια, ἑλικτῆρες, which are seen perpetually on vases, but they also wore numerous articles of jewellery about the neck, (περιδέραια, ὅρμοι,) the arms, (ψέλλια, ὄφεις,) and on the leg above the ankle, (πέδαι χρυσαῖ, περισκελίδες, περισφύρια.) Poll. v. 99. Cf. Aristoph. *Acharn.* 258; *Lysistr.* 408; *Aves*, 669; Lysias, *in Eratosth.* p. 395. How valuable these ornaments sometimes were, appears from Plato, *Alcib.* i. p. 123, where we learn that Deinomache, the mother of Alcibiades, wore a κόσμος, ἴσως ἄξιος μνῶν πεντήκοντα. Cf. Demosth. *in Aphob.* i. p. 817. Concerning a later period, see Lucian, *Amor.* 41 : λίθους Ἐρυθραίους κατὰ τῶν λοβῶν, πολυτάλαντον ἠρτημένας βρῖθος, ἢ τοὺς περὶ καρποῖς καὶ βραχίοσι δράκοντας, ὡς ὤφελον ὄντως ἀντὶ χρυσίου δράκοντες εἶναι. καὶ στεφάνη μὲν ἐν κύκλῳ τὴν κεφαλὴν περιθεῖ, λίθοις Ἰνδικαῖς διάστερος· πολυτελεῖς δὲ τῶν αὐχένων ὅρμοι καθεῖνται καὶ ἄχρι τῶν ποδῶν ἐσχάτων καταβέβηκεν ὁ ἄθλιος χρυσὸς, ἅπαν, εἴ τι τοῦ σφυροῦ γυμνοῦται, περισφίγγων.

on it, when a violent knocking was heard at the house-door, and Sophilos hurried through the entrance-hall with hasty steps. Everything about him evinced an anxious state of suspense, so much so that he even forgot the salutation. 'I have just come from the market-place,' said he, turning to Charicles, 'where the crier was proclaiming that you had lost a ring. Tell me who gave you that ring?' 'It's found,' answered the other; 'for which I have to thank my friend Ctesiphon here. Look, here it is.' Sophilos snatched the ring. 'The very same!' he exclaimed vehemently. 'Tell me, how came you by it?' 'An odd sort of question!' replied Charicles. 'My mother gave it me on her death-bed. "Keep it safe," said she, "peradventure it is the best part of thine inheritance. It can lead you to fortune, if it should be found by him who understands its speech."' 'By Olympian Zeus!' shouted Sophilos, 'that man has found it, and I am he. With this very ring I had my third child exposed, because, fool that I was, two male-heirs seemed quite enough to me at that time[7]. One-and-twenty years have rolled by since then; that is thine age, and thou art my son!'

The vehemence with which he spoke, and the rejoicing consequent on the discovery, had brought to the spot every creature in the house, and among others, Manto, who had vainly waited to have an interview with Charicles. She now seized his knees, and said, 'It was I that raised thee up from the altar of Pity, and brought thee to thy childless mother, who had long made preparations for passing the cheat upon her husband; and it was no sin to do so, for Charinos was now content, and you found in them two fond parents and careful guardians of your infancy.' 'Manto!' exclaimed Sophilos, astonished, 'you are the woman that artfully dodged my faithful Carion yesterday? But stay! The ring was not the only thing exposed with the child; where are the rest?' Manto was for a moment perplexed,

[7] See Longus, *Pastor.* iv. p. 126.

and answered nothing. At last she said, 'There was a collar too, with trinkets, hung round the babe's neck, I confess. I have kept it back, but still have it all safe.' 'So every thing tallies exactly,' exclaimed Sophilos; 'but why refuse my slave an explanation yesterday?' 'How should I know that it was your slave?' said she. 'I feared some unwelcome father might turn up and oppose the match that I'm longing for.' 'In sooth, that was cunning,' replied Sophilos; 'and it is well that you remind me. Charicles, you are my son, and my first paternal command is, that you marry Cleobule. How? still refuse?' 'Father,' said the overjoyed young man, 'I desire no greater happiness.' 'And you will resign Pasias' daughter to me now, won't you?' interposed Ctesiphon. 'To you?' asked Charicles amazed. 'Ha! I see now the cause of your strange manner: and would you really have made that sacrifice too for me!' 'Willingly,' returned his friend, 'if it would have made you happier.' 'Excellent young man,' said Sophilos; 'I will myself woo her for you, if you like. But now to Cleobule. We must first send word to her, but not by you, Manto, for you'll blurt everything out all at once. Go,' said he to his slave, 'and merely say that I am coming to her with an agreeable attendant. Not a syllable more; do you hear? And you, Charicles, attire yourself as becomes a bridegroom.'

'One word more,' said Charicles to Ctesiphon, as they parted; 'forgive Satyros the punishment; for if he had not filched the ring, I should not now be the lucky man I am[8].' 'The knave does not deserve it,' replied Ctesiphon; 'but for your sake be it so.'

Little did Cleobule dream of the happy turn of events, which was, on a sudden, about to realize all her fondest

---

[8] In the comedy, slaves, who have grievously transgressed, are pardoned, if the confusion they have caused is satisfactorily cleared up. See the *Andria* and *Heautontimorumenos* of Terence; and the *Epidicus* and *Mostellaria* of Plautus.

wishes. Giving way to her meditations, she had gone with Chloris into the garden adjoining the house, and whilst the maid gathered into her lap a heap of odorous violets[9], Cleobule stood in tranquil reverie before a tree, and with

---

[9] Little is known of the state of the art of gardening among the Greeks, except that it must have been at a very low ebb, at least as regards the ornamental part. Böttiger groundlessly blames antiquarians and writers on the subject for making a jump from the gardens of Alcinoos and the Paradises of the Persian Satraps to the box-hedges of Pliny, without regarding the art of gardening among the Greeks. What can be said on the subject, when the ancients have left us almost entirely in the dark? The whole series of writers, down to the very latest Roman period, contain hardly a mention of gardens or gardening. Böttiger's treatise on the subject does not contain one word about real Greek gardening; he stops where he ought to begin to instruct. The reason for the neglect of this pleasing art by the Greeks is pretty apparent. Their flora was insignificant, and apart from the improvements of art it was not showy enough to stimulate the industry of the Greek, and who, moreover, evidently had but little sympathy for beauty of landscape. See Note 11 to Scene III. The groves of the gods were the only things of the kind, and these were composed in a great measure of fruit-trees. See Xenoph. *Anab.* v. 3, 12; Sophocl. *Œdip. Colon.* 16, sqq. Pausanias, however, (i. 21, 9,) speaking of a grove of Apollo at Athens, says: ἔνθα Ἀπόλλωνος κάλλιστον ἄλσος δένδρων καὶ ἡμέρων καὶ ὅσα τῶν ἀκάρπων ὁσμὴν παρέχεταί τινα ἢ θέας ἡδυνήν. Plato even speaks, though rather problematically, of works on horticulture, *Min.* p. 316: Τίνων οὖν ἐστι τὰ περὶ κήπων ἐργασίας συγγράμματα καὶ νόμιμα; If such existed, we may be sure that they treated rather of the operations of agriculture or the kitchen-garden, than of floriculture. The flowers most cultivated were those adapted for chaplets, as violets, roses, parsley, and so on; and in these perhaps there was a regular trade. Thus in Demosth. *in Nicostr.* p. 1251, a rose-plantation, ῥοδωνιὰν βλαστάνουσαν, is kept by a man whom we should hardly suspect of doing so for pleasure only. Excepting the κήπους εὐώδεις, Aristoph. *Aves*, 1066, there appears to be no other mention made of Greek flower-gardens during the better period. At a later time, under the Ptolemies, and especially at Alexandria, great progress appears to have been made; and the gardeners there studied particularly to have roses and other flowers all the year round, an object which the climate rendered easy of attainment. Callixen. ap. Athen. v. p. 196: ἡ γὰρ Αἴγυπτος τὴν τοῦ περιέχοντος ἀέρος εὐκρασίαν καὶ διὰ τοὺς κηπεύοντας τὰ σπανίως καὶ καθ' ὥραν ἐνεστηκυῖαν ἐν ἑτέροις φυόμενα τόποις ἄφθονα γεννᾷ καὶ διὰ παντός, καὶ οὔτε ῥόδου, οὔτε λευκοΐου, οὔτε ἄλλο ῥᾳδίως ἄνθος ἐκλιπεῖν οὐδὲν οὐδέποτ' εἴωθεν. But whether the art advanced in Greece itself cannot be determined; for the parks described by Longus, *Past.* iv. p. 108, and by Achill. Tat. i. 15, are only Asiatic παράδεισοι. See Plutarch, *Alcib.* 24; Xenoph. *Œcon.* 4, 21. The Grecian gardens were much simpler affairs, at least so they are represented by Longus, *Past.* ii. p. 36: Κῆπός ἐστί μοι τῶν ἐμῶν χειρῶν,...ὅσα ὧραι

the clasp of her chiton scratched letters in the young bark[10]. Suddenly she stopped: 'What was it you said yesterday, Chloris,' enquired she, 'that when our ears tingle somebody is thinking about us[11]?' 'Most certainly!' cried the maiden, starting up. 'But what are you about? Well I never,—if you aren't cutting your thoughts on the tree. "Handsome," stands here[12]—shall I go on? "is Charicles;" and below, "Handsome is Cleobule." Hold,' cried the sportive damsel, 'there is something toward. A

φέρουσι, πάντα ἔχων ἐν αὐτῷ καθ' ὥραν ἑκάστην. Ἥρος ῥόδα, κρίνα καὶ ὑάκινθος, καὶ ἴα ἀμφότερα· θέρους μήκωνες καὶ ἀχράδες, καὶ μῆλα πάντα· νῦν ἀμπελοι καὶ συκαῖ, καὶ ῥοιαί, καὶ μύρτα χλωρά. And Plutarch, *de cap. ex inim. util.* 10, says: ὥσπερ οἱ χαρίεντες γεωργοὶ τὰ ῥόδα καὶ τὰ ἴα βελτίω ποιεῖν νομίζουσι, σκόροδα καὶ κρόμμυα παραφυτεύοντες: which shews that the flowers were more grown for cutting than to ornament the garden; for the leeks and onions growing among roses and violets are scarcely compatible with æsthetical gardening. On this subject see *Gallus*, p. 362.

[10] The sentimental lovers' amusement of cutting each other's names in the bark of trees is mentioned at a period a little later than that here in question. See a fragment of Callimachus, preserved in the Schol. to Aristoph. *Acharn.* 144:

Ἀλλ' ἐνὶ δὴ φλοιοῖσι κεκομμένα τόσσα φορεῖτε
γράμματα, Κυδίππην ὅσσ' ἐρέουσι καλήν.

Theocr. xviii. 47:

Γράμματα δ' ἐν φλοιῷ γεγράψεται, ὡς παριών τις
ἀγγνοίῃ, Δωριστί· Σέβου μ', Ἐλένας φυτόν εἰμι.

So Lucian, *Amor.* 16, says of the youth who fell in love with the Venus of Praxiteles: πᾶς μαλακοῦ δένδρου φλοιὸς Ἀφροδίτην καλὴν ἐκήρυσσεν. Cf. *Anthol. Pal.* ix. 341; Aristæn. *Epist.* i. 10; Eustath. *ad Il.* vi. 169.

[11] Lucian, *Dial. Mer.* ix.: ἦ που, ὦ Παρμένων, ἐβόμβει τὰ ὦτα ὑμῖν; ἀεὶ γὰρ ἐμέμνητο ἡ κεκτημένη μετὰ δακρύων.

[12] It was very common to express the emotions of the heart by a καλός or καλή, written with the surname upon a wall or pillar. Schol. on Aristoph. *Vespæ*, 98: ἐπέγραφον δὲ οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι τὰ τῶν καλῶν ὀνόματα οὕτως· ὁ δεῖνα καλός. ἔγραφον δὲ καὶ ἐν τοίχοις καὶ ἐν θύραις καὶ ὅπου τύχῃ. See Suidas, s. v. ὁ δεῖνα καλός. Cf. also Plut. *Eryll.* 7; Böttiger, *Vasengemälde*, iii. p. 64; *Amalthea*, iii. p. 344. These προγράμματα were very numerous, and the walls and pillars of the market and Cerameicos served the purpose, to some extent, of a daily journal. And as in these, false announcements and accounts of marriages are inserted, so at Athens similar malicious reports were also promulgated. Lucian, *Dial. Mer.* iv.: ἔπεμψα οὖν Ἀκίδα κατασκεψομένην· ἡ δ' ἄλλο μὲν οὐδὲν εὗρε, τοῦτο δὲ μόνον ἐπιγεγραμμένον ἐσιόντων ἐπὶ τὰ δεξιὰ πρὸς τῷ Διπύλῳ, Μέλιττα φιλεῖ Ἑρμότιμον, καὶ μικρὸν αὖθις ὑποκάτω, Ὁ ναύκληρος Ἑρμότιμος φιλεῖ Μέλιτταν.

lucky omen! See how my right eye twitches[13].' She turned to the sun, and sneezed: 'Zeus our preserver[14]!' said she, 'or Aphrodite! But where can that Manto be?' she added impatiently. 'I haven't seen her the whole morning,' said Cleobule; 'where is she?' 'She has gone with the clothes to the wash[15],' was the ready subterfuge of the maid.

At this moment a slave hastened in with the message from Sophilos. Cleobule crimsoned. 'And who is the attendant?' queried Chloris hastily. 'The servant who came with the message assured me that he knew no more,' was the slave's answer. 'Suppose it were a stranger,' suggested Cleobule: 'Chloris, to-day you again gave me the chiton without sleeves and lappet. I can't possibly

---

[13] The involuntary twitching of the eyelids was held a favourable presage. Theocr. iii. 37: *ἄλλεται ὀφθαλμός μευ ὁ δεξιός· ἆρά γ' ἰδησῶ αὐτάν;*

Cf. Plaut. *Pseud.* i. 1, 105.

[14] From Hom. *Odyss.* xvii. 545, and numerous other passages, it is plain that an augury was taken from involuntary sneezing. Absurdly enough, it has even been supposed that the *δαιμόνιον* of Socrates consisted in this. Plutarch, *de Gen. Socr.* 11: *Μεγαρικοῦ τινος ἤκουσα, Τερψίωνος δὲ ἐκείνος, ὅτι τὸ Σωκράτους δαιμόνιον πταρμὸς ἦν ὅ τε παρ' αὐτοῦ καὶ ὁ παρ' ἄλλων.* The superstition was widely spread, and undoubtingly believed in. Thus Aristoph. *Aves*, 719: *πταρμὸν ὄρνιθα καλεῖτε.* Aristot. *Prob.* xxxiii. 7: *Διὰ τί τὸν μὲν πταρμὸν θεὸν ἡγούμεθα εἶναι;* So also, *prob.* 9, and *prob.* 11. Cf. *Anthol. Pal.* xi. 375; also Suid. and Hesych. s. v. *ξυμβόλους.* The importance attached to the omen is clearly seen from Xenoph. *Anab.* iii. 2, 9, where Xenophon asserts in the council: *σὺν τοῖς θεοῖς πολλαὶ ἡμῖν καὶ καλαὶ ἐλπίδες εἰσὶ σωτηρίας. Τοῦτο δὲ λέγοντος αὐτοῦ πτάρνυταί τις. ἀκούσαντες δὲ οἱ στρατιῶται, πάντες μιᾷ ὁρμῇ προσεκύνησαν τὸν θεόν. καὶ Ξενοφῶν εἶπε· Δοκεῖ μοι, ὦ ἄ., ἐπεὶ περὶ σωτηρίας ἡμῶν λεγόντων οἰωνὸς τοῦ Διὸς τοῦ Σωτῆρος ἐφάνη, εὔξασθαι τῷ θεῷ κ.τ.λ.* The usual form of address in such cases was, *Ζεῦ σῶσον.*

[15] Concerning the washing or scouring of clothes, see *Gallus*, p. 449. Among the Greeks as well as among the Romans it was done entirely away from home, and by people who made it their exclusive occupation. See Theophr. *Char.* 10; Machon, ap. Athen. xiii. p. 582. *Πλύνειν* was said of linen clothes, *κναφεύειν* or *γναφεύειν* of woollen ones. Eustath. *ad Od.* xxiv. 148: *τὸ δὲ πλύνειν, ὃ νῦν ἐπὶ λινέου φάρους ἐρρέθη, γναφεύειν ἢ κναφεύειν ἐπὶ τῶν ἐριωδῶν λέγεται.* See Mœr. Attic. p. 242; cf. Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 470; Plutarch, *de San. Tuend.* 20.

receive them as I am. Come, dress me directly.' Chloris followed her mistress to her chamber, and opened the capacious chest, containing her richest clothes, from which arose the sweet odour of the Median apples placed between the dresses[16]. 'What shall it be?' she enquired. 'A yellow byssos-chiton, or this one embroidered with flowers?' 'By no means,' said Cleobule; 'something simpler than those. Give me the new white diploïs, with the purple stripe down the sides, and the open sleeves. So: now, fasten the sleeves, and give me the girdle. Mind that the border of the lappet is level with the colpos.' The attendant had now finished dressing her mistress. 'We've no time for braiding your hair,' said she, 'and that coloured kerchief wrapped round it suits you exquisitely.' Cleobule took the mirror, and surveyed herself. 'Well, it will do,' was her decision; 'but put me on some other sandals. No, not those purple ones embroidered in gold; bring the white pair with the red strings.'

Scarcely had Chloris finished her labours, when Sophilos and a young gentleman were announced. 'Oh! if it were Charicles!' whispered the abigail into the ear of her blushing mistress. And he it was; and a scene followed, such as neither the chisel of the sculptor, nor the limner's pencil, no, nor the style of the poet, would be able to delineate[17]. 'Well I thought,' said Sophilos smiling, to Cleobule, 'that you liked him better than me; but don't let us tarry. The betrothing shall take place to-day, and in three days we'll celebrate the wedding[18].'

---

[16] Citrons or oranges, Περσικὰ ἢ Μηδικὰ μῆλα, were placed among the clothes, partly on account of the agreeable smell, partly for a protection against the moths. Theophr. *Hist. Pl.* iv. 3: κἂν εἰς ἱμάτια τεθῇ τὸ μῆλον, ἄκοπα διατηρεῖ.

[17] Charit. iii. 8: καὶ ὤφθη θέαμα κάλλιστον, οἷον οὔτε ζωγράφος ἔγραψεν, οὔτε πλάστης ἔπλασεν, οὔτε ποιητὴς ἱστορήσε μέχρι νῦν. Cf. Plaut. *Asin.* i. 3, 22.

[18] In the comedies the marriage often follows immediately after the betrothal. Plaut. *Trin.* v. 2, 64:

Numquid causæ est, quin uxorem cras domum ducam?

and in the *Aulularia* and *Curculio* the marriage takes place on the same day.

A Bronze from Herculaneum, *Mus. Borb.* ii. 4.

The girl is in the act of fastening the Chiton over the right shoulder, and we see how the lappet, διπλοΐδιον, is caused by this means. On the side where the seam is, joining the πτέρυγες, are two purple stripes.

SCENE THE TWELFTH.

THE WEDDING-DAY.

AND now for one glance at the occurrences of that day whereon Charicles was united to Cleobule. The proposal of Sophilos to hasten the marriage was not at all likely to embarrass a Grecian bride; on the contrary, a courtship lasting several months was a thing quite out of the usual way. All the requisite preparations had been long since made. In like manner as the royal damsel Nausicaa, at the warning of Athena, provided the bridal clothes for herself and her attendants before a husband had been chosen for her[1]; so every Grecian house had always a superfluity of such bravery; and how much more therefore one in which plenty and abundance reigned? As it was, however, what with the ceremony of the affiancing as appointed by law, and the customary sacrifices, both parties found enough to occupy them during the few intervening days. Charicles, in compliance with his father's invitation, had for the present, taken up his quarters at his house, in which the women's apartments had been hastily cleaned up, and furnished with everything necessary for the proper reception and convenience of the bride. The wreaths of fresh spring-flowers, ornamenting the door in rich festoons, proclaimed to the passer-by the festal day: while inside the mansion, cooks and slaves were busily making ready for the marriage-feast, which was to be celebrated by a numerous assemblage of the relations and friends of either party. Even Phorion himself, departing from his usual custom, had agreed to be present; and Pasias too, who had already promised Ctesiphon his daughter in marriage, was among those invited.

---

[1] *Odyss.* vi. 27:

σοὶ δὲ γάμος σχεδόν ἐστιν, ἵνα χρὴ καλὰ μὲν αὐτὴν
ἔννυσθαι, τα δὲ τοῖσι παρασχεῖν, οἵ κέ σ' ἀγωνται.

In the chamber of Charicles, Manes had arranged the festal garments designed for the occasion. These consisted of a soft chiton of fine Milesian wool, with a himation of dazzling whiteness, which had been purposely chosen without the usual purple border. Beside it stood the elegant half-shoes, their crimson thongs fastened with clasps of gold. Chaplets of myrtle-twigs, with violets interwoven, lay also ready; and Sophilos had added two silver *alabastra* filled with costly unguents, in case Charicles might like to make use of them on so special an occasion. The bridegroom himself was still at the bath, with Ctesiphon, previous to going with his friend to fetch away the bride: for who else could he have preferred for bridegroom's man[2]?

The household of Cleobule was not a whit less busy. The sun had sunk half-way from the meridian, yet the bridal-array was still unfinished. Cleobule sat upon a settle in her apartment, which was filled with perfume, and held the silver disc of the mirror in her hand; while Chloris sedulously arranged her hair, and the mother inserted the pearl-drops in the ears of her daughter. 'Do make haste,' she cried impatiently to the maid; 'how intolerably slow you are to-day, and it will be evening anon.

---

[2] The παράνυμφος, or πάροχος, was certainly a youthful friend of the νυμφίος. The passages cited in the Excursus on *The Women*, though they do not distinctly say so, still imply it; moreover, Hesychius explains ἑταῖρος and παράνυμφος as synonymous.

[3] The various methods of measuring the day employed by the ancients have been very fully discussed in *Gallus*, pp. 315—321; but the Greek method was not precisely the same as that employed at Rome; at least the former nation retained a very ancient method which never perhaps obtained footing among the Romans. According to Herod. ii. 109, (on the meaning of the words πόλος and γνώμων see Bähr's note,) the Greeks themselves did not invent the gnomon, having derived it from Babylon: πόλον μὲν γὰρ καὶ γνώμονα, καὶ τὰ δυώδεκα μέρεα τῆς ἡμέρης παρὰ Βαβυλωνίων ἔμαθον οἱ Ἕλληνες. But, according to other accounts, Anaximander was the inventor. See Suidas, s. v. γνώμων. Also Diog. Laert. ii. 1: εὗρε δὲ καὶ γνώμονα πρῶτος καὶ ἔστησεν ἐπὶ τῶν σκιοθήρων ἐν Λακεδαίμονι. The γνώμων, or στοιχεῖον, as it was also

Go, Menodora,' she said to another slave, 'go and measure the shadow on the sun-dial[3] in the garden.' 'We

---

called, was, unquestionably, the most ancient means of measuring the day. It consisted of a perpendicular staff or pillar, the length of whose shadow was measured in feet. An untenable hypothesis was started by Salmasius, that the observer measured his own shadow with his feet, and this has been recently revived by Ideler. The whole is a mistake, arising from a misconception of Hesychius, s. v. *ἑπτάπους σκιά*; and of Phot. *Lex.* p. 539. The gnomon is seldom mentioned except in reference to the hour of supper or of the bath: for the first, a shadow ten or twelve feet long is assigned, Aristoph. *Eccles.* 652:

σοὶ δὲ μελήσει
ὅταν ᾖ δεκάπουν τὸ στοιχεῖον λιπαρὸν χωρεῖν
ἐπὶ δεῖπνον·

on which the Scholiast remarks: *ἡ τοῦ ἡλίου σκιὰ ὅταν ᾖ δέκα πηχῶν. θέλει οὖν εἰπεῖν, ὅτε γίνεται ὀψέ.* Menander ap. Athen. vi. p. 243; Poll. vi. 44. See also Suidas, and Hesych: *Δωδεκάπους· οὕτως ἔλεγον ἐλλειπτικῶς, στοιχείου ἢ σκιᾶς. οὕτω γὰρ συνετίθευτο ἐπὶ δεῖπνον ἥξειν τοῦ στοιχείου ὄντος δωδεκάποδος, ὡς νῦν πρὸς ὥρας φασί.* It seems probable therefore that the gnomon was usually so constructed as to throw a shadow of about twelve feet shortly before sunset, for this was the time at which the *δεῖπνον* usually took place. A fragment of Eubulos ap. Athen. i. p. 8, throws some light on this question:

ὃν φασι παρακληθέντ' ἐπὶ δεῖπνον πρὸς φίλου τινὸς,
εἰπόντος αὐτῷ τοῦ φίλου, ὁπηνίκ' ἂν
εἰκοσι ποδῶν μετροῦντι τὸ στοιχεῖον ᾖ,
ἥκειν, ἔωθεν αὐτὸν εὐθὺς ἡλίου
μετρεῖν ἀνέχοντος· μακροτέρας δ' οὔσης ἔτι
πλεῖν ἢ δυοῖν ποδοῖν παρεῖναι τῆς σκιᾶς·
ἔπειτα φα'ναι μικρὸν ὀψιαίτερον
δι' ἀσχολίαν ἥκειν παρόνθ' ἅμ' ἡμέρᾳ.

Here the gnomon evidently throws a shadow of twice the length, *i. e.* of twenty-four feet, and the supper hour corresponds to a twenty-foot, instead of a ten-foot shadow, as before. An accurate division of the day into twelve equal hours would of course be unattainable by a method of measurement such as that just described; no regard being paid to the varying declination of the sun throughout the year. The differences owing to this source would, however, be of less magnitude in the latitude of Greece than in that of England, and were probably disregarded, dinner being served, *ὅταν ᾖ δεκάπουν τὸ στοιχεῖον.* For the hour of bathing, a six-foot shadow is spoken of, at least in the later period. Lucian, *Cronos.* 17: *Λούεσθαι μὲν, ὑπόταν τὸ στοιχεῖον ἑξάπουν ᾖ.* Cf. *Somn. seu Gallus,* 9. We must remark, that the word *γνώμων* was afterwards applied to every *ὡρολόγιον*, and even to the *κλεψύδρα.* See Athen. ii. p. 42. The *πόλος*, or proper sun-dial, also called *σκιαθήρας* or *ἡλιοτρόπιον*, is not often alluded to; though, setting aside the allusion of Herodotus, it appears from Poll. ix. 46, that in the time of Aristophanes it was used, and not the mere gnomon only, as Ideler, *Lehrb. d. Chronol.* i. p. 98, would persuade us. Pollux says, *τὸ δὲ καλούμενον ὡρολόγιον ἦπου πόλον ἄν τις εἴποι, φήσαντος Ἀριστοφάνους ἐν Γηρυτάδῃ "πόλος τοῦτ' ἔστιν· ἑκατοστὴν ἡλιος τέτραπται;"* The *πόλος* was like a basin, *λεκανὶς*, in the centre of which stood the vertical staff (*γνώμων*), and on it the *δώδεκα μέρη* of the day were marked with lines. Poll. vi. 110; and Alciphr. *Epist.* iii.

have the clepsydra[4] here,' interposed Chloris; 'see how much water there is left in it; it will run off once more before sunset.' 'I am sure she's wrong,' said Cleobule;

4: Ὁ γνώμων οὔπω σκιάζει τὴν ἕκτην. ... εἰ γὰρ καὶ ὅλην καταβαλοῦμεν τὴν κίονα τὴν τὸ πικρὸν τοῦτο ὡρολόγιον ἀνέχουσαν, ἢ τὸν γνώμονα τρέψομεν ἐκεῖσε νεύειν, οὗ ταχίον δυνήσεται τὰς ὥρας ἀποσημαίνειν, ἔσται τὸ βούλευμα Παλαμήδειον. Suid.: γνώμων· τὸ ἐν τοῖς ἡλιοτροπίοις πηγνύμενον, ὅπερ ἐφεῦρεν Ἀναξίμανδρος καὶ ἔστησεν ἐπὶ τῶν σκιοθήρων. Cf. Lucian, *Lexiph.* 4.

[4] The κλεψύδρα as little deserves the appellation of clock as the gnomon does. The use of the clepsydra in courts of law is mentioned by Aristophanes, (*Acharn.* 692; *Vesp.* 93, 857,) as such a matter of course, that we conclude that in his time it was no novelty. We have the fullest information as to its form and structure from Aristot. *Probl.* xvi. 8, though there is still some doubt as to its size and time of running out. It was a hollow ball, perhaps flattened a little at the top, whence from its likeness to a poppy-head the ball was called κώδεια, or κωδία. For the introduction of the water, there was at the top an opening, which was extended into a short neck, αὐλός, which might be closed by a stopper, (πῶμα, πωμάζειν,) to stop the water from flowing out, ἐπιλαβεῖν τὸ ὕδωρ. On the nether side, opposite to this neck, were several small orifices, τρυπήματα, forming a kind of colander or filter, through which the water slowly trickled out. Aristotle is describing various hydrostatic and pneumatic experiments which may be tried with the clepsydra, and his description is such as to leave no doubt as to its construction. It is plain, however, that he had not a transparent ball in view; and we have no authority for supposing that at that period glass vessels could be constructed of the size which the clepsydræ ordinarily were. Indeed, a fragment of Bato, ap. Athen. iv. p. 163, precludes the notion of the clepsydra being transparent:

ἔπειθ' ἕωθεν περιάγεις τὴν λήκυθον
καταμαινάνων τοὔλαιον, ὥστε περιφέρειν
ὡρολόγιον δόξει τις, οὐχὶ λήκυθον.

Smaller ones of glass there may have been, and, at a later period, were common. Experience however taught that this instrument could not be relied on, the rapidity with which the water flowed out being influenced by the temperature. Athen. ii. p. 42: συστέλλει δὲ αὐτὸ (τὸ ὕδωρ) καὶ πυκνοῖ μᾶλλον τὸ ψῦχος. διὸ καὶ ἐν τοῖς γνώμοσι ῥέον οὐκ ἀναδίδωσι τὰς ὥρας ἐν τῷ χειμῶνι, ἀλλὰ περιττεύει, βραδυτέρας οὔσης τῆς ἐκροῆς διὰ τὸ πάχος. According to Æneas Tact. 22, this inequality could be remedied by partially stopping with wax. The Greeks were acquainted with the division of the twelve natural hours of the day, but no hint is ever given of reckoning by hours; so that in Scene I. p. 4, instead of the fourth hour, it would be better perhaps to say, the time of full market.

Whether the clepsydra was often used in private life, as well as in courts of justice, is doubtful; it would seem probable that it was. A clepsydra belonging to Plato, which served for the whole night, is described by Aristocles, ap. Athen. iv. p. 174: λέγεται δὲ Πλάτωνα μικράν τινα ἔννοιαν δοῦναι τοῦ κατασκευάσματος

'it must be later.' Menodora, however, returned with the assurance that the shadow was only eight feet long, and it therefore wanted some time yet to evening.

At last Chloris had drawn the bandeau through Cleobule's luxuriant locks, and had fastened the bridal veil[5] on her head with a golden tiring-pin; and Menodora twined the white thongs of the embroidered sandals round the feet of her mistress. Her mother then opened an ivory casket, and took out of it a broad necklace of gold, richly set with precious stones, and the serpent-shaped armlets, which completed her attire. Cleobule took the mirror once again, and surveyed herself in it: the clothes-chests were then locked, and she awaited with maidenly timidity the arrival of the escort that was to conduct her away, though her sensations were far from those of her former wedding-day.

The water-clock had emptied itself a second time, the sun had completed his course, and the rooms of the house grew duskier apace, when the carriage destined to carry home the bride, drawn by stately mules and surrounded by a numerous band of attendants, drove up to the door, which was profusely hung with garlands.

The bridegroom and his man, accompanied by the happy father of the former, now entered and received the bride from the hands of her mother, in order to escort her to the carriage, in which Charicles and Ctesiphon took their seats, one on each side of the veiled fair. The mother kindled the marriage-torch, the attendants follow-

---

νυκτερινὸν ποιήσαντα ὡρολόγιον ἐοικὸς τῷ ὑδραυλικῷ, οἷον κλεψύδραν μεγάλην λίαν. See also Athen. xiii. p. 567, where another instance of its domestic use occurs.

[5] Numerous as are the extant accounts of marriage solemnities, still they do not suffice for the construction of a connected and detailed description of the ceremony. It is not known whether, when a widow was re-married, the same formalities were observed as at the first marriage; for instance, the festive escort to her abode, the veiling, the procession with torches, the *Anacalypteria*, and so on. Some of these ceremonies were probably omitted; but the excuse for their introduction in this place, must be, that the first marriage might be considered to have hardly taken place at all.

ing her example, and thus the procession moved off amid the music of the flutes and the merry song of Hymenæos to the house of Sophilos, where the pair were received on entering, according to an ancient custom, with a symbolical rain of sweet-meats and small coins. They then proceeded at once to the hall, which was brilliantly lighted up for the festival; the couches of the males being arranged on one side of it, and on the other the seats of the females.

After the bride-cakes had been partaken of, as midnight began to approach, Cleobule's mother accompanied the pair to the quiet thalamos: again the loud burden of Hymenæos re-echoed before its closed doors, and never perhaps had the god hovered more delightedly over a bridal-chamber.

APPENDIX.

EXCURSUS TO SCENE I.

EDUCATION.

IN attempting to combine a multitude of scattered allusions into a connected account of education among the Greeks, it will be desirable to investigate not only their system of intellectual culture and training in the higher sense of the word, but also to consider the corporeal nurture, the first occupations of the children, their general habits and behaviour, their toys, the ballads and fairy tales of the nurses and attendants, with all the minutiæ of the nursery. Such petty domestic traits are quite as deserving of attention as the instruction conveyed in the public gymnasia, and the schools of the Grammarians.

In this sense the *παιδεία* commences with the hour of birth; it is the training and bringing up till the moment when the youth became an independent burgher, and under the immediate control of the law. Plato, *Leg.* ii. p. 659. Cf. Heliod. *Æthiop.* i. 13.

Throughout Greece, except in Sparta, (Plutarch, *Lycurg.* 16,) the new-born babe was wrapped in *σπάργανα*, immediately after the first bath. So Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 789: *μέχρι ἐνοῖν ἐτοῖν τὸ γενόμενον σπαργανᾶν.* Whether these *σπάργανα* were mere swaddling-clothes is not quite clear. See Aristot. *de Republ.* vii. 17: *πρὸς δὲ τὸ μὴ διαστρέφεσθαι τὰ μέλη (τῶν παιδίων) δι' ἁπαλότητα χρῶνται καὶ νῦν ἔνια τῶν ἐθνῶν ὀργάνοις τισὶ μηχανικοῖς, ἃ τὸ σῶμα ποιεῖ τῶν τοιούτων ἀστραβές.* On the fifth day, according to Suidas, the first festival in honour of the family-event was held, the *ἀμφιδρόμια*, or *δρομιάμφιον ἦμαρ*, as it is called by Hesychius, who places it on the seventh day: *ἔστι δὲ ἡμερῶν ἑπτὰ ἀπὸ τῆς γεννήσεως, ἐν ᾗ τὸ βρέφος βαστάζοντες περὶ τὴν ἑστίαν γυμνοὶ τρέχουσι.* The midwife, or some of the women present at the birth, carried the babe round the hearth of the house; hence the name. Plato, *Theæt.* p. 160: *μετὰ δὲ τὸν τόκον τὰ ἀμφιδρόμια αὐτοῦ ὡς ἀληθῶς ἐν κύκλῳ περιθρεκτέον τῷ λόγῳ.* The house-door was ornamented with garlands, and a feast was given, at which cabbage, *ῥάφανος*, was a standing

dish, as appears from a fragment of Ephippus preserved by Athenæus, ix. p. 370:

ἔπειτα πῶς
οὐ στέφανος οὐδείς ἐστι πρόσθε τῶν θυρῶν,
οὐ κνῖσα κρούει ῥινὸς ὑπεροχὰς ἄκρας,
Ἀμφιδρομίων ὄντων; ἐν οἷς νομίζεται
ὀπτᾶν τε τυροῦ Χερρονησίτου τόμους,
ἕψειν τ' ἐλαίῳ ῥάφανον ἠγλαϊσμένην.

The account of Suidas is as follows: Ἀμφιδρόμια· ἦν πέμπτην ἄγουσιν ἐπὶ τοῖς βρέφεσιν. ἐν ᾗ ἀποκαθαίρονται τὰς χεῖρας αἱ συναψάμεναι τῆς μαιώσεως. τὸ βρέφος περιφέρουσι τὴν ἑστίαν τρέχοντες καὶ δῶρα πέμπουσιν οἱ προσήκοντες ὡς ἐπὶ τὸ πλεῖστον πολύποδας καὶ σηπίας. It would almost appear from Plato that the father did not declare, until this ceremony, whether he would rear the child; for on him it depended whether the infant should be brought up or exposed; a barbarity which was actually authorised by law. See Petit. *Leg. Att.* p. 144. Thebes, however, was an honourable exception to this rule. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* ii. 7: (νόμος) ὅτι οὐκ ἔξεστιν ἀνδρὶ Θηβαίῳ ἐκθεῖναι παιδίον, οὐδ' εἰς ἐρημίαν αὐτὸ ῥῖψαι, θάνατον αὐτοῦ καταψηφισάμενος. The offspring of paupers, εἰς ἔσχατα πενήτων, were brought up at the public expense; which was a more humane regulation than the proposition of Aristotle, who repudiates ἀπόθεσις, but recommends ἄμβλωσις as a check to overpopulation! *De Republ.* vii. 16, p. 1335: πρὶν αἴσθησιν ἐγγενέσθαι καὶ ζωὴν ἐμποιεῖσθαι δεῖ τὴν ἄμβλωσιν. Still, exposure was not so frequent in regular marriage as has been usually supposed; at least this unhappy fate fell mostly upon female children, who could even be condemned outright to death at the father's pleasure. So says Chremes, Terent. *Heaut.* iv. 1, 21:

si meum
Imperium exsequi voluisses, interemptam oportuit.

Children were generally exposed, to escape the trouble of rearing them, or to avoid too great a subdivision of the inheritance. Longus, *Pastor.* iv. p. 126: Ἄλλοι πατέρες ἐξέθηκαν τοῦτο τὸ παιδίον, ἴσως παιδίων πρεσβυτέρων ἄλις ἔχοντες. Cf. Terent. *Adelph.* v. 3, 23. Illegitimate children were most frequently exposed; and many a childless wife would profit by this opportunity to obtain an infant, and pass it off as her own. Dio

Chrysost. *Orat.* xv. p. 447: ἐπίσταμαι γὰρ, ὅτι αἱ μὲν ἐλεύθεραι γυναῖκες ὑποβάλλονται πολλάκις δι' ἀπαιδίαν, ὅταν μὴ δύνωνται αὐταὶ κυῆσαι. This is well illustrated by the words of Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 563: ἡ μὲν γὰρ ἀπέδοτο εὐθὺς γενόμενον, ἡ δ' ἐξὸν αὐτῇ βελτίω πρίασθαι τῆς ἴσης τιμῆς, τοῦτον ἠγόρασε. Cf. Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 160: Κηφισόδωρον τὸν τοῦ Μόλωνος καλούμενον: such children were called σκότιοι. Eustath. *ad Il.* vii. 24. So Eurip. *Troad.* 256: λέκτρων σκότια νυμφευτήρια. Thus Œdipus is called πλαστὸς by Sophocles, *Œd. Tyr.* 780.

The grand festival was the δεκάτη, celebrated on the tenth day, when the relations and friends were invited to a sacrifice and banquet (δεκάτην θύειν, and ἑστιᾶν); and this ceremony was held as a legal proof that the child was recognised as γνήσιος by its father. Isæus, *de Pyrrhi Hered.* p. 60: ἔτι δὲ καὶ ἐν τῇ δεκάτῃ ταύτης κληθέντες συνεστιᾶσθαι (φάσκοντες). See Demosth. *adv. Boot. ὀνομ.* p. 1001; also Aristoph. *Aves*, 493; Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 784. On this occasion presents were made to the child by the father and mother, the relatives, and even by the slaves, and then also the infant received its name. Aristoph. *Aves*, 922. But according to Aristotle, *Hist. An.* viii. 11, this took place also on the seventh day: τὰ πλεῖστα δ' ἀναιρεῖται πρὸ τῆς ἑβδόμης, διὸ καὶ τὰ ὀνόματα τότε τίθενται: and sometimes perhaps even at the Amphidromia, if we are to believe Hesychius, and the Scholiast on the *Theætetus* of Plato; Suidas, however, expressly fixes it on the tenth day: τῇ δεκάτῃ δὲ τοὔνομα τίθενται. The father mostly chose the name, though it could not have been unusual for the mother to do so, as we see from Eurip. *Phœniss.* 57, where Jocasta says:

τὴν μὲν Ἰσμήνην πατὴρ
ὠνόμασε. τὴν δὲ πρόσθεν Ἀντιγόνην ἐγώ.

Sometimes the parents fell out on this point; see the complaint of Strepsiades, the Aristophanic George Dandin; *Nub.* 60:

Μετὰ ταῦθ', ὅπως νῷν ἐγένεθ' υἱὸς οὑτοσί,
ἐμοί τε δὴ καὶ τῇ γυναικί, τῇ 'γαθῇ,
περὶ τοὐνόματος δὴ ταῦτ' ἐλοιδορούμεθα.

Strepsiades wished to name the boy Φειδωνίδης, after his grandfather, as was most usual. Cf. Eustath. *ad Il.* v. 546: Ἰστέον δὲ καὶ ὅτι παλαίτατον ἔθος ἦν, τοὺς ἐγγόνους καλεῖσθαι τοῖς τῶν πάππων ὀνόμασιν. This was particularly the case with the eldest

son, as appears from Demosth. *adv. Bœot. ὀνόμ.* p. 1002: *ἀξιοῖ δ' αὐτὸς, ὡς ἐὴ πρεσβύτερος ὤν, τοὔνομ' ἔχειν τὸ τοῦ πρὸς πατρὸς πάππου.* See also Plutarch, *Cimon.* 4. But the son was often called after his father; as were Demosthenes and Demades; or the name was slightly changed; thus we have *Ναυσίφιλος Ναυσινίκου*, and *Καλλίστρατος Καλλικράτους*. So also brothers' names sometimes varied but slightly, as Diodotos and Diogeiton; Lysias, *in Diogit.* Lastly, we meet with regular patronymics, as *Φωκίων Φώκου.*

We will now digress for a moment to the surnames. The Greeks had no family or clan names, as is well known; a single appellation serving for an individual. But as many persons might bear this name, to avoid confusion, the father's name was appended, and this was called *πατρόθεν ὀνομάζεσθαι.* Xenoph. *Œcon.* 7, 3. Cf. Pausan. vii. 7, 4: *ἐπεὶ καλοῦνταί γε οὐ πατρόθεν οἱ Ῥωμαῖοι κατὰ ταὐτὰ Ἕλλησιν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τρία, ὁπότε ἢ ὀλίγιστα, καὶ ἔτι πλείονα ὀνόματα ἑκάστῳ τίθενται.* Attic wit had also abundant recourse to nick-names, derived either from some personal peculiarity, or owing to accidental circumstances. Thus Demosthenes was called *Βάταλος*, even from childhood. Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 139; cf. Demosth. *de Cor.* p. 288. So the poet and orator Dionysios was called *Χαλκοῦς*. Eustath. *ad Il.* xxi. 393: *ὃς χαλκοῦς ἐκλήθη διὰ τὸ συμβουλεῦσαι Ἀθηναίοις χαλκῷ νομίσματι χρήσασθαι.* Aristophanes mentions a number of names of birds used as nick-names; *Aves*, 1291:

> *Πέρδιξ μὲν εἷς κάπηλος ὠνομάζετο*
> *χωλός. Μενίππῳ δ' ἦν Χελιδὼν τοὔνομα·*
> *Ὀπουντίῳ δ' ὀφθαλμὸν οὐκ ἔχων Κόραξ·*
> *Κόρυδος Φίλοκλέει· Χηναλώπηξ Θεαγένει·*
> *Ἶβις Λυκούργῳ· Χαιρεφῶντι Νυκτερίς, κ.τ.λ.*

So the frosty tragic poet Theognis was yclept *Χίων*: *Acharn.* 138; *Thesmoph.* 170; and how universal the habit was among the Athenians appears from a fragment of Anaxandrides, ap. Athen. vi. p. 242:

> *Ὑμεῖς γὰρ ἀλλήλους ἀεὶ χλευάζετ', οἶδ' ἀκριβῶς.*
> *ἂν μὲν γὰρ ᾖ τις εὐπρεπὴς, Ἱερὸν γάμον καλεῖτε,*
> *ἐὰν δὲ μικρὸν παντελῶς ἀνθρώπιον, Σταλαγμόν, κ.τ.λ.*

See also the Excursus on *The Hetaræ*. But to return to the nursery, and the first treatment of children.

As regards the *τροφή*, Plutarch says, *de Educ. Puer.* 5, that mothers should suckle their own children: *δεῖ δὲ αὐτὰς τὰς μητέρας τὰ τέκνα τρέφειν καὶ τούτοις ὑπέχειν τοὺς μαστούς.* This rule, however, was seldom observed by the wealthy classes, and wet-nurses were in general requisition. But the *τίτθη* or *τιτθή* (Eustath. *ad Iliad*, vii. 329—*τιθήνη* means the attendant merely,) was frequently not a slave, but one of the poor *ἀσταί*, who gave her services for hire. Demosth. *adv. Eubulid.* p. 1309: *καὶ γὰρ νῦν ἀστὰς γυναῖκας πολλὰς εὑρήσετε τιτθευούσας.* Spartan nurses, who were in great repute for their skill in managing children, were sometimes bought, as for Alcibiades. Plutarch, *Lyc.* 16: *ἦν δὲ περὶ τὰς τροφοὺς ἐπιμέλειά τις μετὰ τέχνης, ὥστ' ἄνευ σπαργάνων ἐκτρεφούσας τὰ βρέφη ... διὸ καὶ τῶν ἔξωθεν ἔνιοι τοῖς τέκνοις Λακωνικὰς ἐωνοῦντο τιτθάς. καὶ τήν γε τὸν Ἀθηναῖον Ἀλκιβιάδην τιτθεύσασαν Ἀμύκλαν ἱστοροῦσι γεγονέναι Λάκαιναν.* Plutarch (*de Educ.* 5,) requires for the purpose, *τοῖς ἤθεσιν Ἑλληνίδας.* Besides being suckled, the children were also fed with honey. See Böckh, ad Pind. *Olymp.* vi. When they could take more substantial nourishment, the *τίτθη* first chewed the food, and then gave it to the infant, *μασωμένη ἐσίτιζεν.* Theophr. *Char.* 20: *τὸ παιδίον τῆς τίτθης ἀφελόμενος μασώμενος σιτίζειν αὐτός.* See Aristoph. *Equites*, 717. This was also called *ψωμίζειν. Lysistr.* 19. An absurd story of some one who retained this habit during his whole life for convenience sake is related by Athenæus, xii. p. 530: *Σάγαριν τὸν Μαριανδυνὸν ὑπὸ τρυφῆς σιτεῖσθαι μὲν μέχρι γήρως ἐκ τοῦ τῆς τίτθης στόματος, ἵνα μὴ μασώμενος πονήσειεν.*

Cradles are first mentioned by Plutarch, Fragm. *in Hesiod.* 45: *Ὁ δὲ Πλούταρχός φησιν, ὅτι μὴ δεῖ τὰ νεογνὰ ἀκίνητα ἐᾶν καὶ ἀποτίθεσθαι ἐν ἀκινήτοις...οἷά τισιν εὐκίνητα κλινίδια μεμηχάνηται πρὸς τὴν τῶν παιδίων εὐνήν.* Plato knew nothing of them, or he would certainly have mentioned them, *Leg.* vii. p. 789. The *σκάφη* is often mentioned, it is true; cf. Aristot. *Poet.* 16; but though used for a similar purpose, we can in no passage suppose a regular cradle to be meant. See Theocr. *Id.* xxiv. 10. Doubtless mothers and nurses went about dandling the baby in their arms, and singing the while. See Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 790: *ἡνίκα γὰρ ἄν που βουληθῶσι κατακοιμίζειν τὰ δυσπνοοῦντα τῶν παιδίων αἱ μητέρες, οὐχ ἡσυχίαν αὐτοῖς προσφέρουσιν, ἀλλὰ τοὐ-*

ναντίον κίνησιν, ἐν ταῖς ἀγκάλαις ἀεὶ σείουσαι· καὶ οὐ σιγὴν, ἀλλά τινα μελῳδίαν. See Aristot. *Probl.* xix. 38. These lullabies were called βαυκαλήματα, or καταβαυκαλήσεις. Athen. xiv. p. 618: αἱ δὲ τῶν τιτθευουσῶν ᾠδαὶ καταβαυκαλήσεις ὀνομάζονται. See also Theocr. *Id.* xxiv. 6, where Alcmene is hushing her twins to sleep:

Ἀπτομένα δὲ γυνὰ κεφαλᾶς μυθήσατο παίδων·
εὕδετ' ἐμὰ βρέφεα γλυκερὸν καὶ ἐγέρσιμον ὕπνον·
εὕδετ' ἐμὰ ψυχά, δύ' ἀδελφεώ, εὖσοα τέκνα·
ὄλβιοι εὐνάζοισθε, καὶ ὄλβιοι ἀῶ ἵκοισθε.

Cf. Aristoph. *Nubes*, 1383; *Lysistr.* 1410; Lysias, *de cæde Eratosth.* pp. 10—15.

Children were not encouraged to walk very early. The distinction drawn by Eustathius, *ad Il.* ix. 518: παιδίον τὸ τρεφόμενον ὑπὸ τηθῆς, παιδάριον τὸ περιπατοῦν καὶ ἤδη λέξεως ἀντιλαμβανόμενον, is doubtful, though Pollux, ii. 9, says that παιδάριον was the after-appellation of the two. According to Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 794, the boys remained under the hands of the mother and the nurses till their sixth year, and up to that time were educated along with the girls.

The baubles, *crepundia*, given to children, have been discussed in *Gallus*, p. 183. Sometimes these were suspended from the neck, and are so represented in antiques, hence they were called δέραια or περιδέραια. Eurip. *Ion*, 1430; Aristot. *Poet.* 16. Children who were to be exposed were provided with them, by way of γνωρίσματα. Dio Chrys. *Or.* iv. p. 150: καθάπερ τὰ δέραια τοῖς ἐκτιθεμένοις παιδίοις, ἵνα μὴ ἀγνοῆται. Also Alciphr. *Epist.* iii. 63: τοῦτο (τὸ παιδίον) μετὰ τῶν σπαργάνων, δέραιά τινα καὶ γνωρίσματα περιθεῖσαι, ἔδωκαν. Other things were also given to them. See Longus, *Past.* i. pp. 6, 8; Heliod. *Æthiop.* ii. 31; Aristænet. *Epist.* i. 1. Such playthings were mostly of metal, hence the Roman name, *crepundia*. The Greeks had also regular child's-rattles, πλαταγαὶ, of which Archytas is named as the inventor, Aristot. *de Republ.* viii. 6; and a go-cart, ἁμαξίς, is mentioned, Aristoph. *Nubes*, 861:

κἀγώ τοί ποτε
ὗν πρῶτον ὀβολὸν ἔλαβον Ἡλιαστικὸν,
ὅτ' ἐπριάμην σοι Διασίοις ἁμαξίδα.

Cf. Poll. x. 168. Pausanias, v. 20, 1, mentions among the curiosities in the temple of Juno at Olympia, a small bed ornamented

with ivory, said to have been a plaything, *παίγνιον*, of Hippodamia's. Children would sometimes try their hand at constructing similar nick-nacks; Aristoph. *Nubes*, 878:

> *εὐθύς γέ τοι παιδάριον ὢν τυννουτονὶ*
> *ἔπλαττεν ἔνδον οἰκίας, ναῦς δ' ἔγλυφεν,*
> *ἁμαξίδας τε σκυτίνας εἰργάζετο,*
> *κἀκ τῶν σιδίων βατράχους ἐποίει.*

See also Lucian's account of himself, *Somn.* 2: *ἀποξέων ἂν τὸν κηρὸν ἢ βόας, ἢ ἵππους, ἢ καὶ νὴ Δί' ἀνθρώπους ἀνέπλαττον.* Cf. Suidas, s. v. *φορμίς*. Dolls, *κόραι*, were usual playthings, and the *κοροπλάθοι*, or *κοροπλάσται*, had always a supply on sale in the market; they were however different from those in use now, being made of clay and painted. Cf. Plato, *Theæt.* p. 146: *πηλὸς ὁ τῶν κοροπλάθων.* Demosth. *Phil.* i. p. 47: *ὥσπερ γὰρ οἱ πλάττοντες τοὺς πηλίνους, εἰς τὴν ἀγορὰν χειροτονεῖτε τοὺς ταξιάρχους καὶ τοὺς φυλάρχους, οὐκ ἐπὶ τὸν πολεμόν.* Lucian. *Prom. in Verb.* 2: *καὶ τὸ μὲν ὅλον ἐν πηλῷ ἡ πλαστικὴ κατὰ ταὐτὰ τοῖς κοροπλάθοις.* *Lexiphan.* 22: *ὡς νῦν γε ἐλελήθεις σαυτὸν τοῖς ὑπὸ τῶν κοροπλάθων εἰς τὴν ἀγορὰν πλαττομένοις ἐοικώς, κεχρωσμένος μὲν τῇ μίλτῳ καὶ τῷ κυανῷ, τὸ δ' ἔνδυθεν πήλινός τε καὶ εὔθρυπτος ὤν.* Böttiger, in his *Sabina*, confounds *κοροπλάσται* with *κηροπλάσται*; having followed Ruhnken without independent investigation. Wax, it is true, is mentioned, but only by late writers; Timæus and Suidas say, *κηρῷ ἢ γύψῳ*, and Harpocration has: *κοροπλάθους λέγουσι τοὺς ἐκ πηλοῦ τινος, ἢ κηροῦ, ἢ τοιαύτης ὕλης πλάττοντας κόρας ἢ κούρους*: but these are the only writers who say a word about wax in the manufacture of these dolls; all speaking only of *πηλός*. The very passage in Pollux, (x. 189,) descriptive of this art, has been wrongly interpreted by Böttiger; the *πλασθέντα κήρινα* there mentioned are merely the cores for the moulds, over which the *πηλὸς* was laid, and this wax was afterwards melted out, in order to preserve the hollow form, *λίγδος*, or *ἡμίλιγδος*. From the above passages we learn that these clay-figures were not merely children's dolls (also called *νύμφαι*), but images of all sorts; and indeed the words of Demosthenes will be devoid of sense unless we understand figures of warriors, generals, and the like. Mythological subjects were also common, such as Marsyas bound to the tree; Achill. Tat. iii. 15: *οἷον ποιοῦσιν οἱ κοροπλάθοι τὸν Μαρσύαν ἐκ τοῦ φυτοῦ*

δεδεμένον. There were other amusements, as the hoop, τροχός, the top, ῥόμβος, στρόβιλος, not to mention the cockchafer fastened by a thread. Aristoph. *Nubes*, 763:

λινόδετον ὥσπερ μηλολόνθην τοῦ ποδός.

Cf. Schol. on *Vesp.* 1341: χρυσομηλολόνθιον δὲ ζωΰφιόν τί ἐστι κατὰ κάνθαρον, ξανθὸν, ὃ καὶ κατέχοντες οἱ παῖδες δεσμεύουσιν ἐκ τοῦ ποδὸς καὶ ἀφιᾶσι πρὸς τὸν ἀέρα. Among a number of other games mentioned by Pollux, ix. 122, is the χαλκῆ μυῖα, a sort of blind-man's-buff: Ἡ δὲ χαλκῆ μυῖα, ταινίᾳ τῷ ὀφθαλμῷ περισφίγξαντες ἑνὸς παιδὸς, ὁ μὲν περιστρέφεται κηρύττων· χαλκῆν μυῖαν θηράσω· οἱ δὲ ἀποκρινάμενοι, θηράσεις ἀλλ' οὐ λήψει, σκύτεσι βυβλίνοις παίουσιν αὐτὸν, ἕως τινὸς αὐτῶν λήψεται. Amid the rough manners of Sparta it is interesting to find Agesilaos riding about among his children astride of a cane: μικροῖς τοῖς παιδίοις οὖσι κάλαμον περιβεβηκὼς, ὥσπερ ἵππον, οἴκοι συνέπαιζεν. Plutarch, *Ages.* 25; cf. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* xii. 15.

Generally, however, great caution was exercised in the keeping up one's dignity before children, as is evident from Theocr. *Id.* xv. 11, where Praxinoe having called her husband a φθονερὸν κακὸν, Gorgo bids her not to abuse him, τῷ μικκῷ παρεόντος, and then follows that lady's characteristic fib to her child, οὐ λέγω ἀπφῦν. Plato, *Leg.* v. p. 729, above all, recommends νουθετεῖν, as well as good example, in the correction of children, though castigation was far from uncommon in practice, and was usually administered with the slippers or sandals. Lucian, *Philops.* 28: ἐπεὶ σανδάλῳ γε χρυσῷ ἐς τὰς πυγὰς, ὥσπερ τὰ παιδία, παίεσθαι ἄξιοι ἂν εἶεν οἱ ἀπιστοῦντες. This served in the Grecian schools instead of the ferule used at Rome. Cf. Plutarch, *de sera Num. Vind.* 16. Divers bugbears were also used to frighten children into good behaviour: such were the Ἀκκὼ and Ἀλφιτώ, a sort of bogies. They are alluded to by Chrysippos, apud Plutarch, *de Stoic. Repugn.* 15: ὡς οὐδὲν διαφέροντα τῆς Ἀκκοῦς καὶ τῆς Ἀλφιτοῦς, δι' ὧν τὰ παιδάρια τοῦ κακοσχολεῖν αἱ γυναῖκες ἀπείργουσιν. So also Strabo, i. 2, 6: τοῖς τε γὰρ παισὶ προσφέρομεν τοὺς ἡδεῖς μύθους εἰς προτροπήν· εἰς ἀποτροπὴν δὲ τοὺς φοβεροὺς. ἥ τε γὰρ Λάμια μῦθός ἐστι, καὶ ἡ Γοργὼ, καὶ ὁ Ἐφιάλτης, καὶ ἡ Μορμολύκη. We read also of Ἔμπουσα, a name which is interchanged with Λαμία, or, as a general expression, comprehends the latter. What sort of a notion was attached

to these beings we learn from the story in Philostratus, *Vit. Apoll. Tyan.* iv. 25: *ἡ χρηστὴ νύμφη μία τῶν Ἐμπουσῶν ἐστιν, ἃς Λαμίας τε καὶ Μορμολυκίας οἱ πολλοὶ ἡγοῦνται. ἐρῶσι δ' αὗται οὐκ ἀφροδισίων μέν, σαρκῶν δὲ, καὶ μάλιστα ἀνθρωπείων ἐρῶσι, καὶ πάλλουσι τοῖς ἀφροδισίοις, οὓς ἂν ἐθέλωσι δαίσασθαι.* See also what Libanius, in the life of Æschines, says about that person's mother. The general term for all these creatures was *μορμολυκεῖα*, also *βρίκελοι*. Eustath. *ad Od.* i. 101. An instance of the way children were thus terrified occurs in Theocritus, xv. 40, where Praxinoe says to the child, who runs after her crying, when she wants to go out:

οὐκ ἄξω τὺ, τέκνον· Μορμὼ, δάκνει ἵππος.
δάκρυε, ὅσσα θέλεις· χωλὸν δ' οὐ δεῖ σε γενέσθαι.

Naturally enough, superstitious terrors were much increased by such nonsense.

The nurses and attendants had a store of tales (*μῦθοι*) for the amusement of the children, and *γραῶν* or *τιτθῶν μῦθοι* have grown into a proverb. Plato, *Gorg.* p. 527; *Hipp. Maj.* p. 286; Lucian, *Philops.* 9. As these legends narrated, for the most part, the actions of the gods and demigods of the popular superstition,—the ancient mythology embracing the entire domain of the marvellous—the telling of them might have the greatest influence on the moral education of the children; and hence Plato (*Leg.* x. p. 887,) enlarges much on the care to be used in their selection, and repudiates even Hesiod and Homer, *de Republ.* ii. p. 377: *οὗτοι γάρ που μύθους τοῖς ἀνθρώποις ψευδεῖς συντιθέντες ἔλεγόν τε καὶ λέγουσι.* Plutarch, *de Educ. Puer.* 5, thinks the nurses should be restrained, *μὴ τοὺς τυχόντας μύθους τοῖς παιδίοις λέγειν, ἵνα μὴ τὰς τούτων ψυχὰς ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἀνοίας καὶ διαφθορᾶς ἀναπίμπλασθαι συμβαίνῃ*: and Aristotle wishes to place these matters under the supervision of the Pædonomoi; *de Republ.* vii. 17: *καὶ περὶ λόγων τε καὶ μύθων ποίους τινὰς ἀκούειν δεῖ τοὺς τηλικούτους ἐπιμελὲς ἔστω τοῖς ἄρχουσιν, οὓς καλοῦσι παιδονόμους.* With regard to the character of these fables, see Aristoph. *Vesp.* 1182: *ὡς οὔτω ποτ' ἦν μῦς καὶ γαλῆ.* See also Philostr. *Vit. Apoll. Tyan.* v. 14; whence we conclude that the fables of Æsop were among those most in vogue. Frequently such legends were handed down in the shape of ballads; see Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 731, where the chorus sings two such songs, after saying,

μῦθον·
βούλομαι λέξαι τιν' ὑμῖν, ὃν ποτ' ἤκουσ'
αὐτὸς ἔτι παῖς ὤν.

Adults as well as children took pleasure in them, so that there were persons who recited such legends for a livelihood: Philepsios perhaps was such an one, see Aristoph. *Plutus*, 177, on which the Scholiast says: οὗτος πένης ὢν λέγων ἱστορίας ἐτρέφετο.

In process of time the children were entrusted to the care of a pedagogue. Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 808. At what age this took place is uncertain, though Plato (*Ib.* p. 794,) seems to have had in his eye the end of the sixth year, at which period the boys were first separated from the girls. Plato, however, only gives his own ideas on the subject, so that we must be careful not to reason from his words as to the actual practice, though in this instance he appears to be supported by other authorities. This παιδαγωγὸς was a slave. Intelligent and honest persons, and of polished manners, were obtained if possible, though this could not always be accomplished. Thus the pedagogues of Menexenos and Lysis are described (Plato, *Lysis*, p. 223,) as ὑποβαρβαρίζοντες. Plutarch, *de Educ. Puer.* 7, speaks very severely of the want of conscientiousness of parents in his day: τῶν γὰρ δούλων τῶν σπουδαίων τοὺς μὲν γεωργοὺς ἀποδεικνύουσι, τοὺς δὲ ναυκλήρους, τοὺς δὲ ἐμπόρους, τοὺς δὲ οἰκονόμους, τοὺς δὲ δανειστάς· ὅ, τι δ' ἂν εὕρωσιν ἀνδράποδον οἰνόληπτον καὶ λίχνον, πᾶσαν πραγματείαν ἄχρηστον, τούτῳ φέροντες ὑποβάλλουσι τοὺς υἱούς. Cf. *Lyc.* 16. *Alcib.* 1. These pedagogues accompanied the boys to school and the gymnasium, and indeed everywhere. See Plato, *Lysis*, p. 208. There was a law of Solon's, περὶ παιδαγωγῶν ἐπιμελείας: Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 35. They carried the boys' books and other school-requirements, or the cithara, although special slaves frequently attended for this purpose. Liban. *Or.* xxiv. p. 81: οὐ παιδαγωγὸς, οὐχ οἱ τὰ βιβλία τοῖς νέοις ἐπ' ὤμων φέροντες. Lucian, *Amor.* 44; Poll. x. 59. Whether they remained all the while at the school, as they did at the gymnasium, or returned to fetch their charges, does not appear; for even though the school-room was called παιδαγωγεῖον, (Demosth. *de Coron.* p. 313; Poll. iv. 19, 41,) this has nothing whatever to do with the pedagogue. Older persons, excepting near relations of the master, were forbidden to enter the school during school-

hours, under pain of death. Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 38: καὶ μὴ ἐξέστω τοῖς ὑπὲρ τὴν τῶν παίδων ἡλικίαν οὖσιν εἰσιέναι τῶν παίδων ἔνδον ὄντων, ἐὰν μὴ υἱὸς διδασκάλου, ἢ ἀδελφὸς, ἢ θυγατρὸς ἀνήρ. ἐὰν δέ τις παρὰ ταῦτ' εἰσίῃ, θανάτῳ ζημιούσθω. At a later period this law does not seem to have been strictly observed; for in Theophr. *Char.* 7, one of the traits of a λάλος is to enter the *palæstræ* and schools, and interrupt master and pupils by talking. Young persons remained under the surveillance of pedagogues till they reached the age of *ephebi*. Terent. *Andr.* i. 1, 24; Plut. *de Aud.* i. p. 141. In Plaut. *Bacch.* i. 2; iii. 1, 3, is a specimen of a pedagogue of the old stamp, whom the lad will no longer obey.

After this age the instruction took place entirely away from home, namely, at the schools and gymnasia. Plato, *Prot.* p. 320, does not in the slightest allude to private instruction at home, as Cramer supposes. It is nowhere definitely stated at what year the boy commenced going to school. Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 794, τοὺς μὲν ἄῤῥενας ἐφ' ἵππων διδασκάλους καὶ τόξων καὶ σφενδονήσεως, seems to restrict lads to the bodily exercises of the gymnasium merely, until their tenth year, which time he fixes for their commencement ἐν γράμμασι: but this could scarcely have been actually the case; and boys were doubtless sent early to school, as now-a-days, to keep them out of mischief at home. Indeed, Lucian says as much, *Hermotim.* 82: ἐπεὶ καὶ αἱ τίτθαι τοιάδε λέγουσι περὶ τῶν παιδίων, ὡς ἀπιτέων αὐτοῖς ἐς διδασκάλου. καὶ γὰρ ἂν μηδέπω μαθεῖν ἀγαθόν τι δύνωνται, ἀλλ' οὖν φαῦλον οὐδὲν ποιήσουσιν ἐκεῖ μένοντες. Aristotle, *de Republ.* vii. 17, in the main agrees with Plato in thinking the age μέχρι πέντε ἐτῶν as unfit either πρὸς μάθησιν, or πρὸς ἀναγκαίους πόνους. During the next two years he thinks, δεῖ θεωροὺς ἤδη γίγνεσθαι τῶν μαθήσεων, ἃς δεήσει μανθάνειν αὐτούς. He also thinks gymnastics ought to precede mental instruction, καὶ περὶ τὸ σῶμα πρότερον ἢ τὴν διάνοιαν, though he does not explain when the beginning ἐν γράμμασι should take place. *Ib.* viii. 3.

The state had but little concern with the schools. So Socrates says: τῆς δὲ σῆς γενέσεως, ὦ Ἀλκιβιάδη, καὶ τροφῆς, καὶ παιδείας, ἢ ἄλλου ὁτουοῦν Ἀθηναίων, ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν, οὐδενὶ μέλει. Plato, *Alcib.* i. p. 122. There were laws, it is true, respecting instruction, ἢ οὐ καλῶς προσέταττον ἡμῶν οἱ ἐπὶ τούτοις τεταγ-

μένοι νόμοι, παραγγέλλοντες τῷ πατρὶ τῷ σῷ, σὲ ἐν μουσικῇ καὶ γυμναστικῇ παιδεύειν, (Plato, *Crito*, p. 50,) but the expression παραγγέλλειν, used here, does not enable us to ascertain how far they were carried out; the laws of Solon, mentioned by Æschines, were all intended to prevent moral abuses; and if there did exist an express law at Athens which prescribed, τοὺς παῖδας διδάσκεσθαι πρῶτον νεῖν τε καὶ γράμματα, (Petit. *Leg. Att.* pp. 12, 239,) at least no control was exercised.

The state never thought of erecting public institutions, to be maintained at the general expense. In Demosthenes, *in Bœot. ὀνομ.* p. 1001, we read, it is true: ἀλλὰ καὶ πρὶν ἡμέτερος φάσκειν συγγενὴς εἶναι εἰς Ἱπποθοωντίδα ἐφοίτα φύλην εἰς παῖδας χορεύσων. But even if we adopt the inference drawn from this passage by Böckh, *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 121, that the tribes had partly to provide for the instruction of their youth in music and bodily exercises, by the appointment of teachers for this purpose, still such an association would always bear the character of a private undertaking. The whole passage may, however, with more probability be understood of Choregia: see Antiph. *de Choreut.* The words of Aristophanes, *Nubes*, 964, taken in connexion with the obscurely-phrased law in Æschines, *in Timarch.* p. 35, περὶ τῆς συμφοιτήσεως τῶν παίδων, are much more applicable to an institution of the kind surmised by Böckh, unless, indeed, they refer to the χοροὶ ἐγκύκλιοι mentioned just after. What Plato says, *Leg.* vii. p. 804, about appointing teachers to be paid at the public cost, ἐν δὲ τούτοις πᾶσι (διδασκαλείοις καὶ γυμνασίοις) διδασκάλους ἑκάστων πεπεισμένους μισθοῖς, is purely his own idea, which was not realized till afterwards. The law of Charondas, mentioned by Diod. Sic. xii. 13, can be hardly genuine: τὴν γὰρ γραμματικὴν παρὰ τὰς ἄλλας μαθήσεις προέκρινεν ὁ νομοθέτης.... ὅθεν ὡς μεγάλων τινῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀποστερουμένους τοὺς ἀγραμμάτους διωρθώσατο τῇ νομοθεσίᾳ ταύτῃ καὶ δημοσίας ἐπιμελείας τε καὶ δαπάνης ἠξίωσε. Such establishments were not founded till a late period.

The sort of an education the children received depended mainly on the parent's own conscientiousness; some got none at all, the sausage-seller for instance; Aristoph. *Equites*, 1234. This, however, was not usual; and so necessary a thing did daily school-going seem, that when the women and children of Athens fled to

Trœzen at the time of the Persian invasion, the inhabitants, besides supporting them, paid persons to teach the children. Plutarch, *Themist.* 10: Καὶ τρέφειν ἐψηφίσαντο δημοσίᾳ, δύο ὀβολοὺς ἑκάστῳ διδόντες, καὶ τῆς ὀπώρας λαμβάνειν τοὺς παῖδας ἐξεῖναι πανταχόθεν, ἔτι δ' ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν διδασκάλοις τελεῖν μισθούς. See also Ælian, *Var. Hist.* vii. 15, where we read that the Mitylenæans, when masters of the sea, punished those allies who revolted, by not allowing their children to be taught, deeming this the severest penalty they could inflict: γράμματα μὴ μανθάνειν τοὺς παῖδας αὐτῶν μηδὲ μουσικὴν διδάσκεσθαι, πασῶν κολάσεων ἡγησάμενοι βαρυτάτην εἶναι ταύτην, ἐν ἀμαθίᾳ καὶ ἀμουσίᾳ καταβιῶναι. The selection of a teacher rested entirely with the parents, and, as might be expected, the choice often fell on incompetent persons. Plutarch, *de Educ. Puer.* 7.

The tutors were, in some degree, under the surveillance of the state, and certain ἀρχαί, probably the παιδονόμοι mentioned by Aristotle, *de Repub.* iv. 15, were appointed by Solon to inspect them, as we are informed by Æschines, *in Timarch.* p. 35; and Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 765, requires: ἄρχων ὁ τῆς παιδείας ἐπιμελητὴς πάσης. But the functions of these persons were confined to the administration of certain laws respecting morality, while the state exercised but little supervision over the qualifications of the tutors or their method of teaching: perhaps the only requirement was that they should be above a certain age, and thus also the χορηγοὶ παίδων were required to be more than forty. Persons therefore taught the elements, not so much from choice and qualifications, as from having no other means of livelihood; hence the amusing reference in Lucian, *Necyom.* 17, to those who might be supposed to be reduced to this condition in Hades: πολλῷ δ' ἂν οἶμαι μᾶλλον ἐγέλας, εἰ ἐθεάσω τοὺς παρ' ἡμῖν βασιλέας καὶ σατράπας πτωχεύοντας παρ' αὐτοῖς, καὶ ἤτοι ταριχοπωλοῦντας ὑπ' ἀπορίας, ἢ τὰ πρῶτα διδάσκοντας γράμματα. Others were in the service of teachers of repute, as, for instance, was the father of Æschines, as appears from Demosth. *de Coron.* p. 313, a passage which affords many curious details as to the arrangements of an Athenian school-room: δι' ἣν (τύχην) παῖς μὲν ὢν μετὰ πολλῆς ἐνδείας ἐτράφης, ἅμα τῷ πατρὶ πρὸς τῷ διδασκαλείῳ προσεδρεύων, τὸ μέλαν τρίβων, καὶ τὰ βάθρα σπογγίζων, καὶ τὸ παιδαγωγεῖον κορῶν, οἰκέτου τάξιν, οὐκ ἐλευθέρου παιδὸς ἔχων. Cf. *Ib.* p. 270.

A somewhat similar tale is told of Epicurus and his father; Diog. Laert. x. 4: καὶ σὺν τῷ πατρὶ γράμματα διδάσκειν λυπρού τινος μισθαρίου. It appears that the calling of teachers of the rudiments, τῶν τὰ πρῶτα γράμματα διδασκόντων, stood in no great repute, and this will elucidate Plutarch, *Alcib.* 7. The children of wealthy parents of course went to better teachers. Demosthenes relates with honest pride how he went εἰς τὰ προσήκοντα διδασκαλεῖα. *De Coron.* p. 312.

In default of direct evidence as to the fees ordinarily received by schoolmasters, we must not be misled by the sums extorted by the Rhetoricians and Sophists. The schoolmaster's income would depend on the number of his scholars. See Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 34: οἷς ἐστιν ὁ μὲν βίος ἀπὸ τοῦ σωφρονεῖν, ἡ δ' ἀπορία ἐκ τῶν ἐναντίων. The customary times of payment are also unknown, but they would appear to have been monthly, from Theophr. *Char.* 30: καὶ τὸν Ἀνθεστηριῶνα τὸν ὅλον μὴ πέμπειν αὐτοὺς (τοὺς παῖδας) εἰς τὰ μαθήματα διὰ τὸ θέας εἶναι πολλὰς, ἵνα μὴ τὸν μισθὸν ἐκτίνῃ. A deduction would seem to have been made, proportionate to the time of absence, *Ib.*: καὶ τῶν νίῶν δὲ μὴ πορευομένων εἰς τὸ διδασκαλεῖον διὰ τὴν ἀῤῥωστίαν ἀφαιρεῖν τοῦ μισθοῦ κατὰ λόγον. Cf. Liban. *Orat.* xxxii. p. 269. At all events, there appears to have been much irregularity in this matter. So Demosth. *in Aphob.* i. p. 828, complains that the school account of Aphobos had run on unpaid during the whole time of his minority: ὥστε καὶ τοὺς διδασκάλους τοὺς μισθοὺς ἀπεστέρηκε.

At Athens the number of pupils would seem to have been restricted by law. See Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 34: πρῶτον μὲν ἦν ὥραν προσήκει ἰέναι τὸν παῖδα τὸν ἐλεύθερον εἰς τὸ διδασκαλεῖον· ἔπειτα μετὰ πόσων παίδων εἰσιέναι. We read of a school at Astypalæa numbering about sixty boys: ἐνταῦθα ὅσον ἑξήκοντα ἀριθμὸν παῖδες. Pausan. vi. 9, 3. Sometimes the number ran very low. In the school of Stratonicos (who, however, taught the *cithara*, and not grammar,) were figures of the nine Muses, one Apollo, and two pupils, and when asked how many pupils he had, his reply was, Σὺν τοῖς θεοῖς δώδεκα. Athen. viii. p. 348. See also Diog. Laert. vi. 69. Many schools were elegantly furnished. The βάθροι were benches for the pupils, probably rising one above another; whether there were also a θρόνος, like that from which the Sophists addressed their audience, is uncertain.

Justin, xxi. 5, relates of Dionysius: 'novissime ludimagistrum professus pueros in trivio docebat;' and this has given rise to the opinion that teaching in the roads and crossways was of common occurrence; but the notion will certainly not hold good of Athens, notwithstanding that Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* xx. p. 264, says, *οἱ γὰρ τῶν γραμμάτων διδάσκαλοι μετὰ τῶν παίδων ἐν ταῖς ὁδοῖς κάθηνται.* The proverb, *ἐκ τριόδου, e trivio*, said of anything very common and ordinary, had a different origin, such a spot being *συχνῶς πεπατημένον.* See Lucian, *de morte Peregrin.* 3.

Instruction began with the early morning, children as well as adults rising at this time. So Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 808: *ἡμέρας δὲ ὄρθρου τε ἐπανιόντων παῖδας μὲν πρὸς διδασκάλους που τρέπεσθαι χρεών.* A law of Solon's enacts that the schools should open *μὴ πρότερον ἡλίου ἀνιόντος*, and close again, *πρὸ ἡλίου δύνοντος.* Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 37. We learn from Thucyd. vii. 29, that this was the case elsewhere; for he tells us that the Thracians surprised Mycalessos *ἅμα τῇ ἡμέρᾳ*, and butchered the children assembled in a school, *ὅπερ μέγιστον ἦν αὐτόθι.* It appears also from the law above cited that the schools were opened again in the afternoon, *μετὰ τὸ ἄριστον*· and so also Lucian, *de Parasito*, 61, says: *καὶ σοι λοιπὸν, ὥσπερ οἱ παῖδες, ἀφίξομαι καὶ ἑῷος, καὶ μετ' ἄριστον, μαθησόμενος τὴν τέχνην.* See Excursus on *The Gymnasia.*

Instruction was in three branches: *γράμματα, μουσικὴ, γυμναστική.* Plato. *Theag.* p. 122: *οὐκ ἐδιδάξετό σε ὁ πατὴρ καὶ ἐπαίδευσεν, ἅπερ ἐνθάδε οἱ ἄλλοι παιδεύονται οἱ τῶν καλῶν κἀγαθῶν υἱεῖς; οἷον γράμματά τε καὶ κιθαρίζειν καὶ παλαίειν καὶ τὴν ἄλλην ἀγωνίαν.* Plutarch, *de Audit.* 17: *ἐν γράμμασι καὶ περὶ λύραν καὶ παλαίστραν.* Cf. Plato, *Clitoph.* p. 407. But the chief passage is in Aristotle, *de Republ.* viii. 3, who adds a fourth branch, drawing or painting: *ἔστι δὲ τέτταρα σχεδὸν, ἃ παιδεύειν εἰώθασι, γράμματα καὶ γυμναστικὴν, καὶ μουσικὴν, καὶ τέταρτον ἔνιοι γραφικήν . . . . . δοκεῖ δὲ καὶ γραφικὴ χρήσιμος εἶναι πρὸς τὸ κρίνειν τὰ τῶν τεχνιτῶν ἔργα κάλλιον.* We will first consider the *γράμματα*, as being the most indispensable part of instruction; for, as is evident from the context, we must take in a higher sense the words of Isocrates, *Panathen.* 83, who says, speaking of the Spartans, *οὐδὲ γράμματα μανθάνουσιν.* Cf. Plutarch, *Lyc.* 16. In its simplest signification, *γράμματα* comprehended reading,

writing, and arithmetic. See, however, Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 809. In learning to read, the method of dividing into syllables, *συλλαβίζειν*, was used. Dionys. Halic. *de admir. vi dic. in Demosth.* 52: *ταύτην γὰρ (τὴν γραμματικὴν) ὅταν ἐκμάθωμεν, πρῶτον μὲν τὰ ὀνόματα τῶν στοιχείων τῆς φωνῆς ἀναλαμβάνομεν, ἃ καλεῖται γράμματα. ἔπειτα τύπους τ' αὐτῶν καὶ δυνάμεις. ὅταν δὲ ταῦτα μάθωμεν, τότε τὰς συλλαβὰς αὐτῶν καὶ τὰ περὶ ταῦτα πάθη.* After mastering this, the pupils were next instructed on the component portions of a sentence: *κρατήσαντες δὲ τούτων τὰ τοῦ λόγου μόρια· ὀνόματα λέγω, καὶ ῥήματα, καὶ συνδέσμους;* and then they commenced reading, properly so called: *ὅταν δὲ τὴν τούτων ἁπάντων ἐπιστήμην περιλάβωμεν, τότ' ἀρχόμεθα γράφειν τε καὶ ἀναγινώσκειν, κατὰ συλλαβὴν μὲν καὶ βραδέως τὸ πρῶτον.* See Athenæus, x. p. 453, where we have a metrical alphabet:

Ἔστ' ἄλφα, βῆτα, γάμμα, δέλτα, θεοῦ πάρ' εἶ,
ζῆτ', ἦτα, θῆτ', ἰῶτα, κάππα, λάβδα, μῦ,
νῦ, ξῦ, τὸ οὖ, πῖ, ῥῶ, τὸ σὰν, ταῦ, ὗ παρὸν,
φῖ, χῖ τε τῷ ψῖ εἰς τὸ ὦ.

And he then proceeds: *ὁ χορὸς δὲ γυναικῶν ἐκ τῶν σύνδυο πεποιημένος αὐτῷ ἐστιν ἔμμετρος ἅμα καὶ μεμελοπεποιημένος τόνδε τὸν τρόπον· βῆτα ἄλφα βα, βῆτα εἶ βε, βῆτα ἦ βη, βῆτα ἰῶτα βι, βῆτα οὖ βυ, βῆτα ὗ βυ, βῆτα ὦ βω. καὶ πάλιν ἐν ἀντιστρόφῳ τοῦ μέλους καὶ τοῦ μέτρου, γάμμα ἄλφα, γάμμα εἶ, γάμμα ἰῶτα, γάμμα οὖ, γάμμα ὗ, γάμμα ὦ. καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν λοιπῶν συλλαβῶν ὁμοίως ἑκάστων.* There are some interesting passages relating to writing and ciphering. Copies were given by the teachers; Plato, *Prot.* p. 326: *ὑπογράψαντες γραμμὰς τῇ γραφίδι.* Plato, however, requires but a small degree of facility in reading as well as writing. *Leg.* vii. p. 810: *γράμματα μὲν τοίνυν χρὴ τὸ μέχρι τοῦ γράψαι τε καὶ ἀναγνῶναι δυνατὸν εἶναι διαπονεῖν. πρὸς τάχος δὲ ἢ κάλλος ἀπηκριβῶσθαί τισιν, οἷς μὴ φύσις ἐπέσπευσεν ἐν τοῖς τεταγμένοις ἔτεσι χαίρειν ἐᾶν.* Plato, *ibid.* p. 819, thinks arithmetic should be learnt as an amusement, and that the abstract ideas of numbers should be presented in as concrete a form as possible, by the use of apples and the like. Otherwise the fingers were ordinarily used, not only at school, but in every-day life, or when more accuracy was needed, counters, *ψῆφοι*. Aristoph. *Vesp.* 656:

καὶ πρῶτον μὲν λόγισαι φαύλως μὴ ψήφοις, ἀλλ' ἀπὸ χειρός.

Cf. Theophr. *Char.* 14; 23; 24. These *ψῆφοι* varied in value

according to their place on the counting-board. Polyb. v. 26: ἐκεῖναί τε γὰρ κατὰ τὴν τοῦ ψηφίζοντος βούλησιν ἄρτι χαλκοῦν καὶ παραυτίκα τάλαντον ἴσχουσιν. See also a *bon-mot* ascribed to Solon by Diog. Laert. i. 59: ἔλεγε δὲ τοὺς παρὰ τοῖς τυράννοις δυναμένους παραπλησίους εἶναι ταῖς ψήφοις ἐπὶ τῶν λογισμῶν. καὶ γὰρ ἐκείνων ἑκάστην ποτὲ μὲν πλείω σημαίνειν, ποτὲ δὲ ἥττω. See also Plutarch, *Apoph. reg.* p. 691: καθάπερ οἱ τῶν ἀριθμητικῶν δάκτυλοι νῦν μὲν μυριάδας, νῦν δὲ μονάδα τιθέναι δύνανται, κ. τ. λ. The fingers were also used to express numbers by placing them in different positions. Alciph. *Epist.* 26: οἱ περὶ τὰς ψήφους καὶ τῶν δακτύλων τὰς κάμψεις εἰλινδούμενοι.

When the children could read, and understand what they read, the works of the poets were put in requisition, to exercise their minds, and awaken their hearts to great and noble deeds. Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 810, approves of this, and also recommends committing whole poems, or select passages, to memory; and this method of instruction appears to have been universal; see Strabo, i. 2, 3: λέγουσι πρώτην τὴν ποιητικὴν.... καὶ τοὺς παῖδας αἱ τῶν Ἑλλήνων πόλεις πρώτιστα διὰ τῆς ποιητικῆς παιδεύουσι. See too the discourse of Protagoras, Plato, *Prot.* p. 326: οἱ δὲ διδάσκαλοι τούτων τε ἐπιμελοῦνται, καὶ ἐπειδὰν αὖ γράμματα μάθωσι καὶ μέλλωσι ξυνιήσειν τὰ γεγραμμένα, ὥσπερ τότε τὴν φωνὴν, παρατιθέασιν αὐτοῖς ἐπὶ τῶν βάθρων ἀναγιγώσκειν ποιητῶν ἀγαθῶν ποιήματα, καὶ ἐκμανθάνειν ἀναγκάζουσιν. Above all, the poems of Homer were thought to contain, by precept and example, every thing calculated to awaken national spirit, and to instruct a man how to be καλὸς κἀγαθός. See Isocr. *Paneg.* 95. So in Xenoph. *Symp.* 3, 5, Niceratos says of himself: Ὁ πατὴρ ἐπιμελούμενος ὅπως ἀνὴρ ἀγαθὸς γενοίμην, ἠνάγκασέ με πάντα τὰ Ὁμήρου ἔπη μαθεῖν· καὶ νῦν δυναίμην ἂν Ἰλιάδα ὅλην καὶ Ὀδύσσειαν ἀπὸ στόματος εἰπεῖν. For the continuance of the custom in later times, see Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* xi. p. 308: κἀκεῖνον μὲν (Ὅμηρον) ὑπολαβεῖν θεῖον ἄνδρα καὶ σοφὸν, καὶ τοὺς παῖδας εὐθὺς ἐξ ἀρχῆς τὰ ἔπη διδάσκειν.

The study of music began somewhat later; according to Plato, with the thirteenth year. *Leg.* vii. p. 809. Aristotle, *de Republ.* viii. 3, speaks admirably of the study of music, as considered from the point of view of his own time; he says it should not merely be pursued ἡδονῆς χάριν, which he confesses mostly

to be the case, but πρὸς τὴν ἐν τῇ σχολῇ διαγωγήν, or in order καλῶς σχολάζειν. It was not a necessary portion of the παιδεία, οὐχ ὡς ἀναγκαῖον (οὐδὲν γὰρ ἔχει τοιοῦτον) οὐδ' ὡς χρήσιμον, ὥσπερ τὰ γράμματα, but was accounted a noble and worthy occupation (ἐλευθέριον καὶ καλήν) for the hours of recreation and leisure. The λύρα or κιθάρα, for the distinction is sometimes neglected, were the chief, or rather the only, instruments which were thought suited for an ἐλεύθερος. At one period, at Athens, the flute also was a great favourite, but it soon fell into disuse, not only because it distorted the face, but especially because it did not allow the accompaniment of the voice. Aristot. *de Republ.* viii. 6. To this victory of the lyra over the flute, the myth of Marsyas unquestionably alludes. These observations, however, apply chiefly to Athens, for elsewhere, as at Thebes, the flute maintained its ground. Maxim. Tyr. *Diss.* xxiii. 2: Θηβαῖοι αὐλητικὴν ἐπιτηδεύουσι, καὶ ἔστιν ἡ δι' αὐλῶν μοῦσα ἐπιχώριος τοῖς Βοιωτοῖς. Cf. Plutarch, *Pelop.* 19.

There is no mention of regular vacations at fixed intervals, though naturally the numerous public festivals, as for example those in the month Anthesterion, would cause holidays at the schools. Theophr. *Char.* 30. There was a law of Solon's which is mentioned by Æschines, *in Timarch.* p. 35, περὶ Μουσείων ἐν τοῖς διδασκαλείοις, καὶ περὶ Ἑρμαίων ἐν ταῖς παλαίστραις, which Wolf and Reiske have wrongly interpreted of the *sacella Musarum*, whereas Pollux, i. 37, in the section on the festivals, says, Μουσῶν Μουσεῖα, Ἑρμοῦ Ἑρμαῖα (ἑορταί): so that most probably such festivals are meant as were obligatory by law. Cf. Plato, *Lysis*, p. 206. At all events the Greeks knew nothing of a four-months' summer-vacation, which K. F. Hermann has, on very doubtful grounds, assumed for the Roman, or rather the Italian youth generally. In particular cases, as when the teacher was unwell, a notice, πρόγραμμα, was posted up on the door. Lucian, *Hermotim.* 11: πινάκιον γάρ τι ἐκρέματο ὑπὲρ τοῦ πυλῶνος, μεγάλοις γράμμασι, λέγον, τήμερον οὐ συμφιλοσοφεῖν.

Attendance at school was continued till the pupils reached riper years in the Greek sense, which would generally be at the age of sixteen. Lucian says that he ceased going to school ἤδη τὴν ἡλικίαν πρόσηβος ὤν: but of course the time might vary as it does among ourselves; the poorer classes putting their children

early to some trade, whilst the wealthier kept theirs at school longer; and this is expressly asserted by Plato, *Protag.* p. 326. This more advanced instruction was imparted by teachers of a higher order, the Rhetoricians and Sophists, whose charges only the rich could defray. Thus Aristippos demanded one thousand drachmæ, (Plutarch, *de Educ. Puer.* 7; Diog. Laert. ii. 72,) and according to Plutarch, *Dec. Orat. vit.* 4, Isocrates required a like sum; and when Demosthenes offered him two hundred, ἐφ' ᾧ τε τὸ πέμπτον μέρος ἐκμάθῃ, he answered, οὐ τεμαχίζομεν, ὦ Δημόσθενες, .τὴν πραγματείαν· ὥσπερ δὲ τοὺς καλοὺς ἰχθῦς ὅλους πωλοῦμεν, οὕτω κἀγώ σοι, εἰ βούλοιο μαθητεύειν, ὁλόκληρον ἀποδώσομαι τὴν τέχνην. The same author tells us: οὐκ αἰσχύνονται τέτταρας ἢ πέντε μνᾶς ὑπὲρ τούτων αἰτοῦντες. See also Böckh's *Public Econ. of Athens*, pp. 121, 122. The Sophists seem to have insisted most rigidly on their fees, without abating one jot to their poorer pupils. See a lively, though of course highly-coloured scene in Lucian, *Hermotim.* 9: ἐκεῖνον αὐτὸν, ἐπεὶ τὸν μισθὸν, οἶμαι, μὴ ἀπεδίδου κατὰ καιρὸν, ἀπήγαγε παρὰ τὸν ἄρχοντα ἔναγχος, περιθείς γε αὐτῷ θοιμάτιον περὶ τὸν τράχηλον, καὶ ἐβόα, καὶ ὠργίζετο, καὶ εἰ μὴ τῶν συνήθων τινὲς ἐν μέσῳ γενόμενοι ἀφείλοντο τὸν νεανίσκον ἐκ τῶν χειρῶν αὐτοῦ, εὖ ἴσθι, προσφὺς ἂν ἀπέτραγεν αὐτοῦ τὴν ῥῖνα ὁ γέρων, οὕτω ἠγανάκτει. But this did not prevent the lovers of knowledge from purchasing their instruction even at the greatest sacrifices. Thus Cleanthes, (Diog. Laert. vii. 168,) and Menedemos and Asclepiades, (Athen. iv. p. 168,) worked by night in gardens and mills, in order to be able to attend by day the classes of the philosophers.

This account of the method of instruction applies chiefly to Athens itself, but of course there were schools in the small towns and villages. Thus Protagoras was said in early life, διδάσκειν ἐν κώμῃ τινὶ γράμματα. Athen. viii. p. 354. Little is known of the schools of other cities, but the παιδεία, except at Sparta, was in the main the same. Theophr. *Char.* proem., πάντων τῶν Ἑλλήνων ὁμοίως παιδευομένων. With the Spartans mental culture was a secondary consideration, and Aristotle, *de Republ.* viii. 4, justly upbraids them for bringing up their offspring like animals, θηριώδεις ἀπεργάζονται, though this perhaps applies rather to a later period. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* xii. 50, says, certainly without ground: Λακεδαιμόνιοι μουσικῆς ἀπείρως εἶχον,

κ. τ. λ. With regard to Thebes, we have a sad report from Aristophanes the Bœotian, apud Plutarch, *de Herod. Malig.* 31. Herodotus wished to open a school there, *τοῖς νέοις διαλέγεσθαι καὶ συσχολάζειν*, but the magistrates forbade him: *ὑπὸ τῶν ἀρχόντων ἐκωλύθη δι' ἀγροικίαν αὐτῶν καὶ μισολογίαν.* Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* x. p. 306, makes Diogenes express himself in still severer terms: *ἐγὼ δὲ ἤκουσα λέγοντος, ὅτι ἡ Σφὶγξ ἡ ἀμαθία ἐστί. ταυτὴν οὖν καὶ πρότερον διαφθεῖραι τοὺς Βοιωτούς, καὶ νῦν, οὐδὲν αὐτοὺς ἐῶσαν εἰδέναι, ἅτε ἀνθρώπων ἀμαθεστάτους.* Whatever measure of truth these accounts may contain, it is at least certain that less was done at Thebes for education than at Athens, for otherwise the more sensible Theban parents would not have sent their sons to school at Athens, as they did. See Æschin. *Epist.* 12, p. 699.

All that has been said hitherto refers to the instruction of the boys merely. We nowhere hear anything of educational institutions for girls; and, indeed, they would have been incompatible with the universal training of the female sex. Plato, it is granted, desires to have gymnasia for the boys and girls, separate of course. *Leg.* vi. p. 764: *γυμνάσια καὶ διδασκαλεῖα ἀῤῥένων καὶ κορῶν*: and so again *ὀρχηστὰς* for the boys, and *ὀρχηστρίδας* for the girls; *Ib.* viii. p. 813; but this is nothing more than a proposition, and was never actually carried out. In Terent. *Phorm.* i. 2, 36, a girl goes, it is true, *in ludum;* but she is a Citharistria, the property of a *leno*, and she goes thither to learn to play on the cithara. For the free daughter of a burgher to have frequented a school out of her father's house would have been repugnant to every notion of feminine decorum; so that the meagre instruction they received was at the hands of the mother or the nurses.

Outward propriety, *εὐκοσμία*, was especially attended to. Plato, *Protag.* p. 326: *μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα εἰς διδασκάλων πέμποντες πολὺ μᾶλλον ἐντέλλονται ἐπιμελεῖσθαι εὐκοσμίας τῶν παίδων, ἢ γραμμάτων τε καὶ κιθαρίσεως.* Various minute points of etiquette, such as taking the victuals with the right hand, and so forth, were rigidly enforced by the pedagogue on his pupil. See Plutarch, *Virt. doceri posse*, 2: *καὶ αὐτοὶ διδάσκουσιν οἱ παιδαγωγοὶ κεκυφότας ἐν ταῖς ὁδοῖς περιπατεῖν, ἑνὶ δακτύλῳ τὸ τάριχον ἅψασθαι, δυσὶ δ' ἰχθύν, σῖτον, κρέας, οὕτω κνᾶσθαι, τὸ ἱμάτιον*

οὕτως ἀναλαβεῖν. Also Id. *de Educ. Puer.* 7: τῇ μὲν δεξιᾷ συνεθίζειν τὰ παιδία ἔχεσθαι τὰς τροφὰς, κἂν προτείνειε τὴν ἀριστερὰν, ἐπιτιμᾶν. Cf. Id. *de Fort.* 5: τοὺς παῖδας διδάσκομεν τῇ δεξιᾷ λαμβάνειν τοῦ ὄψου, τῇ δὲ ἀριστερᾷ κρατεῖν τὸν ἄρτον. This custom of always using the right hand for everything is ridiculed by Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 794, and to this Aristotle alludes, *De Republ.* ii. 12. When walking in the streets, boys were required to look straight before them on the ground, with head downcast, κεκυφότες, as Plutarch says. See Diog. Laert. v. 82. Modesty and respect towards their elders was one of the first duties inculcated on youth. Plato, *Leg.* ix. p. 879: πᾶς ἡμῖν αἰδείσθω τὸν ἑαυτοῦ πρεσβύτερον ἔργῳ τε καὶ ἔπει. He also assumes, as a matter of course, that νεώτεροι should be silent in the presence of their seniors. *De Republ.* iv. p. 426: σιγὰς νεωτέρων παρὰ πρεσβυτέροις, ὡς πρέπει. See a pretty fragment of Menander, in Plutarch, *de San. Tuend.* 18. There is no finer instance of this juvenile αἰδὼς than that of Autolycos in Xenophon's *Symposion*, 3, 12. He takes no part in the conversation, and the blushing modesty with which he replies to a question, is very beautifully depicted. That Autolycos is present at a banquet, away from his father's house, is quite an exception to the general custom, the reason being that his ἐραστὴς has given the banquet in honour of his victory, νικητήρια ἑστιᾷ. He also leaves earlier than the rest, Αὐτόλυκος δὲ, ἤδη γὰρ ὥρα ἦν αὐτῷ, ἐξανίστατο εἰς περίπατον. When the father entertained guests at home, the son sometimes appeared and *sat* at table—the adults *reclined*, Αὐτόλυκος μὲν οὖν παρὰ τὸν πατέρα ἐκαθέζετο, οἱ δ' ἄλλοι, ὥσπερ εἰκὸς, κατεκλίθησαν, but even this did not usually take place (Theophr. *Char.* 5); and the children were often sent away to the women's apartments for want of room. Lucian, *Somn. seu Gall.* 11: τὸν υἱὸν γὰρ ἐγὼ κελεύσω ἐν τῇ γυναικωνίτιδι μετὰ τῆς μητρὸς ἑστιαθῆναι, ὡς σὺ χώραν ἔχῃς.

In more ancient times it was accounted highly improper for youths, even long after they had emerged from childhood, to take part in public business. This was strictly observed at Sparta. Plutarch, *Lyc.* 25: Οἱ μέν γε νεώτεροι τριάκοντα ἐτῶν τὸ παράπαν οὐ κατέβαινον εἰς ἀγοράν. At Athens this was not so rigorously the case, yet the feeling of αἰδὼς acted as a power-

ful restraint. See Lysias, *in Theomnest.* p. 346; Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 178. But matters had somewhat changed in the time of Æschines. Isocrates, *Areop.* 18, p. 202, praises the good old ways: οὕτω δ' ἔφευγον τὴν ἀγορὰν, ὥστε εἰ καί ποτε διελθεῖν ἀναγκασθεῖεν, μετὰ πολλῆς αἰδοῦς καὶ σωφροσύνης ἐφαίνοντο τοῦτο ποιοῦντες. So Isæus, *de Hered. Cleonym.* p. 2: καὶ τότε μὲν οὕτως ὑπ' αὐτοῦ (τοῦ πατρὸς) σωφρόνως ἐπαιδευόμεθα, ὥστ' οὐδὲ ἀκροασόμενοι οὐδέποτε ἤλθομεν ἐπὶ δικαστήριον. Xenophon, *Mem.* iv. 2, 1, mentions Euthydemos, διὰ νεότητα οὔπω εἰς τὴν ἀγορὰν εἰσιόντα. The change may in fact be considered to date from the Peloponnesian war, and hence the complaint of Andocides, *in Alcib.* p. 123: τοιγάρτοι τῶν νέων αἱ διατριβαὶ οὐκ ἐν τοῖς γυμνασίοις, ἀλλ' ἐν τοῖς δικαστηρίοις εἰσίν. Aristophanes is very bitter on the alterations in education generally, contrasting the old habits with the new, in the dialogue between the Δίκαιος and Ἄδικος λόγος. *Nubes*, 960—994. Lastly, we may refer to the beautiful portrait of the modest daily life of a well-ordered youth, as drawn by Lucian, *Amor.* 44: ὄρθριος ἀναστὰς ἐκ τῆς ἀζύγου κοίτης τὸν ἐπὶ τῶν ὀμμάτων ἔτι λοιπὸν ὕπνον ἀπονιψάμενος ὕδατι λιτῷ, καὶ χιτωνίσκον καὶ χλανίδα ταῖς ἐπωμίαις περόναις συῤῥάψας ἀπὸ τῆς πατρῴας ἑστίας ἐξέρχεται κάτω κεκυφὼς, καὶ μηδένα τῶν ἀπαντώντων ἐξ ἐναντίου προσβλέπων. ἀκόλουθοι δὲ καὶ παιδαγωγοὶ, χορὸς αὐτῷ κόσμιος, ἕπονται τὰ σεμνὰ τῆς ἀρετῆς ἐν χερσὶν ὄργανα κρατοῦντες, οὐ πριστοῦ κτενὸς ἐντομὰς κόμην καταψήχειν δυναμένας, οὐδ' ἔσοπτρα τῶν ἀντιμόρφων χαρακτήρων ἀγράφους εἰκόνας, ἀλλ' ἢ πολύπτυχοι δέλτοι κατόπιν ἀκολουθοῦσιν, ἢ παλαιῶν ἔργων ἀρετὰς φυλάττουσαι βίβλοι. κἂν εἰς Μουσικοῦ δέοι φοιτᾷν, εὐμελὴς λύρα. But this picture could hardly have been applicable to many young persons even in earlier times; while such an example of premature debauchery as Alcibiades presented, (Lysias, *in Alcib.* p. 536,) must have doubtless exercised a most baneful influence on the Attic youth. At Athens, there was no lack of *gamins*, ready for any piece of mischief that might turn up. See Demosth. *adv. Nicostr.* p. 1251.

With his sixteenth year, the Athenian youth entered on a transition period, which lasted two years, and during which he had to pay particular attention to the exercises of the gymnasium. This is the time during which Lucian calls himself πρόσηβος, though

the general expression for this interval was ἐπὶ δυετὲς ἡβῆσαι. When these two years had elapsed, the youth was admitted among the ephebi, and, with the exception of having to serve the state until his twentieth year as περίπολος, he now entered at once on a freer course of action, and, at least if he belonged to the upper classes, he could follow his own inclination in the selection of an occupation. Many fathers of substantial means endeavoured to bring up their sons to business, as is clear from the comic poets; but those youths who could afford it mostly devoted themselves to the pursuit of pleasure;—to the chace, charioteering, and the company of hetæræ,—or they became disciples of the philosophers; and no passage is clearer on this head than Terent. *Andr.* i. 1, 28:

> Quod plerique omnes faciunt adolescentuli,
> Ut animum ad aliquod studium adjungant, aut equos
> Alere, aut canes ad venandum, aut ad philosophos, etc.

See Plaut. *Merc.* Prol. 40, 61; and Xenoph. *Memor.* i. 6, 14: ὥσπερ ἄλλος τις ἢ ἵππῳ ἀγαθῷ, ἢ κυνὶ, ἢ ὄρνιθι ἥδεται. Nor must we omit the passionate fondness for cock and quail-fighting, and on rearing these birds immense pains were frequently bestowed. The state had no objection to all these amusements; nay, the Areopagus urged the rich to pursue them, quite as much as it did the poor to labour, Isocr. *Areopag.* 17, p. 201: τοὺς δὲ βίον ἱκανὸν κεκτημένους περί τε ἱππικὴν καὶ τὰ γυμνάσια, καὶ κυνηγέσια καὶ τὴν φιλοσοφίαν ἠνάγκασαν διατρίβειν. It was regarded with favour, not only as a harmless way of diverting the unruly passions of youth, but because, if they obtained prizes at the Olympian or other games, they opened a source of honour and renown to the state. Isocr. *de Big.* 14, p. 509: τὰς πόλεις ὀνομαστὰς γιγνομένας τῶν νικώντων. Lysias, *de Bon. Aristoph.* p. 661: αὐτίκα ὅτε ἵππευεν, οὐ μόνον ἵππους ἐκτήσατο λαμπρούς, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἀθλητὰς ἐνίκησεν Ἰσθμοῖ καὶ Νεμέᾳ. ὥστε τὴν πόλιν κηρυχθῆναι καὶ αὐτὸν στεφανωθῆναι.

In Sparta, where every individual pursuit was entirely discouraged, and where all were brought up after *one* rule, and for the state, there was but little scope for indulging these private tastes. There, also, the youth became a man at eighteen; but the name now imposed upon him, εἴρην, which means ἄρχων generally, sufficiently denotes his position among the public. Plutarch, *Lyc.* 17: Εἴρενας δὲ καλοῦσι τοὺς ἔτος ἤδη δεύτερον ἐκ παίδων γεγονότας· μελλείρενας δὲ τῶν παίδων τοὺς πρεσβυτάτους. Οὗτος

οὖν ὁ εἴρην εἴκοσιν ἔτη γεγονὼς ἄρχει τε τῶν ὑποτεταγμένων ἐν ταῖς μάχαις, καὶ κατ' οἶκον ὑπηρέταις χρῆται πρὸς τὸ δεῖπνον. See Müller's *Dorians*, ii. p. 309, Note.

The chief works on Grecian pædagogy, besides Göss, *die Erziehungswissenschaft nach den Grundsätzen der Griechen und Römer*, are Friedr. Cramer, *Gesch. der Erziehung und der Unterrichts im Alterth.*, and the *Erziehungslehre* of Schwarz. A small work by Adolph Cramer, *de Educatione Puerorum apud Athenienses*, is better than either; but the most ingenious, though it occasionally sacrifices reality to an ideal, is *Die Erziehung der Hellenen zur Sittlichkeit*, by Fr. Jacobs.

LIBRARY OF THE BOMBAY BRANCH OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY

EXCURSUS TO SCENE II.

THE HETÆRÆ.

IN a general survey of Greek customs, it will be impossible to omit giving an account of a class of the community which the moderns have denounced as most abandoned, and have branded with the utmost contumely; this will be apparent to any one who has merely gained from the Roman comic poets a faint notion of the prominent position which the hetæræ occupied in Grecian life. After the excellent treatise on this subject by Jacobs, it might be supposed that further elucidation was needless; but that writer, vivid and truthful though his sketch may be, instead of investigating the matter in all its bearings, has preferred to dwell on the brighter side of his subject. The present writer, on the contrary, has determined not to shrink from a further scrutiny; his intention in this work being to paint the individual traits of character, and not to omit even the minutest features requisite to complete the picture.

In one point he certainly differs from Jacobs, namely, as to the expression of public opinion on the intercourse of married men with hetæræ. Doubtless it was the young unmarried men who chiefly indulged in this vice; but it is also true that men frequently resorted to their old practices after marriage, and this without losing grade in the popular opinion, unless they threw aside all propriety and respect for their wives, as was the case with Alcibiades. Andoc. *in Alcib.* p. 117. In no instance are such proceedings reprehended, but, on the contrary, the language held everywhere plainly shews that it was considered nothing uncommon. See Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1351. Plato himself, who, in his ideal State, wished to see realized much that was impracticable, nevertheless despaired of the possibility of restricting his citizens to the lawful intercourse of marriage. *Leg.* viii. p. 841. The manner in which these vices were regarded by the women is seen from Aristoph. *Eccles.* 720:

ΠΡ. ἔπειτα τὰς πόρνας καταπαῦσαι βούλομαι
ἀπαξαπάσας. ΒΛ. ἵνα τί; ΠΡ. δῆλον τουτογί·
ἵνα τῶν νέων ἔχωμεν αὐταὶ τὰς ἀκμάς.
καὶ τάς γε δούλας οὐχὶ δεῖ κοσμουμένας
τὴν τῶν ἐλευθέρων ὑφαρπάζειν Κύπριν.

But although the wife could, and often did, reproach her offending husband, yet probably she could not institute a κακώσεως δίκη against him. The instances adduced by Petit, *Leg. Att.* p. 543, have no reference to hetæræ, and the only passage which is clearly in point is Alciph. *Epist.* i. 6, where the wife says to her husband: ἢ οὖν πέπαυσο τῆς ἀγερωχίας....ἢ ἴσθι με παρὰ τὸν πατέρα οἰχησομένην, ὃς οὐδ' ἐμὲ περιόψεται, καὶ σὲ γράψεται παρὰ τοῖς δικασταῖς κακώσεως. But here, in addition to the other causes of complaint, the husband had entirely neglected his family; and it would be rash to build an hypothesis on a single testimony, especially on one of such a date as that just referred to. We have moreover the testimony of Plautus, that the exact contrary was the case; *Merc.* iv. 6, 3:

Nam si vir scortum duxit clam uxorem suam,
Id si rescivit uxor, impune est viro.
Uxor viro si clam domo egressa est foras,
Viro fit causa: exigitur matrimonio.
Utinam lex esset eadem, quæ uxori est, viro.

The public opinion with reference to the intercourse of men with hetæræ is well illustrated by the decision of the diætetes in the case of Neæra, to whom both Phynion and Stephanos laid claim; Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1360: συνεῖναι δ' ἑκατέρῳ ἡμέραν παρ' ἡμέραν. *Ib.* p. 1361: κατὰ ταῦτε διήλλαξαν Φρυνίωνα καὶ Στέφανον, χρῆσθαι ἑκάτερον Νεαίρᾳ τὰς ἴσας ἡμέρας τοῦ μηνὸς παρ' ἑαυτοῖς ἔχοντας.

The prevalence of the fear of having a large family, which is shewn by the frequency of the exposure of infants, as well as an addiction to sensual enjoyments, were both prominent features in the Greek character, though apt to be discordant in their results; and it must not be denied, that in the period of their greatest refinement, sensuality, if not the mother, was, at all events, the nurse, of the Greek perception of the beautiful. A curious proof of this is afforded by the artifice by which Hyperides procured a verdict in Phryne's favour, by suddenly rending her garment, and displaying her beautiful bust before the judges. See Plutarch, *Dec. Orat. Vit.* 9; Athen. xiii. p. 590; Alciph. *Epist.* 30, 31, 32.

It was thus by an Attic euphemism that those females who did not belong to the very lowest class were termed ἑταῖραι rather than πόρναι. Plutarch, *Solon*, 15; Athen. xiii. p. 571: καλοῦσι δὲ καὶ τὰς μισθαρνούσας ἑταίρας καὶ τὸ ἐπὶ συνουσίαις μισθαρνεῖν ἑταιρεῖν, οὐκ ἔτι πρὸς τὸ ἔντιμον ἀναφέροντες, ἀλλὰ πρὸς τὸ εὐσχημονέστερον.

Jacobs is right in his remark that these women, with the exception of the Milesian Aspasia, were never respected; though the more decent portion of them were not exactly despised. It will be convenient to class them in certain grades and divisions. The lowest were the common prostitutes kept in the public πορνεῖα, state-institutions, which were first established by Solon. Athen. xiii. p. 569: καὶ Φιλήμων δ' ἐν Ἀδελφοῖς προσιστορῶν ὅτι πρῶτος Σόλων διὰ τὴν τῶν νέων ἀκμὴν ἔστησεν ἐπὶ οἰκημάτων γύναια πριάμενος. The passage of Philemon here referred to is as follows:

καί μοι λέγειν τοῦτ' ἐστὶν ἁρμοστὸν, Σόλων,
μεστὴν ὁρῶντα τὴν πόλιν νεωτέρων,
τούτους τ' ἔχοντας τὴν ἀναγκαίαν φύσιν
ἁμαρτάνοντάς τ' εἰς ὃ μὴ προσῆκον ἦν,
στῆσαι πριάμενόν τοι γυναῖκας κατὰ τόπους
κοινὰς ἅπασι καὶ κατεσκευασμένας.

Cf. Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* vii. p. 271. The state also countenanced the proceedings of all such females by levying a tax upon them, which was annually farmed out. The evidence on this point is satisfactory and conclusive; Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 134: θαυμάζει γὰρ εἰ μὴ πάντες μέμνησθ', ὅτι καθ' ἕκαστον ἐνιαυτὸν ἡ βουλὴ πωλεῖ τὸ πορνικὸν τέλος· καὶ τοὺς πριαμένους τὸ τέλος τοῦτο οὐκ εἰκάζειν, ἀλλ' ἀκριβῶς εἰδέναι τοὺς ταύτῃ χρωμένους τῇ ἐργασίᾳ. See Böckh's *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 333. In these public πορνεῖα the πόρναι were accustomed to stand lightly clad, γυμναί. So Xenarchos ap. Athen. xiii. p. 568:

ἂς ἔξεσθ' ὁρᾶν
εἰληθερούσας στέρν' ἀπημφιεσμένας,
γυμνὰς, ἐφεξῆς τ' ἐπὶ κέρως τεταγμένας·

or, according to Eubulos, ἐν λεπτοπήνοις ὕφεσιν ἑστώσας. The admittance fee was but an obole. See Philemon, ap. Athen. *Ib.*: ἡ θύρα 'στ' ἀνεῳγμένη. εἷς ὀβολὸς· εἰσπήδησον. A step removed from these were the houses of the πορνοβοσκοὶ, *lenones* and *lenæ*, who gained a livelihood by keeping a number of girls, and into

whose hands children, exposed by their parents, often fell. See Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1351, where we read that Nicarete, a freedwoman, having obtained seven children of this sort in their earliest infancy, now supported herself by means of them. Cf. Plaut. *Cistell.;* and Isæus *de Philoctem. Hered.* p. 134. These wretches, who were more contemned than the hetæræ themselves, would let out the girls for long periods together, and even to several persons at the same time, and this does not seem to have excited jealousy. Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1353: *μετὰ ταῦτα τοίνυν ἐν τῇ Κορίνθῳ αὐτῆς ἐπιφανῶς ἐργαζομένης καὶ οὔσης λαμπρᾶς, ἄλλοι τε ἐρασταὶ γίγνονται, καὶ Ξενοκλείδης ὁ ποιητὴς καὶ Ἵππαρχος ὁ ὑποκριτής. καὶ εἶχον αὐτὴν μεμισθωμένοι.* But the *πόρναι* were sometimes purchased outright from the *πορνοβοσκός*, either by one or more persons, as was the case with Neæra herself. Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1354: *μετὰ ταῦτα τοίνυν αὐτῆς γίγνονται ἐρασταὶ δύο,.....κατατιθέασιν αὐτῆς* (Νεαίρας) *τιμὴν τριάκοντα μνᾶς τοῦ σώματος τῇ Νικαρέτῃ καὶ ὠνοῦνται αὐτὴν παρ' αὐτῆς νόμῳ πόλεως καθάπαξ αὐτῶν δούλην εἶναι.* Another case, where there were also two joint purchasers, is mentioned by Lysias, *de Vuln. Præm.* pp. 166, 172. Instances of such sales are very common in the comic writers.

These houses, as well as those before mentioned, are called *πορνεῖα, παιδισκεῖα*, (Athen. x. p. 437,) or *οἰκήματα*, whence the expressions *ἐν οἰκήματι καθῆσθαι, ἐξ οἰκήματος γύναια*, &c., and they are also called *ἐργαστήρια* (Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1367; Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 137); which has reference to the expressions *ἐργάζεσθαι τῷ σώματι*, or *ἀφ' ὥρας ἐργάζεσθαι*, Plutarch, *Timol.* 14. But we must distinguish between those houses which anybody was free to enter, and those inhabited by females who had been let for specified periods, as just described, sometimes under written contracts; *κατὰ συγγραφὰς μισθωθῆναι καὶ γραμματεῖον.* Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 160; and Plaut. *Asin.* iv. 1. This will explain the words of Ballio in Plaut. *Pseud.* i. 2, 91. Cf. Antiph. *de Venef.* p. 611. Among these females, as appears abundantly from the comic writers, there were many born to better things, and with minds far above the vile trade which they were compelled by circumstances to follow: this may be considered as some palliation of the intercourse with them.

Many hetæræ lived by themselves, and independently. First

among these comes the numerous class of freed-women, comprehending the flute-players, *αὐλητρίδες*, and the cither-players, *κιθαρίστριαι*, who were hired to assist at the domestic sacrifices, (Plaut. *Epid.* iii. 4, 64; Millin, *Peint. de Vas. Gr.* i. 8,) and, like the *ὀρχηστρίδες*, or dancing-girls, served to give zest to the pleasures of the symposia. But these girls generally followed the profession of hetæræ also; and that this was often the purpose of their presence at such drinking-scenes, is manifest from numerous antiques. The younger men often assembled at the houses of these persons. See Isocr. *Areop.* 18, p. 202: *Τοιγαροῦν οὐκ ἐν τοῖς σκιραφείοις οἱ νεώτεροι διέτριβον, οὐδ' ἐν ταῖς αὐλητρίσιν, οὐδ' ἐν τοῖς τοιούτοις συλλόγοις, ἐν οἷς νῦν διημερεύουσιν.* Others frequented taverns, as appears from the expression, *προσεταιρίζεσθαι ἐς πανδοχεῖον*, used by Lucian, *Philopatr.* 9.

Many of those in this class were probably distinguished for wit and vivacity; but those remarkable personages, who by their intellect and powers of fascination perhaps, rather than by their beauty, exerted such an extensive sway over their age, and who, by the position in which they stood to the greatest men of the day, have secured an historic celebrity, were sprung from a different order. For Aspasia and the Corinthian Lais, as well as Phryne and Pythionice, were aliens, *ξέναι*, and Lamia was the daughter of a free Athenian citizen. Many penniless and unbefriended maidens who went to Athens, Corinth, and the larger cities without any intention of becoming hetæræ, were afterwards, by degrees, borne away into the vortex. So the Andrian, Chrysis; Terent. *Andr.* i. 1, 42. Others, on the contrary, probably repaired to the great cities with the express object of making their *début* in this character. Among such may be reckoned the Thais and the two Bacchides of Terence, as well as the Bacchides and Phronesium of Plautus, with several mentioned by Athenæus and by other writers. The lives and characters of nine of the most renowned have been capitally sketched by Jacobs.

Corinth seems to have surpassed all other cities in the number of its hetæræ, to whom the wealth and splendour of the place, as well as the crowd of wealthy merchants, who were not very scrupulous in their habits of life, held out the prospect of a rich harvest. Nor was it in numbers only that this city

was pre-eminent; but in magnificence, elegance, and luxurious refinement, if not in genuine cultivation of mind also, its hetæræ eclipsed even those of Athens; so that Κορινθία κόρη became an adage expressive of the acme of voluptuousness. So Plato, *Republ.* iii. p. 404, after condemning Συρακουσία τράπεζα, Ἀττικὰ πέμματα, &c., proceeds: ψέγεις ἄρα καὶ Κορινθίαν κόρην φίλην εἶναι ἀνδράσι μέλλουσιν εὖ σώματος ἕξειν. Cf. Aristoph. *Plut.* 149. Strabo, viii. 6, 20, relates that the temple of Aphrodite numbered above a thousand hetæræ as hierodulæ, whom he describes as the ruin of foreigners: τό τε τῆς Ἀφροδίτης ἱερὸν οὕτω πλούσιον ὑπῆρξεν, ὥστε πλείους ἢ χιλίας ἱεροδούλους ἐκέκτητο ἑταίρας, ἃς ἀνετίθεσαν τῇ θεῷ καὶ ἄνδρες καὶ γυναῖκες. Καὶ διὰ ταύτας οὖν ἐπολυοχλεῖτο ἡ πόλις καὶ ἐπλουτίζετο· οἱ γὰρ ναύκληροι ῥᾳδίως ἐξανηλίσκοντο καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ἡ παροιμία φησίν,

Οὐ παντὸς ἀνδρὸς ἐς Κόρινθόν ἐσθ' ὁ πλοῦς.

As Κορινθία κόρη was a synonym for an hetæra, so κορινθιάζεσθαι stood for ἑταιρεῖν. Eustath. *ad Il.* ii. 570. Equally significant is the term ἀνδροκόρινθος applied to the infamous town Heraclea. Athen. viii. p. 351. So Dio Chrysos. *Orat.* xxxvii. p. 119, says to the Corinthians: πόλιν οἰκεῖτε τῶν οὐσῶν τε καὶ γεγενημένων ἐπαφροδιτοτάτην. Cf. Plutarch, *Prov. Alex.* p. 1270. At Sparta, on the contrary, particularly in the days of Lycurgic austerity, hetæræ found no great encouragement, the sturdy manners of the people comporting best with a *Venus armata.* Plutarch, *de Fort. Rom.* 4: ὥσπερ οἱ Σπαρτιᾶται τὴν Ἀφροδίτην λέγουσι διαβαίνουσαν τὸν Εὐρώταν τὰ μὲν ἔσοπτρα καὶ τοὺς χλιδῶνας καὶ τὸν κεστὸν ἀποθέσθαι, δόρυ δὲ καὶ ἀσπίδα λαβεῖν κοσμουμένην τῷ Λυκούργῳ.

The external life of these females and the intercourse with them presents a less offensive aspect, when, as sometimes happened, they attracted, not so much by the subtle arts of studied coquetry, as by their merry sprightliness, and by the well-timed interchange of unreserved freedom with apparent prudery. But on closer examination, we may compare them to baskets of noxious weeds and garbage, covered over with roses. Their dissolute habits, their extravagance and debauchery, could not but lead, in spite of outward show and glitter, to disorder, filth, and penury. Exceptions of course occurred. On the visit of Socrates, the

house of Theodota, as well as all its inmates, appear, according to Xenophon's description, to have been perfectly decent and proper. *Memor.* iii. 11, 4: Ἐκ δὲ τούτου ὁ Σωκράτης ὁρῶν αὐτήν τε πολυτελῶς κεκοσμημένην, καὶ μητέρα παροῦσαν αὐτῇ ἐν ἐσθῆτι καὶ θεραπείᾳ οὐ τῇ τυχούσῃ, καὶ θεραπαίνας πολλὰς καὶ εὐειδεῖς, καὶ οὐδὲ ταύτας ἠμελημένως ἐχούσας, καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις τὴν οἰκίαν ἀφθόνως κατεσκευασμένην, εἶπε, κ.τ.λ. But the very surprise of Socrates proves that he expected to find the usual absence of decency and comfort. So Terence, *Eun.* v. 4, 12, mentions, 'Harum inluviem, sordes, inopiam.' If we add to this, that they could have seldom felt any genuine affection, and that beneath the mask of devotion lurked trickery and avarice, with constant scheming to plunder their besotted admirers—there is left only a wretched, disconsolate picture of existence, darkened by the gloomy perspective of a time when their charms should have faded away. And this was, generally, the character of professional hetæræ, of whom Thais, as drawn by Menander, (Meineke, p. 75,) may be taken as a representative:

> θρασεῖαν, ὡραίαν δὲ καὶ πιθανὴν ἅμα,
> ἀδικοῦσαν, ἀποκλείουσαν, αἰτοῦσαν πυκνά,
> μηθενὸς ἐρῶσαν, προσποιουμένην δ' ἀεί.

The first rule of life was, 'assimulare amare oportet,' (Plaut. *Cist.* i. 1, 98,) and this principle is well carried out in the *Truculentus*, i. 2; and ii. 1. Cf. Isocrat. *de Pace*, 33, p. 242. So again, Dicæarchos says, speaking of Athens, φυλακτέον δ' ὡς ἔνι μάλιστα τὰς ἑταίρας, μὴ λάθῃ τις ἡδέως ἀπολόμενος. *Stat. Gr.* p. 10. What credit their admirers attached to the sincerity of their professions may be gathered from the quaint words of Aristippos, as reported by Plutarch, *Amat.* 4: ὡς ἐμαρτύρησεν Ἀρίστιππος τῷ κατηγοροῦντι Λαΐδος πρὸς αὐτόν, ὡς οὐ φιλούσης, ἀποκρινάμενος, Ὅτι καὶ τὸν οἶνον οἴεται καὶ τὸν ἰχθὺν μὴ φιλεῖν αὐτόν, ἀλλ' ἡδέως ἑκατέρῳ χρῆται. Cf. Athen. xiii. p. 588.

Alexis, apud Athen. xiii. p. 568, recounts the expedients of the hetæræ for heightening their charms, or replacing those which they had lost. See Note 42 to Scene IX. Many, however, increased their attractiveness by wit and humour, as well as by intellectual acquirements. Though not perhaps possessed of very profound scientific attainments, yet at all events they displayed a degree of

learning much above that of the ordinary run of Greek women, and on this some prided themselves not a little. Athen. xiii. p. 583: *καὶ ἄλλαι δὲ ἑταῖραι μέγα ἐφρόνουν ἐφ' αὑταῖς, παιδείας ἀντεχόμεναι καὶ τοῖς μαθήμασι χρόνον ἀπομερίζουσαι.* Thus the Arcadian Lastheneia was a pupil of Plato, (Athen. xii. p. 546,) and Leontion a hearer of Epicurus (*ib.* xiii. p. 588). Still the witticisms of a Lamia, a Mania, or a Gnathæna, of which Athenæus has preserved so many specimens, are coarse, though pointed, and would argue that they often descended into real grossness and immodesty in their conversation.

The price paid by Hipparchos and Xenocleides for Neæra was thirty minæ, or three thousand drachmæ. Gnathæna, on the other hand, demanded one thousand drachmæ as the price of her daughter's society for a single night; but these *μεγαλόμισθοι ἑταῖραι* had to stoop to lower prices when their beauty was on the wane. See the fragment of Epicrates respecting Lais, which has been preserved by Athenæus, xiii. p. 570:

ἰδεῖν μὲν αὐτὴν ῥᾷόν ἐστι καὶ πτύσαι.
ἐξέρχεται δὲ πανταχόσ' ἤδη πιομένη,
δέχεται δὲ καὶ στατῆρα καὶ τριώβολον,
προσίεται δὲ καὶ γέροντα καὶ νέον.

Phryne, on one occasion, demands a mina, (Athen. xiii. p. 583,) and in other instances the price descends to five, (Lucian, *Dial. Mer.* xi.) two, (Athen. xiii. p. 596,) and even the single drachma which is paid by the Scythian in Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 1195. In cases of longer intimacy presents of clothes, trinkets, and slaves, were made; and if the lady did not live with her erastes, as was usually the case, he bore the expense of the symposia they had together, and often the entire charges of her housekeeping.

In the circle of their suitors, these beauties were fêted, loved, and idolized; but their position with regard to the community at large was unenviable enough; for though they were far from being so despicable in the eyes of their contemporaries as the same class of persons is at the present day, still they were always looked on as legitimate objects for wanton mischief and jokes of all descriptions; as we see from the contemptuous appellations applied to them, *παιδοσίαι, λεωφόροι, δημιουργοὶ γυναῖκες, χαλκιδίται, σποδησιλαῦραι*, and so on. But more biting nick-

names were often given them; thus two Athenian hetæræ, and they τῶν οὐκ ἀσήμων, in addition to their own names of Melissa and Nicion, bear the sobriquets of Θεατροτορύνη and Κυνάμυια. Athen. iv. p. 157. So Nico was yclept Αἶξ, Callisto Ὗς, (Athen. xiii. p. 582,) Lais Ἀξίνη, (Ælian, *Var. hist.* xii. 5,) and Phanostrata Φθειροπύλη, for the amusing reason, ἐπειδήπερ ἐπὶ τῆς θύρας ἑστῶσα ἐφθειρίζετο. Athen. xiii. p. 586. They were beyond the pale of the ordinary law in all cases of μοιχεία, βία, and προαγωγεία; cf. Demosth. *Mid.* p. 525; Lysias *in Theomn.* p. 361; and Plutarch, *Sol.* 23. See Excursus on *The Markets and Commerce*, where these passages are all discussed at length.

It has been asserted that they were compelled to wear a peculiar dress, and were specially distinguished by garments of divers colours. See Petit. *Leg. Att.* p. 576. Suidas, it is true, says, νόμος Ἀθήνησι τὰς ἑταίρας ἄνθινα φορεῖν: but the word νόμος here decidedly means nothing more than custom, or habit; and there certainly never was any law of Solon's to this effect, nor does a single author of the better period hint at any such distinctive attire. The very passages quoted by Petit from Artemidorus and Clemens Alexandrinus contain no proof. The words of the latter, (*Pædag.* iii. 2,) οὕτω τὴν μοιχαλίδα δεικνύουσι τὰ ἀνθίσματα, mean nothing more than his previous assertion, οὐ γὰρ γυναικὸς, ἀλλ' ἑταίρας τὸ φιλόκοσμον: and the laws of Zaleucos, (Diod. Sic. xii. 21,) as well as the Syracusan ordinance, (Phylarch. apud Athen. xii. p. 521,) contained sumptuary restrictions for free women merely, and were not designed for the regulation of the dress of the hetæræ. And Clemens Alex. *Pædag.* ii. 10, plainly alludes to something of the same kind when he says: Ἄγαμαι τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων τὴν πόλιν τὴν παλαιὰν, ἣ μόναις ταῖς ἑταίραις ἀνθίνας ἐσθῆτας καὶ χρυσοῦν κόσμον ἐπέτρεψε φορεῖν, ἀφαιρουμένη τῶν δοκίμων γυναικῶν τὴν φιλοκοσμίαν, τῷ μόναις ἐφεῖναι καλλοπίζεσθαι ταῖς ἑταιρούσαις. The courtesan, desirous of looking as attractive as possible, would naturally adopt a gayer and more pretentious dress than the sober habiliments of the Grecian dame, just as she bestowed more pains on the dressing of her hair, though this was certainly not done after any particular fashion prescribed by law. Lucian, *Bis Accus.* 31: κοσμουμένην καὶ τὰς τρίχας εὐθετίζουσαν εἰς τὸ ἑταιρικόν. So

also Id. *Ver. Hist.* ii. 46: γυναῖκες πάνυ ἑταιρικῶς κεκοσμημέναι. If the hetæræ had been really forced by law to wear a party-coloured dress, the wonder would be why this was never adduced to decide the point, when the question was raised as to whether a woman was an hetæra or not; and in that case it would have been impossible that such an error or dispute could have arisen as that between Stephanos and Epænetos; Demosth. *in Neær.* Hence it is evident that the mistake has been made of supposing this sort of dress to have been compulsory by law, while, in fact, it was only voluntarily adopted by the hetæræ. Respecting the party-coloured garments, see Excursus on *The Dress.*

EXCURSUS I. TO SCENE III.

THE GRECIAN HOUSE.

IN the total absence of any remains of a Grecian house, in the scarcity of trustworthy descriptions, and the great confusion of the terms used for its various parts, to re-construct it in a satisfactory manner is no easy task. That the house had its Thyroreion, Peristyle, Gynæconitis and Andronitis, its Thalamos and Amphithalamos, we know, but their relative positions are not so clear. Many writers have blindly followed Vitruvius' hasty account of a Grecian house of his own day, though the construction he describes was certainly not, even then, in universal use, and they utterly disregard the contradictions he meets with from other and more trustworthy authorities; while others throw him overboard, and insist, in direct opposition to his statements, that the front of the house was the ἀνδρωνῖτις, the back the γυναικωνῖτις.

The translators of Vitruvius,—Perrault, Galiani, Ortiz y Sanz, Newton, and Rode,—do little or nothing towards solving the difficulties which present themselves. There are also recent English translations by Wilkins and Gwilt. Of the editors, Stratico and Marini are commonplace and incompetent, but Schneider's is a truly valuable critical edition. But neither in this, nor in his other work, *Epimetrum ad Xenoph. Mem.* iii. 8, 9, does he give any plan, or attempt to explain the construction of the whole house, *dispositio singularum partium*, as Vitruvius expresses it, p. 485. The other works on this subject are Scamozzi, *Architettura*, uncritical in the extreme; Stieglitz, *Archäol. d. Baukunst*, in which Vitruvius is blindly followed; Hirts, *Gesch. d. Bauk.* a poor affair; Barthélemy, *Anachars.* Vol. ii.; and lastly, Böttiger, *Prol.* i. *de Medea Eurip.*, which contains some good remarks interspersed with a few errors. Such being the state of the subject, we can hardly hope to present a complete and satisfactory restoration of the Grecian house; it will not be difficult, however, to rectify many of the absurdities and errors which have been again and again repeated by successive writers.

The Grecian house at the time of our story was entirely different from the palaces of the Homeric chiefs, in which the female apartments were invariably in the upper story, ὑπερῷον, a construction which was the exception, and not the rule, in after times. Hence Voss' plan of the house of Odysseus requires no comment here. Of the changes which took place in the period between Homer and the Peloponnesian war, we know next to nothing; but there is no reason to suppose that the houses at this latter period differed materially from those in the time of Solon and the Pisistratidæ. So that the hundred years from the beginning of the war, to the time of Alexander, will be the period now under investigation. After this latter date great changes probably took place.

Of course the writers who flourished during this epoch are our best authorities; yet the information which we derive from them is so detached and disconnected, that it will be better to attempt, in the first place, to re-construct the house from the description of Vitruvius, (vi. 7,) which these scattered notices will serve to elucidate or correct. The passage in Vitruvius is as follows: 'Atriis Græci quia non utuntur, neque ædificant, sed ab janua introeuntibus itinera faciunt latitudinibus non spatiosis, et ex una parte equilia, ex altera ostiariis cellas, statimque januæ interiores finiuntur. Hic autem locus inter duas januas græce θυρωρεῖον appellatur. Deinde est introitus in peristylion: id peristylion in tribus partibus habet porticus; in ea parte, quæ spectat ad meridiem duas antas inter se spatio amplo distantes, in quibus trabes invehuntur, et quantum inter antas distat ex eo tertia demta spatium datur introrsus. Hic locus apud nonnullos προστὰς, apud alios παραστὰς nominatur. In his locis introrsus constituuntur œci magni, in quibus matres familiarum cum lanificis habent sessionem. In prostadii autem dextra ac sinistra cubicula sunt collocata, quorum unus thalamus, alterum amphithalamus dicitur. Circum autem in porticibus triclinia quotidiana, cubicula etiam et cellæ familiaricæ constituuntur. Hæc pars Gynæconitis appellatur. Conjunguntur autem his domus ampliores habentes latiora peristylia, etc.' Also in the description of the Andronitis, which comes next, we read: 'Habent autem eæ domus vestibula egregia et januas proprias cum dignitate, etc.'

According to this account, the house-door opened into an entrance-hall, on either side of which were the porter's lodge and the stables. Except with respect to the stables, this agrees with Poll. i. 77: *εἰσιόντων δὲ πρόθυρα, καὶ προπύλαια καὶ τὸν μὲν πυλῶνα καὶ θυρῶνα καλοῦσι.* This *πυλὼν* or *θυρὼν* is the *θυρωρεῖον* of Vitruvius. According to him, the entrance-hall was again provided with a door towards the interior, 'locus inter duas januas.' There must have been, from the nature of the case, an opening from it into the peristyle, but whether this was usually closed by an inner door, as Vitruvius asserts, is doubtful. The house-door itself was called *αὔλειος*, or *αὐλία θύρα*. See a fragment of Menander (Meineke, p. 87):

> *τοὺς τῆς γαμετῆς ὅρους ὑπερβαίνεις, γύναι,*
> *τὴν αὐλίαν· πέρας γὰρ αὔλιος θύρα*
> *ἐλευθέρᾳ γυναικὶ νενόμιστ' οἰκίας.*

Cf. Pind. *Nem.* i. 19; Eustath. ad *Iliad.* xxii. 66: *πρώτας θύρας λέγει τὰς αὐλείους.* Now a second door, before the *αὐλή*, is nowhere mentioned; but directly the *αὔλειος* is opened, you always entered at once into the peristyle. Nay, from Plutarch, *de Genio Socr.* 17, it is clear that the house-door was visible from the peristyle. Caphisias, standing in a corner of the peristyle, *τινα γωνίαν τοῦ περιστύλου*, exclaims: *Καὶ τίς οὗτος, ὁ πρὸς ταῖς αὐλείοις θύραις ἐφεστὼς πάλαι καὶ προσβλέπων ἡμῖν;* So that at all events such an inner door must not be considered indispensable. Vitruvius makes the passage lead immediately to the peristyle; Pollux, however, says: *εἶτα πρόδομος, καὶ προαύλιον, καὶ αὐλὴ τὸ ἔνδον, ἣν αἴθουσαν Ὅμηρος καλεῖ.* From this it would appear that the peristyle was not separated from the entrance-lodge, *θυρωρεῖον*, by a mere wall, but that there were rooms on that side of the peristyle with doors opening into it. Suidas, Hesychius, and Photius, moreover say: *προόδομος· ἡ τοῦ οἴκου παστὰς*, or *προστάς*. This, compared with what Vitruvius says on the *προστὰς* in the peristyle, may throw some light upon the subject.

In Pollux *αὐλὴ* means the same as *περιστύλιον*, and it corresponds to the *cavum ædium* of the Roman house, comprehending the open court in the middle, *ὕπαιθρον*, and the surrounding arcades: and from it access was gained to the other parts of the house. See Plato, *Symp.* p. 212; Plutarch, *de Gen. Socr.* 32: *Ὡς δὲ ἀπαγγείλας καὶ κελευσθεὶς ἀνοῖξαι τὸν μοχλὸν ἀφεῖλε καὶ μικρὸν ἐνέδωκε τὴν θύραν, ἐμπεσόντες ἀθρόοι καὶ ἀνατρέψαντες τὸν*

ἄνθρωπον ἴεντο δρόμῳ διὰ τῆς αὐλῆς ἐπὶ τὸν θάλαμον. That it served as a promenade appears from Plato, *Protag.* p. 311: ἀλλὰ δεῦρο ἐξαναστῶμεν εἰς τὴν αὐλήν, καὶ περιϊόντες αὐτοῦ διατρίψωμεν, ἕως ἂν φῶς γένηται. Meals were also taken there, as we see from Demosth. in *Euerg.* p. 1155: ἔτυχεν ἡ γυνή μου μετὰ τῶν παίδων ἀριστῶσα ἐν τῇ αὐλῇ. Here, too, the altar for domestic sacrifice was usually placed. Plato, *de Republ.* i. p. 328: τεθυκὼς γαρ ἐτύγχανεν ἐν τῇ αὐλῇ. Böckh assumes from Cic. *Verr.* iv. 2, that there were special *sacraria* in Greek private houses; but the instance adduced in that passage being from Sicily, and at a comparatively late period, affords by no means such a conclusive proof as to early Greek customs as is derived from Lycurg. *in Leocr.* p. 155: οὐ γὰρ ἐξήρκεσε τὸ σῶμα τὸ ἑαυτοῦ καὶ τὰ χρήματα μόνον ὑπεκθέσθαι, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ ἱερὰ τὰ πατρῷα, ἃ τοῖς ὑμετέροις καὶ πατρῴοις ἔθεσιν οἱ πρόγονοι παρέδοσαν αὐτῷ ἱδρυσάμενοι, ταῦτα μετεπέμψατο εἰς Μέγαρα καὶ ἐξήγαγεν ἐκ τῆς χώρας. As D'Orville, ad Charit. iii. 2, has observed, portable altars were employed for the purpose of sacrifice.

According to Vitruvius, this peristyle, which formed a square or oblong, had arcades on three sides only, namely, on that adjoining the entrance, and on those running parallel to each other on the right and left. On the side opposite the entrance, and in a line with the columns at the sides, were two pillars, *antæ*, between which lay a space, open toward the *αὐλή*, but enclosed on the other three sides, thus forming a kind of vestibule, whose depth was one third less than its breadth, i. e. the interval between the two pillars. Right and left of this προστὰς, παραστὰς, or παστὰς, as it was called, were the θάλαμος and ἀμφιθάλαμος; and behind these (*introrsus*), the large saloons for the wool-working: while around the αὐλὴ were the eating and sitting rooms, and cells for the slaves.

This description of Vitruvius would be perfectly intelligible were it not that he has all along been talking of the gynæconitis, which he thus assumes to lie next the street; and he presently begins to talk of the andronitis in the words, 'Conjunguntur autem his domus ampliores.' This however is entirely at variance with all the information as to the women's apartments which we derive from the Greeks themselves. But before proceeding to discuss these Greek accounts, we must advert to two erroneous

suppositions that have been often made. First, all the houses have been universally assumed to have been free and isolated, and in no way connected with other buildings. Those in the suburbs and ἐν ἀγροῖς, nay many in the town, may have been so, but the majority of houses must have been built close together, and even with party-walls. So Thucydides, ii. 3, speaking of Platæa, says: καὶ ξυνελέγοντο διορύσσοντες τοὺς κοινοὺς τοίχους παρ' ἀλλήλους, ὅπως μὴ διὰ τῶν ὁδῶν φανεροὶ ὦσιν ἰόντες. Also Isæus, *de Philoctem. Hered.* p. 143: τὰ δὲ χρήματα ἔνδοθεν ἐξεφορήσαντο μετὰ τῆς ἀνθρώπου εἰς τὴν ὁμότοιχον οἰκίαν, ἣν ᾤκει μεμισθωμένος εἷς τούτων. Plaut. *Mil. Glor.* ii. 1, 62; Demosth. *in Androt.* p. 609: τέγος ὡς τοὺς γείτονας ὑπερβαίνειν. None of the restorations yet proposed will apply to houses such as these.

Secondly, it is frequently assumed that Vitruvius' description applies not to the ancient houses, but merely to those of later date; and that in earlier times the houses consisted of two stories, the andronitis being on the ground-floor, and the upper floor, ὑπερῷον, being appropriated to the gynæconitis. Now as regards the period from Homer to the time of the Persian invasion there is absolute lack of all evidence on the one side or the other, and the scanty notices extant which refer to the time of the Peloponnesian war, sufficiently prove that at that epoch the apartments of the women were *not generally* above stairs. The often-quoted passage in Lysias, *de Cæde Eratosth.* p. 12, runs: οἰκίδιόν ἐστί μοι διπλοῦν, ἴσα ἔχον τὰ ἄνω τοῖς κάτω, κατὰ τὴν γυναικωνῖτιν καὶ κατὰ τὴν ἀνδρωνῖτιν. ἐπειδὴ δὲ τὸ παιδίον ἐγένετο ἡμῖν, ἡ μήτηρ αὐτὸ ἐθήλαζεν. ἵνα δὲ μὴ, ὁπότε λούεσθαι δέοι, κινδυνεύοι κατὰ τῆς κλίμακος καταβαίνουσα, ἐγὼ μὲν ἄνω διητώμην, αἱ δὲ γυναῖκες κάτω. To this we may add Aristoph. *Eccles.* 961: καταδραμοῦσα τὴν θύραν ἄνοιξον. These passages no doubt refer to an upper story; but this does not necessarily shew that such was of usual occurrence. What Plutarch relates, *ad Princ. Iner.* 4; *Arat.* 26; and *Pelop.* 35, has reference to the two tyrants, Aristippos, and Alexander of Pheræ, who take special precautions for their personal safety; and from this therefore we cannot infer the general practice. Achilles Tatius, ii. 26, says: καὶ ὁ Κλεινίας, ἐν ὑπερῴῳ γὰρ τὸν θάλαμον εἶχε. But this cannot be quoted as an authority, on account of the late time at which the author wrote. Now the house mentioned by Lysias, *supra*, was a small one, and therefore

its confined plan might have made it necessary for the gynæconitis to be constructed on the upper floor, which would then be a very suitable position. Moreover Euphiletos need not have explained to the judges, *οἰκίδιόν ἐστί μοι διπλοῦν*, if houses were commonly so constructed. In another passage Lysias mentions a gynæconitis which is certainly not in a *ὑπερῷον*; *adv. Simon.* p. 139: *ἐλθὼν ἐπὶ τὴν οἰκίαν τὴν ἐμὴν νύκτωρ μεθύων, ἐκκόψας τὰς θύρας εἰσῆλθεν εἰς τὴν γυναικωνῖτιν.* Again, in the house of Ischomachos, the andronitis and gynæconitis adjoin each other; Xenoph. *Œcon.* 9, 5. So in Demosth. *in Euerg.* p. 1155, Mnesibulos and Euergos, passing through the back-door, arrive at the gynæconitis, and find the women in the *αὐλή*. Cf. Antipho, *de Veneſ.* p. 611. Nay, even the tragic poets seem sometimes to have had in view not the houses of the heroic age, but those of their own; see *Œdip. Tyr.* 1241-1262, where the *λέχη νυμφικά*, and therefore also the chambers of Jocasta, can only be supposed to be on the ground-floor.

All these citations go to prove that, in the historic period, the women's apartments were not in the *ὑπερῷον*, except under peculiar circumstances; but the passages referring to the doors which connected the gynæconitis with the rest of the house, afford still more conclusive evidence. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 9, 5; *Ἔδειξα δὲ καὶ τὴν γυναικωνῖτιν αὐτῇ θύραν βαλανείῳ* (sic) *ὡρισμένην ἀπὸ τῆς ἀνδρωνίτιδος, ἵνα μήτε ἐκφέρηται ἔνδοθεν ὅ,τι μὴ δεῖ, μήτε τεκνοποιῶνται οἱ οἰκέται ἄνευ τῆς ἡμετέρας γνώμης.* The words *θύραν βαλανείῳ ὡρισμένην ἀ. τ. ἀ.* are devoid of meaning, as all the editors agree: the most probable emendation appears to be that suggested by Professor Hermann, who would read *θύρᾳ βαλανωτῷ*, and this conjecture is confirmed by the phrase *ὀχεὺς βαλανωτός*, which occurs in a fragment of Parmenides, ap. Sext. Empir. p. 393. Two reasons are assigned by Xenophon for the andronitis and gynæconitis being separated by a door that could be kept locked; first, *ἵνα μὴ ἐκφέρηται ἔνδοθεν ὅ,τι μὴ δεῖ*, for the valuables and household stores were kept in the women's apartments, those of the men being devoted more to convivial purposes: secondly, *μήτε τεκνοποιῶνται οἱ οἰκέται ἄνευ τῆς ἡμετέρας γνώμης*, for the slaves also were separated according to their sex, the males being confined to the andronitis, the females to the gynæconitis. We learn then from this passage, that the gynæconitis lay beyond

the andronitis, and was separated from it by a single door, elsewhere called μέταυλος, μέσαυλος, or μεσαύλιος. The introduction of this door is the crucial test of all correct restorations of the Grecian house; and it must carefully be remembered, that one and the same door are denoted by μέταυλος and μέσαυλος, but that the latter, in its strict meaning, could not be used in every house instead of the more general word μέταυλος: for Schneider is quite wrong (*Epim.* p. 279,) in stating that μέταυλος was only the commoner Attic form of μέσαυλος. Without forgetting the connexion between μέσος and μετά, there appears to be a distinct reason why μέταυλος is preferred by earlier writers. The best-known passage in which μέταυλος occurs, is in Lysias, *de Cæde Eratosth.* p. 20: ἀναμιμνησκόμενος, ὅτι ἐν ἐκείνῃ τῇ νυκτὶ ἐψόφει ἡ μέταυλος θύρα καὶ ἡ αὔλειος. All however that we learn from this is, that in order to arrive at the gynæconitis it was necessary to pass through an interior door: but the point here to be noticed is that though this door does not, in this case, connect the andronitis and gynæconitis, (for the latter is in the ὑπερῷον,) yet it is still called μέταυλος. Whereas Mœr. Att. p. 264, says: μέταυλος, ἡ μέση τῆς ἀνδρωνίτιδος καὶ γυναικονίτιδος θύρα, Ἀττικῶς. μέσαυλος, Ἑλληνικῶς. See Schol. ad Apoll. Rhod. iii. 335: ἡ μέσαυλος ἡ φέρουσα εἴς τε τὴν ἀνδρωνῖτιν καὶ γυναικωνῖτιν. Cf. Plutarch, *Symp.* vii. 1: ἡ δὲ μέταυλος αὕτη (ἡ ἐπιγλωττὶς) κλίσιν ἐπ' ἀμφότερα λαμβάνουσα φθεγγομένων μὲν ἐπιπίπτει τῷ στομάχῳ σιτουμένων δὲ καὶ πινόντων τῇ ἀρτηρίᾳ. But the greatest weight is to be attached to a quotation from Ælius Dionysius in Eustath. ad *Iliad.* xi. 547: οἱ δὲ παλαιοὶ σημειοῦνται, ὡς Ἀττικοὶ μὲν τὴν μέσην θύραν μέσαυλόν φασι, μάλιστα μὲν οὖν τὴν μέσην δυοῖν αὐλαῖν, ὡς φησιν Αἴλιος Διονύσιος, ἣν καὶ μέταυλον αὐτὸς λέγει πρὸς ὁμοιότητα τοῦ μεθόριον καὶ μεταίχμιον. This explanation, taken in connexion with the passage in Lysias, enables us to determine the real meaning of μέταυλος, and its relation to μέσαυλος. In early times, when a private citizen passed most of his time amid the grandeur of the public edifices, his own abode was simple enough, and certainly did not possess two peristyles. Through the αὔλειος θύρα was the entrance into the αὐλή, which was in the front of the house, and which was in fact the peristyle, and was surrounded by the apartments appertaining to the andronitis. Beyond the peristyle, and separated from it by a door, was the gynæconitis.

This door was called μέταυλος, not because it connected the two departments of the house, but because it lay opposite to the αὔλειος, across or behind the αὐλή. But when houses were built on a more extensive plan, with a separate peristyle for both andronitis and gynæconitis, the door that joined them both still continued to be μέταυλος in reference to the αὔλειος; but in so far as it formed the passage from one αὐλή into the other, it was also at the same time μέσαυλος, and hence the words of Ælius Dionysius just quoted from Eustathius: μάλιστα τὴν μέσην δυοῖν αὐλαῖν. Houses in which there was but a single αὐλή appear to be alluded to by Plutarch, *de Curios.* 3: ἀλλὰ νῦν μέν εἰσι θυρωροὶ, πάλαι δὲ ῥόπτρα κρουόμενα πρὸς ταῖς θύραις αἴσθησιν παρεῖχεν, ἵνα μὴ τὴν οἰκοδέσποιναν ἐν μέσῳ καταλάβῃ ὁ ἀλλότριος, ἢ τὴν παρθένον, ἢ κολαζόμενον οἰκέτην ἢ κεκραγυίας τὰς θεραπαινίδας.

From all this it is clear that in the best period the women as well as the men lived on the ground-floor, and the latter always in the front of the house. But we must not suppose that the lady of the house was excluded entirely from the men's apartments; on the contrary it was only on the arrival of strangers that she retired to the gynæconitis; though the virgins remained there in all cases. Philo, *de Special. leg.* ii. p. 327: παρθένοις μὲν εἴσω κλισιάδων τὴν μεσαύλιον ὅρον πεποιημέναις, τελείαις δὲ ἤδη γυναιξὶ τὴν αὔλιον. See also Plutarch, *Arat.* 26.

This construction of a Grecian house, as gathered from the most trustworthy Greek authors, seems to be in direct contradiction to the description of Vitruvius. The commentators attempt to reconcile the discrepancy by the most opposite hypotheses. Perrault, Marini, and Weiske, utterly regardless of Grecian habits, place the gynæconitis next the entrance, thus making it serve as a passage to the andronitis. With far greater probability Galiani, Ortiz, and Rode, suppose that the andronitis and gynæconitis, instead of being one behind the other, were side by side, and that the words of Vitruvius, 'Habent autem eæ domus vestibula egredia et januas proprias,' shew that each had a separate entrance to the street. The principal difficulty of this hypothesis is that no other author gives any hint of such an arrangement. Still in towns of lax morality, such as Alexandria, it may have been found inconvenient to have to pass through the andronitis to get into the gynæconitis, and so each may have had its own separate entrance.

Still, even in the time of Vitruvius, such a disposition cannot be supposed the ordinary one; and moreover, the description of this author appears to belong more to the mansions of the opulent than to the residences of ordinary citizens.

It still remains to inquire why Vitruvius treats first of the gynæconitis, as if it were the principal part of the house, when the andronitis would seem naturally to claim an earlier notice, as well from its greater size and more pretentious appearance, as from being the part that was probably first entered. The reason may perhaps have been because the former still retained the original form of the house, while the latter had the appearance of a modern adjunct. Indeed the women's apartments were in reality the most important part of the edifice, containing, as they did, the *θάλαμος*, and the household stores and other valuables. With regard to the gynæconitis, we may rest assured that the description in Vitruvius will also very well suit the period here in question, except that in his account the *μέσαυλος* is not opposite to the *αὔλειος*, but on the side against which the andronitis was built. The remainder of the Roman architect's description contains nothing of moment, and will therefore only supply an occasional hint as we proceed.

In building a house a great point was to have as much sun as possible in winter, and in summer very little. Hence the main front mostly faced the south, or at least the porticoes on this side were built higher. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 9, 4: *καὶ σύμπασαν δὲ τὴν οἰκίαν ἐπέδειξα αὐτῇ, ὅτι πρὸς μεσημβρίαν ἀναπέπταται, ὥστε εὔδηλον εἶναι, ὅτι χειμῶνος μὲν εὐήλιός ἐστι, τοῦ δὲ θέρους εὔσκιος. Memor.* iii. 8, 9: *οἰκοδομεῖν δεῖ ὑψηλότερα μὲν τὰ πρὸς μεσημβρίαν, ἵνα ὁ χειμερινὸς ἥλιος μὴ ἀποκλείηται. χθαμαλώτερα δὲ τὰ πρὸς ἄρκτον, ἵνα οἱ ψυχροὶ μὴ ἐμπίπτωσιν ἄνεμοι.* Cf. Aristot. *Œcon.* i. 6; so also Vitruvius: 'una (porticus) quæ ad meridiem spectat excelsioribus columnis constituitur.' A peristyle of this sort was called *Rhodiacum.*

The Athenian residences at the time of the Peloponnesian war were certainly neither large nor stately structures. According to Thucydides, ii. 14, the Athenians preferred living on their estates in the country to residing in the city, and hence the country-houses were even superior to those in the town. Isocr. *Areop.* 20, p. 203: *ὥστε καλλίους εἶναι καὶ πολυτελεστέρας τὰς οἰκήσεις καὶ*

τὰς ἐπισκευὰς τὰς ἐπὶ τῶν ἀγρῶν, ἢ τὰς ἐντὸς τείχους. Cf. Thucyd. ii. 65: οἱ δὲ δυνατοὶ (ἐλυποῦντο) καλὰ κτήματα κατὰ τὴν χώραν οἰκοδομίαις τε καὶ πολυτελέσι κατασκευαῖς ἀπολωλεκότες. That the houses in the town were not remarkably commodious or handsome appears from Dicæarch. *Stat. Græc.* p. 8: αἱ μὲν πολλαὶ τῶν οἰκιῶν εὐτελεῖς, ὀλίγαι δὲ χρήσιμαι. It was not till the Macedonian era, when public spirit had gradually decayed, and private persons, not satisfied with participating in the grandeur of the state, became desirous of emulating it at home, that the private buildings became more spacious and magnificent, while public structures were proportionably neglected. Demosth. *Olynth.* iii. p. 36: ἔνιοι δὲ τὰς ἰδίας οἰκίας τῶν δημοσίων οἰκοδομημάτων σεμνοτέρας εἰσὶ κατεσκευασμένοι. ὅσῳ δὲ τὰς τῆς πόλεως ἐλάττω γέγονε, τοσούτῳ τὰ τούτων ηὔξηται. Id. *in Aristocr.* p. 689: νῦν δ' ἰδίᾳ μὲν, ἑκάστῳ τῶν τὰ κοινὰ πραττόντων τοσαύτη περιουσία ἐστὶν, ὥστε τινὲς μὲν αὐτῶν πολλῶν δημοσίων οἰκοδομημάτων σεμνοτέρας τὰς ἰδίας κατεσκευάκασιν οἰκίας.

The Grecian house had not, as the Roman had, a vestibulum, or vacant space before the house-door. This is clear from the fact of a tax being imposed by Hippias on such doors as opened outwards, on account of their encroaching on the space that was public property. Aristot. *Œcon.* ii. p. 1347: Ἱππίας ὁ Ἀθηναῖος τὰ ὑπερέχοντα τῶν ὑπερῴων εἰς τὰς δημοσίας ὁδοὺς καὶ τοὺς ἀναβαθμοὺς καὶ τὰ προφράγματα, καὶ τὰς θύρας τὰς ἀνοιγομένας ἔξω ἐπώλησεν. The πρόθυρα mentioned by Herodotus, vi. 35, Μιλτιάδης κατήμενος ἐν τοῖσι προθύροισι τοῖσι ἑωυτοῦ, is merely the ground generally in front of the door. But many houses must have had an inclosure about them, as appears from the passage just cited from Aristotle. Similar to these n + have been the ἐρύφακτοι, whose further extension was forbidden by Themistocles and Aristides. See Heracl. Pont. *Polit.* 1: καὶ τῶν ὁδῶν ἐπεμελοῦντο ὅπως μήτινες ἀνοικοδομῶσιν αὐτὰς, ἢ ἐρυφάκτους ὑπερτείνωσιν. Before each house stood, usually, its own peculiar altar of Apollo Agyieus, or an obelisk rudely representing the god himself, a relic of the ancient τετράγωνος ἐργασία, hence termed Ἀγυιεύς. It is called κίων εἰς ὀξὺ λήγων ὡς ὀβέλισκος, sometimes κωνοειδὴς κίων, or βωμὸς στρογγύλος, also Ἀπόλλων τετράγωνος. Cf. Schol. ad *Vesp.* 875; *Thesmoph.* 489; Hellad. ap. Phot. *bibl.* 279; Harpocration and Suidas, s. v. Ἀγυιᾶς; Plaut. *Merc.* iv. 1,

10. Sometimes a laurel was planted beside it. Cf. Thucyd. vi. 27; Aristoph. *Plut.* 1153. Perhaps some steps led to the house-door, over which, *boni ominis causa*, or as a sort of *βασκάνιον*, an inscription was often placed. Plutarch, Fragm. *Vit. Crat.* v. p. 874: *ἐπὶ τούτου φασὶ τοὺς Ἕλληνας ἐπιγράφειν τοῖς ἑαυτῶν οἴκοις ἐπὶ τῶν προπυλαίων "Εἴσοδος Κράτητι Ἀγαθῷ Δαίμονι."* Cf. Diog. Laert. vi. 50: *Νεογάμου ἐπιγράψαντος ἐπὶ τὴν οἰκίαν "Ὁ τοῦ Διὸς παῖς Ἡρακλῆς Καλλίνικος ἐνθάδε κατοικεῖ, μηδὲν εἰσίτω κακόν," ἐπέγραψε "Μετὰ πόλεμον ἡ συμμαχία."*

In all houses of consequence there was a porter, *θυρωρὸς*: and though Plutarch, *de Curios.* 3, denies that this was the case in ancient times, still at the period of the Peloponnesian war the custom had become very general. The duty of the *θυρωρὸς* was not only to let people in, and announce them to his master, but also to see that nothing was secretly or irregularly carried out of the mansion. Aristot. *Œcon.* i. 6, p. 1345: *δοκεῖ δὲ καὶ ἐν ταῖς μεγάλαις οἰκονομίαις χρήσιμος εἶναι θυρωρὸς, ὃς ἂν ᾖ ἄχρηστος τῶν ἄλλων ἔργων, πρὸς τὴν σωτηρίαν τῶν εἰσφερομένων καὶ ἐκφερομένων.* The behaviour of this personage is capitally portrayed by Plato, when describing the reception Socrates met with at the door of Callias; *Prot.* p. 314: *Δοκεῖ οὖν μοι, ὁ θυρωρὸς, ὑνοῦχός τις, κατήκουεν ἡμῶν. κινδυνεύει δὲ διὰ τὸ πλῆθος τῶν σοφιστῶν ἀχθεσθαι τοῖς φοιτῶσιν εἰς τὴν οἰκίαν. ἐπειδὴ γοῦν ἐκρούσαμεν τὴν θύραν, ἀνοίξας καὶ ἰδὼν ἡμᾶς, Ἔα, ἔφη, σοφισταί τινες. οὐ σχολὴ αὐτῷ. καὶ ἅμα ἀμφοῖν ταῖν χεροῖν τὴν θύραν πάνυ προθύμως ὡς οἷόν τ' ἦν ἐπήραξε. καὶ ἡμεῖς πάλιν ἐκρούομεν· καὶ ὃς ἐγκεκλεισμένης τῆς θύρας ἀποκρινόμενος εἶπεν, Ὦ ἄνθρωποι, ἔφη, οὐκ ἀκηκόατε, ὅτι οὐ σχολὴ αὐτῷ; Ἀλλ', ὦ γαθὲ, ἔφην ἐγὼ, οὔτε παρὰ Κα ν ἥκομεν, οὔτε σοφισταί ἐσμεν, ἀλλὰ θάῤῥει. Πρωταγόραν γαρ τοι δεόμενοι ἰδεῖν ἤλθομεν, εἰσάγγειλον οὖν. μόγις οὖν ποτε ἡμῖν ὁ ἄνθρωπος ἀνέῳξε τὴν θύραν.* A dog also was often placed at the door, who kept watch when the porter was away. Apollod. ap. Athen. i. p. 3; cf. Theocr. xv. 43:

τὰν κύν' ἔσω κάλεσον, τὰν αὐλειαν ἀπόκλαξον.

See also Aristoph. *Thesm.* 416; *Equit.* 1025; *Lysistr.* 1215: *εὐλαβεῖσθαι τὴν κύνα*, a warning which was often written up like the *care canem!* of the Romans.

In the accompanying plan, which is intended to represent a

Ground-plan of a large Greek Dwelling-house, with double Peristyle for Andronitis and Gynæconitis.

α. αὐλὴ θύρα.

θυρ. θυρωρεῖον or θυρών.

A. αὐλὴ of the Andronitis.

O. The various saloons and chambers of the Andronitis.

μ. μέσαυλος, here a regular μέσαυλος, forming the only communication between the Andronitis and Gynæconitis.

Γ. Court of the Gynæconitis.

γ. The various divisions of the Gynæconitis with the ordinary eating and store-rooms, kitchen, &c.

π. παραστὰς, παστὰς, or πρόστας.

θ. θάλαμος and ἀμφιθάλαμος.

I. ἱστῶνες, or rooms for the looms, and woollen manufactures.

κ. κηπαία θύρα, whose precise position is, however, immaterial.

Of course the arrangement of the various chambers, with their doors, windows, and stairs, etc. is arbitrary.

The *Mesaulos* of Vitruvius is most likely the long uninterrupted passage between the Andronitis and Gynæconitis. Inasmuch as it is divided by the door, Vitruvius might speak of two passages (*mesaulæ*).


κ
I
I
I
θ
π
θ
γ
γ
Γ
γ
γ
γ
γ
γ
γ
γ
μ
O
O
O
O
O
A
O
O
O
O
θυρ.
a

large dwelling-house with a double peristyle, such as might have been in vogue at the date of our story, everything not essential has been omitted; while at the same time the particular arrangement of the various rooms and chambers must of course be entirely hypothetical. Passing through the entrance-hall, or thyroreion, you first enter the front *αὐλή*, or peristyle of the andronitis, on all four sides of which are arcades, *στοαί*. See Poll. i. 78. That nearest the entrance, and perhaps also that opposite to it, was called *πρόστοον*. Plato, *Prot.* p. 314: Ἐπειδὴ δὲ εἰσήλθομεν κατελάβομεν Πρωταγόραν ἐν τῷ προστόῳ περιπατοῦντα. *Ib.* p. 315: Τὸν δὲ μέτ' εἰσενόησα, ἔφη Ὅμηρος, Ἱππίαν τὸν Ἠλεῖον καθήμενον ἐν τῷ καταντικρὺ προστόῳ ἐν θρόνῳ. Around the peristyle were situated the larger saloons, *οἶκοι*, designed for the symposia of the men, (*τρίκλινοι, ἑπτάκλινοι, τριακοντάκλινοι*, Plutarch, *Symp.* v. 5, 2,) and hence they were also called *ἀνδρῶνες*. In Plutarch, *Sept. Sap. Conv.* 2, we also meet with a *ἑστιατόριον*, though this appears to have been a building specially designed for such convivial meetings. In the best period, *ἀνδρῶν* is the usual expression. See Xenoph. *Symp.* i. 4, 13; Aristoph. *Eccles.* 676:

τὸ δὲ δεῖπνον ποῦ παραθήσεις;
τὰ δικαστήρια καὶ τὰς στοιὰς ἀνδρῶνας πάντα ποιήσω.

Besides these there was a room with seats for the reception of visitors, *ἐξέδρα*, and there were also smaller chambers, *δωμάτια*, (Lysias, *de Cæd. Erat.* p. 28; Aristoph. *Eccles.* 8,) called also *κοιτῶνες*, (Poll. i. 79,) and frequently *οἰκήματα*, (Plato, *Prot.* p. 316; Achill. Tat. ii. 19). Here also there may have been store-rooms, as was the case at Callias's father's. Plato, *Protag.* p. 315. Cf. Aristoph. *Eccl.* 14:

στοάς τε καρποῦ βακχίου τε νάματος
πλήρεις.

In the centre of the arcade which faced the entrance, called by Plato, *τὸ καταντικρὺ πρόστυον*, may be placed with the greatest probability the *μέταυλος θύρα*; which may here be taken in its proper meaning of *μέσαυλος*, as already explained. Besides this there was a third principal door, usually called *κηπαία θύρα*, (Poll. i. 76,) because there was a plot of garden adjoining most houses. See Demosth. *in Euerg.* p. 1155: *καταβαλόντες τὴν θύραν τὴν εἰς τὸν κῆπον φέρουσαν.* Cf. Plaut. *Most.* v. 1, 4; so also Lysias, *in Eratosth.* p. 393: *ἔμπειρος γὰρ ὢν ἐτύγχανον τῆς οἰκίας, καὶ ᾔδειν, ὅτι ἀμφίθυρος εἴη.* Lysias also mentions a third,

or rather a fourth door, *τριῶν δὲ θυρῶν οὐσῶν, ἃς ἔδει με διελθεῖν, ἅπασαι ἀνεῳγμέναι ἔτυχον.* The context plainly shews that the *αὔλειος* cannot have been one of the three doors here mentioned; the one in question may possibly have been one leading out of the garden into the street.

In the time of Vitruvius, and probably also at an earlier period, the gynæconitis was so built that the peristyle had porticoes on three sides only. On the fourth side was the *προστὰς*, formed by the two *antæ* (see Plan): behind this were the rooms for female industry, which terminated the mansion; but on the right and left of the *προστὰς*, and opening into it, were the *θάλαμος* and *ἀμφιθάλαμος*, and on the three remaining sides of the peristyle the daily eating-rooms, and all the offices required for household purposes. This tallies very well with the account of Pollux: *ὁ δὲ γυναικωνίτης, θάλαμος, ἱστών. ταλασιουργὸς οἶκος, σιτοποιϊκὸς, ἵνα μὴ μυλῶνα, ὡς οὐκ εὔφημον, ὀνομάζωμεν. εἶτα ὀπτανεῖον, τὸ μαγειρεῖον, ἐρεῖς, ὡς ἀποθῆκαι, ταμεῖα, θησαυροὶ, φυλακτήρια.*

The *θάλαμος* is the matrimonial bed-chamber. Schneider erroneously supposes that at Athens *θάλαμος* was used to denote a store-room, as it does in Homer. This conclusion is based on Xenoph. *Œcon.* 9, 3: *ὁ μὲν γὰρ θάλαμος ἐν ὀχυρῷ ὢν τὰ πλείστου ἄξια καὶ στρώματα καὶ σκευὴ παρεκάλει.* It was quite natural however to keep the plate and other valuables in a chest in the bed-chamber. It would almost seem from Lysias, *de Cæde Eratosth.* p. 13, that the *θάλαμος* was in the men's apartments; but the reason of this is because Euphiletos has exchanged lodgings with his wife, while the *θάλαμος* remained unchanged. The *θάλαμος* was at a later period usually called *παστὰς*; and though there is some doubt about the signification of this word and of *παραστὰς*, it would seem that the similar appellation of the antechamber (*πρυστὰς*) caused the bed-chamber to be called so also. The use of the *amphithalamos* is not clear, unless it be the sitting-room of the wife and the rest of the family.

When there was an upper story, *ὑπερῷον*, *διῆρες*, it certainly did not usually cover the whole space occupied by the ground-floor: in it the slaves mostly lodged. Thus in Demosth. *in Euerg.* p. 1156, the female slaves are shut up *ἐν τῷ πύργῳ*. This *ὑπερῷον* may have been sometimes approached by a flight

of stairs leading from the street, (see *Gallus*, p. 4,) and these perhaps are the *ἀναβαθμοὶ* taxed by Hippias. Aristot. *Œcon.* ii. p. 1347. If the upper story was used for strangers, a separate access of this kind must have been very commodious. Frequently these upper stories may have projected over the area of the ground-floor, like balconies or oriels; these also, *τὰ ὑπερέχοντα τῶν ὑπερῴων*, were taxed by Hippias. See Poll. i. 81: *εἶτα ὑπερῷα οἰκήματα. τὰ δ' αὐτὰ καὶ διήρη. αἱ δὲ προβολαὶ τῶν ὑπερῴων οἰκημάτων, αἱ ὑπὲρ τοὺς κάτω τοίχους προὔχουσαι, γεισιποδίσματα· καὶ τὰ φέροντα αὐτὰς ξύλα γεισίποδας.* The *ὑπερῷον* was sometimes assigned or let to strangers, as appears from Antipho, *de Venef.* p. 611: *Ὑπερῷόν τι ἦν τῆς ἡμετέρας οἰκίας ὃ εἶχε Φιλόνεως, ὅποτ' ἐν ἄστει διατρίβοι.* Vitruvius, however, speaks of special apartments for guests, adjoining the main building, which was doubtless occasionally the case in large houses: 'Præterea dextra ac sinistra domunculæ constituuntur habentes proprias januas, triclinia et cubicula commoda, uti hospites advenientes non in peristylia, sed in ea hospitalia recipiantur.' Cf. Eurip. *Alcest.* 564: *ἐξώπιοι ξενῶνες.* But this cannot have been the rule, for no such *hospitalia* are to be found in the house of Callias, in which the guests all lodge under their host's roof. Plato, *Protag.* p. 315. Vitruvius also speaks of *hospitalia* separated from the main building by passages, *μέσαυλοι*, but the text is evidently corrupt, and has been satisfactorily emended by Schneider. Most likely the *μέσαυλος* to which Vitruvius alludes is only the passage leading from the andronitis to the gynæconitis, in which was the *μέσαυλος θύρα*; and the dubious expression *itinera*, must be taken to mean only a single passage, as Schneider has remarked.

The roofs were usually flat, so as to afford a place for walking on. Lysias, *adv. Simon.* p. 142; Plaut. *Mil.* ii. 2, 3. But there were also pitched roofs, and though gables are restricted to temples, (*Aves*, 1108,) still this is only to be understood of pediments fronting the street, as appears from Galen. So Pollux, i. 81, speaking exclusively of private houses, says: *ἀμείβοντες δὲ εἰσὶ, ξύλα ἐξ ἑκατέρων τῶν τοίχων ἀλλήλοις ἀντερειδόμενα, πρὸς τὸ τοὺς μέσους ὑψηλοὺς ὀρόφους ἀνέχειν δύνασθαι.*

The exterior of dwelling-houses, as seen from the street, could not have been very imposing. Not faced with marble, as among

the Romans, the usual material was common stone, brick, or wood. Xenoph. *Mem.* iii. 1, 7: λίθοι καὶ κέραμος κάτω καὶ ἐπιπολῆς, ἐν μέσῳ δὲ αἵ τε πλίνθοι καὶ τὰ ξύλα. Over this there was a coating of plaster, κονίαμα, in the preparation of which the Greeks were certainly adepts. Demosth. *de Ord. Rep.* p. 175; Plutarch, *Comp. Arist. et Cat.* 4. In Plutarch, *Phoc.* 18, we read: ἡ δ' οἰκία τοῦ Φωκίωνος ἔτι νῦν ἐν Μελίτῃ δείκνυται, χαλκαῖς λεπίσι κεκοσμημένη, τὰ δ' ἄλλα λιτὴ καὶ ἀφελής.

The remaining arrangements, and the decoration of the interior, were also characterised by great simplicity, although even in Xenophon's and Plato's time more care was expended on these particulars. The floor was decidedly mere plaster; flags were not used till late, and the first mention of mosaic occurs under the kings of Pergamus. Nevertheless, in elegant houses this plaster-floor was sometimes executed tastefully in divers colours. Cf. Plin. *Nat. Hist.* xxxvi. 25, 60: 'Pavimenta originem apud Græcos habent elaborata arte, picturæ ratione, donec lithostrota expulere eam.'

The walls, until the fourth century B. C., seem to have been whitewashed only. The house of Alcibiades is the first instance of their being painted. Andocid. *in Alcib.* p. 119; Plutarch, *Alcib.* 16. It is immaterial whether the paint was laid on lime or panels, though the first is the more probable. This innovation, however, met with opposition. Thus Xenophon, who was very utilitarian in his principles, decides: γραφαὶ δὲ καὶ ποικιλίαι πλείονας εὐφροσύνας ἀποστεροῦσιν ἢ παρέχουσι. *Memor.* iii. 8, 10; see also *Œcon.* 9, 2. Plato, on the other hand, considers ζωγραφία and ποικιλία as signs of a τρυφῶσα πόλις; though this, he says, would be a more agreeable residence than a ὑῶν πόλις. *De Republ.* iii. p. 372. Hence we gather that painting the interior walls was not uncommon at that period. We also read of ποικιλίαι or ποικίλματα, which are stucco-ornaments on the cornices and ceilings; thus we have ἐν ὀροφῇ ποικίλματα. Plato, *De Republ.* vii. p. 529. At a later time, wall-painting, or at all events coloured ornament, was quite as common as at Pompeii. So Lucian, *Amor.* 34: ἀντὶ δὲ εὐτελῶν δωματίων ὑψηλὰ τέρεμνα καὶ λίθων πολυτέλειαν ἐμηχανήσαντο, καὶ γυμνὴν τοίχων ἀμορφίαν εὐανθέσι βαφαῖς χρωμάτων κατέγραψαν.

There were certainly more doors in a Grecian house than has

been generally supposed. All the store-rooms, the thalamos, and the various sitting-rooms, had them of course, and perhaps they were only wanting in the saloons and the apartments which all might enter; these were provided with hangings, παραπετάσματα. Poll. x. 32: Πρὸ μὲν οὖν κοιτῶνος ἐπὶ ταῖς θύραις παραπετασμάτων σοι δεῖ, εἴτε ἁπλοῦν εἴη τὸ παραπέτασμα λευκὸν ἐξ ὀθόνης, εἴτε καὶ τρίχαπτόν τι βαπτὸν, εἴτε πολύχρουν. The αὐλαία ἔχουσα Πέρσας ἐνυφασμένους, mentioned by Theophrastus, § 5, meant perhaps the same thing. Cf. Poll. iv. 122.

It has been already stated that the house-door sometimes opened outwards; but it was far more usual for it to open inwards, as is apparent from the term ἐνδοῦναι, used of opening, and ἐπισπάσασθαι, or ἐφελκύσασθαι, of shutting. Plutarch, *Pelop.* 11: ἅμα τῷ πρῶτον ἐνδοῦναι καὶ χαλάσαι τὰς θύρας ἐπιπεσόντες ἀθρόοι. Id. *de Gen. Socr.* 32: τὸν μοχλὸν ἀφεῖλε καὶ μικρὸν ἐνέῳωκε τὴν θύραν. He says elsewhere, however, οἱ μὲν ἔξω τὰς θύρας ἐπιστασάμενοι κατεῖχον, (*Dio*, 57,) and hence the rings or handles on the doors were called ἐπισπαστῆρες. Cf. Note 32, p. 54. That the door usually opened inwards in the time of the Peisistratidæ is clear from the tax already mentioned, though the passages quoted, do not, it is true, refer to Athens. Neither is it probable that any change was made afterwards, for, from the time of Themistocles, everything tending to narrow the street was prohibited. It has usually been supposed, however, that the door opened outwards, though there are only two passages that can support this notion; first, the explanation given by Helladius of the words κόπτειν and ψοφεῖν, discussed in Note 32, p. 54; and secondly, Vitruv. iv. 6, 6, 'et aperturas habent in exteriores partes,' but he is here speaking of temple doors only, 'de ostiorum sacrarum ædium rationibus.'

There are no passages which satisfactorily decide whether the outer-door was locked in the day-time, or merely shut, though the latter would seem more probable. It is certainly an exceptive case, when Socrates finds Agathon's door open; Plato, *Symp.* p. 174: ἀνεῳγμένην καταλαμβάνειν τὴν θύραν. This may have been to save the guests the trouble of knocking. The eunuch in Callias' house seems not to have locked the door till he saw Socrates; Plato, *Protag.* p. 314; and Praxinoe does so because she is going out; Theocr. xv. 43. In another instance, Demosth. *in Euerg.*

p. 1150, the door stands open, ἔτυχε γὰρ ἡ θύρα ἀνεῳγμένη, which is strange enough. On the other hand, we may conclude from Plutarch, *Pelop.* 11, that it was not customary to lock up the door before night: καὶ κεκλεισμένην τὴν οἰκίαν εὗρον ἤδη καθεύδοντος.

The methods of fastening the doors have been discussed in *Gallus*, pp. 281—284. The few remaining notices which exist are hardly explicit enough to reward any further investigation. Yet we may remark the curious fact that doors had sometimes two locks, one in and the other outside. Achill. Tat. ii. 19: Κατακοιμίζουσα δὲ ἀεὶ τὴν Λευκίππην ἡ μήτηρ ἔκλειεν ἔνδοθεν τὴν ἐπὶ τοῦ στενωποῦ θύραν· ἔξωθεν δέ τις ἕτερος ἐπέκλειε καὶ τὰς κλεῖς ἔβαλλε διὰ τῆς ὀπῆς· ἡ δὲ λαβοῦσα ἐφύλαττε καὶ περὶ τὴν ἕω, καλέσασα τὸν εἰς τοῦτο ἐπιτεταγμένον, διέβαλλε πάλιν τὰς κλεῖς, ὅπως ἀνοίξειε. It is moreover manifest from Lysias, *de Cæde Erat.* p. 14, that a door that had been locked outside could only be unlocked again outside. Store-chambers were often sealed, for the sake of greater security. Plato, *Leg.* xii. p. 954; cf. Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 414—428; *Lysistr.* 1199. When sealed the doors do not appear to have been locked as well. Diog. Laert. iv. 59.

The assertion that the Grecian houses possessed no windows, or at least none which looked toward the street, is quite untenable, though we must not expect to find the rows of broad and staring apertures which characterise our own street architecture. The Greeks lighted their rooms partly from above, and partly by means of the doors which opened into the porticoes: yet windows, θυρίδες, were certainly not uncommon, and they even looked into the street. See Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 797, where the women say:

> κἂν ἐκ θυρίδος παρακύπτωμεν, ζητεῖ τὸ κακὸν τεθεᾶσθαι.
> κἂν αἰσχυνθεῖσ' ἀναχωρήσῃ, πολὺ μᾶλλον πᾶς ἐπιθυμεῖ
> αὖθις παρακύψαν ἰδεῖν τὸ κακόν.

Cf. Plutarch, *de Curios.* 13: ἡμεῖς δὲ τοῖς φορείοις τῶν γυναικῶν ὑποβάλλοντες τὰ ὄμματα, καὶ τῶν θυρίδων ἐκκρεμαννύντες οὐδὲν ἁμαρτάνειν δοκοῦμεν. So also in Aristoph. *Eccles.* 961, a window is probably alluded to; and further, Plutarch, *Dio*, 56, says: οἱ μὲν ἔξω τὴν οἰκίαν περιέστησαν, οἱ δὲ πρὸς ταῖς θύραις τοῦ οἴκου καὶ ταῖς θυρίσιν ἦσαν: and then adds, Λύκων Συρακούσιος ὀρέγει τινὶ Ζακυνθίων διὰ τῆς θυρίδος ἐγχειρίδιον. But the most decisive evidence on this point is the account given by Vitruvius, v. 6, 9, of the house brought on the stage in comedy: 'comicæ

autem (scenæ) ædificiorum privatorum et menianorum habent speciem, prospectusque fenestris dispositos imitatione communium ædificiorum rationibus.' Cf. Appul. *Met.* i. p. 67.

The method of warming was by fire-places, though it is supposed that there were no proper chimneys, the smoke escaping through a hole in the ceiling. The καπνοδόκη, Herodot. viii. 137, through which the sun shone, was certainly not a regular chimney; though it is difficult to understand what became of the smoke, especially when there was an ὑπερῷον; and the joke in the *Vespæ*, 143, loses its point if we suppose a mere hole in the roof to exist. Consult *Gallus*, p. 279, on this subject. No mention is made of heating the rooms by means of pipes; though small portable braziers, ἐσχάραι, ἐσχαρίδες, were often used. Plutarch, *Apophth. Reg.* i. p. 717: Ἐν δὲ χειμῶνι καὶ ψύχει τῶν φίλων τινὸς ἑστιῶντος αὐτὸν, ἐσχάραν δὲ μικρὰν καὶ πῦρ ὀλίγον εἰσενεγκόντος, Ἡ ξύλα, ἦ λιβανωτὸν εἰσενεγκεῖν ἐκέλευεν. Cf. Aristoph. *Vespæ*, 811. In most cases these were mere coal-tubs, ἀνθράκια. Poll. vi. 89: ἀγγεῖα οἷς τοὺς ἐμπύρους ἄνθρακας κομίζουσιν ἐσχαρίδας...καλοῦσιν. Cf. Id. x. 101.

Böckh in his *Public Economy of Athens*, p. 141, has given such a complete and satisfactory account of the price of the houses, and the rent of the συνοικίαι, which however hardly correspond to the Roman *insulæ*, that nothing need here be added on the subject.

EXCURSUS II. TO SCENE III.

BOOKSELLING AND LIBRARIES.

AS the account of Roman libraries and books in *Gallus*, pp. 322—337, is in a great measure applicable to those of the Greeks, a few supplementary observations only would have been made in this place, had not doubts been raised as to the fact of bookselling being practised as a trade, and even as to the existence of private libraries, before the time of Aristotle. Böckh, in his *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 47, has denied the existence of any such trade before the time of Plato, and his opinion has been that ordinarily adopted. But as the generally diffused taste of the Greeks for literary productions, and their speculative propensities, which would not readily neglect any opportunity of commercial advantage, raise a strong presumption against the above assumption, it will be worth while to investigate the facts.

The main argument against any trade in books being usual in Plato's time, is an adage quoted by Suidas after Zenobius: λόγοισιν Ἑρμόδωρος ἐμπορεύεται, with the explanation, that Hermodoros being a hearer of Plato, conveyed his discourses to Sicily and sold them: ὁ Ἑρμόδωρος ἀκροατὴς γενόμενος Πλάτωνος τοὺς ὑπ' αὐτοῦ συντεθειμένους λόγους κομίζων εἰς Σικελίαν ἐπώλει. The first mention of this adage occurs in Cicero, *ad Attic.* xiii. 21. On this authority Böckh takes on himself to explain the book-market at Athens, expressly mentioned by Pollux, as being merely the place where blank books without any writing in them were sold. Poll. ix. 47: ἐν δὲ τῶν κοινῶν βιβλιοθῆκαι, ἢ ὡς Εὔπολις φησιν, οὗ τὰ βιβλία ὤνια, καὶ αὐτὸ ἐφ' αὑτοῦ· οὕτω γὰρ τὸν τόπον, οὗ τὰ βιβλία, οἱ Ἀττικοὶ ὠνόμαζον. He also interprets in a similar manner the passage where Xenophon, (*Anab.* vii. 5, 14,) speaking of a vessel wrecked at Salmydessos, says: ἐνταῦθα εὑρίσκοντο πολλαὶ μὲν κλῖναι, πολλὰ δὲ κιβώτια, πολλαὶ δὲ βίβλοι καὶ τἆλλα πολλά, ὅσα ἐν ξυλίνοις τεύχεσι ναύκληροι ἄγουσιν. These passages as they stand would seem to prove that a trade was carried on in books in the ordinary sense of the word; but still more unluckily for Böckh's hypothesis, all the best MSS. have

πολλαὶ δὲ βίβλοι γεγραμμέναι: and the question seems to be set at rest by comparing another passage in Pollux, (vii. 210,) where he says, that it was quite as unusual to use βιβλίον of unwritten books, as χάρτης of manuscripts; so that in the previous passage he cannot mean that the place at Athens called τὰ βιβλία, i. e. οὗ τὰ βιβλία ὤνια, was the market for the mere writing-material, βίβλος. Moreover we see that this market is mentioned as early as the time of Eupolis; add to which, βιβλιοπώλης occurs in Aristomenes, also a writer of the old comedy: in conjunction with which word, as if to obviate the possible error of supposing the βιβλιοπώλης a vendor of unwritten books, Pollux quotes the word βιβλιογράφος from Cratinos and Antiphanes, the βιβλιογράφος being the same person with the βιβλιοπώλης, and identical with the Roman *librarius*, i. e. one who multiplied copies of books for sale. See Lucian, *adv. Indoct.* 24: Τὸ δὲ ὅλον ἀγνοεῖν μοι δοκεῖς, ὅτι τὰς ἀγαθὰς ἐλπίδας οὐ παρὰ τῶν βιβλιοκαπήλων δεῖ ζητεῖν, ἀλλὰ παρ' αὐτοῦ καὶ τοῦ καθ' ἡμέραν βίου λαμβάνειν. σὺ δ' οἴει συνήγορον κοινὸν καὶ μάρτυρα ἔσεσθαί σοι τὸν Ἀττικὸν καὶ Καλλῖνον τοὺς βιβλιογράφους. So also the ψηφισματογράφος, in Aristophanes, *Aves*, 1037, says:

ψηφισματοπώλης εἰμὶ, καὶ νόμους νέους
ἥκω παρ' ἡμᾶς δεῦρο πωλήσων.

Neither is there any reason why we should deem fictitious the story respecting Zeno the stoic, related by Diogenes Laertius, vii. 2: ἀνελθὼν δὲ εἰς τὰς Ἀθήνας ἤδη τριακοντούτης ἐκάθισε παρά τίνα βιβλιοπώλην. ἀναγινώσκοντος δὲ ἐκείνου τὸ δεύτερον τῶν Ξενοφῶντος ἀπομνημονευμάτων, ἡσθεὶς ἐπύθετο, ποῦ διατρίβοιεν οἱ τοιοῦτοι ἄνδρες. This strongly confirms the existence of an Athenian book-trade, at least in the time of Alexander.

But we must also recollect that the νέοι at all events, if not the παῖδες, must, without exception, have had copies of Homer, and other poets, which could not always have been transcribed at home. Cf. Aristoph. *Ranæ*, 1114:

βιβλίον τ' ἔχων ἕκαστος μανθάνει τὰ δεξιά.

And the example of Euthydemos alone, proves that private individuals took great pains to collect the writings of the poets and sophists. Xenoph. *Memor.* iv. 2, 1: Καταμαθὼν γὰρ Εὐθύδημον τὸν Καλὸν γράμματα πολλὰ συνειλεγμένον ποιητῶν τε καὶ σοφιστῶν τῶν εὐδοκιμωτάτων, κ.τ.λ. § 8. Εἰπέ μοι, ἔφη, ὦ Εὐθύ-

ἔημε, τῷ ὄντι, ὥσπερ ἐγὼ ἀκούω, πολλὰ γράμματα συνηχας τῶν λεγομένων σοφῶν γεγονέναι; Νὴ τὸν Δί', ἔφη, ὦ Σώκρατες· καὶ ἔτι γε συνάγω, ἕως ἂν κτήσωμαι ὡς ἂν δύνωμαι πλεῖστα. Νὴ τὴν Ἥραν, ἔφη ὁ Σωκράτης, ἄγαμαί γέ σου, διότι οὐκ ἀργυρίου καὶ χρυσίου προείλου θησαυρους κεκτῆσθαι μᾶλλον ἢ σοφίας. Now Euthydemos would never have made the copies himself, this being not at all in character with the life of an Attic νέος, nor were they the work of slaves, like the Roman librarii, for no such class of educated slaves existed in the Grecian house, in which they were entirely devoted to material objects. Besides, the reply of Socrates in the passage just quoted evidently refers to the expense of making the collection. Autographs, it is true, might sometimes be procured from the author or from some other possessor; for an example of which see Gellius, iii. 17; but these were exceptive cases, and in general books must have been obtained through the medium of those who made the transcription and sale of manuscripts their trade. As for the proverb above mentioned, λόγοισι Ἑρμόδωρος ἐμπορεύεται, there was most likely a *double entendre*, now lost to us, in the word λόγοι: if it meant no more than an imputation against Hermodoros for trading in books, a procedure which grew very common afterwards, it is not very intelligible how the sentence could have become proverbial.

Another passage which has been urged against the existence of libraries in earlier times, occurs in Strabo, xiii. 1, where we read of Aristotle: πρῶτος ὧν ἴσμεν συναγαγὼν βιβλία καὶ διδάξας τοὺς ἐν Αἰγύπτῳ βασιλέας βιβλιοθήκης σύνταξιν. As to the πρῶτος συναγαγὼν, this is sufficiently contradicted by Xenophon's account of the collection of Euthydemos, and also by what is related by Athenæus, i. p. 3, of the large libraries possessed by Euclides, the Athenian Archon, and by Euripides. So that the account of Strabo can only be supposed to mean that Aristotle's library was the first made on a comprehensive plan, and with a scientific arrangement. Even this supposition perhaps goes too far, for a well-arranged library is mentioned in a fragment of the *Linus* of Alexis, apud Athen. iv. p. 164, which is surely something more than a satire on the education of Alexander by Aristotle.

The first libraries for public purposes that we hear of, are those founded by Peisistratos at Athens, and by Polycrates at

Samos. See Gell. vi. 17; Isidor. *Orig.* vi. 3, 3. But a sort of mythical obscurity pervades these accounts, and our suspicions are increased by the bold conjectures of Gellius and Isidore as to the subsequent destinies of these libraries. Moreover no mention is made of any other such attempts during the succeeding centuries, until the magnificent Alexandrian institution was founded; most probably by Ptolemy Soter, though his successor, Ptolemy Philadelphos, may have performed a still more meritorious service by his systematic arrangement of its contents. See Ritschl, *die Alex. Biblioth.*; Letronne, in the *Journ. des Savants*, Juin 1838. A fortunate emulation excited the kings of Pergamus to imitate the Ptolemies in the boon they conferred on science; and when the literary treasures of Alexandria had been destroyed by fire, the world was fortunate in still possessing the scarcely less valuable library of Pergamus. Plutarch, *Anton.* 58.

As respects Greece itself, the idea of founding public libraries for the advancement of science and letters awoke too late. Public spirit had long yielded to paltry private interests, and had not, on the other hand, been replaced by the liberality of high-minded princes. It was from a Roman emperor, Hadrian, that Athens first obtained a rich and magnificent library. Paus. i. 18, 9. Of the public libraries of antiquity, the best account is that by Petit-Radel, *Recherches sur les Bibliothèques Anciennes et Modernes.*

At a later period, doubtless, private collections greatly increased in number and importance, and after a while individuals made collections of books for parade, and the sake of appearing learned, rather than from any real interest in science. From Lucian's treatise, *adversus Indoctum*, we learn many amusing particulars respecting this Bibliomania, and also about the manifold tricks of the booksellers of the time. See § 1: *ἢ πόθεν γάρ σοι διαγνῶναι δυνατὸν, τίνα μὲν παλαιὰ καὶ πολλοῦ ἄξια, τίνα δὲ φαῦλα καὶ ἄλλως σαπρὰ, εἰ μὴ τῷ διαβεβρῶσθαι καὶ κατακεκόφθαι αὐτὰ τεκμαίροιο, καὶ συμβούλους τοὺς σέας ἐπὶ τὴν ἐξέτασιν παραλαμβάνεις;* Dio Chrysostom speaks still more plainly on the subject of their rogueries; *Orat.* xxi. p. 505: *Πάντως γάρ τινι τῶν βιβλιοπωλῶν προσέσχηκας; Διὰ τί δὴ τοῦτό με ἐρωτᾷς; Ὅτι εἰδότες τὰ ἀρχαῖα τῶν βιβλίων σπουδαζόμενα, ὡς ἄμεινον γεγραμμένα καὶ ἐν κρείττοσι βιβλίοις· οἱ δὲ τὰ φαυλό-*

τατα τῶν νῦν καθέντες εἰς σῖτον, ὅπως τό γε χρῶμα ὅμοια γένηται τοῖς παλαιοῖς, καὶ προσδιαφθείροντες, ἀποδίδονται ὡς παλαιά. How extensive the trade was, and what a rich selection was to be found in the booksellers' shops, may be inferred from Lucian, *Ib.* § 4: τίς δὲ τοῖς ἐμπόροις καὶ τοῖς βιβλιοκαπήλοις ἤρισεν ἂν περὶ παιδείας τοσαῦτα βιβλία ἔχουσι καὶ πωλοῦσιν (εἰ τὸ κεκτῆσθαι τὰ βιβλία καὶ πεπαιδευμένον ἀπέφαινε τὸν ἔχοντα); The highest prices were naturally attached to autographs, and so the bibliomaniac, whom Lucian ridicules, fancied he had the autograph speeches of Demosthenes, as well as that orator's copy, in his own handwriting, of the history of Thucydides. *Ibid.* On the subject of the material used for writing on, see Note 12 to Scene IX. Many remaining particulars are discussed in the Excursus in *Gallus* on this subject. See also the article *Bibliotheca*, in the *Real-Encyklop. d. Klass. Alterth.*

EXCURSUS TO SCENE IV.

THE MARKETS AND COMMERCE.

AN investigation as to the precise site occupied by the Athenian market-place, or a discussion of its topographical details, lies beyond the scope of the present work. We purpose merely to glance at the life and bustle of the Agora; and though the vividness of such a picture would be much heightened by an accurate knowledge of the locality, yet this would involve an entire restoration of the market-place, its buildings, porticoes, and monuments, and a knowledge of the position of the various Exchanges, such as no one at present would venture to profess. On the other hand, we shall not speak of the commerce of Athens, in so far as it bears on history, political economy, or private right. Our theme concerns only the outward appearance of the merchants and dealers; our object is to see how, in speculation and industry, in intrigue and deception, in their purchases and sales, the mercantile spirit of the Greeks, and their every-day customs, were exhibited. Hence the wine-seller, who hastens with his samples from house to house; the soldier, who has peas measured to him in his helmet; the surly fishmonger, who scarce deigns to reply to the customer who grumbles at his price; the trapezite, assaying the weight of the drachma; the knavish huckster, with his false weights and measures, are here, and in this point of view, more interesting than the organization of the higher branches of commercial polity, the lawsuits arising from mercantile transactions, or a comparison between the exports and imports. It is in this light that the following combination of characteristic traits should be regarded; while the endless difficulties which interfere with their regular classification must excuse the want of a strict methodical arrangement. Certain cognate matters also, such as the customary διατριβαὶ, and life in the Ergasteria, naturally come under consideration at the same time.

In the first place, a word on the topography of the market-place. The ἀρχαία ἀγορὰ, which alone need here be understood, was by no means a place of regular form, but rather a long

rambling quarter of the town, and this, whether we suppose it to have reached from the Pnyx, across the Areiopagos, to the inner Cerameicos, or whether we assign it any other position. It was adorned with temples and porticoes, altars and statues, and was shaded by the platanus-trees which Cimon planted. Plutarch, *Cim.* 13: ἐκαλλώπισε τὸ ἄστυ, τὴν μὲν ἀγορὰν πλατάνοις καταφυτεύσας, κ.τ.λ. When the market-place is spoken of as a place of ordinary assembly and resort, this must be understood to apply only to a portion of it, the other parts being appropriated to special purposes, and denominated accordingly. Cf. Aristot. *de Republ.* vii. 12, p. 1331.

The visit to the market formed part of the usual arrangements of the day. While the Grecian matron was restricted to the precincts of the house, and the unmarried damsel to the parthenon, the husband spent the greater part of the day from home; and all, even those unfettered by the claims of business, resorted to this place of general assembly, where they found at once the market, the gymnasia, and baths and tabernæ of all kinds. Xenophon, *Mem.* i. 1, 10, says of Socrates: Ἀλλὰ μὴν ἐκεῖνός γε ἀεὶ μὲν ἦν ἐν τῷ φανερῷ. πρωΐ τε γὰρ εἰς τοὺς περιπάτους καὶ τὰ γυμνάσια ἤει, καὶ πληθούσης ἀγορᾶς ἐκεῖ φανερὸς ἦν, καὶ τὸ λοιπὸν ἀεὶ τῆς ἡμέρας ἦν ὅπου πλείστοις μέλλοι συνέσεσθαι. Cf. Plato, *Apol.* p. 17.

The time for resorting to the market was the forenoon, which is therefore designated by the names πλήθουσα ἀγορά, περὶ πλήθουσαν ἀγοράν, πληθώρη ἀγορᾶς. The exact hour cannot, however, be fixed with certainty. Suidas, s. v. πλήθουσα ἀγορά, says, ὥρα τρίτη: and again: Περὶ πλήθουσαν ἀγοράν· περὶ ὥραν τετάρτην, ἢ πέμπτην καὶ ἕκτην. τότε γὰρ μάλιστα πλήθει ἡ ἀγορά. We may take the third hour as the commencement; but that full market lasted till mid-day is improbable, and at all events is irreconcileable with two passages of Herodotus, in which the time of πλήθουσα ἀγορά is expressly opposed to μεσημβρία. Herodot. iv. 181; and iii. 104: θερμότατος δέ ἐστι ὁ ἥλιος τούτοισι τοῖσι ἀνθρώποισι τὸ ἐωθινόν, οὐ κατάπερ τοῖσι ἄλλοισι μεσαμβρίης. ἀλλ' ὑπερτείλας, μέχρις οὗ ἀγορῆς διαλύσιος. τοῦτον δὲ τὸν χρόνον καίει πολλῷ μᾶλλον ἢ τῇ μεσαμβρίῃ τὴν Ἑλλάδα. The end of the market was called ἀγορᾶς διάλυσις, as we see from the above passage. So also in Xenoph. *Œcon.*

12, 1: *οὐκ ἂν ἀπέλθοιμι, πρὶν παντάπασιν ἡ ἀγορὰ λυθῇ.* In the lapse of centuries, this time probably became changed, and hence the account of Herodotus may be reconciled with Liban. *Epist.* 1084: *καὶ ταῦτα ἐν τετάρτῳ μέρει τετέλεσταί σοι τῆς ἡμέρας ἀπὸ πληθούσης ἀγορᾶς εἰς μεσημβρίαν σταθεράν.*

But at other hours also the porticoes and shady parts of the market were frequented by promenaders. Demosth. *in Con.* p. 1258: *περιπατοῦντος, ὥσπερ εἰώθειν, ἑσπέρας ἐν ἀγορᾷ μου μετὰ Φανοστράτου.* Plutarch, *Dec. Or. Vit.* iv. p. 406, says of Hyperides: *Ἐποιεῖτό τε τὸν περίπατον ἐν τῇ ἰχθυοπωλίτιδι ὀσημέραι.* Seats were fixed in the porticoes as well as in the gymnasia. See Lucian, *Jup. Trag.* 16: *Ἐπεὶ δὲ ταῦτα ἐννοῶν γίγνομαι κατὰ τὴν Ποικίλην, ὁρῶ πλῆθος ἀνθρώπων πάμπολυ συνεστηκός· ἐνίους μὲν ἔνδον ἐν αὐτῇ τῇ στοᾷ, πολλοὺς δὲ καὶ ἐν τῷ ὑπαίθρῳ· καί τινας βυῶντας καὶ διατεινομένους ἐπὶ τῶν θάκων καθημένους.*

The shops of the hair-dressers, unguent-sellers, and others, were also favourite resorts, and the entire avoidance of these places of *réunion* was censured. Demosth. *in Aristog.* p. 786: *οὐ φιλανθρωπίας οὐχ ὁμιλίας οὐδεμιᾶς οὐδενὶ κοινωνεῖ·...οὐδὲ προσφοιτᾷ πρός τι τούτων τῶν ἐν τῇ πόλει κουρείων ἢ μυροπωλίων, ἢ τῶν ἄλλων ἐργαστηρίων οὐδὲ πρὸς ἕν.* See also Lysias, *de Inval.* p. 754: *ἕκαστος γὰρ ὑμῶν εἴθισται προσφοιτᾶν, ὁ μὲν πρὸς μυροπώλιον, οἱ δὲ πρὸς κουρεῖον, ὁ δὲ πρὸς σκυτοτομεῖον, ὁ δ' ὅπη ἂν τύχῃ. καὶ πλεῖστοι μὲν ὡς τοὺς ἐγγυτάτω τῆς ἀγορᾶς κατεσκευασμένους, ἐλάχιστοι δὲ ὡς τοὺς οὐ πλεῖστον ἀπέχοντας αὐτῆς.* Cf. Isocr. *adv. Callim.* 4. p. 536; Aristoph. *Plutus*, 338; *Aves*, 1441. Besides the *κουρεῖα*, *μυροπώλια* and *ἰατρεῖα*, the workshops of even the common artisans formed fashionable lounges; of this kind are the *σκυτοτομεῖα*, mentioned by Lysias, *supra.* See Xenoph. *Memor.* iv. 2, 1: *Καταμαθὼν γὰρ Εὐθύδημον...καθίζοντα εἰς ἡνιοποιεῖόν τι τῶν ἐγγὺς τῆς ἀγορᾶς, εἰς τοῦτο καὶ αὐτὸς ἤει τῶν μεθ' αὑτοῦ τινας ἔχων.* These places sometimes assumed a political importance from becoming the rendezvous of particular *phylæ*, or of the inhabitants of certain quarters. Lysias, *in Paucl.* p. 730: *ἐπειδὴ δὲ ἀπεκρίνατο ὅτι Δεκελειόθεν, προσκαλεσάμενος αὐτὸν πρὸς τοὺς τῇ Ἱπποθωντίδι δικάζοντας, ἐλθὼν ἐπὶ τὸ κουρεῖον τὸ παρὰ τοὺς Ἑρμᾶς, ἵνα οἱ Δεκελεῖς προσφοιτῶσιν.* Cf. *Ib.* p. 732.

We will now proceed to speak of the various classes of mer-

chants and dealers, and of the social position which they held. Aristotle, *de Republ.* i. 11, p. 1258, divides the whole μεταβλητικὴ into ἐμπορία, τοκισμὸς, and μισθαρνία, and of ἐμπορία he gives also three subdivisions: τῆς δὲ μεταβλητικῆς μέγιστον μὲν ἐμπορία. καὶ ταύτης μέρη τρία, ναυκληρία, φορτηγία, παράστασις. But so comprehensive a signification of the word was unusual, and Aristotle himself does not adhere to it; *Ib.* iv. 4. The classification, moreover, is at fault in not distinguishing the αὐτοπώλης, as well as the ἔμπορος, from the κάπηλος: see Plato, *Polit.* p. 260. The countryman who carried his produce to the city, the artisan who sold his work, and the woman who offered for sale her tæniæ and chaplets, all belonged to the class of αὐτοπῶλαι. The ἔμπορος was the merchant who imported foreign goods, and sold them by wholesale. Plato, *Protag.* p. 313. But the κάπηλοι were the retail dealers, ἐλάττονος πριάμενοι πλείονος ἀποδῶνται. Xenoph. *Memor.* iii. 7, 6. Cf. Plato, *Polit. supra.* The most important passage relating to the business of these κάπηλοι, and the sale of goods in general, occurs in Plato, *de Republ.* ii. p. 371, q. v. It would seem that the country people mostly brought their wares to town early, ἧδη φθεγγομένων ἀλεκτρυόνων, and sold them to the κάπηλοι. Plutarch, *Arat.* 8. As sellers again of bought goods, the κάπηλοι were called also παλιγκάπηλοι. Aristoph. *Plutus*, 1156; Demosth. *in Dionysod.* p. 1285; Poll. vii. 12. Dealers, not only in victuals, but in all sorts of wares, were called κάπηλοι: so Aristoph. *Pax*, 1210, has, ὅπλων κάπηλος; Plutarch, *Pericl.* 24, προβατοκάπηλος; and Eustath. *ad Odyss.* i. 262, ἀνδραποδοκάπηλος. In Lysias *de Cæde Erat.* p. 27, torches, δᾷδες, are purchased in a καπηλεῖον. But by the term κάπηλοι, retailers of wine are most frequently meant. Plato, *Gorg.* p. 518: ὅτι Θεαρίων ὁ ἀρτοκόπος, καὶ Μίθαικος ὁ τὴν ὀψοποιΐαν συγγεγραφὼς τὴν Σικελικὴν, καὶ Σάραμβος ὁ κάπηλος, ὅτι οὗτοι θαυμάσιοι γεγόνασι σωμάτων θεραπευταὶ, ὁ μὲν ἄρτους θαυμαστοὺς παρασκενάζων, ὁ δὲ ὄψον, ὁ δὲ οἶνον. Adulteration and short measure were matters of course. Lucian, *Hermot.* 59: ὅτι καὶ φιλόσοφοι ἀποδίδονται τὰ μαθήματα, ὥσπερ οἱ κάπηλοι, κερασάμενοί γε οἱ πολλοὶ, καὶ δολόσαντες, καὶ κακομετροῦντες. Plutarch, *Timol.* 14: καθήμενον ἐν μυροπωλίῳ, πίνοντα κεκραμένον ἀπὸ τῶν καπηλείων. Cf. Id. *Lysand.* 13, where Theopompos wittily compares with it the taste of freedom which the Spartans vouchsafed to Greece.

These retailers not only sold their wares in the market, but had καπηλεῖα all through the town; but it was not thought respectable, especially in the olden times, to take any refreshment in them, as is seen from the anecdote in Plutarch, *Dec. Or. Vit.* iv. p. 400, where Diogenes catching Demosthenes ἐν καπηλείῳ αἰσχυνόμενον καὶ ὑποχωροῦντα, calls out to him: Ὅσῳ μᾶλλον ὑποχωρεῖς, τοσούτῳ μᾶλλον ἐν τῷ καπηλείῳ ἔσῃ. And Isocrates, *Areopag.* 18, p. 202, speaking of former times, says: ἐν καπηλείῳ δὲ φαγεῖν ἢ πιεῖν οὐδεὶς οὐδ' ἂν οἰκέτης ἐπιεικὴς ἐτόλμησεν. See also Athen. xiii. p. 566: Ὑπερίδης δ' ἐν τῷ κατὰ Πατροκλέους, εἰ γνήσιος ὁ λόγος, τοὺς Ἀρεοπαγίτας φησὶν ἀριστήσαντά τινα ἐν καπηλείῳ κωλῦσαι ἀνιέναι εἰς Ἄρειον πάγον. It is clear, however, that this practice became common in later days. See Eubulos ap. Athen. xi. p. 473. According to Phylarchos, ap. Athen. x. p. 442, and Theopompos, ap. Id. xii. p. 526, the Byzantines were in very bad odour, being said to have even let their own houses, and taken up their abode in taverns, καπηλείοις. A painting at Pompeii, which represents several persons sitting round a table, drinking, refers probably to a καπηλεῖον. Gell, *Pompeiana*, second series, ii. pl. 80.

The whole trade of the κάπηλοι, as well as that of the regular innkeepers, was greatly despised; in fact, trade of any kind was at no time much respected. Plutarch, it is true, relates that Solon was engaged in commerce, and he adds, that this was even said to have been the real object of his travels; while a venture in the oil-trade occupied Plato on his Egyptian journey. Plutarch, *Sol.* 2. An Athenian would readily advance money to others for carrying on mercantile concerns, but it was considered disreputable to take part in them personally; and even ναυκληρία and ἐμπορία were held as a reproach. See Andoc. *de Myst.* p. 68. Aristotle, *de Republ.* i. 10, p. 1258, says: τῆς μεταβλητικῆς ψεγομένης δικαίως: and Plato, *Leg.* xi. p. 918, uses still stronger language: πάντα τὰ περὶ τὴν καπηλείαν καὶ ἐμπορίαν καὶ πανδοκίαν γένη διαβέβληταί τε καὶ ἐν αἰσχροῖς γέγονεν ὀνείδεσιν.

In a still greater degree this was the case with καπηλεία, not only at Athens, but also at Thebes, where nobody who had sold in the market within the last ten years was allowed to take part in the government. Aristot. *de Republ.* iii. 5, p. 1278: ἐν

Θήβαις δὲ νόμος ἦν τὸν δέκα ἐτῶν μὴ ἀπεσχημένον τῆς ἀγορᾶς μὴ μετέχειν ἀρχῆς. Cf. Plato, *Leg.* xi. p. 919. Whether not only the καπηλεία, but every sort of selling in the market, was thought degrading at Athens, is a disputed point. From a very important passage in Demosthenes, we gather that women publicly selling in the market were, in the eye of the law, classed with the women of the town. *In Neær.* p. 1367: τόν τε νόμον ἐπὶ τούτοις παρεχόμενος, ὃς οὐκ ἐᾷ ἐπὶ ταύταις μοιχὸν λαβεῖν, ὁπόσαι ἂν ἐπ' ἐργαστηρίου κάθωνται, ἢ ἐν τῇ ἀγορᾷ πωλῶσί τι ἀποπεφασμένως, ἐργαστήριον φάσκων καὶ τοῦτο εἶναι [τὴν Στεφάνου οἰκίαν]. But, strangely enough, Lysias, *in Theomn.* p. 361, apparently quotes the same law, but reading ὅσαι δὲ πεφασμένως πωλοῦνται, with the commentary: τὸ μὲν πεφασμένως ἐστὶ φανερῶς· πωλεῖσθαι δὲ βαδίζειν. Also Plutarch, *Sol.* 23, gives the same explanation: ὅσαι πεφασμένως πωλοῦνται, λέγων δὴ τὰς ἑταίρας. Αὗται γὰρ ἐμφανῶς φοιτῶσι πρὸς τοὺς διδόντας. Harpocration and Suidas say: Πωλῶσι. Δημοσθένης ἐν τῷ κατὰ Νεαίρας· Ἢ ἐν τῇ ἀγορᾷ πωλῶσί τι ἀποπεφασμένως. Δίδυμός φησιν ἀντὶ τοῦ πορνεύουσι φανερῶς. πωλεῖν γὰρ τὸ παρέχειν ἑαυτὴν τοῖς βουλομένοις. ἐγὼ δέ φημι ὅτι κυρίως ἔταξε νῦν ὁ ῥήτωρ τὸ πωλεῖν. φησὶ γὰρ τὸν νόμον οὐκ ἐᾶν ἐπὶ ταύτης μοιχὸν λαβεῖν ὁπόσαι ἂν ἐπ' ἐργαστηρίου κάθωνται, ἢ ἐν τῇ ἀγορᾷ πωλῶσί τι ἀποπεφασμένως. Between the two orators, it is hard to decide as to the real import of the law. We cannot escape from the difficulty by supposing that Lysias and Demosthenes do not both allude to the same law, as peculiarities of phrase establish its identity in both cases; nor can we suppose that either of them misquoted it, or misunderstood its purport. We know, however, from Lysias, *in Nicom.* p. 837, that Nicomachos, being charged with the transcription of the old laws of Solon, indulged in alterations, not of form only, but of matter: ἐπειδὴ δὲ τῶν νόμων ἀναγραφεὺς ἐγένετο (Νικόμαχος), τίς οὐκ οἶδεν, ὅσα τὴν πόλιν ἐλυμήνατο; προσταχθὲν γὰρ αὐτῷ τεσσάρων μηνῶν ἀναγράψαι τοὺς νόμους τοὺς Σόλωνος, ἀντὶ μὲν Σόλωνος αὐτὸν νομοθέτην κατέστησε, ἀντὶ δὲ τεττάρων μηνῶν ἑξαέτη τὴν ἀρχὴν ἐποιήσατο. καθ' ἑκάστην δὲ ἡμέραν ἀργύριον λαμβάνων τοὺς μὲν ἐνέγραφε, τοὺς δ' ἐξήλειφεν. εἰς τοῦτο δὲ κατέστημεν, ὥστε ἐκ τῆς τούτου χειρὸς τεταμιεύμεθα τοὺς νόμους. This νόμος μοιχείας may, therefore, among others, have received a very different shape. Now in the speech against

Theomnestos, Lysias does not quote from the *νόμοι ἀναγεγραμμένοι*, for he says, p. 356, *καί μοι ἀνάγνωθι τούτους τοὺς νόμους τοῦ Σόλωνος τοὺς παλαιούς*. The true solution of the difficulty may therefore be that the words in the speech against Neæra are from the new edition of the laws.

The internal evidence too, tends the same way, for it is intrinsically improbable that the selling wares in the market was made such a *nota dedecoris* by law; and besides, Demosthenes, *in Eubul.* p. 1308, adduces another law, which forbade making this traffic an imputation against a person's character: *παρὰ τοὺς νόμους, οἳ κελεύουσιν ἔνοχον εἶναι τῇ κακηγορίᾳ τὸν τὴν ἐργασίαν τὴν ἐν τῇ ἀγορᾷ, ἢ τῶν πολιτῶν, ἢ τῶν πολιτίδων ὀνειδίζοντα τινι.* Originally, as is clear from the context of this passage in Demosthenes, burghers only were allowed *ἐν τῇ ἀγορᾷ ἐργάζεσθαι*, without being subject to imposts, the *ξένοι* having to pay a tax. Still, such employment was universally despised, and was only carried on by burghers of the lowest class; cf. Diog. Laert. ix. 66. Hence *ἀγοραῖος* denotes a low fellow, and *πόνηρος* and *ἐξ ἀγορᾶς* are phrases of similar significance. Aristoph. *Equites*, 181:

ὁτιὴ πόνηρος κἀξ ἀγορᾶς εἶ, καὶ θρασύς.

But if such employment was considered unseemly for a man, how highly unbecoming would it have been for a woman, according to the Greek notions of feminine decorum, to appear with articles for sale in the market where men were the only purchasers! Artemidorus, *Oneirocr.* i. 78, after speaking of the hetæræ *ἐν πορνείοις*, proceeds: *Ἀγαθαὶ δὲ καὶ αἱ ἐπὶ ἐργαστηρίων καθεζόμεναι καὶ πιπράσκουσαί τι καὶ δεχόμεναι ἐμπολάς, καὶ ὁραθεῖσαι καὶ μιγεῖσαι.* Hence we see that the shop-women, *αἱ ἐπὶ ἐργαστηρίων καθεζόμεναι*, were placed in the same category with the hetæræ. It will be therefore impossible to suppose that the female sellers in the market were not regarded much in the same light. From Demosth. *in Eubul.* p. 1309, and Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 118, we gather that female slaves sold in the market the work they had done for their owners; but this does not enhance the respectability of such an occupation. The two laws may therefore have subsisted together.

The sale of goods was variously effected. The wholesale dealer, *ἔμπορος*, seems usually to have sold by sample, *δεῖγμα*. For this purpose, there was in the Piræus, and probably in other harbours

also, a place used for these sales, which was also itself called Δεῖγμα. Harpocr. Δεῖγμα· κυρίως μὲν τὸ δεικνύμενον ἀφ' ἑκάστου τῶν πωλουμένων. ἤδη δὲ καὶ τόπος τις ἐν τῷ Ἀθήνησιν ἐμπορίῳ, εἰς ὃν τὰ δείγματα ἐκομίζετο, οὕτως ἐκαλεῖτο. See Böckh's *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 58. The samples were also carried about from house to house. Aristobulos, ap. Plutarch, *Demosth.* 23: Ὡς τοὺς ἐμπόρους ὁρῶμεν, ὅταν ἐν τρυβλίῳ δεῖγμα περιφέρωσι. Cf. Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 788. So a wine-merchant, οἰνοπώλης, goes round with a flask under his arm, and sells the wine by this sample. Diphilos, ap. Athen. xi. p. 499:

> ὦ τοιχωρύχον
> ἐκεῖνο καὶ τῶν δυναμένων, λαγύνιον
> ἔχον βαδίζειν εἰς τὰ γεύμαθ' ὑπὸ μάλης
> καὶ τοῦτο πωλεῖν, μέχρι ἂν, ὥσπερ ἐν ἐράνῳ,
> εἷς λοιπὸς ᾖ κάπηλος ἠδικημένος
> ὑπ' οἰνοπώλου.

The legal restrictions of trade were few. There were no trade-guilds, in our sense of the word, nor, properly speaking, any monopolies, i. e. assignments to individuals of special branches of trade, though such were occasionally reserved by the State for itself. See Böckh's *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 52. A private citizen could only obtain a μονοπωλία or μονοπώλιον, (Poll. vii. 11,) by buying up some article entirely, as Aristotle relates of Thales the Milesian, and of a certain Syracusan; *De Republ.* i. 11. Nevertheless, Phylarchos, apud Athen. xii. p. 521, seems to allude to a privilege of this kind: εἰ δέ τις τῶν ὀψοποιῶν ἢ μαγείρων ἴδιον εὕροι βρῶμα καὶ περιττὸν, τὴν ἐξουσίαν μὴ εἶναι χρήσασθαι τούτῳ ἕτερον πρὸ ἐνιαυτοῦ.

The retailers of certain articles seem, very commonly, to have gone about crying them. See Aristoph. *Acharn.* 33:

> στυγῶν μὲν ἄστυ, τὸν δ' ἐμὸν δῆμον ποθῶν,
> ὃς οὐδεπώποτ' εἶπεν "ἀνθρακας πρίω,"
> οὐκ "ὄξος," οὐκ "ἔλαιον," οὐδ' ᾗδη "πρίω."

So also Plutarch, *Apophth. Lac.* 62: Ἕτερος ἐλθὼν εἰς Ἀθήνας καὶ ὁρῶν τοὺς Ἀθηναίους τὸ τάριχος ἀποκηρύττοντας καὶ τὸ ὄψον, κ.τ.λ. See also Note 4 to Scene XI.

The chief part of the traffic was of course confined to the market-place, and the tabernæ around it. The market-place was not paved,—indeed street-paving generally was quite an exception to the rule, before, and even after, the Roman era. The

ῥυτοὶ λᾶες in the ἀγορὰ of the Phæacians (*Odyss.* vi. 267,) are nothing more than the stone-benches, on which the assembly sat. Cf. *Ib.* viii. 6: ἐλθόντες δὲ κάθιζον ἐπὶ ξεστοῖσι λίθοισιν. Strabo, xiv. 1, 37, mentions, as an unusual circumstance, that at Smyrna there were paved streets, ὁδοὶ λιθόστρωτοι. But the subterraneous sewers, the want of which he remarks in that city, were in existence at Athens. See Aristoph. *Pax*, 99, and Scholiast. But the streets, especially in bad weather, could not have been over clean. See Thucyd. ii. 4.

The various divisions of the market, assigned to the sale of different goods, seem to have been called κύκλοι. It has been often supposed that this term referred only to that section devoted to the sale of kitchen-stuff, meat, and so forth; but the authorities will hardly bear this out. The chief passage on this subject is Poll. x. 18: Ἵνα δ' ἐπιπράσκετο τὰ σκεύη τῆς ἀγορᾶς, τὸ μέρος τοῦτο κύκλοι ὠνομάζοντο, ὡς Ἄλεξις ὑποδηλοῦν ἔοικεν ἐν Καλασίριδι, ποῖ δέ με ἄγεις διὰ τῶν κύκλων. σαφέστερον δὲ ἐν τῷ Μαινομένῳ Δίφιλος·

> καὶ προσέτι τοίνυν ἐσχάραν, καινὸν κάδον,
> στρώματα, συνὸν, ἀσκόπηραν, θύλακον,
> ὥς που στρατιώτην ἂν τις, ἀλλὰ καὶ κύκλον
> ἐκ τῆς ἀγορᾶς ὀρθὸν βαδίζειν ὑπολάβοι·
> τοσοῦτός ἐσθ' ὁ ῥῶπος, ὃν σὺ περιφέρεις.

Id. vii. 11: καὶ κύκλοι δὲ ἐν τῇ νέᾳ κωμῳδίᾳ καλοῦνται ἐν οἷς ἐπιπράσκοντο τὰ ἀνδράποδα, ἴσως καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ ὠνια. Also Schol. ad Aristoph. *Equit.* 137: ὁ δὲ κύκλος Ἀθήνησίν ἐστι καθάπερ μάκελλος ἐκ τῆς κατασκευῆς τὴν προσηγορίαν λαβών, ἔνθα δὴ πιπράσκεται χωρὶς κρεῶν τὰ ἄλλα ὤνια, ἐξαιρέτως δὲ οἱ ἰχθύες. In these passages we see that σκεύη, ἀνδράποδα, κρέα, ἰχθῦς, and in short τὰ ἄλλα ὤνια, are mentioned; and that the whole locality, in various parts of which these were sold, was called κύκλος or κύκλοι.

The sellers had also booths, σκηνάς, apparently of wicker-work. Harpocr.: Σκηνίτης· ἐν σκηναῖς ἐπιπράσκετο πολλὰ τῶν ὠνίων. Demosth. *de Coron.* p. 284: τοὺς δ' ἐκ τῶν σκηνῶν τῶν κατὰ τὴν ἀγορὰν ἐξεῖργον καὶ τὰ γέρρα ἐνεπίμπρασαν. This latter passage shews that business was not confined to the time of πλήθουσα ἀγορά, for it was evening when the news arrived, which was the signal for this outrage. The γέρρα here mentioned may doubtless be taken for σκεπάσματα σκηνῶν. Another passage of the

same author presents more difficulties, and implies a different arrangement. *In Necær.* p. 1375: *τοὺς δὲ πρυτάνεις κελεύει τιθέναι τοὺς καδίσκους ὁ νόμος καὶ τὴν ψῆφον διδόναι προσιόντι τῷ δήμῳ, πρὶν τοὺς ξένους εἰσιέναι καὶ τὰ γέρρα ἀναιρεῖν.* Harpocration, in his comment on the last passage, supposes that the wattles, or whatever the *γέρρα* may have been, were used to block up the approaches to the Pnyx, till the voting was over; while on the contrary, the Scholiast on Aristoph. *Acharn.* 22, says that the passages to the ecclesia were alone left open: *ἀνεπετάννυσαν γὰρ τὰ γέρρα καὶ ἀπέκλειον τὰς ὁδοὺς τὰς μὴ φερούσας εἰς τὴν ἐκκλησίαν, καὶ τὰ ὤνια ἀνῄρουν ἐν ταῖς ἀγοραῖς, ὅπως μὴ περὶ ταῦτα διατρίβοιεν.* That the *γέρρα* were barriers of some kind is clear from the Scholion on Lucian, *de Gymn.* 32: *Γέρρον τετράγωνον σκέπασμα ἐκ στερεᾶς βύρσης, ᾧ ἀντὶ ἀσπίδος ἐχρῶντο Σκύθαι...Δημοσθένης δὲ ἐπὶ τῶν σκηνῶν καὶ τῶν περιφραγμάτων.* See also Eustath. *ad Odyss.* xxii. 184: *Παυσανίας δὲ γράφει οὕτω· γέρρα· σκηνώματα καὶ Περσικὰ ὅπλα...καὶ περιφράγματα. Αἴλιος δὲ Διονύσιος, ὅτι γέρρον καὶ οἱ περιπεφραγμένοι τόποι καὶ ἀσπίδες, κ.τ.λ.* Festus also, p. 70, explains the Latin word *gerræ*, by *crates vimineæ*. But it is not necessary to suppose that the booth-wattles were used on this occasion, for there may perhaps have been proper *γέρρα* at hand for the purpose. From the words of the *Etym. M.* *τὰ τῶν σκηνῶν περιφράγματα*, it would almost seem that the *κύκλοι* were severally provided with such fences.

Each of the places of sale was called by a name derived from the article sold there, and at Athens the name of the article was itself used to denote the place where it was sold. Harpocr. s. v. *δεῖγμα*, says: *ἔστι δὲ τὸ ἔθος Ἀττικὸν τὸ σημαίνειν ἀπὸ τῶν ἐν τῷ τόπῳ τοὺς τόπους αὐτούς.* Poll. ix. 47: *εἰς τοὖψον, καὶ εἰς τὸν οἶνον, καὶ εἰς τὰς χύτρας.* Id. x. 19: *ὥσπερ οἱ Ἀττικοὶ ἀπὸ τῶν πιπρασκομένων καὶ τὰ χωρία ὠνόμαζον, λέγοντες εἰς τοὖψον, καὶ εἰς τὰ μύρα, καὶ εἰς τὸν χλωρὸν τυρὸν, καὶ εἰς τὰ ἀνδράποδα.* There is scarcely an article which does not appear to have had its special place of sale, either in the market-place or elsewhere. Thus Eupolis, ap. Poll. ix. 47: *περιῆλθον εἰς τὰ σκόροδα καὶ τὰ κρόμμυα, καὶ τὸν λιβανωτὸν, καὶ εὐθὺ τῶν ἀρωμάτων καὶ περὶ τὰ γέλγη.*

Some of these appellations require explanation; for instance, *γυναικεία ἀγορὰ*, a term of doubtful import in Theophr. *Char.* 2:

Ἀμέλει δὲ καὶ τὰ ἐκ γυναικείας ἀγορᾶς διακονῆσαι ἔνιοτε ἀπηνεστί. The notion that in these κύκλοι, the chief purchasers were women, is erroneous, having been hastily adopted from Pollux, x. 18. At Athens it was a thing unheard of for any free-women, except those of the lowest class, to make purchases in the market, or at the shop of a κάπηλος. The hetaeræ, it is true, did not scruple to perform such offices for themselves. See Machon, ap. Athen. xiii. p. 580:

> Ἐπεὶ προέβη τοῖς ἔτεσιν ἡ Γνάθαινα, καὶ
> ἤδη τελέως ἦν ὁμολογουμένως σορὸς,
> εἰς τὴν ἀγορὰν λέγουσιν αὐτὴν ἐξίναι
> καὶ τοὔψον ἐφορᾶν καὶ πολυπραγμονεῖν πόσου
> πωλεῖθ' ἕκαστον.

Female slaves also went occasionally on such errands. See Lysias, *de Cæde Erat.* p. 18: ἐὰν οὖν λάβῃς τὴν θεράπαιναν τὴν εἰς τὴν ἀγορὰν βαδίζουσαν καὶ διακονοῦσαν ὑμῖν. These however are exceptive cases. If a man did not go marketing himself, he had a slave, ἀγοραστής, for the purpose. Athen. iv. p. 171: ἐκάλουν δὲ καὶ ἀγοραστὴν τὸν τὰ ὄψα ὠνούμενον. Cf. Poll. iii. 126. And it is to be observed that male slaves alone are mentioned in this capacity; so Terent. *Andr.* ii. 2, 31:

> etiam puerum inde abiens conveni Chremis
> Olera et pisciculos minutos ferre obolo in cœnam seni.

But the master generally attended to these matters himself; Æschin. *in Timarch.* 87: τίς γὰρ ὑμῶν, ὃς οὐπώποτε εἰς τοὖψον ἀφῖκται καὶ τὰς δαπάνας τὰς τούτων οὐ τεθεώρηκεν; The comic writers abound in instances in point, and it is only in a special case that the practice is ridiculed by Aristophanes, *Lysistr.* 557:

> καὶ μὴν τό γε πρᾶγμα γέλοιον,
> ὅταν ἀσπίδ' ἔχων καὶ Γοργόνα τις, κᾆτ' ὠνῆται κορακίνους.

The name γυναικεία ἀγορὰ cannot, therefore, have been derived from the purchasers. We may suppose, with greater probability, that certain wares were sold principally by females, and that one section of the market was possessed exclusively by these ἀρτοπώλιδες, λεκιθοπώλιδες, ἰσχαδοπώλιδες, στεφανοπώλιδες, and others; or perhaps the name was applied to the locality where articles for women's use were chiefly sold. But the passage in Theophrastus which has given rise to this discussion is itself so

obscure that nothing certain can be inferred from it, and even Pollux seems to be in doubt as to the true meaning of the word.

The part most frequented, and for the *gourmand* the most important, was the fish-market, ἰχθῦς, ἰχθυοπωλῖτις, ὄψον. See Excursus on *The Meals*. The sale was not allowed to begin before a certain hour, when the signal for commencing was given by a bell, at the sound of which everybody hurried to the spot. Plutarch, *Symp.* iv. 4, 2: ἀλλὰ τοὺς περὶ τὴν ἰχθυοπωλίαν ἀναδιδόντας ἑκάστοτε, καὶ τοῦ κώδωνος ὀξέως ἀκούοντας. But the best elucidation of this occurs in Strabo, xiv. 2, 21, where there is a capital anecdote of a Citharœdus, whose audience all desert him when the fish-bell rings, except one who was deaf. 'Sir, I thank you much for the honour you have done me in not going like the others at the sound of the bell,' said the Citharœdus to the deaf man. 'What!' asked he; 'did you say the bell had rung?' And on the musician answering that it had, εὖ σοι εἴη, said the other; καὶ ἀναστὰς ἀπῆλθε καὶ αὐτός. Neither of these passages refers, it is true, to Athens, but no doubt the custom prevailed there, as well as in other towns.

The surliness and knavery of the fishmongers are pourtrayed in a very amusing manner by the comic writers. See a passage of Amphis, ap. Athen. vi. p. 224: (τοὺς ἰχθυοπώλας)

> οὓς ἂν ἐπερωτήσῃ τις, ἢ λαβών τι τῶν
> παρακειμένων ἔκυψεν ὥσπερ Τήλεφος
> πρῶτον σιωπῇ—καὶ δικαίως τοῦτό γε·
> ἅπαντες ἀνδροφύνοι γάρ εἰσιν ἐνὶ λόγῳ—
> ὡσεὶ δὲ προσέχων οὐδὲν οὐδ' ἀκηκοὼς
> ἔκρουσε πόλυπόν τιν'· ὁ δ' ἐπρήσθη, κοὐ λαλῶν
> ὅλα ῥήματ', ἀλλὰ συλλαβὴν ἀφελών, τάρων
> βολῶν γένοιτ' ἂν· ἢ δὲ κέστρα κτῶ βολῶν.

A fragment of Alexis, *Ib.* is equally characteristic:

> τοὺς δ' ἰχθυοπώλας τοὺς κάκιστ' ἀπολουμένους
> ἐπὰν ἴδω κάτω βλέποντας, τὰς δ' ὀφρῦς
> ἔχοντας ἐπάνω τῆς κορυφῆς, ἀποπνίγομαι.
> ἐὰν δ' ἐρωτήσῃς, πόσου τοὺς κεστρέας
> πωλεῖς δύ' ὄντας; δέκ' ὀβολῶν, φησίν.—βαρύ.
> ὀκτὼ λάβοις ἄν;—εἴπερ ὠνεῖ τὸν ἕτερον.—
> ὦ τᾶν λαβὲ καὶ μὴ παῖζε.—τοσουδὶ παράτρεχε.

If the comic writers may be trusted, several laws existed to restrain their roguery; for instance, they were not allowed to water their fish. Xenarchos, apud Athen. vi. p. 225:

ἐπεὶ γὰρ αὐτοῖς οὐκ ἔτ' ἔστ' ἐξουσία
ῥαίνειν, ἀπείρηται δὲ τοῦτο τῷ νόμῳ.

To evade this restriction a fight is got up near the fish-stall, a person falls down pretending to be stunned by a blow, water is thrown over him under the pretext of recovering him, and the fish get watered at the same time. Another law is mentioned in a fragment of Alexis, ap. Athen. vi. p. 266, which orders the fishmongers to adhere without abatement to the price first named; but this appears merely to have been intended to ridicule Plato's law on the subject (*Leg.* xi. p. 917), for the poet proceeds to announce, as the most recent improvement in legislation, that the dealers were not to be allowed to sit down, so that they might be induced by the fatigue to pass off their goods more quickly. For the coming season a new ordonnance is announced to forbid any sale being effected unless the dealer were suspended over his stall, like the gods upon the stage: ἀπὸ μηχανῆς πωλοῦντες ὥσπερ οἱ θεοί. Haggling about the price of an article was quite as common as in modern times. Cf. Theophr. *Char.* 17: πριάμενος ἀνδράποδον ἄξιον καὶ πολλὰ δεηθεὶς τοῦ πωλοῦντος.

Respecting other parts of the market, as, for instance, the shambles, there are fewer notices. We may mention, however, the humorous passage in Aristophanes, *Aves*, 1076, where the birds set a price on the head of Philocrates, who was probably a noted poulterer:

ὅτι συνείρων τοὺς σπίνους πωλεῖ καθ' ἑπτὰ τοῦ 'βολοῦ.
εἶτα φυσῶν τὰς κίχλας δείκνυσι καὶ λυμαίνεται,
τοῖς τε κοψίχοισιν εἰς τὰς ῥῖνας ἐγχεῖ τὰ πτερά.

There are also some reliefs which represent the sale of game and poultry. See Zoëga, *Bassiril.* 27, 28.

Bread was seldom made at home, but was usually bought of women, ἀρτοπώλιδες, who either carried it about, or sold it at stalls in the market, and elsewhere. Aristoph. *Vesp.* 1389; *Ran.* 857. See note 1 to Scene IV.

The chaplet-weavers too had their peculiar locality, which seems to have been called the myrtle-market. See Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 448: στεφανηπλοκοῦσα ἐν ταῖς μυρρίναις. This was in the ἀγορά, as appears from v. 457:

ἀλλ' εἰς ἀγορὰν ἄπειμι· δεῖ γάρ ἀνδράσι
πλέξαι στεφάνους συνθηματιαίους εἴκοσιν.

Probably the ταινιοπώλιδες, who sold ribands and ready-made

head-dresses, were also in the vicinity. Demosth. *in Eubul.* p. 1308.

The place for the sale of wine is mentioned by Isæus, *de Philoct. Her.* p. 134: καθίστησιν Εὐκτήμων ἐπιμελεῖσθαι τῆς ἐν Κεραμεικῷ συνοικίας, τῆς παρὰ τὴν πυλίδα, οὗ ὁ οἶνος ὤνιος. This does not allude to the retail trade, carried on by the κάπηλος, but to the sale of the wine which had been brought to the city in wains. A sale of this kind is represented in two Pompeian pictures, *Mus. Borbon.* iv., where the *amphoræ* are being filled from a large skin. These paintings are the best commentary on the fragment of Alexis, ap. Athen. x. p. 431:

> ἐν τοῖς συμποσίοις οὐ πίνετε
> ἄκρατον.—οὐ γὰρ ῥᾴδιον. πωλοῦσι γὰρ
> ἐν ταῖς ἀμάξαις εὐθέως κεκραμένον, κ.τ.λ.

We must distinguish between the crockery-mart, χύτραι, and the place where the cooks stood with their apparatus waiting to be hired. Poll. ix. 48: Εἴη δ' ἂν καὶ μαγειρεῖα τῶν πόλεως μερῶν, οὐχ ἥπερ τὰ λοιπὰ τῶν ὑπὸ ταῖς τέχναις ἐργαστηρίων, ἀλλὰ τόπος, ὅθεν μισθοῦνται ὡς τοὺς μαγείρους. There seems also to have been a separate place where cooking-utensils were to be hired: ὅπου ὁ κέραμος μισθώσιμος ὁ τοῖς μαγείροις. Alexis ap. Athen. iv. p. 164.

Not only these necessaries of life, but also articles of luxury, seem mostly to have been sold in the same spot, and not in places scattered about the town. See Theophr. *Char.* 23: Καὶ προσελθὼν δ' εἰς τοὺς ἵππους ὅπου τοὺς ἀγαθοὺς πωλοῦσι, προσποιήσασθαι ὠνητιᾶν. καὶ ἐπὶ τὰς κλίνας ἐλθὼν ἱματισμὸν ζητῆσαι εἰς δύο τάλαντα. This shews that where the κλῖναι, properly the frames only of the couches, were sold, there, very naturally, the coverlets were also to be had.

Lastly, it is in the market-place that the tables of the money-changers, αἱ τράπεζαι, are to be sought. See Plato, *Apol.* p. 17, where the correct reading is, ἐν ἀγορᾷ ἐπὶ τραπεζῶν. Cf. *Hipp. Min.* p. 368. Most likely the higher classes lounged about in the vicinity of these tables. See Theophr. *Char.* 21, where one of the signs of μικροφιλοτιμία is said to be, τῆς ἀγορᾶς πρὸς τὰς τραπέζας προσφοιτᾶν. Of course, certain necessaries,—provisions, for instance,—were to be obtained in other parts of the city. Thucyd. viii. 95: οἱ δὲ ἔτυχον οὐκ ἐκ τῆς ἀγορᾶς ἄριστον

ἐπισιτιζόμενοι—οὐδὲν γὰρ ἐπωλεῖτο ἀπὸ προνοίας τῶν Ἐρετριέων —ἀλλὰ ἐκ τῶν ἐπ' ἔσχατα τοῦ ἄστεος οἰκιῶν. Several articles, such as salt-fish, were sold outside the gates. Aristoph. *Equites*, 1246:

> ΚΛ. καί μοι τοσοῦτον εἰπέ· πότερον ἐν ἀγορᾷ
> ἠλλαντοπώλεις ἐτεόν, ἢ 'πὶ ταῖς πύλαις;
> ΑΛΛ. ἐπὶ ταῖς πύλαισιν, οὗ τὸ τάριχος ὤνιον.

The superintendence of the market was intrusted to officers, called ἀγορανόμοι. Cf. Böckh, *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 48; Meier u. Schömann, *Att. Proc.* p. 90. Sophilos, as we are told by Athenæus, vi. p. 228, mentions certain ὀψονόμοι, whose duty it was to observe whether people lived above their income; this perhaps is a mere idea of the comedian's; though, according to Diphilos, ap. Id. p. 227, this was one of the duties of the ἀγορανόμοι at Corinth. But though these functionaries, ἀγορανόμοι, σιτοφύλακες, προμετρηταί, &c., were able to check petty frauds, yet there were plenty of ways for plundering the public by wholesale. The fraudulent accounts of the funds and markets in our own day had their parallel in antiquity. See Lysias, κ. τ. σιτοπωλ. p. 721: οὕτω δ' ἅσμενοι τὰς συμφορὰς τὰς ὑμετέρας ὁρῶσιν, ὥστε τὰς μὲν πρότεροι τῶν ἄλλων πυνθάνονται, τὰς δ' αὐτοὶ λογοποιοῦσιν· ἢ τὰς ναῦς διεφθάρθαι τὰς ἐν τῷ Πόντῳ, ἢ ὑπὸ Λακεδαιμονίων ἐκπλεούσας συνειλῆφθαι, ἢ τὰ ἐμπόρια κεκλεῖσθαι, ἢ τὰς σπονδὰς μέλλειν ἀπορρηθήσεσθαι.

The current coins, their relative value, and their modern equivalents, have been adequately discussed by Böckh in his *Public Econ. of Athens*, pp. 5—30. Silver seems to have constituted the ordinary currency. Very small coins only, such as the Χαλκοῦς, or the Δίχαλκον, were of copper. Gold, at this period, seems to have been rather an article of merchandize than a medium of exchange; hence the word χρυσωνεῖν is used to denote the exchange of gold for silver. Isocr. *Trapez.* 21, p. 528. The difference of the standard in the different states gave rise to frequent extortion, and the agio, καταλλαγή, κόλλυβος, on the larger coins was carefully reckoned. So Diphilos, ap. Athen. iv. p. 225, says, speaking of a fishmonger:

> ἔπειτ' ἐὰν τάργύριον αὐτῷ καταβάλῃς,
> ἐπράξατ' Αἰγιναῖον· ἂν δ' αὐτὸν δέῃ
> κίρματ' ἀποδοῦναι προσαπέδωκεν Ἀττικά.
> κατ' ἀμφότερα δὲ τὴν καταλλαγὴν ἔχει.

Bad money, ἀργύριον κίβδηλον, (opposed to δόκιμον,) was not of rare occurrence, though to coin it appears to have been punishable by death throughout Greece. Demosth. *in Timocr.* p. 765: νόμος ἐστὶν ἁπάσαις, ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν, ταῖς πόλεσιν, ἐάν τις τὸ νόμισμα διαφθείρῃ θάνατον τὴν ζημίαν εἶναι. Cf. Id. *in Leptin.* p. 508. The oldest example is that of the gilt lead coins of Polycrates of Samos, if indeed we may credit the account given by Herodotus. The usual expedient in forging, (παραχαράττειν, Dio Chrysost. *Or.* xxxi. p. 577,) was, before minting, to place a thin film of the precious metal on the piece of iron or copper. See Eckhel, *Doctr. Num.* i. p. 113.

Though there were no regular fairs in Greece, still there was something analogous to the annual marts of Germany. The occasions of these were the public festivals, πανηγύρεις, whither such numbers of people resorted. Strabo, x. 5, talking of Delos, says, ἥ τε πανήγυρις ἐμπορικόν τι πρᾶγμα. At such periods crowds of dealers set up their stalls, so that the festival had much the appearance of a fair. Dio Chrys. *Or.* xxvii. p. 528: ἀφικνοῦνται δὲ καὶ πρὸς τὰς πανηγύρεις οἱ μὲν..., πολλοὶ δὲ ὤνια κομίζοντες παντοδαπά, ἀγοραῖος ὄχλος. The most detailed account of such a Panegyris occurs in Pausanias, x. 32, 9; it relates to that which took place twice a-year at Tithorea in Phocis: τῇ δὲ ἐπιούσῃ σκηνὰς οἱ καπηλεύοντες ποιοῦνται, καλάμου τε καὶ ἄλλης ὕλης αὐτοσχεδίου· τῇ τελευταίᾳ δὲ τῶν τριῶν πανηγυρίζουσι πιπράσκοντες καὶ ἀνδράποδα, καὶ κτήνη τὰ πάντα, ἔτι δὲ ἐσθῆτας καὶ ἄργυρον καὶ χρυσόν. Cf. Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* viii. p. 278. Hence the Romans translated the word πανήγυρις by *mercatus*, even when referring to the Olympian games. See Moser, ad Cic. *Tusc.* v. 3; Böckh, *Corp. Inscr. Gr.* No. 1625. What Aristotle narrates of the occurrences at a spot on Hæmus, is still more like a fair. *De Mir. Ausc.* 104: εἶναι δέ τινα καὶ τόπον ἐν τοῖς ἀνὰ μέσον διαστήμασιν, εἰς ὃν ἀγορᾶς κοινῆς γινομένης πωλεῖσθαι παρὰ μὲν τῶν ἐκ τοῦ Πόντου ἐμπόρων ἀναβαινόντων τὰ Λέσβια καὶ Χῖα καὶ Θάσια, παρὰ δὲ τῶν ἐκ τοῦ Ἀδρίου τοὺς Κερκυραϊκοὺς ἀμφορεῖς.

EXCURSUS TO SCENE V.

THE GYMNASIA.

OF all the peculiar Hellenistic institutions the Gymnasia are perhaps the most important, for none exercised so powerful an influence on the entire developement and various phases of Greek life—none at once awakened the noblest feelings, and fostered the most impure passions—none formed to the same extent the incitement to glorious deeds, and the seduction to idle pastimes—none so much enhanced the vigour of the corporeal powers, and at the same time gave them so false a direction—none made men so alive to the beauty and nobility of the human form and opened so broad a field for the grandest creations of art—and lastly, none betrayed youthful innocence into such degrading abuses—as was the case with the exercises of the Gymnasia. At a period when physical strength had usurped many of the prerogatives of intellectual power, it is true that even the rudest nations also cultivated bodily exercises; but in no country was their original intention so entirely lost sight of as in Greece; nowhere did Gymnastics assume so generally the character of agonistics; nowhere were they so much looked on as a diversion; nowhere did the Gymnasia become such universal places of amusement, and such arenas for emulous exertions.

We cannot wonder that the stern Romans, who valued such exercises merely for their military and diætetic advantages, judged unfavourably of Grecian gymnastics. See Plutarch, *Quæst. Rom.* 40: *τὸ γὰρ ξηραλοιφεῖν ὑφεωρῶντο Ῥωμαῖοι σφόδρα καὶ τοῖς Ἕλλησιν οἴονται μηδὲν οὕτως αἴτιον δουλείας γεγονέναι καὶ μαλακίας, ὡς τὰ γυμνάσια καὶ τὰς παλαίστρας, πολὺν ἄλυν καὶ σχολὴν ἐντεκούσας ταῖς πόλεσι καὶ κακοσχολίαν, καὶ τὸ παιδεραστεῖν καὶ τὸ διαφθείρειν τὰ σώματα τῶν νέων ὕπνοις καὶ περιπάτοις καὶ κινήσεσιν εὐρύθμοις καὶ διαίταις ἀκριβέσιν, ὑφ' ὧν ἔλαθον ἐκρυέντες τῶν ὅπλων καὶ ἀγαπήσαντες ἀνθ' ὁπλιτῶν καὶ ἱππέων ἀγαθῶν εὐτράπελοι καὶ παλαιστρῖται καὶ καλοὶ λέγεσθαι.* This passage requires a little elucidation. In the first place, the explanation given by Wyttenbach of the word *ξηραλοιφεῖν* is not

satisfactory. The body was anointed either after the bath, or as a preparation for gymnastic exercises, but this was not universal, being chiefly practised by the Palæstæ and Pancratiastæ. It is true that Solon's law, which forbad the practice of gymnastic exercises to slaves, is once and again quoted by Plutarch as if *ξηραλοιφεῖν* only were forbidden, but this is inexact. Æschines, *in Timarch.* p. 147, gives the law more correctly: *Δοῦλον, φησὶν ὁ νόμος, μὴ γυμνάζεσθαι, μηδὲ ξηραλοιφεῖν ἐν ταῖς παλαίστραις.* The difference between the Gymnasium and the Palæstra is manifest from this law, as well as from Lucian, *Paras.* 51; but neither from this passage, nor from such as Plato, *Lys.* p. 204, and *Charm.* p. 153, can we deduce the inference that the former was a public institution, and the latter not. The distinction seems to have been that the Gymnasium was a place including grounds for running, archery, javelin-practice, and the like, along with baths, and numerous resorts for those who only sought amusement; while the Palæstra, on the other hand, was the regular wrestling-school, where, originally, wrestling, *πάλη*, and the pancration, were principally taught and practised. That the Palæstra was not necessarily a private institution is clear from Xenophon, *de Rep. Athen.* ii. 9: *ὁ δὲ δῆμος αὐτὸς αὑτῷ οἰκοδομεῖται ἰδίᾳ παλαίστρας πολλὰς, κ.τ.λ.* The distinction which Krause has attempted to establish, that the *παλαίστρα* was chiefly for the use of boys, is quite untenable. Æschines, *in Timarch.* pp. 35, 38, uses the words interchangeably; and Antipho, *de Cæd. Invol.* p. 661, speaking of boys only, has, *μελετῶν μετὰ τῶν ἡλίκων ἀκοντίζειν ἐπὶ τῷ γυμνασίῳ.* Cf. Lucian, *Navig.* 4, where the young men betake themselves to the Palæstra. When Solon forbade slaves both *γυμνάζεσθαι*, and also *ξηραλοιφεῖν ἐν ταῖς παλαίστραις*, all the kinds of gymnastic exercises are included. Cf. Harpocration, and the *Etymol. M.* s. v. *ξηραλοιφεῖν.*

The chief points of the above-mentioned charge made by the Romans against the gymnasia, were, setting aside the evil of *παιδεραστία*, that they induced inactivity and idleness; that what should have been the main objects, the strengthening and exercising the body, were lost sight of; that instead of the use of weapons, mere unprofitable arts were taught; and that the body was too highly fed on unnatural diet to become fitted for other exertions. Many voices even in Greece itself re-echoed

this imputation, in which, it must be confessed, there is a good deal of truth, especially as respects the athletes, the whole business of whose lives was the exercises of the Palæstra. At Sparta, for instance, πυγμὴ and παγκράτιον were entirely prohibited. See Plutarch, *Apophth. Reg.* i. p. 753; and Müller's *Dorians*, ii. p. 313. There also, athletics were not generally the object of gymnastics. Aristot. *de Republ.* viii. 4.

Many agreed, on this subject, with Lycurgus. So Philopœmen, on being urged to undergo the exercises of the Palæstra, asked whether it would not partly unfit him for the use of his weapons; and afterwards, when on service, πᾶσαν ἄθλησιν ἐξέβαλεν, ὡς τὰ χρησιμώτατα τῶν σωμάτων εἰς τοὺς ἀναγκαίους ἀγῶνας ἄχρηστα ποιοῦσαν. Plutarch, *Philop.* 3. The useless discipline of the ἀθλητικὴ is described with much point, though with a little rhetorical exaggeration, in a fragment from the *Autolycos* of Euripides, apud Athen. x. p. 413:

> Κακῶν γὰρ ὄντων μυρίων καθ' Ἑλλάδα,
> οὐδὲν κάκιόν ἐστιν ἀθλητῶν γένους.....
> Τίς γὰρ παλαίσας εὖ, τίς δ' ὠκύπους ἀνὴρ,
> ἢ δίσκου ἄρας, ἢ γνάθου παίσας καλῶς
> πόλει πατρῴᾳ στέφανον ἤρκεσεν λαβών;
> πότερα μαχοῦνται πολεμίοισιν ἐν χεροῖν
> δίσκους ἔχοντες, ἢ δι' ἀσπίδων χερὶ
> θείνοντες ἐκβαλοῦσι πολεμίους πάτρας;

The disadvantages of such one-sided training are further hinted at by Socrates; Xenoph. *Symp.* 2, 17: ὥσπερ οἱ δολιχοδρόμοι τὰ σκέλη μὲν παχύνονται, τοὺς δὲ ὤμους λεπτύνονται, μηδ' ὥσπερ οἱ πύκται τοὺς μὲν ὤμους παχύνονται, τὰ δὲ σκέλη λεπτύνονται. The πολυσαρκία of the athletes was often ridiculed, and from their dulness of intellect, they were called παχεῖς. The *double entendre* in the word is explained by Eustath. *ad Il.* xxiii. 261. See Plutarch, *de San. Tuend.* 18: τοῖς ἐν γυμνασίῳ κίοσιν ὁμοίως λιπαροὺς πεποιήκασι καὶ λιθίνους. Hence Hermes says to the athlete, who, παχὺς καὶ πολύσαρκος, wishes to go in Charon's boat, and calls himself γυμνός: οὐ γυμνόν, ὦ βέλτιστε, τοσαύτας σάρκας περιβεβλημένον. Lucian, *Mort. Dial.* x. 5.

Of course there was a great difference between the exercises of the gymnasia generally, and those of the professional athlete. The contests of the gymnasia also imparted a spirit of activity and emulation to the whole social machinery of the Greeks.

This is well expressed by Lucian, *de Gymn.* 15. But very important disadvantages existed; among which were the encouragement it gave to παιδεραστία, and also the formation of the habit of idle lounging, or as Plutarch says, πολὺν ἄλυν καὶ σχολὴν ἐντεκεῖν καὶ κακοσχολίαν. Quarrels and enmities were frequently engendered in the palæstra, the evil effects of which were felt in after life. *Palam.* 65: ἔνθα (ἐν παλαίστρᾳ) φιλεῖ ἔριδας πλείστας καὶ λοιδορίας γίνεσθαι.

But that which chiefly offended the Romans, and indeed all non-Grecian nations, was the perfect nakedness both at the customary exercises and at the matches; and this even at the Olympic games, from the time of Orsippos of Megara, or Acanthos the Lacedæmonian. Böckh, *Corp. Inscr. Gr.* No. 1003. The Romans looked on this as a *flagitium*, nor was it less unbecoming in the eyes of the Asiatics. Herod. i. 10: παρὰ γὰρ τοῖσι Λυδοῖσι, σχεδὸν δὲ καὶ παρὰ τοῖσι ἄλλοισι βαρβάροισι καὶ ἄνδρα ὀφθῆναι γυμνὸν ἐς αἰσχύνην μεγάλην φέρει. Plato, *de Republ.* v. p. 452. οὐ πολὺς χρόνος, ἐξ οὗ τοῖς Ἕλλησιν ἐδόκει αἰσχρὰ εἶναι καὶ γελοῖα, ἅπερ νῦν τοῖς πολλοῖς τῶν βαρβάρων, γυμνοὺς ἄνδρας ὁρᾶσθαι. The words which Herodotus (i. 8,) puts into the mouth of Gyges, ἅμα κιθῶνι ἐκδυομένῳ συνεκδύεται καὶ τὴν αἰδῶ γυνή, are doubtless a Grecian sentiment, and are well applicable to the male sex also. Cf. Diog. Laert. viii. 43.

It is certain that in nearly all of the Greek states neither matrons nor maidens were allowed to be spectators of gymnastic games. Pausanias, v. 6, 5, relates that the mother of Peisidoros, who accompanied him to the Olympic games, dressed as a gymnastes, discovered herself in the moment when her son was victorious, and only escaped punishment because many of her family had been Olympian victors. On the other hand the same author says, vi. 20, 6: παρθένους δὲ οὐκ εἴργουσι θεᾶσθαι. That married women, to whom alone the first passage refers, should have been prohibited from appearing on pain of death, while the presence of maidens was allowed, seems so strange, that many critics have supposed the passages hopelessly contradictory. Now it is true that an Attic virgin would never have dreamt of appearing in public, or being present at the games; but this would be quite in keeping with the habits of the Dorians, and the Spartans especially; for these nations allowed virgins much greater freedom

than married women. In Cyrene women were also permitted to be present. See Böckh, ad Pind. *Pyth.* ix.

Of course it was still more rarely that females appeared as competitors themselves in running or driving matches, although they might send carriages to run. See Paus. iii. 17, 6; and v. 8, 3. Müller, in his *Dorians,* ii. p. 273, note, seems to fancy that maidens at least were allowed to compete in person. Now with regard to Cynisca, the sister of Agesilaos, who was the most celebrated of these female charioteers, and was the first who obtained the prize, it is plain that she did not herself drive the horses, for an *ἀνὴρ ἡνίοχος* is also mentioned; Paus. vi. 1, 3: *Πεποίηται δὲ ἐν Ὀλυμπίᾳ παρὰ τὸν ἀνδριάντα τοῦ Τρωΐλου λίθου κρηπὶς καὶ ἅρμα τε ἵππων καὶ ἀνὴρ ἡνίοχος, καὶ αὐτῆς Κυνίσκας εἰκών.* If the representation on an ancient vase in Tischb. ii. 28. p. 59, where a female is seen driving a chariot, really refers to Cynisca, a license taken by the artist must be supposed.

Throughout the Ionic states, and in most of the others except Sparta, the female sex was excluded from all participation in gymnastic exercises. Plato, however, is for the Spartan custom, but his words shew that he felt that its introduction would have run counter to the universally entertained notions of propriety. See *de Republ.* v. p. 452; and *Leg.* vii. p. 804.

But at Sparta it is well known that the maidens, as well as the youths, practised the exercises of the gymnasium; and the mere mention of this fact might here suffice, had not a repugnance to admitting that nudity was usual in both cases, led to many passages being interpreted in a sense which their writers could never have intended, and which the language used cannot possibly admit. At Sparta, married women alone were excluded from gymnastic exercises, the maidens being allowed much greater freedom in this respect, as well as in dress, and in their intercourse with the other sex. This limitation seems to displease Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 806: and it is, moreover, quite an oversight in Lucian, *Deor. Dial.* xx. 14, when he talks of the already married Helen as *γυμνὰς τὰ πολλὰ καὶ παλαιστικὴ*: and Aristophanes, *Lysistr.* 82, has made a similar mistake. The real point at issue is, whether by the *γύμνωσις τῶν παρθένων* of Plutarch, is meant actual nudity, or only very light clothing. Now Plutarch, *Lyc.* 14, says: *οὐδὲν ἧττον εἴθισε τῶν κόρων τὰς κόρας γυμνάς τε πομπεύειν καὶ ἱεροῖς*

τισιν ὀρχεῖσθαι καὶ ᾄδειν τῶν νέων παρόντων καὶ θεωμένων· and since the complete nudity of the κόροι is indisputable, the presumption would be that the same was the case with the maidens. Too much stress must not, however, be laid on the word γυμνὰς in the above passage, since it is undoubtedly used of those who were clad in the chiton only. See Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 150:

> εἰ γὰρ καθοίμεθ' ἔνδον ἐντετριμμέναι,
> κἀν τοῖς χιτωνίοισι τοῖς ἀμοργίνοις
> γυμναὶ παρίοιμεν, κ.τ.λ.

So Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 583: θοἰμάτιον προέσθαι καὶ μικροῦ γυμνὸν ἐν χιτωνίσκῳ γενέσθαι. The word denotes a still smaller amount of clothing in Athen. iv. p. 129; and Id. xiii. p. 568: γυμναὶ ἐν λεπτοπήνοις ὕφεσιν. This signification of γυμνὸς is confirmed by the accounts we possess of the dress of the Doric virgins, which was merely a short chiton, without sleeves, and often not reaching to the knees. See Clem. Alex. *Pæd.* ii. 10: οὐδὲ γὰρ ὑπὲρ γόνυ, καθάπερ τὰς Λακαίνας φασὶ παρθένους, ἐστολίσθαι καλόν. Also Eurip. *Androm.* 588:

> αἳ ξὺν νέοισιν, ἐξερημοῦσαι δόμους
> γυμνοῖσι μηροῖς καὶ πέπλοις ἀνειμένοις,
> δρόμους παλαίστρας τ', οὐκ ἀνασχετοὺς ἐμοὶ
> κοινὰς ἔχουσι.

The words πέπλοις ἀνειμένοις are explained by Plutarch, *Comp. Lyc. c. Num.* 3: Τῷ γὰρ ὄντι τοῦ παρθενικοῦ χιτῶνος αἱ πτέρυγες οὐκ ἦσαν συνερραμμέναι κάτωθεν, ἀλλ' ἀνεπτύσσοντο καὶ συνανεγύμνουν ὅλον ἐν τῷ βαδίζειν τὸν μηρόν. καὶ σαφέστατα τὸ γινόμενον εἴρηκε Σοφοκλῆς ἐν τούτοις (*Fragm. Helen.* 4.) καὶ τὰν νέοργυν, ἃς ἔτ' ἄστολος χιτὼν θυραῖον ἀμφὶ μηρὸν πτύσσεται, Ἑρμιόναν. Cf. Poll. vii. 55: ἐκαλεῖτο δὲ καὶ ὁ τῶν παρθένων οὕτω χιτωνίσκος, οὗ παραλύσαντες ἄχρι τινὸς τὰς πτέρυγας, ἐκ τῆς κάτω πέζης παρέφαινον τοὺς μηρούς. μάλιστα αἱ Σπαρτιάτιδες, ἃς διὰ τοῦτο φαινομηρίδας ὠνόμαζον. See the Phigalian reliefs in the British Museum, and other sculptures at Florence and elsewhere. See also Müller's *Dorians*, ii. p. 274—6, and the Excursus on *The Dress*. Pausanias and Ælius Dionysius, apud Eustath. *ad Il.* xiv. 175, explain the word ὡριάζειν as follows: Αἴλιος γοῦν Διονύσιος ὡριάζειν φησὶ τὸ παραφαίνειν καὶ παραγυμνοῦν πολύ τι τοῦ σώματος. αἱ γὰρ κατὰ Πελοπόννησον, φησὶ, κόραι διημέρευον ἄζωστοι καὶ ἀχίτωνες, ἱμάτιον μόνον ἐπὶ θατέρᾳ ἐπιπεπορπημέναι. Καὶ ὅρα ἐνταῦθα διαφορὰν χιτῶνος καὶ ἱμα-

τίον. Παυσανίας δὲ καὶ αὐτὸς δωριάζειν φησὶ τὸ παραγυμνοῦσθαι. Δωρικὸν γὰρ, φησὶ, τὸ παραφαίνειν τὸ σῶμα διὰ τὸ μηδὲ ζώνας ἔχειν, τὸ πολὺ δὲ χιτῶνας φορεῖν. ἐν δὲ Σπάρτῃ καὶ τὰς κόρας γυμνὰς φαίνεσθαι. Nor is there any contradiction in Ælius Dionysius calling the Peloponnesian females ἀχίτωνες, while Pausanias says that they generally wore the χιτὼν only. For this garment, being without arms, and merely fastened over the shoulders by agraffes, while below, on one side at least, it was quite open, might, when compared with the Ionian dress, be considered as scarcely a chiton at all. All this, it must be remembered, refers not to the palæstra, but to the dress of girls in every-day life. The only passage referring to the chiton as worn in the palæstræ is the Schol. ad Eurip. *Hecub.* 914: αἱ Λακεδαιμόνιαι γυναῖκες ἐν τοῖς ἀγῶσι μονοχίτωνες ἦσαν, πόρπας ἐφ' ἑκατέρου τῶν ὤμων ἔχουσαι, ὅθεν καὶ δωριάζειν τὸ γυμνοῦσθαι Ἀνακρέων φησί. Other passages, however, seem to speak of actual nudity at these contests, or at least shew that the chiton was not worn. See Athen. xiii. p. 566: ἐπαινοῦντες τῶν Σπαρτιατῶν τὸ ἔθος τὸ γυμνοῦν τὰς παρθένους τοῖς ξένοις. Plutarch, *Lyc.* 15: λέγω δὲ τὰς πομπὰς τῶν παρθένων, καὶ τὰς ἀποδύσεις, καὶ τοὺς ἀγῶνας ἐν ὄψει τῶν νέων, ἀγομένων οὐ γεωμετρικαῖς, ὡς φησὶν ὁ Πλάτων, ἀλλ' ἐρωτικαῖς ἀνάγκαις. Whatever the γύμνωσις τῶν παρθένων may mean, the ἀπόδυσις must refer to divestiture of something, and we know that only one garment, the chiton, was ordinarily worn. Cf. Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 771; and *Ib.* xi. p. 925. Moreover, Theocritus, xviii. 22, seems to allude to a *nuda palæstra*, when he makes the Spartan damsels say:

> Ἄμμες γὰρ πᾶσαι συνομιάλικες, ᾗς δρόμος ὠυτός,
> χρισαμέναις ἀνδριστὶ παρ' Εὐρώταο λοετροῖς.

Neither did they restrict themselves to running, and the gentler exercises, but had trials of strength also. Xenoph. *de Rep. Lac.* 1, 4: πρῶτον μὲν σωμασκεῖν ἔταξεν οὐδέν ἧττον τὸ θῆλυ τοῦ ἄῤῥενος φύλου. ἔπειτα δὲ δρόμου καὶ ἰσχύος, ὥσπερ καὶ τοῖς ἀνδράσιν, οὕτω καὶ ταῖς θηλείαις ἀγῶνας πρὸς ἀλλήλας ἐποίησε. See also Prop. iii. 14:

> Multa tuæ, Sparte, miramur jura palæstræ,
> Sed mage virginei tot bona gymnasii.
> Quod non infames exercet corpore ludos
> Inter luctantes nuda puella viros.

So also Ovid, *Her.* 16, 149:

> More tuæ gentis nitida dum nuda palæstra
> Ludis et es nudis femina mista viris.

Whatever weight may be attached to these passages, at all events the Latin word *nudus* has not the twofold signification of its Greek equivalent. Nor is there any doubt as to what was the ordinary belief in the times of those writers from whom the details are derived.

That youths were present at these female *agones*, as well as at the dances, is indubitable, though we may question whether they exercised together, as the κοιναὶ παλαίστραι of Euripides would insinuate. This, however, is said to have been usual at Chios; Athen. xiii. p. 566: ἐν Χίῳ δὲ τῇ νήσῳ καὶ βαδίζειν ἥδιστόν ἐστιν ἐπὶ τὰ γυμνάσια καὶ τοὺς δρόμους καὶ ὁρᾶν προσπαλαίοντας τοὺς νέους ταῖς κόραις.

There are but few other notices of female gymnastics. Pausanias, v. 16, 2, speaking of the Heræa at Elis, says: ὁ δὲ ἀγὼν ἐστιν ἄμιλλα δρόμου παρθένοις, οὗτοι που πάσαις ἡλικίας τῆς αὐτῆς·.....θέουσι δὲ οὕτω· καθεῖται σφισιν ἡ κόμη, χιτὼν ὀλίγον ὑπὲρ γόνατος καθήκει, τὸν ὦμον ἄχρι τοῦ στήθους φαίνουσι τὸν δεξιόν. Also Athenæus, i. p. 24, briefly notices the ball-play of the Corcyrean dames.

The only connected account of the plan of a gymnasium is that given by Vitruvius, and this is both superficial and obscure. According to Ignarra, *de Palæstra Neapolitana*, he had in his eye the gymnasium at Naples, which was of a very different construction from those at Ephesus, Hierapolis, and Alexandria in Troas, the remains of which have been discovered. See Canina, *Archit. Greca*, iii. Newton and Schneider are the only writers who have done any thing to clear up the critical difficulties of the text of Vitruvius, or have attempted to reconcile the discrepancies in his account. All the plans given by the various commentators differ from one another. The accompanying plate is a gymnasium after Newton's plan, which on the whole appears to present the fewest difficulties.

According to Vitruvius the gymnasium consisted of a large peristyle, two stadia, or 1200 feet in circumference, having single rows of pillars on three sides, and on that facing the south, a double row. In the middle of this double portico, and opening upon it, was the Ephebeion, the most spacious of the exercising rooms, which



PLAN OF A GYMNASIUM, AFTER NEWTON.

A Peristyle. B Ephebeion. C Coryceion. D Conisterion.
E Cold Bath. F Elæothesion. G Frigidarium. H Hot bath.
K Sudatio. L Laconicum. MN Porticus stadiatæ, M Simplex,
N Duplex. O Stadium.

was furnished with seats along the walls, and was designed, it is supposed, for the use of the ephebi. To the right of the Ephebeion was the Coryceion, next came the Conisterion, and lastly, in the corner of the portico, was the cold bath. On the left side of the Ephebeion lay, first the Elæothesion for the use of the warm-bathers; next, according to Vitruvius, the frigidarium, though the purpose for which it was designed is doubtful. It has been shewn in *Gallus*, p. 385, that frigidarium is the same as frigida lavatio, and the latter is placed by Vitruvius on the opposite side. With Marini, therefore, we would read tepidarium; an alteration which renders intelligible the words, 'proxime autem introrsus e regione frigidarii collocetur concamerata sudatio.' For if the frigidarium had been the chamber next to the sudatio, Vitruvius could never have said, 'e regione frigidarii.' But it is the frigida lavatio which is here meant, and this being at the opposite end of the portico, the expression 'e regione' is certainly applicable to it with respect to the sudatio.

In the three remaining arcades of the peristyle were the *exedræ*, saloons, furnished with seats, for the accommodation of the philosophers and rhetoricians, and of all indeed who sought intellectual recreation: 'Constituantur autem in tribus porticibus exedræ spatiosæ, habentes sedes, in quibus philosophi, rhetores, reliquique, qui studiis delectantur, sedentes disputare possint.' These exedræ appear to have been usually uncovered; for Vitruvius says that the *minium* was unsuited for the walls, as they were exposed to the sun, which that colour could not stand, 'vitiatur, et amissa virtute coloris denigratur.' Vitruv. ii. 9, 2. But, of course, there were also roofed rooms, and such are perhaps alluded to by Lucian, *de Gymn.* 16: ἀλλ', εἰ δοκεῖ, ἐς τὸ σύσκιον ἐκεῖσε ἀπελθόντες καθίσωμεν ἐπὶ τῶν θάκων. The exedræ were sometimes semicircular, as we gather from Plutarch, *Alcib.* 17, ὥστε πολλοὺς ἐν ταῖς παλαίστραις καὶ τοῖς ἡμικυκλίοις καθέζεσθαι. Possibly however the last words may not refer to the gymnasium at all. The seats were stone benches, and ran along the walls; Lucian, *ib.*: καὶ καθέδρα μάλα ἡδεῖα καὶ εὔκαιρος ἐπὶ ψυχροῦ τοῦ λίθου. Cf. Plato, *Charm.* p. 155; *Euthyd.* p. 274. There were also probably isolated stone seats, both in the gymnasia, and in other parts of the city. See Lucian, *Demon.* 67. Several such are still extant. Stuart, *Antiq. of Athens*, iii. pp. 19, 29.

The above-mentioned compartments constituted the most important portion of the gymnasium. It is strange that no one has hazarded a conjecture as to the use of the large open space of the peristyle, which according to Vitruvius, must have measured, exclusive of the arcades, sixty thousand square feet. Doubtless it served chiefly for gymnastic exercises; and that this was the case in the Lyceion is expressly stated by Lucian, *de Gymn.* 2: Ἕτεροι δὲ ἐν τῷ αἰθρίῳ τῆς αὐλῆς τὸ αὐτὸ τοῦτο δρῶσιν. Cf. Dio Chrysost. *Or.* xxviii. p. 531. The words of Plato, *Lys.* p. 206, οἱ μὲν οὖν πολλοὶ ἐν τῇ αὐλῇ ἔπαιζον ἔξω, have been strangely misunderstood by Krause, who creates out of them an outer court, as if the reading were ἐν τῇ ἔξω αὐλῇ. The passage merely refers to the persons in the ὕπαιθρον of the peristyle, who are said 'to play without,' in contradistinction to those in the apodyterion.

Vitruvius' account of the other parts of the gymnasium is much more difficult to comprehend. 'Extra autem disponantur porticus tres, una ex peristylio exeuntibus, duæ dextra atque sinistra stadiatæ; ex quibus una, quæ spectaverit ad septentrionem, perficiatur duplex, amplissima latitudine: altera simplex, ita facta, uti in partibus, quæ fuerint circa parietes et quæ erunt ad columnas, margines habeant, uti semitas, non minus pedum denum mediumque excavatum, uti gradus bini sint in descensu a marginibus sesquipedem ad planitiem, quæ planities sit ne minus pedes XII.' The reading of the manuscripts, though evidently incorrect, has here been given, since the violent alteration of Perrault, 'alteræ simplices, ita factæ, &c.' seems to give the passage quite a false meaning. The two parallel arcades alone were *stadiatæ*, as the third, which was that first entered on coming out of the gymnasium, was not designed for exercises. *Stadiatæ* is explained by Stratico and Krause to mean 'of the length of a stadion,' but the more probable interpretation is that given by Marini, Schneider, &c. 'provided with a stadium.' The space in the middle certainly resembles a stadium, and at all events corresponds to the name δρόμος. For these reasons the only alteration we would propose would be to read *factæ* instead of *facta*, in the above passage. When Vitruvius adds, 'Hæc autem porticus ξυστὸς apud Græcos vocitatur,' the *hæc* shews that the porticus simplex is that intended.

But the greatest difficulty with regard to the arrangement,

lies in the equivocal expression, 'extra autem disponantur porticus tres.' If these really lay behind the first-mentioned parts of the gymnasium, it seems strange that Vitruvius did not, as usual, employ the word *introrsus* or *post*, instead of *extra*, which Palladio has actually supposed to mean that the arcades now in question surrounded the *palæstra* on the outside. This writer makes the *porticus duplex* run parallel to, and touch, the inner peristyle, where the ephebeion is; and the *xystus* in the same manner he supposes to lie on the opposite side; the gymnasium is thus extended on the two flanks, and not backwards, as in the accompanying plan. Such a construction presents great difficulties, and can hardly be reconciled with the words, 'post xystum autem stadium.' Nor is Newton's arrangement free from difficulties, for according to it the space within the arcades could have had no greater area, and certainly no greater breadth, than the Hypæthron of the peristyle, and this would hardly leave room for the 'silvas aut platanonas ambulationes et stationes,' which we are told that it comprised. All this part of Vitruvius' description abounds with difficulties, which are perhaps incapable of solution.

Another question not easily answered is, by whom, and for what exercises, the gymnasia and palæstræ were used. It is manifest, from the law of Solon, and from Antipho, that boys must be supposed to have frequented the gymnasium; and this entirely overthrows Krause's supposition, that in the gymnasia the ephebi were chiefly exercised, in the xysti the athletæ, and the boys in the palæstræ. Besides, Aristophanes, *Aves*, 141, mentions a παῖς ὡραῖος ἀπὸ γυμνασίου, and Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 764, wishes for γυμνάσια καὶ διδασκαλεῖα for maidens as well as for boys, and hence the supposition that it was not till a later period that the gymnasia were used as the exercise-ground for boys, falls to the ground. Theophrastus, *Char.* 21, τῶν δὲ γυμνασίων ἐν τούτοις διατρίβειν, οὗ ἂν ἔφηβοι γυμνάζωνται, seems to imply that there were both γυμνάσια ἐφήβων and γυμνάσια παίδων; but those here referred to could only have been small institutions, such as were above denominated palæstræ. For Athens had only three gymnasia at the time in question, the Lyceion, the Cynosarges, and the Academia; and that these were not thus appropriated is beyond a doubt. Another suppo-

sition would be that these gymnasia served for persons of all ages, and that there were divisions for the different degrees of age; and at a later period this was certainly the case, as we learn from Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* xxviii. p. 533: *ἀποδυσαμένου δ' οὐκ ἔστιν ὅστις ἄλλον ἐθεᾶτο, πολλῶν μὲν παίδων, πολλῶν δὲ ἀνδρῶν γυμναζομένων.* But this can hardly apply to Athens at any early period, for the law of Solon quoted by Æschines expressly forbids seniors entering the boys' places of exercise: *Οἱ δὲ τῶν παίδων διδάσκαλοι ἀνοιγέτωσαν μὲν τὰ διδασκαλεῖα μὴ πρότερον ἡλίου ἀνιόντος, κλειέτωσαν δὲ πρὸ ἡλίου δύνοντος. καὶ μὴ ἐξέστω τοῖς ὑπὲρ τὴν τῶν παίδων ἡλικίαν οὖσιν εἰσιέναι τῶν παίδων ἔνδον ὄντων, ἐὰν μὴ υἱὸς διδασκάλου ἢ ἀδελφὸς, ἢ θυγατρὸς ἀνήρ. ἐὰν δέ τις παρὰ ταῦτ' εἰσίῃ, θανάτῳ ζημιούσθω. καὶ οἱ γυμνασιάρχαι τοῖς Ἑρμαίοις μὴ ἐάτωσαν συγκαθιέναι μηδένα τῶν ἐν ἡλικίᾳ τρόπῳ μηδενί· ἐὰν δὲ ἐπιτρέπῃ καὶ μὴ ἐξείργῃ τοῦ γυμνασίου, ἔνοχος ἔστω ὁ γυμνασιάρχης τῷ τῆς ἐλευθέρων φθορᾶς νόμῳ.* It is doubtful whether the *διδασκαλεῖα* here mentioned are merely the schools of the grammarians, or, which is improbable, those also of the *Pædotribæ*. Plato, except in *Leg.* vii. p. 794, always opposes *διδασκαλεῖα* to *γυμνάσια*. Pollux also takes *διδασκαλεῖον* as synonymous with *γραμματεῖον* and *παιδαγωγεῖον*, and indeed the word always occurs in this sense. So that Æschines either quotes the law incorrectly, or extends to the Pædotribæ an expression which only properly applies to schoolmasters. With regard to the latter part of this law we must suppose the words *τοῦ γυμνασίου* to refer to one of the larger gymnasia, and that its intention was to prohibit the admission of adults during the feast of the *Hermæa*. At the period of this festival the ordinary discipline of the pædotribæ and pædagogues would be relaxed, and the law in question might therefore be found necessary. Plato, *Lys.* p. 206, where the boys celebrate the Hermæa in a palæstra, might be advanced against the supposition that the law refers to one of the gymnasia, but to this we may reply, that in Plato's time Solon's law was no longer in force.

The results of the foregoing enquiry may be summed up as follows. Instead of confining the gymnasia to the ephebi, and the xysti to the athletæ, it appears more probable that they were opened to persons of all ages; and an additional argument in favour of this would be the size of the smaller palæstræ, which

were not large enough to admit of several of the exercises, such as shooting with the bow, and hurling the javelin or discus. Thus Antipho, speaking of a boy who has killed another, says, *μελετῶν μετὰ τῶν ἡλίκων ἀκοντίζειν ἐπὶ τῷ γυμνασίῳ*. The gymnasia also have been frequently regarded merely as places of instruction for beginners, whereas they were frequented by adults, and even old people often repaired thither for the sake of wholesome exercise. See Plato, *de Republ.* v. p. 452: *ὥσπερ τοὺς γέροντας ἐν τοῖς γυμνασίοις, ὅταν ῥυσσοὶ καὶ μὴ ἡδεῖς τὴν ὄψιν, ὅμως φιλογυμναστῶσι.* Also Xenoph. *Symp.* 2, 18: *ἢ ἐπ' ἐκείνῳ γελᾶτε, ὅτι οὐ δεήσαι συγγυμναστὴν ζητεῖν, οὐδ' ἐν ὄχλῳ, πρεσβυτὴν ὄντα, ἀποδύεσθαι.* Wealthy persons may have had rooms in their own houses appropriated to this purpose. Xenoph. *de Republ. Athen.* 2, 10: *Καὶ γυμνάσια καὶ λουτρὰ καὶ ἀποδυτήρια τοῖς μὲν πλουσίοις ἐστὶν ἴδια ἑνίοις.* Also Theophr. *Char.* 21: *αὐλίδιον παλαιστρικὴν κόνιν ἔχον καὶ σφαιριστήριον.* Still it is very improbable that this was frequently the case so early as the time of Xenophon, although after that of Alexander the practice became common.

In the second place, we arrive at the conclusion that the palæstræ were not mere training schools for boys; but that on the contrary they were used to some extent by the athletæ also. Krause unnecessarily restricts the latter to the xysti alone. That they practised in the xystus, Vitruvius certainly says; but he does not use the word *athletæ* in any strict sense: and besides, the use of the xystus does not preclude that of the palæstra also. But the positive testimony of Plutarch is far more important. He tells us, *de San. Tuend.* 18: *(κελεύσομεν) ἐν τῷ ξυστῷ καὶ ταῖς παλαίστραις διαλέγεσθαι τοῖς ἀθληταῖς.* Again, *Symp.* ii. 4, he says: *τὸν οὖν τόπον ἐν ᾧ γυμνάζονται πάντες οἱ ἀθληταὶ παλαίστραν καλοῦμεν*: and then expressly states that the palæstra was properly the school for wrestling and the pancration: *οὔτε γὰρ δρόμον, οὔτε πυγμὴν ἐν παλαίστραις διαπονοῦσιν, ἀλλὰ πάλης καὶ παγκρατίου τὸ περὶ τὰς κυλίσεις.* For running and boxing the xystus was assigned, because the palæstra was unadapted for those exercises; while for the *πάλη* and *παγκράτιον* the xysti had no suitable space, since, according to Vitruvius, they were only twelve feet broad, and were still further confined by the raised pathways on each side.

The exercises of the ephebi may be supposed to have taken

place mainly in the gymnasia, and it is in reference to them that the gymnasiarchs are specially mentioned; but they must not be entirely excluded from the palæstræ. And, indeed, though γυμνάσιον and παλαίστρα may originally have been different in meaning, yet γυμνάσιον is used to denote the exercise-place generally, and the two words are sometimes interchanged.

Krause's work contains a very complete and satisfactory account of the various kinds of exercises, and to it the reader is referred. He says nothing, however, as to the hours at which the boys were taught gymnastics, or how this was combined with the rest of the instruction they received; and in the absence of positive information on this head, we must be content with conjecture. The chief point to be determined is, whether these two branches of education were synchronous or not. As has been mentioned in the Excursus on *Education*, Aristotle and Plato require that boys should be under the παιδοτρίβης till their tenth year, and after that attend the school of a grammarian for three years. Still, we can hardly suppose that during these three years they gave up gymnastic instruction entirely; and this would certainly not appear to have been the case from Plautus, *Bacch.* iii. 3, 20, though we are ignorant how much of Roman custom is mixed up with his account:

> Ante solem exorientem nisi in palæstram veneras,
> Gymnasii præfecto haud mediocres pœnas solveres.—
> Inde de hippodromo et palæstra ubi revenisses domum,
> Cincticulo præcinctus apud magistrum in sella adsideres:
> Cum librum legeres, si unam peccavisses syllabam,
> Fieret corium tam maculosum, quam est nutricis pallium.

The most natural supposition would be that the boys varied their occupations, and that those who had spent all the morning in the school of the grammarian went to the gymnasium in the afternoon, and *vice versâ*.

Adults indulged in the lighter exercises, and in the bath, as a preparation for dinner. So Xenophon, *Symp.* 1, 7, speaking of Callias' guests, says: Ἔπειτα δὲ αὐτῷ οἱ μὲν γυμνασάμενοι καὶ χρισάμενοι, οἱ δὲ καὶ λουσάμενοι παρῆλθον. Also Lucian, *Lexiph.* 5, after describing at length a visit to the gymnasium, and the accompanying bath, adds: κἀπειδὴ καιρὸς ἦν ἐπ' ἀγκῶνος ἐδειπνοῦμεν. The ξυστοὶ or δρόμοι, also ξυστοὶ δρόμοι or κατάστεγοι δρόμοι, were used for regular constitutionals, which seem to

have been but seldom taken outside the town. See Plato, *Phædr.* p. 227: τῷ δὲ σῷ καὶ ἐμῷ ἑταίρῳ πειθόμενος Ἀκουμενῷ κατὰ τὰς ὁδοὺς ποιοῦμαι τοὺς περιπάτους. φησὶ γὰρ ἀκοπωτέρους τῶν ἐν τοῖς δρόμοις εἶναι. Also Xenoph. *Œcon.* 11, 15; Plato, *Euthyd.* p. 273. Cf. Poll. ix. 43.

But the gymnasia, especially at Athens, were also the favourite places in which sophists and rhetoricians instructed their assembled pupils, or engaged in intellectual conversation with those who might chance to gather round. Socrates was often to be found sitting on the benches of the exedræ, and conversing with his pupils or the sophists, while a crowd of listeners stood near. See *Euthyd.* p. 271. This was not the case with the large gymnasia only: each of the palæstræ seems to have been selected by some sophist or other for the delivery of his lectures. Thus in Plato, *Lysias,* p. 204, Miccos the sophist takes immediate possession of the newly-built palæstra. This proves that Solon's law forbidding the entrance of adults was now obsolete. Cf. Antipho, *de Cæde Invol.* p. 672; Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 145. In Sparta mere lookers on were not admitted; Plato, *Theæt.* p. 169: Λακεδαιμόνιοι μὲν γὰρ ἀπιέναι ἢ ἀποδύεσθαι κελεύουσι. At Athens, however, and probably elsewhere, the gymnasia were crowded by spectators, and served as regular places of conversation and social amusement.

LIBRARY OF THE BOMBAY BRANCH OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY.

EXCURSUS I. TO SCENE VI.

THE MEALS.

Ὁρῶ πάντα τοῖς ἀνθρώποις ἐκ τριττῆς χρείας καὶ ἐπιθυμίας ἠρτημένα δι' ὧν ἀρετή τε αὐτοῖς ἀγομένοις ὀρθῶς, καὶ τοὐναντίον ἀποβαίνει κακῶς ἀχθεῖσι. ταῦτα δ' ἐστὶν ἐδωδὴ μὲν καὶ πόσις...καὶ ἔρως...are the words in which Plato (*Leg.* vi. p. 782) maintains that the appetites are the main-springs of human action, and that from them moral worth and its opposite proceed. Be this as it may, at all events the gratification of these appetites has ever been a main concern of life, and the peculiarities hence arising must ever, therefore, hold a prominent place in any description of national or of local manners. It would be foreign to this purpose to give a detailed account of the various dishes, though such might be readily constructed from Aristophanes and Plutarch, or from the tedious alphabetical catalogue of Athenæus, the most comprehensive, and at the same time the most insipid, of all compilers. It will be more useful for us to glance at the kinds of food more usual, and at the changes introduced at different periods, taking occasion by the way to demonstrate the progress of luxury; and then to investigate more at length the usages customary at social and convivial repasts.

In early times, the more cultivated Greeks do not seem to have attached much importance to the mere enjoyments of eating. It is true that the simplicity for which Athenæus praises the Homeric age, when bread and roasted flesh formed the sole materials of a royal meal, had long since yielded to a greater diversity of dishes; but the dainties afterwards in vogue were at all events unknown in the days of Herodotus. Cf. i. 133. Antiphanes also, ap. Athen. iv. p. 130, terms the Greeks generally, μικροτράπεζοι, φυλλοτρῶγες. The abstemiousness of the Spartans is proverbial. They were accustomed from their youth to despise all the refinements of the culinary art, and to disregard everything beyond mere nourishment. See the characteristic anecdote in Plutarch, *de Esu Carn.* i. 5: Καίτοι χάριέν γε τὸ τοῦ Λάκωνος, ὃς ἰχθύδιον ἐν πανδοκείῳ πριάμενος τῷ πανδοκεῖ σκευάσαι παρέ-

δωκεν. αἰτοῦντος δὲ ἐκείνου τυρὸν καὶ ὄξος, καὶ ἔλαιον, Ἀλλ' εἰ ταῦτα εἶχον, εἶπεν, οὐκ ἂν ἰχθὺν ἐπριάμην. Cf. Id. *de San. Tuend.* 12: οἱ Λάκωνες ὄξος καὶ ἅλας δόντες τῷ μαγείρῳ τὰ λοιπὰ κελεύουσιν ἐν τῷ ἱερείῳ ζητεῖν. These simple tastes may be regarded as an original national peculiarity, and not as consequent on the stringent regulations of Lycurgus; for before the time of this legislator they were ἀβροδίαιτοι, as they are styled by Plutarch, *Apophth. Lac.* p. 900.

The coarser natures of the Bœotians, on the other hand, led them to indulge in gross and plentiful repasts; and the luxury of the Corinthians was conspicuous, though Sicilian gormandizing exceeded everything. The meals of the Athenians, on the contrary, are ridiculed by the cómedians for their simplicity. Athen. iv. p. 131: Λυγκεὺς δ' ἐν Κενταύρῳ διαπαίζων τὰ Ἀττικὰ δεῖπνά φησι·

> Μάγειρ', ὁ θύων ἐστὶν ὁ δειπνίζων τ' ἐμὲ
> Ῥόδιος, ἐγὼ δ' ὁ κεκλημένος Περίνθιος.
> οὐδέτερος ἡμῶν ἥδεται τοῖς Ἀττικοῖς
> δείπνοις. ἀηδία γάρ ἐστιν Ἀττική,
> ὥσπερ ξενική. παρέθηκε πίνακα γὰρ μέγαν
> ἔχοντα μικροὺς πέντε πενακίσκους ἐν οἷ.....
> ὄψιν μὲν οὖν ἔχει τὰ τοιαῦτα ποικίλην
> ἀλλ' οὐδέν ἐστι τοῦτο πρὸς τὴν γαστέρα.

Unquestionably, the symposion which succeeded the meal was considered by the Athenians as the main thing, and as affording opportunity for a higher species of enjoyment. So in the Symposia of Xenophon and Plato the pleasure is wholly intellectual, not sensual, as is remarked by Plutarch, *Symp.* vi. p. 817. Plato's entertainments were noted for their frugality, and we are told that a chief dish with him was figs, hence he was called φιλόσυκος. Plutarch, *Symp.* iv. 4, 2; cf. *de San. Tuend.* 9.

From the earliest times it was usual to take three meals a day, and though the hours of these repasts remained essentially unaltered, still the same names were not always used to denote them. With regard to the Homeric usage, we have the statements of Eustathius, *ad Odyss.* ii. 20, though we need not pin our faith to his somewhat amusing etymologies: Ἰστέον δ' ὅτι τρισὶ τροφαῖς ἐχρῶντο οἱ παλαιοί· ὧν τὸ πρῶτον ἐκαλεῖτο ἄριστον, γινόμενον πάνυ πρωΐ, ἅμ' ἠοῖ φαινομένῃφι, ἄρεος ἰσταμένου, ὡς καὶ ἡ τοῦ ὀνόματος ἐτυμολογία δηλοῖ. εἶτα τὸ δεῖπνον,

μεθ' ὃ ἔδει πονεῖν, ὃ καὶ ἄριστόν φασί τινες. τρίτον δὲ δόρπος ἐναντίον ἀρίστῳ, ἡνίκα δόρυ παύεται. ὅπερ ἡμεῖς παρὰ τὸ δεῖν τότε ὕπνου δεῖπνον καλοῦμεν. And Palamedes, in a fragment of Æschylus, ap. Athen. i. p. 11, is made to say: σῖτον δ' εἰδέναι διώρισα, ἄριστα, δεῖπνα, δόρπα θ' αἱρεῖσθαι τρία. But these expressions are not used in their strict sense by Homer. Thus ἄριστον occurs once only in the *Iliad*, (xxiv. 124,) and once in the *Odyssey* (xvi. 2); while δεῖπνον, which means a meal generally, without reference to the time, is elsewhere used instead: but for the meal taken in the middle of the day there is no special name. Cf. Eustath. ad *Il.* xi. 86; ad *Odyss.* xvi. 2.

The usage afterwards was changed, for in the Attic dialect, at least, δεῖπνον came to be used, invariably, of the evening meal, (δόρπον,) while the mid-day meal was called ἄριστον, and the first breakfast received the name ἀκράτισμα. Instead of this word, Plutarch also uses πρόπομα, (*Symp.* i. 6, 3,) which has elsewhere quite another meaning. Plutarch also says, *Symp.* viii. 6, 4: καὶ τὸ μὲν ἄριστον ἐδόκει τῷ ἀκρατίσματι ταὐτὸν εἶναι. This may mean that the same meal which was formerly called ἄριστον afterwards received the name ἀκράτισμα: or, when no great accuracy of expression was required, the early breakfast might also be called ἄριστον. So Plutarch, *de Alex. Fort.* 6: ἡρίστα μὲν ὀρθροῦ καθεζόμενος, ἐδείπνει δὲ πρὸς ἑσπέραν βαθεῖαν. But in this case of course no other breakfast followed. Cf. *Alex.* 23.

The ἀκράτισμα, or proper breakfast, was taken quite early, directly after rising. So Aristoph. *Aves*, 1285, says, ἕωθεν ἐξ εὐνῆς. It consisted of bread, dipped in neat wine, ἄκρατος, and hence the name. Plutarch, *Symp.* viii. 6, 4: Φασὶ γὰρ ἐκείνους (τοὺς ἀρχαίους) ἐργατικοὺς ἅμα καὶ σώφρονας ὄντας ἕωθεν ἐσθίειν ἄρτον ἐν ἀκράτῳ καὶ μηδὲν ἄλλο. διὸ τοῦτο μὲν ἀκράτισμα καλεῖν, διὰ τὸν ἄκρατον. Cf. Schol. ad Theocr. i. 51. Both these passages refer to a very early period; but Athenæus, i. p. 11, mentions the custom as still existing in his own time. He also quotes a fragment of Aristomenes:

> Ἀκρατιοῦμαι μικρὸν, εἶθ' ἥξω πάλιν,
> ἄρτου δὶς ἢ τρὶς ἀποδακών.

It is not so easy to assign a fixed hour for the ἄριστον. In Xenophon's *Anabasis* this meal is repeatedly mentioned; but of course on a march, and in the field, it would often be impossible

to adhere to any given hour. Suidas places it *περὶ ὥραν τρίτην*. This would be mid-way between sun-rise and noon, and at the equinoxes would be about nine o'clock. But we have various reasons for distrusting this account. For the time of *πλήθουσα ἀγορὰ* chiefly embraces the fourth, fifth, and sixth hours, and this was the usual period for going to market—often to buy the materials for breakfast—and therefore the *ἄριστον* must be fixed nearer noon. This also agrees best with Aristoph. *Vesp.* 605—612, where the Heliast is spoken of as sitting down to the *ἄριστον* when the Court rises, which would hardly be the case so soon as the third hour. See Xenoph. *Œcon.* xi. 14, seq. down to *εἶτα δὲ ἀριστῶ*. Cf. Plutarch, *Arat.* 6, 7. According to this, the *ἄριστον* would appear to have been the mid-day meal, answering to the Roman *prandium;* and this indeed Plutarch expressly states; *Symp.* viii. 6, 5: *τὸ ἄριστον ἐκλήθη πρανδιον ἀπὸ τῆς ὥρας. ἔνδιον γὰρ τὸ δειλινόν.* Cf. Ruhnk. *ad Tim.* p. 63. This meal consisted, in part at least, of hot dishes, and therefore often required the services of the cook. Antiphanes, ap. Athen. i. p. 11: *ἄριστον ἐν ὅσῳ ὁ μάγειρος ποιεῖ.*

The chief meal, as among the Romans, was the third, the *δεῖπνον*, though perhaps it was served somewhat later than the Roman *cœna*. See Aristoph. *Eccles.* 652:

σοὶ δὲ μελήσει,
ὅταν ᾖ δεκάπους τὸ στοιχεῖον λιπαρὸν χωρεῖν ἐπὶ δεῖπνον.

Unfortunately our knowledge of the *γνώμων* is not sufficient to enable us accurately to ascertain the hour here intended. See Note 3 to Scene XII. That the meal in question was usually late, is plain from Lysias, *de Cæd. Erat.* p. 26: *Σώστρατος ἦν μοι ἐπιτήδειος καὶ φίλος. τούτῳ ἡλίου δεδυκότος ἰόντι ἐξ ἀγροῦ ἀπήντησα...καὶ ἐλθόντες οἴκαδε ὡς ἐμὲ ἀναβάντες εἰς τὸ ὑπερῷον ἐδειπνοῦμεν.* So too, in the passage just quoted from Plutarch, we read that Alexander dined *πρὸς ἑσπέραν βαθεῖαν.*

The Greeks did not call it a regular meal, if a person ate alone, without any company. See Plutarch, *Symp.* vii. p. 869: *ἐπεὶ μόνος ἐδείπνησε, βεβρωκέναι, μὴ δεδειπνηκέναι σήμερον.* Cf. Alexis, apud Athen. ii. p. 47:

Ἐπὰν ἰδιώτην ἄνδρα μονοσιτοῦντ' ἴδῃς,
ἢ μὴ ποθοῦντ' ὠῦὰς ποιητὴν καὶ μέλη,
τὸν μὲν ἰδιώτην τοῦ βίου τὸν ἥμισυν

ἀπολωλεκέναι νόμιζε, τὸν δὲ τῆς τέχνης
τὴν ἡμίσειαν. ζῶσι δ' ἀμφότεροι μόλις.

Before proceeding to describe the details of one of these entertainments, it will be well to enumerate the various kinds of convivial meals, and the occasions which gave rise to them. In ancient times public or domestic sacrifices afforded the most frequent opportunities for banquets, and in after times this continued to be the case. See Antiph. *de Veneſ.* p. 612; Isæus, *de Astyphil. Hered.* p. 243. The public feasts were mostly δαῖτες, in the strict sense of the word, when each guest got his apportioned share of meat, and also bread, and even wine. Plutarch, *Symp.* ii. 10, 1: *τὰ πλεῖστα τῶν δείπνων δαῖτες ἦσαν, ἐν ταῖς θυσίαις ἑκάστῳ μερίδος ἀποκληρουμένης...ὅπερ νῦν γίνεται, κρέας προθέμενον καὶ ἄρτον, ὥσπερ ἐκ φάτνης ἰδίας ἕκαστον εὐωχεῖσθαι.* See Böckh, *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 211. Perhaps this custom was not universal; indeed Plutarch mentions it as newly instituted in his native city, and he adds that it had displeased many. On the other hand, the *μερίδες* are mentioned as something usual. Id. *Prov. Alex.* 35; Athen. viii. p. 365.

Birth-days also gave occasion for these banquets, and not only the birth-days of members of the family itself, (Lucian, *Somn. s. Gall.* 9,) but those also of esteemed persons, or of the renowned dead: Plutarch, *Symp.* viii. 1: *Τῇ ἕκτῃ τοῦ Θαργελιῶνος ἱσταμένου τὴν Σωκράτους ἀγαγόντες γενέθλιον, τῇ ἑβδόμῃ τὴν Πλάτωνος ἤγομεν.* Other occasions which may be mentioned were funerals; the departure or arrival of a friend; the gaining of a victory, (*νικητήρια*, Xenoph. *Symp.*; Plutarch, *Phoc.* 20,) and similar events.

It was very common for several to club together, and have a feast at their joint expense. This could be done in two ways. Each either contributed his share, *συμβολή*, in money, or brought his own provisions with him. The former method was very usual among young people; and was called *ἀπὸ συμβολῶν δειπνεῖν*. See Lucian, *Lexiph.* 6; Terent. *Eun.* iii. 4:

> Heri aliquot adolescentuli coiimus in Piræo,
> In hunc diem ut de symbolis essemus.

The feast came off at the house of an hetæra, or of one of the contributors, or at a freedman's. See Terence, *Ib.* iii. 5, 60; Aristoph. *Acharn.* 1210; Athen. viii. p. 365; Lucian, *Dial. Mer.* vii.

The Homeric ἔρανος (*Odyss.* i. 225) is of similar significance; and at the time of Hesiod, at all events, such meals were usual. *Opp.* 722:

> Μηδὲ πολυξείνου δαιτὸς δυσπέμφελος εἶναι
> ἐκ κοινοῦ. πλείστη δὲ χάρις, δαπάνη τ' ὀλιγίστη.

See also Eustath. *ad Il.* xvi. 784; *ad Odyss.* i. 225; Athen. viii. pp. 362, 365. In these passages, however, the second kind of entertainment may perhaps be meant, where each brought his share of the provisions with him; a custom which is alluded to by Xenophon, *Mem.* iii. 14, 1: Ὁπότε δὲ τῶν ξυνιόντων ἐπὶ τὸ δεῖπνον οἱ μὲν μικρὸν ὄψον, οἱ δὲ πολὺ φέροιεν, ἐκέλευεν ὁ Σωκράτης τὸν παῖδα τὸ μικρὸν ἢ εἰς τὸ κοινὸν τιθέναι, ἢ διανέμειν ἑκάστῳ τὸ μέρος, κ.τ.λ. This was also called a δεῖπνον ἀπὸ σπυρίδος, from the food being brought in baskets. Athen. viii. p. 365: οἴδασι δὲ οἱ ἀρχαῖοι καὶ τὰ νῦν καλούμενα ἀπὸ σπυρίδος δεῖπνα. Cf. Aristoph. *Acharn.* 1138:

> τὸ δεῖπνον, ὦ παῖ, δῆσον ἐκ τῆς κίστιδος.

Pic-nic parties were often made up to dine in the country, especially on the sea-shore. Plutarch, *Symp.* iv. 4: Τί δ' οἱ πολλοὶ βούλονται, πρὸς θεῶν, ὅταν ἡδέως γενέσθαι παρακαλοῦντες ἀλλήλους, λέγωσι, Σήμερον ἀκτάσωμεν, οὐχὶ τὸ παρ' ἄκτῃ δεῖπνον ἡδιστον ἀποφαίνουσιν, ὥσπερ ἐστίν; οὐ διὰ τὰ κύματα καὶ τὰς ψηφῖδας·...ἀλλ' ὡς ἰχθύος ἀφθόνου καὶ νεαροῦ τὴν παράλιον τράπεζαν εὐποροῦσαν.

We now come to entertainments given at one person's private expense. The invitations were often given on the same day, and by the host in person, who sought out, in the market-place or the gymnasion, those whom he desired to invite. A lively picture of this free and easy custom may be found at the commencement of Plato's *Symposion*, p. 175: Ὦ Ἀριστόδημε, εἰς καλὸν ἥκεις, ὅπως συνδειπνήσῃς· εἰ δ' ἄλλου τινὸς ἕνεκα ἦλθες, εἰσαῦθις ἀναβαλοῦ. ὡς καὶ χθὲς ζητῶν σε, ἵνα καλέσαιμι, οὐχ οἷός τ' ἦν ἰδεῖν. At a later period greater formality was observed; for instance, Plutarch, *Sept. Sap. Conv.* 1, says that Periander sent a carriage for each of his guests, and goes on to relate that the Sybarites invited women to banquets a year beforehand, that they might have their toilets in perfect readiness. Cf. Athen. xii. p. 521.

It was not thought a breach of good manners to bring to a friend's house an uninvited guest, ἄκλητος, αὐτόματος. Thus in

Plato's *Symposion*, p. 174, Socrates brings Aristodemos with him; presently Alcibiades drops in, and afterwards κωμασταὶ πολλοί. Thus Crates, who was welcome everywhere, was called θυρεπανοίκτης; Diog. Laert. vi. 86; and Lucian says of Demonax: ἄκλητος εἰς ἣν τύχοι παριὼν οἰκίαν ἐδείπνει. *Demon.* 63. But these were men of distinction, whom every one was proud of having for guests. Still it was very usual for persons to come αὐτεπάγγελτοι. Lucian, *Lexiph.* 9; *Conv. s. Lapith.* 12. How politely the host behaved on such occasions we see from the reception Agathon gives Aristodemos. Plutarch has devoted a whole chapter to the discussion of the propriety of a guest's bringing an uninvited person with him; *Symp.* vii. 6: Τὸ δὲ τῶν ἐπικλήτων ἔθος οὓς νῦν σκιὰς καλοῦσιν, οὐ κεκλημένους αὐτοὺς, ἀλλ' ὑπὸ τῶν κεκλημένων ἐπὶ τὸ δεῖπνον ἀγομένους ἐξητεῖτο, πόθεν ἔσχε τὴν ἀρχήν. He makes the custom originate with Socrates, the instance in Plato's *Symposion* being probably the earliest with which he was acquainted. It may be easily believed that parasites, such as Philippos in Xenophon's *Symposion*, and, in aftertimes, the notorious sophists, should have often abused this privilege. The Cyrenæans especially seem to have been in bad odour in this matter. See Alexis, ap. Athen. xii. p. 510:

> κἀκεῖ γὰρ ἂν τις ἐπὶ τὸ δεῖπνον ἕνα καλῇ,
> πάρεισιν ὀκτωκαίδεκ' ἄλλοι, καὶ δέκα
> ἅρματα, συνωρίδες τε πεντεκαίδεκα.

On such occasions it was expected that the guests should have paid some attention to their toilets, and should also have made previous use of the bath, and of unguents. Socrates, when he went to Agathon's, was λελουμένος τε καὶ τὰς βλαύτας ὑποδεδεμένος· ἃ ἐκεῖνος ὀλιγάκις ἐποίει. Plato, *Symp.* p. 174. Those also who went with him to Callias' were οἱ μὲν γυμνασάμενοι καὶ χρισάμενοι, οἱ δὲ καὶ λουσάμενοι, though the invitation had been only just received. In this case some of the guests went on horseback, since the host resided in the Piræus.

The time of arriving was an understood thing; though occasionally it was more accurately fixed. The guests were sometimes late, and this, though it did not cause much confusion, was yet looked on as a mark of carelessness and overweening. So in Plutarch, *Symp.* viii. 6, Polycharmos says of himself, οὐδέποτε κληθεὶς ἐπὶ δεῖπνον ὕστατος ἀφικόμην. At a later period more

attention was paid to this point, as we clearly see from Lucian, *de Merc. Cond.* 14: σὺ δ' ἐσθῆτα καθαρὰν προχειρισάμενος καὶ σεαυτὸν ὡς κοσμιώτατα σχηματίσας, λουσάμενος ἥκεις, δεδιὼς μὴ πρὸ τῶν ἄλλων ἀφίκοιο. ἀπειρόκαλον γάρ, ὥσπερ καὶ τὸ ὕστατον ἥκειν φορτικόν. Cf. Schol. ad Theocr. vii. 24. It was not usual to wait for the defaulters, but the meal was commenced without them; and this we read was the case at Agathon's, who was the pink of politeness. See Plato, *Symp.* p. 175.

In the historic period the practice was to recline at meals, though in the heroic ages a sitting posture was customary; but it is not known at what time the change took place. From Aristoph. *Equit.* 1163, it might perhaps be conjectured that the alteration was contemporaneous with the disuse of the Ionic chiton; and in a fragment of Phocylides we have:

Χρὴ δ' ἐν συμποσίῳ κυλίκων περινισσομενάων
ἡδέα κωτίλλοντα καθήμενον οἰνοποτάζειν.

But at Sparta the change seems to have been effected before the Persian wars. Whether it was before Alcman's time, as Müller affirms, is at least doubtful. The assertion is certainly untenable, if its only foundation is the fragment of Alcman which has been preserved by Athenæus, iii. p. 111:

κλῖναι μὲν ἑπτὰ καὶ τόσαι τράπεσδαι.

This isolated expression of an erotic poet cannot be taken as a proof of the ordinary Spartan custom. In Crete, only, the old custom remained unchanged. See Müller's *Dorians.* Though for eating we may think it incommodious, yet for drinking a recumbent posture is at all events well suited. See Plutarch, *Symp.* vii. 10: ὥσπερ ἡ κλίνη τοῖς πίνουσι τῆς καθέδρας ἀμείνων, ὅτι τὸ σῶμα κατέχει καὶ ἀπολύει κινήσεως ἁπάσης. Cf. Athen. x. p. 428. Females and boys always sat, and the same is the case with the rustic folks described by Dio Chrysostom, *Orat.* vii. p. 243. It is so also in the antiques, and when recumbent females occur they are always hetæræ. See the following woodcuts; also Winkelm. *Monum. ined.* 200; and Alciphr. *Epist.* i. 39. An anomaly is presented by an Etruscan painting, in Gerhard, *Pitture Tarquiniensi*, where on each κλίνη a man and a modestly-dressed female recline together.

The couches, and their arrangement, were much the same as at Rome. See *Gallus*, p. 471. There was a difference, how-

ever, in the manner in which the guests were distributed on the κλῖναι. Among the Greeks only two persons reclined on each κλίνη, instead of three; as appears from Plato, *Symp.* p. 175, where Agathon says: Σὺ δ', Ἀριστόδημε, παρ' Ἐρυξίμαχον κατακλίνου: and then adds: δεῦρο, Σώκρατες, παρ' ἐμὲ κατάκεισο. And afterwards, when Alcibiades comes in, and finds all the seats occupied, Agathon places him between himself and Socrates, and says: ὑπολύετε, παῖδες, Ἀλκιβιάδην, ἵνα ἐκ τρίτων κατακέηται, this being an exception to the general rule. So Herodotus, ix. 16, speaking of the feast given by Attaginos to fifty Persians and the same number of Greeks, says: καί σφεων οὐ χωρὶς ἑκατέρους κλῖναι, ἀλλὰ Πέρσην τε καὶ Θηβαῖον ἐν κλίνῃ ἑκάστῃ. ὡς δὲ ἀπὸ δείπνου ἦσαν, διαπινόντων τὸν Πέρσην τὸν ὁμόκλινον Ἑλλάδα γλῶσσαν ἱέντα εἴρεσθαι, κ.τ.λ. In works of art the same rule is mostly observed, though three, or even more, occasionally recline on the same couch. See Millin, *Peint. d. Vas. Gr.* i. pl. 38, 58, 76. See also the wood-cuts in this and the following Excursus.

Agathon, it seems, appointed the place of each guest; and this was mostly, if not always, the case. Plutarch, who devotes a whole chapter to the subject, decides that it is best for the host to assign the χῶραι. *Symp.* i. 2. More congenial with Roman than Grecian habits is what we read in Athenæus, i. p. 47: μετὰ ταῦτα ἀναστάντες κατεκλίνθημεν, ὡς ἕκαστος ἤθελεν, οὐ περιμείναντες ὀνομακλήτορα, τὸν τῶν δείπνων ταξίαρχον. As was also the case among the Romans, certain places were more honourable than others, and absurd contentions sometimes occurred among the guests. See Lucian, *Conviv. s. Lapith.* 9; *Deor. dial.* 13; Plutarch, *Sept. Sap. Conv.* 3. The place of honour seems to have been that next the master of the house. Theophr. *Char.* 21: Ὁ δὲ μικροφιλότιμος τοιοῦτός τις, οἷος σπουδάσαι ἐπὶ δεῖπνον κληθεὶς παρ' αὐτὸν τὸν καλέσαντα κατακείμενος δειπνῆσαι. Hence Socrates lies next Agathon, who himself lies first on the κλίνη, or *superior*, as the Romans said.

Before reclining, the guests first sat down upon the couches, in order that the attendants might take off their sandals and wash their feet (ὑπολύειν and ἀπονίζειν). Plato, *Symp.* pp. 175, 213. In some reliefs they are represented as standing during the performance of the ablution. See *Marbles of the Brit. Mus.* ii. 4. This is also seen in the accompanying Plate.



A frequently occurring representation of Dionysos entering the house of Icarius; from a terracotta. (*Terracottas in the British Mus.* pl. 25.) The act of ablution is being performed by a Satyr; we may also notice the sitting posture of Erigone, with her feet resting on a footstool; also the περίστρωμα of the couch, which reaches to the ground, and has a broad border either woven or embroidered on it; and lastly the αὐλαία with which the wall is hung.

Even in Phocion's time, people were so extravagant as to use wine and perfumed essences, instead of water. Plutarch, *Phoc.* 20: Ὡς δ' ἐλθὼν ἐπὶ τὸ δεῖπνον ἄλλην τε σοβαρὰν ἑώρα παρασκευήν, καὶ ποδονιπτῆρας οἴνου δι' ἀρωμάτων προσφερομένους τοῖς εἰσιοῦσι, κ.τ.λ.

We see from numberless antiques that the posture used, the σχῆμα τῆς κατακλίσεως, (Plutarch, *Symp.* v. 6,) was with the left arm resting on the cushion behind, προσκεφάλαιον, the right hand being thus left free. This is called by Lucian ἐπ' ἀγκῶνος δειπνεῖν. *Lexiph.* 6. A passage in Aristoph. *Vesp.* 1210, amusingly illustrates this stage of the repast:

ΦΙΛ. πῶς οὖν κατακλίνω, φράζ' ἀνύσας. ΒΔΕΛ. εὐσχημόνως.
ΦΙΛ. ὠδί κελεύεις κατακλιθῆναι; ΒΔΕΛ. μηδαμῶς.
ΦΙΛ. πῶς δαί; ΒΔΕΛ. τὰ γόνατ' ἔκτεινε, καὶ γυμναστικῶς
ὑγρὸν χύτλασον σεαυτὸν ἐν τοῖς στρώμασιν.
ἔπειτ' ἐπαίνεσόν τι τῶν χαλκωμάτων·
ὀροφὴν θέασαι· κρεκάδι' αὐλῆς θαύμασον·
ὕδωρ κατὰ χειρός· τὰς τραπέζας εἰσφέρειν·
δειπνοῦμεν· ἀπονενίμμεθ'· ἤδη σπένδομεν.

Before the dishes were brought in, slaves handed round water (the Homeric χέρνιψ) for washing the hands, κατὰ χειρὸς ἐξόθη. Alexis, apud Athen. ii. p. 60. Hence Philoxenos the parasite, in a dispute as to which was the best water, wittily decided that it was τὸ κατὰ χειρός. Athen. iv. p. 156.

Nothing in the shape of knives and forks being in use, it was of course indispensable for the hands to be again washed at the conclusion of the meal. See *Gallus*, p. 477. In eating solid food the fingers only were used. See the passage of Plutarch quoted in the Excursus on *Education*, p. 236. So too mention is made of persons whose hands were particularly callous, or who wore gloves to enable them to take the food quite hot. Thus Chrysippus, ap. Athen. i. p. 5, relates that the notorious gourmand Philoxenos was accustomed, when at the baths, to dip his fingers in hot water, and to gargle his mouth with it, as a preparation for the perils of the banquet. See Cratinus, ap. Id. vi. p. 241:

Κόρυδον τὸν χαλκότυπον πεφύλαξο,
ἢν μὴ σοὶ νομιεῖς αὐτὸν μηδὲν καταλείψειν.
μηδ' ὄψον κοινῇ μετὰ τούτου πώποτε δαίσῃ,
τοῦ Κορύδου, προλέγω σοι· ἔχει γὰρ χεῖρα κραταιὰν,
χαλκῆν, ἀκάματον, πολὺ κρείττω τοῦ πυρὸς αὐτοῦ.

Also a fragment of Aristophanes, ap. Id. iv. p. 161:

ἐπεὶ παράθες αὐτοῖσιν ἰχθῦς ἢ κρέας,
κἂν μὴ κατεσθίωσι καὶ τοὺς δακτύλους,
ἐθέλω κρέμασθαι δεκάκις.

Forks were certainly not used, and no mention occurs of knives, except in a fragment of Pherecrates, ap. Poll. x. 89:

Μάχαιραν ἄρ' ἐνέθηκας; οὔ. τί μ' εἴργασαι;
ἀμάχαιρος ἐπὶ βόεια νοστήσω κρέα,
ἀνὴρ γέρων, ἀνόδοντος.

The only implement commonly employed was the spoon, μυστίλη, μύστρον, or μύστρος, Pollux, *ib.*; where γλῶσσα, the Roman *ligula*, as well as κοχλιώρυχον, mean the same thing. See the remarks on

the *cochlear* in *Gallus*, p. 478. These μυστίλαι, or κοῖλα μύστρα, were of metal: golden ones are mentioned by Athenæus, iii. p. 126. Often, however, a hollow piece of bread served as a substitute. See Aristoph. *Equites*, 1167, where the sausage-seller presents the Demos with such an one. Also Suidas: μυστίλην. ψωμόν. κοῖλον ἄρτον, ὃν ἐποίουν, ἵνα ζωμὸν δι' αὐτοῦ ῥοφῶσι. Cf. Eustath. *ad Odyss.* iii. 457.

Neither table-cloths nor table-napkins were used. The χειρόμακτρον was merely a towel, which was handed round when they washed their hands. Aristoph. apud Athen. ix. p. 410:

> φέρε, παῖ, ταχέως κατὰ χειρὸς ὕδωρ,
> παράπεμπε τὸ χειρόμακτρον.

To cleanse the hands during the meal, the crumb of bread was used, which was kneaded to a dough (ἀπομάττεσθαι, ἀπομαγδαλία). Poll. vi. 93: τὸ δὲ ἐκμαγεῖον καὶ χειρόμακτρον ἂν προσείποις. οἱ δὲ πάλαι ταῖς καλουμέναις ἀπομαγδαλίαις ἐχρῶντο, αἳ ἦσαν τὸ ἐν τῷ ἄρτῳ μαλακὸν καὶ σταιτῶδες, εἰς ὃ ἀποψησάμενοι τοῖς κυσὶν αὐτὸ παρέβαλλον. Or, according to the lexicographer Pausanias, a kind of dough was specially prepared for this purpose; Eustath. *ad Odyss.* xix. 92: Παυσανίας δέ φησιν, ὅτι ἀπομαγδαλιὰ σταὶς, ὃ ἔφερον ἐπὶ τὸ δεῖπνον, εἰς ὃ τὰς χεῖρας ἀποματτόμενοι, εἶτα κυσὶν ἔβαλλον. Athenæus, iv. p. 148, following Harmodios, would seem to confine this usage to Phigalia; but this is a mistake, for ἀπομαγδαλίαι are mentioned by Aristophanes, *Equit.* 414, and 819; which latter passage proves that they were not restricted to the cook, as the Scholiast affirms. Lucian, *de Merc. Cond.* 15, speaks of a later, and indeed a Roman custom. With him χειρόμακτρον τιθέμενον, (*mantele sternere*) is to be understood of a table-cloth.

We are told so little about the attendance, at least by the authors of the better period, that it is even doubtful whether the guests brought with them their own slaves, or not. In Plato's *Symposion*, p. 212, Alcibiades is certainly accompanied by ἀκόλουθοι: but whether they stopped to wait on him is not said, though Agathon's slaves would seem to have discharged all the offices required. Later writers unequivocally mention slaves who came with, and stood behind, their masters. See Lucian, *Hermot.* 11: παραλαβὼν τὰ κρέα, ὁπόσα τῷ παιδὶ κατόπιν ἑστῶτι παρεδεδώκει. And at the banquet of Aristænetos, described by Lucian,

Conviv. seu Lapith. 15, 11, and 36, each guest was attended by his own servant, as well as by one of the host's domestics. Cf. Athen. iv. p. 128.

From an expression of Agathon's, we see that at that period it was the custom to entrust to a slave the superintendence of the whole arrangements, and of the rest of the slaves. He says: ἀλλ' ἡμᾶς, ὦ παῖδες, τοὺς ἄλλους ἑστιᾶτε. πάντως παρατίθετε ὅ, τι ἂν βούλησθε, ἐπειδάν τις ὑμῖν μὴ ἐφεστήκῃ, ὃ ἐγὼ οὐδεπώποτε ἐποίησα. νῦν οὖν νομίζοντες, καὶ ἐμὲ ὑφ' ὑμῶν κεκλῆσθαι ἐπὶ δεῖπνον καὶ τουσδὶ τοὺς ἄλλους, θεραπεύετε, ἵνα ὑμᾶς ἐπαινῶμεν. Plato, *Symp.* p. 175. This ἐφεστηκὼς is the τραπεζοποιὸς of Athenæus, iv. p. 170, and nearly corresponded to the Roman *structor*. See Poll. vi. 13, and iii. 41: ὁ δὲ πάντων τῶν περὶ τὴν ἑστίασιν ἐπιμελούμενος, τραπεζοποιός. Athenæus, ii. p. 49, also alludes to a custom of presenting each guest, before the meal commenced, with a bill of fare, γραμματίδιον. This, however, could scarcely have been a universal practice, though it may have been adopted for convenience at extensive entertainments.

Before proceeding to discuss the materials of one of these large banquets, we may devote a few lines to those articles of food which in earlier times formed the ordinary diet of all classes, and which, at a later period, still constituted the staple of consumption among the lower orders. The words of Demaratus, (Herodot. vii. 102,) τῇ Ἑλλάδι πενίη μὲν ἀεί κοτε σύντροφός ἐστι, certainly apply to their meals, in ancient times. The Greeks then contented themselves with what barely sufficed for sustenance; though the colonies of Ionia and Magna Græcia had long adopted a more luxurious style of living. A staple article of diet with the Greeks was the μάζα, something similar to the *puls* of the ancient Romans. It was prepared in various ways, of which several are mentioned by Pollux, vi. 76. Cf. Aristoph. *Equit.* 1104, 1165; *Acharn.* 834; and *Vesp.* 610, where we have φυστὴ μάζα, which the Scholiast says was ἐξ ἀλφίτων καὶ οἴνου, and therefore was also called οἰνοῦττα. See Poll. vi. 23, 76. A similar dish is mentioned by Thucyd. iii. 49: ἤσθιον ἅμα ἐλαύνοντες οἴνῳ καὶ ἐλαίῳ ἄλφιτα πεφυρμένα. 'What gluttons the Persians were to come after our porridge, when they had such plenty!' was the exclamation of Pausanias on seeing the loaded tables of the Persians after the battle of Platæa: Plutarch, *Apophth. Lac.* i. p. 919. This

μάζα continued to be the food of the lower classes till a later period: Lucian, *Tim.* 56; *Navig.* 46. Wheaten-flour, ἄλευρα, was used in making it, as well as the ἄλφιτα, which was of barley. Plato, *de Republ.* ii. p. 372: θρέψονται δὲ ἐκ μὲν τῶν κριθῶν ἄλφιτα σκευαζόμενοι, ἐκ δὲ τῶν πυρῶν ἄλευρα; τὰ μὲν πέψαντες, τὰ δὲ μάξαντες; μάζας γενναίας καὶ ἄρτους ἐπὶ κάλαμόν τινα παραβαλλόμενοι, ἢ φύλλα καθαρὰ κατακλινέντες...ἑυωχήσονται αὐτοί τε καὶ τὰ παιδία;

After the μάζα comes bread, which was sometimes homebaked, and made of wheat or barley meal, but was more frequently purchased in the market of the ἀρτοπῶλαι or ἀρτοπώλιδες. See *Vespæ.* According to Athenæus, iii. p. 109, where the various kinds are discussed at great length, the bread of Athens was reputed to be the best.

Other simple articles of diet were green vegetables, such as μαλάχη, mallow, (πρώτη τροφή, Plutarch, *Sept. Sap. Conv.* 14,) θρίδαξ, salad or lettuce, ῥάφανος, cabbage; also κύαμοι, beans, φακαῖ, linseed, θέρμοι, lupines. Besides these, herbs of sundry sorts, onions and leeks, κρόμυον, βολβός, σκόροδον, were in great repute. Aristoph. *Acharn.* 760; Xenoph. *Symp.* iv. 7; Lucian, *Tim.* 56; *Lexiph.* 10.

With regard to butchers' meat, lamb, pork, and goats' flesh seem to have been preferred. Sausages too, ἀλλᾶντες, and χορδαί, were very common. See Aristoph. *Acharn.* 1119. That black-puddings were also in request, appears from Aristoph. *Equit.* 208:

> ὁ δράκων γάρ ἐστι μακρόν, ὁ δ' ἀλλᾶς αὖ μακρόν.
> εἶθ' αἱματοπώτης ἐστὶν ὅ τ' ἀλλᾶς χὠ δράκων.

Cf. Sophilos, ap. Athen. iii. p. 125:

> χορδήν τιν' αἱματῖτην αὐτῷ σκευάσαι
> ἐκέλευσε ταυτιὴν ἐμέ.

But fish, especially in towns near the sea, was preferred to everything else. To this dish the word ὄψον was applied *par excellence.* Athen. vii. p. 276: Εἰκότως πάντων τῶν προσοψημάτων ὄψων καλουμένων ἐξενίκησεν ὁ ἰχθὺς διὰ τὴν ἐξαίρετον ἐδωδὴν μόνος οὕτως καλεῖσθαι, διὰ τοὺς ἐπιμανῶς ἐσχηκότας πρὸς ταύτην τὴν ἐδωδήν. Cf. Plutarch, *Symp.* iv. 4, 2. It is a remarkable fact, to which Plato calls attention, that Homer, though he talks of catching fish, never mentions them in any way as an article of food. Plato, *de Republ.* iii. p. 404; so also Plutarch,

de Iside et Osir. 8. This was certainly not owing to any religious prejudice, as was the case among the Egyptian priests and the Pythagoreans: perhaps rather the occupation of the fisherman was not agreeable to the taste of those times. So Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 823, calls it, as well as fowling, an ἀργὸς θήρα, and ἔρως οὐ σφόδρα ἐλευθέριος, and gives his approval only to the chase. At an after period, fish not only formed a main article of subsistence, but also a chief object of the gourmand's attention. So Demosthenes, *de Fals. Leg.* p. 412, wishing to stigmatize Philocrates as a glutton and a spendthrift, says, πόρνας ἠγόραζε, καὶ ἰχθῦς περιῶν: these being certainly the two chief objects of Athenian extravagance. An alphabetical list of the favourite sorts of fish occupies the greater part of the seventh book of Athenæus, pp. 281—330. We shall here only allude to the ἀφύαι, because they were not only much eaten by the better classes, but were also a dainty with the lower orders at Athens. Chrysippos, apud Athen. vii. p. 285: Τὴν ἀφύην τὴν μὲν ἐν Ἀθήναις διὰ τὴν ἁψίλειαν ὑπερορῶσι καὶ πτωχικὸν εἶναί φασιν ὄψον. Whether they were pilchards, anchovies, or herrings, matters little. There were several kinds, of which the most prized was the ἀφρῖτις. Schol. ad Aristoph. *Equit.* 643: σφόδρα δὲ καὶ μέχρι νῦν παρὰ τοῖς Ἀθηναίοις σπουδάζεται. Besides fresh fish, the Hellespont and the Euxine supplied Greece with ταρίχη, pieces of large fish cured, which formed a cheap and favourite food. See Athen. iii. p. 116.

The daily provisions were generally prepared by the female slaves, under the superintendence of their mistress. See Excursus on *The Women*. Athenæus, xiv. p. 658, remarks that no comic writer, except Posidippos, mentions a cook among the house-slaves: οὐδὲ γὰρ ἂν εὕροι τις ὑμῶν δοῦλον μάγειρόν τινα ἐν κωμῳδίᾳ, πλὴν παρὰ Ποσειδίππῳ μόνῳ. δοῦλοι δ' ὀψοποιοὶ παρῆλθον ὑπὸ πρώτων Μακεδόνων, κ. τ. λ. On occasions requiring unusual culinary skill, there were plenty of cooks to be hired, as we see abundantly from the comic writers. So also Aristippos answered, when he was reproached, ὅτι δίκην ἔχων ἐμισθώσατο ῥήτορα· 'Καὶ γάρ, ὅταν δεῖπνον ἔχω, μάγειρον μισθοῦμαι.' Diog. Laert. ii. 72. These professional cooks often came from towns celebrated for their refinements in this art, the μηχανήματα and σοφίσματα of cookery, as Xenophon expresses it, *Hier.* i. 22. In comedy two different masks were used, one for the foreign,

the other for the native cook. Athen. xiv. p. 659: ἐκάλουν δ' οἱ παλαιοὶ τὸν μὲν πολιτικὸν μάγειρον Μαίσωνα, τὸν δ' ἐκτόπιον Τέττιγα. Cf. Poll. iv. 148. And Antiphanes, ap. Athen. i. p. 27, praises ἐξ Ἥλιδος μάγειρος. But the most celebrated of all seem to have been those of Sicily. Plato, *de Republ.* iii. p. 404: Σικελικὴν ποικιλίαν ὄψων. There were also books treating of the art of cookery. Plato, *Gorg.* p. 518: Μίθαικος ὁ τὴν ὀψοποιΐαν ξυγγεγραφὼς τὴν Σικελικήν. Philoxenos of Cythera composed a poem, entitled Δεῖπνον, which contained directions for cooking a large banquet; this is alluded to by the comedian Plato, ap. Athen. i. p. 5, in the words, Φιλοξένου καινή τις ὀψαρτυσία. Callimachos also, as we are told by Athenæus, xiv. p. 643, mentions πλακουντοποιϊκὰ συγγράμματα by four different authors. But the most renowned work of the kind was the Gastrology of Archestratos, which Chrysippos called the metropolis of the epicurean philosophy. Athen. iii. p. 104: εἰκότως ἂν ἐπαινέσειε τὸν καλὸν Χρύσιππον, κατιδόντα ἀκριβῶς τὴν Ἐπικούρου φύσιν, καὶ εἰπόντα, μητρόπολιν εἶναι τῆς φιλοσοφίας αὐτοῦ τὴν Ἀρχεστράτου γαστρολογίαν, ἣν πάντες οἱ τῶν φιλοσόφων γαστρίμαργοι θεογονίαν τινὰ αὐτῶν εἶναι λέγουσι τὴν καλὴν ταύτην ἐποποιΐαν.

A tediously minute account of the πανοῦργα ὀψάρια καὶ ὑποτρίμματα is given by Athenæus; and there are also numerous notices in Aristophanes; e. g. *Acharn.* 873, 969, 1042, 1090; *Vesp.* 493, 508, etc.

Here, however, we have only space for a few general remarks on a banquet on a large scale. In the first place, the question arises, whether the Greeks commenced with a *promulsis* or *gustus* like the Romans. At the time here principally referred to, namely, before the Roman conquest, this was probably not the case; at all events, these preliminaries did not take the shape of a regular course. In the time of later writers, such as Plutarch, (*Symp.* viii. 9, 3,) and Athenæus, (ii. pp. 58—64,) it had become usual, and is denoted by the word πρόπομα. Athenæus also quotes Phylarchos: Φύλαρχος...φησὶν οὕτως, εἰ μνήμης εὐτυχῶ· πρόπομά τι πρὸ τοῦ δείπνου περιεφέρετο καθὼς εἰώθει τὸ πρῶτον. But this πρόπομα has nothing in common with the Roman *gustus*; it was only a draught preceding the meal. Still it is not to be denied that certain things were taken as a whet to the appetite. See Aristoph. *Acharn.* 1112:

ἀλλ' ἢ πρὸ δείπνου τὴν μίμαρκυν κατέδομαι.

At a later period the ψυχραὶ τράπεζαι, as Plutarch calls them, served this purpose; they consisted of oysters and other shell-fish, and raw vegetables, as salad and so forth. At an earlier period these were brought on at the conclusion of the meal. Cf. Athen. ii. p. 101.

It is uncertain whether, as among the Romans, the viands were brought in upon a tray, and set on a table standing in the centre of the κλῖναι, or whether, as in Homer, every guest, or at least every κλίνη, had a separate table. The latter is more probable, from the universal occurrence of the phrases, εἰσφέρειν and ἀφαιρεῖν τὰς τραπέζας: and that this refers not to the dishes, but to the tables themselves, is evident from a fragment of the Δεῖπνον of Philoxenos, apud Athen. iv. p. 146:

Εἰς δ' ἔφερον διπλόοι παῖδες λιπαρῶπα τράπεζαν
ἄμμι, ἑτέραν δ' ἕτεροι,
ἄλλοι δ' ἑτέραν,
μέχρι οὗ πλήρωσαν οἶκον.

Cf. Antiphanes, ap. Id. ii. p. 60. The custom in Arcadia appears to have been different, μίαν πᾶσι τράπεζαν εἰς τὸ μέσον παρατιθέασι: Theopompos, ap. Id. iv. p. 149. Besides, in all monuments representing *symposia*, before each κλίνη stand one, and sometimes several tables, τρίποδες or τράπεζαι, as is seen in the accompanying cut, and in those in the following Excursus. Pollux takes τράπεζαι to mean the trays, *repositoria*. He says, vi. 83: Ἦσαν δέ τινες πρῶται τράπεζαι, καὶ δεύτεραι, καὶ τρίται. καὶ τρίποδες μὲν, ἐφ' ὧν ἔκειντο.....αἱ δὲ ἐπιτιθέμεναι καὶ αἰρόμεναι τράπεζαι, ἃς νῦν μαγίδας καλοῦσιν. Id. x. 81: καὶ μὴν καὶ τὰ ἐπιτιθέμενα τοῖς τρίποσι τράπεζαι καλοῦνται, καὶ μαγίδες. According to this, viands were served up on each of these small tables; and this agrees with the antiques. But we see from Plato, *de Republ.* i. p. 354, that the separate dishes were also handed round: ὥσπερ οἱ λίχνοι τοῦ ἀεὶ παραφερομένου ἀπογεύονται, ἁρπάζοντες πρὶν τοῦ προτέρου μετρίως ἀπολαῦσαι. Protagorides, ap. Athen. iv. p. 150, mentions as a peculiarity of Egyptian meals, that no τράπεζαι were employed: Τρίτη δ' ἐστὶν ἰδέα δείπνων Αἰγυπτιακὴ, τραπεζῶν μὲν οὐ παρατιθεμένων, πινάκων δὲ περιφερομένων. This is what Martial, vii. 48, calls *cœna ambulans*.

Ordinary joints, poultry, and fish, among which the Copaic



LIBRARY OF THE BOMBAY BRANCH OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY

A vase-painting of a Symposion, from Millin, *Peint. d. Vas.* II. pl. 58. Three young and two older men are on a κλίνη, resting the left arm on the striped προσκεφάλαια (ὑπαγκώνια). Before the κλίνη stand two tables. Three of the men hold aloft the κύλιξ, with the forefinger through the handle. The fourth holds a phiala, and the fifth a rhyton also. In the middle Comos beats the tympanum.

eels are particularly celebrated, (Aristoph. *Acharn.* 879; *Pax*, 1005,) formed of course the staple dishes, but hares, (Aristoph. *passim*,) κίχλαι, field-fares, and many other things, were favourite delicacies.

When all had eaten enough, the tables were removed, which was called αἴρειν, ἀπαίρειν, ἐκφέρειν, βαστάζειν τὰς τραπέζας. The floor, on which bones, fruit-shells, &c. had been thrown, was then swept, and water was handed round for the guests to wash their hands, ἀπονίψασθαι, whereupon the meal, δεῖπνον, properly so called, was closed with a libation. Chaplets and ointments were then usually, though not invariably, handed round. So a fragment of Menander (Mein. p. 94):

> ΕΙτ' εὐθὺς οὕτω τὰς τραπέζας αἴρετε
> μύρα, στεφάνους ἑτοίμασον, σπονδὰς ποίει.

See also Plato, Com. ap. Athen. xv. p. 665, and Philyllios, ap. Id. ix. p. 408. A distinction between the expressions κατὰ χειρὸς and ἀπονίψασθαι is drawn by the grammarian Aristophanes, apud Athen. ix. p. 408: παρὰ γὰρ τοῖς παλαιοῖς τὸ μὲν πρὸ ἀρίστου καὶ δείπνου λέγεσθαι κατὰ χειρὸς, τὸ δὲ μετὰ τοῦτ' ἀπονίψασθαι. Cf. Pollux, vi. 92: καὶ νίψασθαι μὲν τὸ πρὸ τῆς τροφῆς· ἀπονίψασθαι δὲ τὸ μετὰ τὴν τροφήν. This usage of the words appears, however, from other passages, not to have been observed. See Philoxenos, ap. Athen. iv. 147; and Plato, *Symp.* p. 175. Along with the water, σμῆγμα or σμῆμα, which supplied the place of soap, was usually handed round, and, as with us, it was often scented. Hence εὐώδης γῆ, and σμήματα ἰρινόμικτα, which occur in Philoxenos and Antiphanes, ap. Athen. ix. p. 409.

The meal was concluded by the σπονδαὶ, or libation 'to the good genius.' Xenoph. *Symp.* 2, 1; Plato, *Symp.* p. 176; Diod. Sic. iv. 3: φασὶν ἐπὶ τῶν δείπνων, ὅταν ἄκρατος οἶνος διδῶται πᾶσιν, ἐπιλέγειν 'ἀγαθοῦ δαίμονος·' ὅταν δὲ μετὰ τὸ δεῖπνον διδῶται κεκραμένος ὕδατι 'Διὸς Σωτῆρος' ἐπιφωνεῖν. Philochoros, ap. Athen. ii. p. 38: Καὶ θεσμὸν ἔθετο (Ἀμφικτύων) προσφέρεσθαι μετὰ τὰ σῖτα ἄκρατον μόνον ὅσον γεύσασθαι, δεῖγμα τῆς δυνάμεως τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ θεοῦ. τὸ δὲ λοιπὸν ἤδη κεκραμένον ὁπόσον ἕκαστος βούλεται· προσεπιλέγειν δὲ τούτῳ τὸ τοῦ Διὸς Σωτῆρος ὄνομα. Instead of the formula, ἀγαθοῦ δαίμονος, it was also customary to say 'ὑγιείας,' and the goblet out of which this libation was made, was called μετάνιπτρον, or μετανιπτρίς, be-

cause it was used μετὰ τὸ ἀπονίψασθαι. See the passages cited by Pollux, vi. 31, and by Athenæus, xi. p. 488; xv. p. 693. Plutarch is the only author who says this ceremony took place to the sound of the flute. Plut. *Sept. Sap. Con.* 5; *Symp.* vii. 8, 4. Cf. Plato, *Symp.* p. 176.

This libation being concluded, the πότος, συμπόσιον, or κῶμος, then commenced. Plato, *Symp.* p. 176: σπουδὰς σφᾶς ποιήσασθαι καὶ ἅσαντας τὸν θεὸν ... τρέπεσθαι πρὸς τὸν πότον. The dessert, δεύτεραι τράπεζαι, was now served up. In earlier times this consisted merely of olives, figs, nuts, &c. which were invariably accompanied by salt, either pure or mixed with spice, to bring out the flavour of the wine, as well as to induce thirst. Plutarch, *Symp.* iv. 4, 3: οὐ μόνον τοίνυν πρὸς τροφὴν, ἀλλὰ καὶ πρὸς ποτὸν ὄψον εἰσὶν οἱ ἅλες. Cf. Plaut. *Curc.* iv. 4, 5; *Pers.* iii. 3, 23: *nunquam delinget salem.* The expression, *lingere salem*, (ἅλα λείχειν, Diog. Laer. vi. 57,) shews how it was taken. So also the *concha salis puri*, Hor. *Sat.* i. 3, 14; and *Od.* ii. 16, 14. But the Greek authors are more explicit respecting this custom: thus Athen. ix. p. 366: καὶ ἅλας δὲ ἡδυσμένους ὁρῶ ἐν ἄλλαις παροψίσιν. This answers to the (*sal*) *odoribus additis*, Plin. *Nat. Hist.* xxxi. 7, 41; and the ἅλας θυμίτας, Aristoph. *Acharn.* 1099. So also the proverb, οἱ περὶ ἅλα καὶ κύμινον, said of those who stuck to the salt and cummin, and neglected the sweetmeats. Plutarch, *Symp.* iv. 1, 3. A kind of cake strewed with salt, ἐπίπαστα, was also eaten with the wine. Cf. Aristoph. *Equit.* 103, 1089: ἐπίπαστα λείχειν. See also the Scholiast on both places. The passage which seems to allude most explicitly to eating salt with wine is one in Herodotus, i. 133, where the Persian luxury is compared with Greek frugality: σίτοισι δὲ ὀλίγοισι χρέωνται (οἱ Πέρσαι), ἐπιφορήμασι δὲ πολλοῖσι, καὶ οὐκ ἁλέσι. καὶ διὰ τοῦτό φασι Πέρσαι, τοὺς Ἕλληνας σιτεομένους πεινῶντας πανέσθαι, ὅτι σφι ἀπὸ δείπνου παραφορέεται οὐδὲν λόγου ἄξιον· εἰ δέ τι παραφέροιτο, ἐσθίοντας ἂν οὐ πανέσθαι. Here the proper reading is undoubtedly, καὶ οὐκ ἅλεσι, if not ἁλάσι. The Persians may well have thought the ἅλες of a Grecian dessert, οὐδὲν λόγου ἄξιον. Lastly, we may refer to the proverb, ἁλίαν τρυπᾶν, Philost. *Epist. Apoll. Tyan.* 7, with which compare Pers. *Sat.* v. 138: *regustatum digito terebrare salinum.*

In the times of the middle and later comedy, when all sorts

of sweetmeats had been introduced, the mention of ἅλες seldom occurs; yet in the account which Anaxandrides gives of the wedding feast of Iphicrates, ἅλες are introduced along with σκόροδον, κρόμυον and σίλφιον. At this later period the δεύτεραι τράπεζαι had a variety of names, as ἐπιδόρπια, ἐπίδειπνα, ἐπιφορήματα, ἐπαίκλια, (Eustath. *ad Il.* xviii. 245,) τὸ ἐντελὲς δεῖπνον, (Lucian, *Conv. s. Lapith.* 38,) νωγαλεύματα, &c. But the names which most frequently occur are δεύτεραι τράπεζαι and τραγήματα. See the fragment of Aristotle's treatise περὶ μέθης, apud Athen. xiv. p. 641: Τὸ μὲν οὖν ὅλον διαφέρειν τράγημα βρώματος νομιστέον ὅσον ἔδεσμα τρωγαλίου. τοῦτο γὰρ πάτριον τοὔνομα τοῖς Ἕλλησιν, ἐπεὶ ἐν τραγήμασι τὰ βρώματα παρατίθενται. διώπερ οὐ κακῶς ἔοικεν εἰπεῖν ὁ πρῶτος δευτέραν προσαγορεύσας τράπεζαν. ὄντως γὰρ ἐπιδορπισμός τις ὁ τραγηματισμός ἐστι, καὶ δεῖπνον ἕτερον παρατίθεται τραγήματα. Here we should probably read, ἐπεὶ ἐν τραγήμασι καὶ βρώματα παρατίθενται. In Aristotle's time various ἐδέσματα—properly so called—as hares, ducks, and game of different sorts, were brought in along with the dessert. See Athen. iii. p. 101, and xiv. p. 642.

The chief object of the dessert, besides the pleasure to the palate which its dainties afforded, was to keep up the desire of drinking. See Aristot. *Probl.* xxii. 6: Διὰ τί τὰ τραγήματα ἐδεστέον; ἢ ἕνεκα τοῦ πιεῖν ἱκανόν; οὐ γὰρ μόνον ποτέον τῆς δίψης χάριν τῆς ἐκ τοῖς σιτίοις, ἀλλὰ καὶ μετὰ τὸ σιτίον. Cheese was usually introduced, and the most celebrated was that of Sicily. See the fragments of Antiphanes and Hermippos, apud Athen. i. p. 27, and of Philemon, ap. Id. xiv. p. 658. Aristophanes, too, frequently alludes to it. That which came from Tromileia in Achaia was also in high repute, as we see from the last-named passage in Athenæus. Dried figs, ἰσχάδες, were also eaten, and although those of Attica were very fine-flavoured, gourmands preferred those from Rhodes. Hermippos, ap. Athen. i. p. 27. Olives also were introduced, especially those that had ripened on the tree and become quite shrivelled (ῥυσοὶ καὶ ὀρυπετεῖς); dates from Syria and Egypt, for the fruit of the Grecian palm was not eatable (Plutarch, *Symp.* viii. 4, 1); nuts, κάρυα, a term which comprehended all ἀκρόδρυα, and therefore almonds and chestnuts (ὀπτὰ κάρυα, Aristot. *Probl.* xxii. 7); also fresh

fruit of course. On this subject consult the second and third books of Athenæus, *passim*.

Cakes also, for which, as aforesaid, Athens was renowned, were a principal feature of the dessert. See Thucyd. i. 126: ἐπιχώρια θύματα. Athenæus, in his third and fourteenth books, mentions several sorts, differing in materials and shape, many of which may appertain to the Roman era. The most usual form was round, and hence the seed of the mallow was called πλακοῦς. Athen. ii. p. 58: Φανίας δὲ ἐν τοῖς φυτικοῖς φησι, τῆς ἠμέρου μαλάχης ὁ σπερματικὸς τύπος καλεῖται πλακοῦς, ἐμφερὴς ὢν αὐτῷ. Cf. Aristoph. *Acharn.* 1125: πλακοῦντος τυρόνωτος κύκλος.

EXCURSUS II. TO SCENE VI.

THE SYMPOSIA.

THE Roman *comissatio* was quite independent of the *cœna*, as has been shewn in *Gallus*, p. 125, note, and in like manner the συμπόσιον or πότος of the Greeks must not be confounded with the δεῖπνον. It is true the δεῖπνον was usually followed by the πότος, as is the case in many instances already cited; yet the scenes are changed, and fresh personages frequently enter on the stage. During the meal no wine was brought on table, and we must consider as an exception to the rule the account in Athenæus, iii. p. 125: ὅτι δ' ἔπινον καὶ γλυκὺν οἶνον μεταξὺ ἐσθίοντες, Ἄλεξίς φησιν ἐν Δρωπίδῃ·

> Εἰσῆλθεν ἡ 'ταίρα φέρουσα τὸν γλυκὺν
> ἐν ἀργυρῷ ποτηρίῳ πετάχνῳ τινί, κ.τ.λ.

At all events, unmixed wine was not drunk till after the libation. Cf. Plutarch, *Symp.* viii. 9, 3.

These symposia were enlivened by varied conversation, music, dancing, and other arts, together with games and divertisements of all sorts. It is this mirthful and joyous tone that gives the chief zest to the graceful narrative of Xenophon, the vivid freshness and truthfulness of which at once convince us that it is taken from the life. And not less interesting is the story of Plato, so redolent of soul and imagination, and whose matter and form almost tempt us to forget that a discussion so artfully planned could never have been improvised. It was an unhappy thought of Plutarch's—if indeed the work be his—to range beside these masterpieces the tedious disputation of his seven sages, whose wire-drawn subtleties are only exceeded by the tasteless absurdities of the Deipnosophists in Athenæus. Of quite a different order is Lucian's Lapithan feast, which though, according to his wont, somewhat caricatured, yet teems with pleasant satire and humorous strokes of character. The *dramatis personæ* in Xenophon and Plato are, it is true, of so highly intellectual a cast, that we cannot take their conversation to represent the average tone of an ordinary convivial meeting; yet, with some modifications, these

compositions will serve as valuable sketches to aid us in the composition of a more unpretending picture.

The Greeks, besides wine, *οἶνος ἀμπέλινος*, knew of no other drink except water. It is true that Diodorus Siculus, iv. 2, relates that Dionysos invented a drink from barley: *εὑρεῖν δ' αὐτὸν καὶ τὸ ἐκ τῆς κριθῆς κατασκευαζόμενον πόμα τὸ προσαγορευόμενον μὲν ὑπ' ἐνίων ζύθος, οὐ πολὺ δὲ λειπόμενον τῆς περὶ τὸν οἶνον εὐωδίας.* The names *βρύτος* and *πίνος* are applied to this by Eustathius, *ad Il.* xi. 637; xxii. 283; and this mead-like drink was probably common in Egypt (see Herodot. ii. 77), as was the palm-wine in the palm-regions of Asia (Herodot. i. 193, 194); but there is nothing to lead us to suppose that such liquors were ever introduced into Greece; and indeed the abundance of the more generous beverage rendered any substitute unnecessary. Wine therefore was the ordinary drink of all, even of slaves and journeymen, though what they got was mostly sorry stuff. See Demosth. *adv. Lacr.* p. 933: *τό, τε οἰνάριον τὸ Κῷον, ὀγδοήκοντα στάμνοι ἑξεστηκότος οἴνου, καὶ τὸ τάριχος ἀνθρώπῳ τινὶ γεωργῷ παρεκομίζετο ἐν τῷ πλοίῳ...τοῖς ἐργάταις τοῖς περὶ τὴν γεωργίαν χρῆσθαι.* Plutarch, *Comp. Arist. c. Cat.* 4: *πιεῖν, ὃν ἐργάται πίνουσι καὶ θεράποντες, οἶνον.* The extraordinary cheapness of the wine makes these passages intelligible, and accounts too for its somewhat excessive use. For its price, see Böckh's *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 98. In the very earliest ages wine was regarded as the chief source of joy, and agreeably to this idea, Musæus and Eumolpus made the reward of the virtuous in Hades to consist in perpetual intoxication. Plato, *de Republ.* ii. p. 363: *εἰς ᾅδου γὰρ ἀγαγόντες τῷ λόγῳ καὶ κατακλίναντες, καὶ συμπόσιον τῶν ὁσίων κατασκευάσαντες ἐστεφανωμένους ποιοῦσι τὸν ἅπαντα χρόνον ἤδη διάγειν μεθύοντας, ἡγησάμενοι κάλλιστον ἀρετῆς μισθὸν μέθην αἰώνιον.* Sobriety was in no case one of the prime virtues of the Athenian; even Plato is of opinion that a man ought to become intoxicated at the Dionysia; *Leg.* vi. p. 775: *πίνειν δὲ εἰς μέθην οὔτε ἄλλοθί που πρέπει, πλὴν ἐν ταῖς τοῦ τὸν οἶνον δόντος θεοῦ ἑορταῖς.* Symposia, at all times, were apt to end in intoxication, and were therefore forbidden in Sparta and Crete. *Min.* p. 320. Still Plutarch tells an anecdote of Agesilaos as symposiarch: *Apophth. Lac.* i. p. 830. Cf. Id. *Cleom.* 13; Athen. x. p. 432.

With regard to the Grecian wines, and the various qualities

and excellences of the different growths, very little is known; and the Greeks were by no means such connoisseurs in this respect as the Romans. Wine was bought from samples, as we see from Lucian, *Hermot.* 58; Eurip. *Cycl.* 149; but provided it suited their taste, people were not very particular as to what hill or district it came from. In early times the general name οἶνος was ordinarily used. Demosthenes, *adv. Lacr.* p. 935, enumerates a few of the chief districts where it was grown: πᾶν γὰρ ὁπόθεν τοὐναντίον εἰς τὸν Πόντον οἶνος εἰσάγεται ἐκ τῶν τόπων τῶν περὶ ἡμᾶς, ἐκ Πεπαρήθου καὶ Κῶ, καὶ Θάσιος καὶ Μενδαῖος. If we add to the wines here mentioned those of Chios, Lesbos, Naxos, and the Πράμνιος, the names of the more celebrated sorts are exhausted. A few others are mentioned by Strabo, xiv. 1, 15, 47; and Athen. i. p. 28, seq. Chian wine, probably, was the most costly, as appears from the defence of Demetrius before the Areopagus; Athen. iv. p. 167: Ἀλλὰ καὶ νῦν, εἶπεν, ἐλευθερίως ζῶ. καὶ γὰρ ἑταίραν ἔχω τὴν καλλίστην καὶ ἀδικῶ οὐδένα, καὶ πίνω Χῖον οἶνον. The Pramnian, on the other hand, which was famous in Homer's time, was not liked at Athens, because it was harsh, αὐστηρός. Athen. i. p. 30: οἵῳ Ἀριστοφάνης οὐχ ἥδεσθαι Ἀθηναίους φησὶ λέγων, τὸν Ἀθηναίων δῆμον οὔτε ποιηταῖς ἥδεσθαι σκληροῖς καὶ ἀστεμφέσιν οὔτε Πραμνίοις σκληροῖς οἴνοις συνάγουσι τὰς ὀφρῦς τε καὶ τὴν κοιλίαν, ἀλλ' ἀνθοσμίᾳ καὶ πέπονι νεκταροσταγεῖ. Aristophanes frequently refers to the Thasian wine. No mention is made, in early times, of Italian wines; though it is probable that in the palmy days of the Italian and Sicilian cities the growth was cultivated, and the produce shipped to Greece, as was the case with the Sicilian cheese and other articles of consumption. Theopompos, however, ap. Athen. i. p. 26, appears well acquainted with them, and mentions their individual peculiarities; and in Lucian, *Navig.* 13, the person who dreams that he is rich, determines for the future to have nothing but οἶνον ἐξ Ἰταλίας on his table. Nevertheless, Pollux, vi. 16, says: οὔπω γὰρ οἱ παλαιοὶ τὸν Ἰταλιώτην ἀκριβῶς ἤδεσαν. The colours of the wines were red, μέλας, white, and yellow. Athen. i. p. 32: τῶν οἴνων ὁ μὲν λευκὸς, ὁ δὲ κιρρὸς, ὁ δὲ μέλας. See *Gallus*, p. 491. The red is said to have been grown first in Chios; Athen. i. p. 26: Θεόπομπος δέ φησι, παρὰ Χίοις πρώτοις γενέσθαι τὸν μέλανα οἶνον..... ὁ δὴ λευκὸς οἶνος ἀσθενὴς καὶ λεπτός. ὁ δὲ κιρρὸς πέττει ῥᾷον, ξηραντικὸς ὤν.

Different sorts were occasionally mixed together. See Theophrastus, apud Athen. i. p. 32; Plutarch, *Symp.* iv. 1, 2. The addition of sea-water to wine is first mentioned by Dioscor. v. 27; Plutarch, *Quæst. Nat.* 10; Athen. i. p. 26. Plutarch also relates that the casks were smeared with pitch, and that the Eubeans mixed rosin with the wine. *Symp.* v. 3, 1. Spiced wine was common in the time of the new comedy. Pollux, vi. 1: *τὸ δὲ τρίμμα πόμα ἦν μετὰ ἀρωμάτων παρὰ τοῖς νέοις κωμικοῖς.* Also Athen. i. p. 31: *ἐχρῶντο δ' οἱ ἀρχαῖοι καὶ πώματί τινι ἐξ ἀρωμάτων κατασκευαζομένῳ, ὃ ἐκάλουν τρίμμα.* Honey was also added; Theophrast. ap. Athen. i. p. 32: *τὸν ἐν τῷ πρυτανείῳ διδόμενον θαυμαστὸν εἶναι τὴν ἡδονήν. ἠρτυμένος γάρ ἐστιν βάλλουσι γὰρ εἰς τὰ κεράμια σταῖς μέλιτι φυράσαντες, ὀσμὴν ἀπ' αὐτοῦ, τὴν δὲ γλυκύτητα ἀπὸ τοῦ σταιτὸς λαμ τὸν οἶνον.* The mixture of *unguenta* with wine, whi practised by the Romans, (see *Gallus*, p. 493,) is here an mentioned among the Greeks. Æl. *Var. Hist.* xii. 31: *οὐκ ἐκεῖνα τοῖς Ἕλλησι τρυφῆς ἀπόδειξις; μύρῳ γὰρ οἶν νύντες οὕτως ἔπινον καὶ ὑπερηναγκάζοντο τὴν τοιαύτην καὶ ἐκαλεῖτο ὁ οἶνος μυρρινίτης.* In support of this he Philippides, a poet of the new comedy. Cf. Plutarch, *Sept. Sap. Conv.* 3. Lastly, the Greeks prepared by boiling an *ἕψημα οἴνου*, answering to the Roman *sapa* and *defrutum*. Athen. i. p. 31: *ἐχρῶντο γὰρ ἐφθοῖς οἴνοις.* See *Gallus*, p. 486.

Age was considered a recommendation; see Pind. *Ol.* 9, 52: *αἰνεῖ δὲ παλαιὸν μὲν οἶνον.* See Eubul. ap. Athen. i. p. 26; and Alexis, ap. Id. ii. p. 36:

οἶνον δὲ τὸν παλαιότατον σπουδάζομεν.

Cf. Plutarch, *Non Posse Suav.* 4. But it is doubtful whether they kept wine so long as the Romans did. From Athen. xiii. p. 584, we see that sixteen years was considered a very great age for wine: *ἐπιδόντος δέ τινος οἶνον ἐν ψυκτηριδίῳ μικρὸν καὶ εἰπόντος ὅτι ἐκκαιδεκαέτης, Μικρός γε, ἔφη, ὡς τοσούτων ἐτῶν.* Cf. Lucian, *Lexiph.* 6.

The wine was mixed either with hot or cold water before being drunk. Cf. *Gallus*, pp. 491, 494. The *calda* was not peculiar to the Romans, and *θερμὸν ὕδωρ* is often mentioned, and in the pure Greek period. See Xenoph. *Memor.* iii. 13, 3; Plato,

de Republ. iv. p. 437; Athen. viii. p. 352, and iii. p. 123, where a number of instances are collected. There seems to have been a vessel expressly designed for keeping water hot, this perhaps is the ὑπολέβης of Lucian, *Lexiph.* 8. When the wine was mixed with cold water, this was obtained as fresh as possible, and, even at an early period, snow was employed to keep it cool; πόσις διὰ χιόνος. See Alexis, ap. Athen. iii. p. 124:

καὶ χιόνα μὲν πίνειν παρασκευάζομεν.

Dexicrates, ap. Id.: Εἰ δὲ μεθύω, καὶ χιόνα πίνω, κ. τ. λ. This was attempted even in summer. Xenoph. *Memor.* ii. 1, 30: οἴνους δὲ πολυτελεῖς παρασκευάζῃ καὶ τοῦ θέρους χιόνα περιθέουσα ζητεῖς. Athenæus mentions ice-houses; but the common way was merely to cover the snow or ice with chaff. Plutarch, *Symp.* vi. 6, 1: Καὶ γὰρ ἀχύροις σπαργανοῦντες αὐτὴν καὶ περιστέλλοντες ἱματίοις ἀγναπτοις ἐπὶ πολὺν χρόνον διατηροῦσι. Snow seems to have been a regular article of traffic at Athens, as it is now at Naples. Euthycles, ap. Athen. *supra*: πρῶτος μὲν εἶδεν εἰ χιών ἐστ' ὠνία. It was often mixed with the wine itself, as we see from the sarcasm of Gnathæna, related by Machon, ap. Athen. xiii. p. 579:

Παρὰ Γναθαίνῃ Δίφιλος πίνων ποτὲ,
ψυχρόν γ', ἔφη τἀγγεῖον, ὦ Γνάθαιν' ἔχεις.
Τῶν σῶν γὰρ, εἶπεν, ἐπιμελῶς, ὦ Δίφιλε,
εἰς αὐτό γ' ἀεὶ δραμάτων ἐμβάλλομεν.

Straining, so usual among the Romans, is seldom mentioned. Epilycus, however, ap. Athen. i. p. 28, mentions Χῖος καὶ Θάσιος ἠθημένος. Cf. Poll. vi. 18: σακκίας δὲ ὁ διυλισμένος, καὶ σακτὸς παρ Εὐπόλιδι. Id. x. 75; and Dioscor. v. This was most likely done through wool. There are doubtful allusions to this practice in Plato, *Symp.* p. 175, and Aristoph. *Vespæ*, 701.

Wine was always drunk diluted, and to drink it ἄκρατος was looked on as a barbarism. Plato, *Leg.* i. p. 637. According to Ælian, *Var. Hist.* ii. 37, Zaleucos imposed a law upon the Locrians, according to which any person doing so, even if sick, unless by the prescription of the physician, was punishable with death. The custom of diluting wine can be traced up to the earliest period, and its origin is referred to Amphictyon. Athen. ii. p. 38: Φιλόχορος δέ φησιν Ἀμφικτύονα τὸν Ἀθηναίων βασιλέα, μαθόντα παρὰ Διονύσου τὴν τοῦ οἴνου κρᾶσιν, πρῶτον κεράσαι. Eustath.

ad Odyss. xvii. 205. The usage continued long in force, and any departure from it was not only considered a sign of great intemperance, but as highly injurious both mentally and corporeally. From Herodotus, vi. 84, we learn that the Spartans fancied Cleomenes had gone mad by drinking neat wine, a habit he had learned from the Scythians: ἐκ τούτου δὲ μανῆναί μιν νομίζουσι Σπαρτιῆται. So Mnesitheos, apud Athen. ii. p. 36:

ἐὰν δ' ἴσον ἴσῳ προσφέρῃ, μανίαν ποιεῖ·
ἐὰν δ' ἄκρατον, παράλυσιν τῶν σωμάτων.

Cf. Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 773; Plutarch, *An seni resp. ger.* 13: μαινόμενον θεὸν ἑτέρῳ θεῷ νήφοντι σωφρονίζεσθαι κολαζόμενον. Cf. Id. *de Aud. Poët.* 1: ἀφαιρεῖ ἡ κρᾶσις τοῦ οἴνου τὸ βλάπτον. And from the prevalence of this custom, οἶνος always means diluted wine, κεκραμένος being understood, unless ἄκρατος is expressly mentioned. Plutarch, *Conjug. Præc.* 20: τὸ κρᾶμα, καίτοι ὕδατος μετέχον πλείονος, οἶνον καλοῦμεν.

The proportions of the mixture varied with the habits of the drinkers. Plutarch, *de Pyth. Or.* 23: ὁ μὲν γὰρ οἶνος, ὡς ἔλεγε Χαιρήμων, τοῖς τρόποις κεράννυται τῶν πινόντων. Id. *Symp.* v. 4, 2: πρεσβυτέρους ὄντας εἰδὼς, οὐχ ὑδαρεῖ χαίροντας, ἀλλ' ἀκρατοτέρῳ, καθάπερ οἱ ἄλλοι γέροντες, ἐπιτεῖναι κελεύει τὴν κρᾶσιν. Cf. Aristot. *Probl.* iii. 3. In Sparta ἀκρατέστερον πίνειν was called ἐπισκυθίζειν. Herod. vi. 84; Chamæl. ap. Athen. x. p. 427. With regard to the usual proportions, see *Gallus*, p. 129, note. There was always more water than wine; and the mixture ἴσον ἴσῳ, half and half, was repudiated as highly intoxicating. From Athenæus, Plutarch, and Eustathius, we gather that the usual proportions were six parts of water to two, three, or four of wine. The first of these, which is recommended by Hesiod, was considered ὑδαρὴς by most people, and was called βατράχοις οἰνοχοεῖν. See Pherecrates, apud Athen. x. p. 430. But some wines would bear this quantity of water; see Poll. vi. 18: ἐπήνουν δὲ οἶνον τὸν τρία φέροντα, τουτέστι τὸ τριπλοῦν τοῦ ὕδατος. Such wine is called πολυφόρος by Aristophanes, *Plut.* 853. The opposite to this is αὐτόκρας. Poll. vi. 24.

The mixing took place, according to ancient custom, in a large bowl, hence called κρατὴρ; and from this it was distributed into the cups of the drinkers. Procl. ad Hesiod. Ἔργ. 744: ὁ μὲν γὰρ κρατὴρ προὔκειτο κοινὸς ἐν ταῖς τραπέζαις· ἐκ δὲ τῆς οἰνοχόης

One of the richest of the compositions depicting Greek Symposia; from a vase in *Mus. Borb.* v. 51. See Gerhard and Panofka, *Neapel's ant. Bildwerke*, p. 340. On a κλίνη hung with gorgeous drapery,—before which stand three small tables, τρίποδες, and the Crater in the centre,—four youths are lying (the fifth on the right seems standing behind), and between them sit three *hetæræ*, one of whom is a *psaltria*. The man to the left holds a drinking cup and rhyton. On the tables are all sorts of τραγήματα. Whether the object on the right hand table is intended for a lamp is hard to discover from the engraving in the *Mus. Borb.*

LIBRARY
OF THE
BOMBAY
BRANCH
OF THE
ROYAL
ASIATIC

ἀρυόμενοι ἔπινον οἱ συνδειπνοῦντες. The οἰνοχόη answered the same purpose as our ladle; see Poll. vi. 19; x. 75. The passage in Hesiod, μηδ' ἐποτ' οἰνοχόην τιθέμεν κρητῆρος ὕπερθεν πινόντων, probably refers to some superstitious belief that it was unlucky to lay the ladle across the κρατήρ, an act which might imply a cessation of the carouse. The οἰνοχόη was quite different in form from the κύαθος, being shaped more like a tankard, as we see from many antiques. Panofka, *Recherches*, Pl. v. 101. These craters are found in representations of Bacchic scenes, as well as of mere symposia. See Stuart, *Antiq. of Ath.* vol. i. ch. iv. pl. 11. See also the accompanying plate.

The custom of mixing all the wine at once, lasted till a late period, as appears from Theophrastus, *Char.* 13, where one feature of the character of a περίεργος is said to be πλείω δὲ ἐπαναγκάσαι τὸν παῖδα κεράσαι, ἢ ὅσα δύνανται οἱ παρόντες ἐκπιεῖν. But occasionally the water and the wine were mixed in the separate goblets. Xenophanes, ap. Athen. xi. p. 782:

> Οὐδέ κεν ἐν κύλικι πρότερον κεράσαιέ τις οἶνον
> ἐγχέας, ἀλλ' ὕδωρ, καὶ καθύπερθε μέθυ.

Theophr. *Ib.*: Ἐπεὶ καὶ τὰ περὶ τὴν κρᾶσιν ἐναντίως εἶχε τὸ παλαιὸν τῷ νῦν παρ' Ἕλλησιν ὑπάρχοντι. οὐ γὰρ τὸ ὕδωρ ἐπὶ τὸν οἶνον ἐπέχεον, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τὸ ὕδωρ τὸν οἶνον. The mixture in the crater was from time to time renewed according to the requirements of the guests. Eubulos, ap. Athen. ii. p. 36:

> Τρεῖς γὰρ μόνους κρατῆρας ἐγκεραννύω
> τοῖς εὖ φρονοῦσι· τὸν μὲν ὑγιείας ἕνα,
> ὃν πρῶτον ἐκπίνουσι· τὸν δὲ δεύτερον
> ἔρωτος ἡδονῆς τε· τὸν τρίτον δ' ὕπνου,
> ὃν ἐκπιόντες οἱ σοφοὶ κεκλημένοι
> οἴκαδε βαδίζουσ'. ὁ δὲ τέταρτος οὐκ ἔτι
> ἡμέτερός ἐστ', ἀλλ' ὕβρεως· ὁ δὲ πεμπτὸς βοῆς·
> ἕκτος δὲ κώμων· ἕβδομος δ' ὑπωπίων.
> ὁ δ' ὄγδοος κλητῆρος· ὁ δ' ἔνατος χολῆς·
> δέκατος δὲ μανίας, ὥστε καὶ βάλλειν ποιεῖ.

To conduct the symposion, παιδαγωγεῖν συμπόσιον, (Plato, *Leg.* i. p. 641,) an ἄρχων τῆς πόσεως, συμποσίαρχος, or βασιλεύς, was selected, and to his behests the company had to submit. He was generally chosen by the throw of the *astragali*; see *Gallus*, p. 499; Plato, however, makes Alcibiades elect himself to this office. *Symp.* p. 213: ἄρχοντα οὖν ὑμῖν αἱροῦμαι τῆς πόσεως, ἕως ἂν ὑμεῖς ἱκανῶς πίητε, ἐμαυτόν.

The symposiarch determined the proportions of the mixture, and the number of the *κύαθοι*; he could also impose fines, and so forth. On this subject Lucian, *Saturn.* 4, is tolerably explicit: *ἔτι καὶ βασιλέα μόνον ἐφ' ἁπάντων γενέσθαι τῷ ἀστραγάλῳ κρατήσαντα, ὡς μήτε ἐπιταχθείης γελοῖα ἐπιτάγματα καὶ αὐτὸς ἐπιτάττειν ἔχοις, τῷ μὲν αἰσχρόν τι περὶ αὐτοῦ ἀναβοῆσαι, τῷ δὲ γυμνὸν ὀρχήσασθαι καὶ ἀράμενον τὴν αὐλητρίδα τρὶς τὴν οἰκίαν περιελθεῖν.* The practical jokes do not appear to have been remarkably novel or ingenious; for a specimen, see Plutarch, *Symp.* i. 4, 3: *προστάττοντες ᾄδειν ψελλοῖς, ἢ κτενίζεσθαι φαλακροῖς, ἢ ἀσκωλιάζειν χωλοῖς. Ὥσπερ Ἀγαπήτορι τῷ Ἀκαδημαϊκῷ λεπτὸν ἔχοντι καὶ κατεφθινηκὸς τὸ σκέλος ἐπηρεάζοντες οἱ ξυμπόται πάντας ἐκέλευσαν ἐπὶ τοῦ δεξιοῦ ποδὸς ἑστῶτας ἐκπιεῖν τὸ ποτήριον ἢ ζημίαν καταβαλεῖν. τοῦ δὲ προστάσσειν περιελθόντος εἰς αὐτὸν ἐκέλευσε πάντας, οὕτως πιεῖν ὡς ἂν αὐτὸν ἴδωσι· καὶ κεραμίου κενοῦ κομισθέντος εἰς τοῦτο τὸν ἀσθενῆ πόδα καθεὶς ἐξέπιε τὸ ποτήριον, οἱ δ' ἄλλοι πάντες, ὡς ἐφαίνετο πειρωμένοις ἀδύνατον ἀπέτισαν τὴν ζημίαν.* The system of proposing questions in turn occurs in Plato, *Symp.* p. 214.

As the way in which the symposion went off, depended in a great measure on the symposiarch, Plato requires that he should be a wise and sober person. *Leg.* i. p. 640: *νήφοντά τε καὶ σοφὸν ἄρχοντα μεθυόντων δεῖ καθιστάναι.* Cf. Aristot. *de Repub.* ii. 12. The domestics occupied with the cyathos and crater were under his control, even in a stranger's house; these were called *οἰνοχόοι*, and *οἰνηροὶ θεράποντες*, and were usually young slaves; and if no symposiarch had been selected, the guests themselves called for what they wanted. See Xenoph. *Symp.* 2, 26.

The dexterity of the *οἰνοχόοι* was chiefly shewn in handing the cylix and other vessels. Pollux, vi. 95: *Οἱ δὲ οἰνοχόοι τὰ ἐκπώματα ἐκπλυνόντων τε καὶ διανιπτόντων καὶ κλυζόντων καὶ καθαιρόντων. καὶ τὰς φιάλας ἐπὶ τῶν δακτύλων ἄκρων ἐχέτωσαν, προσφέροντες τοῖς συμπόταις εὐλαβῶς.* Also Theagenes, ap. Heliod. *Æthiop.* vii. 27: *καὶ ἄκροις τοῖς δακτύλοις ἐποχῶν τὴν φιάλην.* Cf. Xenoph. *Cyrop.* i. 3, 8. It is a mistake to suppose that they had also *γυναῖκας οἰνοχόους*: into this error Eustathius, *ad Odyss.* i. 146, was led by Athenæus, x. p. 425. The single instance there alleged, from the court of Ptolemy Philadelphus, proves nothing. Hetæræ, however, may occasionally have discharged the office.

Before proceeding to the carouse, the company usually agreed upon the *τρόπος τῆς πόσεως*. Plato, *Symp.* p. 176: *τίνα τρόπον ἥδιστα πιώμεθα*; from which passage it appears that *πίνειν ὅσον ἂν ἕκαστος βούληται, ἐπάναγκες δὲ μηδὲν εἶναι*, was not usually allowable, but that every one was subject to the symposiarch, who could force him to drink; *πίνειν πρὸς βίαν, ἀναγκάζεσθαι*. Drinking a prescribed quantity was a usual punishment: see also the next Excursus. It was customary, at least at Athens, to drink out of small goblets, or, at all events, to begin with them, afterwards resorting to larger. Diog. Laert. i. 103: *Ἕλληνες ἀρχόμενοι μὲν ἐν μικροῖς πίνουσι, πλησθέντες δὲ ἐν μεγάλοις*. Some of these were of a tolerable size, holding twenty *κύαθοι*, or nearly two sevenths of a *χοῦς*, i.e. about a quart. See Alexis, ap. Athen. x. p. 431:

> Οὐ συμποσίαρχος ἦν γάρ, ἀλλὰ δίμιος,
> ὁ Χαιρέας κυάθους προπίνων εἴκοσιν.

But this is nothing to what is told of Alcibiades and Socrates. Plato, *Symp.* p. 213: *ἀλλὰ φερέτω Ἀγάθων εἴ τί ἐστιν ἔκπωμα μέγα· μᾶλλον δὲ οὐδὲν δεῖ· ἀλλὰ φέρε, παῖ, φάναι, τὸν ψυκτῆρα ἐκεῖνον, ἰδόντα αὐτὸν πλέον ἢ ὀκτὼ κοτύλας χωροῦντα. τοῦτον ἐμπλησάμενον πρῶτον μὲν αὐτὸν ἐκπιεῖν, κ. τ. λ.* Eight *cotylæ* equalled two thirds of a *χοῦς*, more than half a gallon. According to Ephippos, apud Athen. x. p. 434, Alexander drained off a goblet holding two *χοῦς*, or a gallon and a half. Such vessels might well be termed *λουτρὰ* or *φρέατα*; not to mention that they had to be emptied without taking breath, *ἀπνευστὶ* or *ἀμυστὶ πίνειν*. Plutarch, *Symp.* iii. 3; Alexis, ap. Athen. x. p. 431; Lucian, *Lexiph.* 8. But of course the custom varied in different places. See Athen. xi. p. 463: *ὅτι τρόποι εἰσὶ πόσεων κατὰ πόλεις ἴδιοι, ὡς Κριτίας παρίστησιν ἐν τῇ Λακεδαιμονίων πολιτείᾳ διὰ τούτων, ὁ μὲν Χῖος καὶ Θάσιος ἐν μεγάλων κυλίκων ἐπιδέξια, ὁ δ' Ἀττικὸς ἐκ μικρῶν ἐπιδέξια, ὁ δὲ Θετταλικὸς ἐκπώματα προπίνει ὅτῳ ἂν βούλωνται μεγάλα*. This *ἐπιδέξια*, or properly *ἐπὶ δεξιά*, was observed not only in drinking, but in everything that the guests did in order. Thus Plato, *de Repub.* iv. p. 420: *ἐπὶ δεξιὰ διαπίνειν*. Id. *Symp.* p. 214: *ἐπὶ δεξιὰ λόγον εἰπεῖν*. *Ib.* p. 223: *ἐπαινεῖν* and *πίνειν ἐπὶ δεξιά*. The *προπίνειν φιλοτησίας* was exactly like drinking toasts or healths. Athen. xi. p. 498: *πληροῦντες γὰρ προέπινον ἀλλήλοις μετὰ προσαγορεύσεως*. So

in Heliodor. *Æthiop.* iii. 11: *προέπινεν ὁ Θεαγένης, καὶ ἄκων, ἑκάστῳ φιλοτησίαν.* See Lucian, *Gall.* 12.

The conversation was of an unrestrained and varied kind; and it was the inborn vivacity and ready wit of the Athenian that lent these symposia their principal charm. Intellectual colloquies, such as those described by Xenophon and Plato, were naturally of rare occurrence; and were even thought out of place; so Plutarch says, *Symp.* i. 1, 1: *μὴ δεῖν, ὥσπερ οἰκοδέσποιναν, ἐν οἴνῳ φθέγγεσθαι φιλοσοφίαν.* When games and other pastimes were introduced, every one present took part in them, and the company never relapsed into such a passive state as at Rome, where *ἀκροάματα* and *θεάματα*, lectures, concerts, contests of gladiators, and mimes, were put in requisition to fill up the pauses in the repast: and so utter was the want of genuine taste, that even the dialogues of Plato were dramatised for this purpose. See Plutarch, *Symp.* vii. 8, 1. At Philip's court, according to Demosthenes, still more insipid amusements were devised; *Olynth.* ii. p. 23: *λοιποὺς δὴ περὶ αὐτὸν εἶναι λῃστὰς (αὐλητὰς* Herm.) *καὶ κόλακας, καὶ τοιούτους ἀνθρώπους, οἵους μεθυσθέντας ὀρχεῖσθαι τοιαῦτα, οἷα ἐγὼ νῦν ὀκνῶ πρὸς ὑμᾶς ὀνομάσαι. δῆλον δ' ὅτι ταῦτ' ἐστὶν ἀληθῆ· καὶ γὰρ οὓς ἐνθένδε πάντες ἀπήλαυνον, ὡς πολὺ τῶν θαυματοποιῶν ἀσελγεστέρους ὄντας, Καλλίαν ἐκεῖνον τὸν δημόσιον καὶ τοιούτους ἀνθρώπους, μίμους γελοίων καὶ ποιητὰς αἰσχρῶν ᾀσμάτων, ὧν εἰς τοὺς συνόντας ποιοῦσιν ἕνεκα τοῦ γελασθῆναι.* At the courts of the successors of Alexander things reached a still lower ebb. Thus we are told that naked Thessalian women danced before Antigonos. Athen. xiii. p. 607. But pure Greek manners began, from this period, rapidly to decline.

Music and the dance were certainly favourite amusements at the symposia. Female flute-players were indispensable for the sacrifice; they usually remained in attendance, and there are but few antiques representing symposia where either they or citharistriæ are not present. Plato would willingly have dispensed with them; as we see from *Symp.* p. 176: *τὴν μὲν ἄρτι εἰσελθοῦσαν αὐλητρίδα χαίρειν ἐᾶν αὐλοῦσαν ἑαυτῇ, ἢ ἐάν γε βούληται, ταῖς γυναιξὶ ταῖς ἔνδον.* He uses stronger language still in *Protag.* p. 347: *ὅπου δὲ καλοὶ κἀγαθοὶ ξυμπόται καὶ πεπαιδευμένοι εἰσὶν, οὐκ ἂν ἴδοις οὔτ' αὐλητρίδας, οὔτε ὀρχηστρίδας, οὔτε*

A vase-painting from Tischbein, *Engrav.* II. 55.
Symposion of four young men, listening to the music of the flute-player, who is accompanied by a tympanist.

ψαλτρίας, ἀλλὰ αὐτοὺς αὐτοῖς ἱκανοὺς ὄντας ξυνεῖναι. Plutarch, *Symp.* vii. 7, 8, differs on this point from Plato, and indeed the latter seems rather too severe in his strictures on music and dancing.

In many cases still graver objections might be urged against the presence of these flute-players, and most likely they were often but little removed from hetæræ. In Plautus they are always such, and the same is unequivocally apparent in many vase-paintings. See a curious story related by the stoic Persæus, apud Athen. xiii. p. 607. On the subject of the other amusements, the games, and so forth, consult the notes to Scene VI., as well as the following Excursus.

EXCURSUS III. TO SCENE VI.

THE GAMES.

OF the various games enumerated by Pollux, ix. 7, under the title, Περὶ τῶν ἐν συμποσίοις παιδιῶν, many were peculiar to the symposion, while others were merely the amusements of children; the discussion of the latter would be foreign to our present purpose, though it is curious to notice that several of them are practised with little change even at the present day.

The songs called σκόλια, inasmuch as they were often improvised on the occasion, here claim mention. See Ilgen, Σκόλια, *h. e. Carm. Conviv. Græc.*

From a very early period, guessing riddles, αἴνιγματα or γρῖφοι, was another favourite intellectual amusement. The distinction drawn between these two words by Pollux, vi. 107, seems hardly to be borne out by the usage of the best period; he says: τῶν μὲν συμποτικῶν αἴνιγμα καὶ γρῖφος. τὸ μὲν παιδιὰν εἶχεν, ὁ δὲ γρῖφος καὶ σπουδήν. See Plato, *de Republ.* v. p. 479; cf. Athen. x. p. 452, where a mere jest is called γρῖφος; also in Diogenes Laertius, i. 91, the word αἴνιγμα is applied to the old riddle of Cleobulos on the year:

> Εἷς ὁ πατὴρ, παῖδες δὲ δυώδεκα· τῶν δέ χ' ἑκάστῳ
> παῖδες ἔασι τριήκοντ' ἄνδιχα εἶδος ἔχουσαι.
> ἣ μὲν λευκαὶ ἔασιν ἰδεῖν, ἣ δ' αὖτε μέλαιναι.
> ἀθάνατοι δέ τ' ἐοῦσαι ἀποφθίνουσιν ἅπασαι.

The same word is also used of the earnest riddle of the Sphinx; *Œd. Tyr.* 393. Γρῖφος, on the other hand, is used of the silliest witticisms; as in Aristoph. *Vespæ*, 20. Athenæus also, who has preserved a great number of these riddles, uses both words without distinction, and even terms the Pythagorean sayings αἰνίγματα. Clearchos, apud Athenæus, x. p. 448, says: γρῖφος πρόβλημα ἐπιπαιστικὸν, προστακτικὸν τοῦ διὰ ζητήσεως εὑρεῖν τῇ διανοίᾳ, τὸ προβληθὲν, τιμῆς ἢ ἐπιζημίου χάριν εἰρημένον. The fine here alluded to for not guessing right was to drink a certain quantity of wine; οἱ δὲ μὴ εἰπόντες οἷς προσετάττετο ἔπινον τὸ ποτήριον. Occasionally the wine was mixed with salt water; Id. p. 458: λεκτέον ἤδη καὶ τίνα κόλασιν ὑπέμενον οἱ μὴ λύσαντες

τὸν προτεθέντα γρῖφον. ἔπινον οὗτοι ἅλμην παραμισγομένην τῷ αὐτῶν ποτῷ καὶ ἔδει προσενέγκασθαι τὸ ποτήριον ἀπνευστί. See Pollux, vi. 107: καὶ ὁ μὲν λύσας γέρας εἶχε κρεῶν τινα περιφοράν, ὁ δὲ ἀδυνατήσας, ἅλμης ποτήριον ἐκπιεῖν. The reward for solving the riddle usually consisted of chaplets and tæniæ, cakes and sweetmeats; sometimes it was a kiss; Clearchos, ap. Athen. x. p. 458: καὶ ἐπὶ τούτοις ἆθλα μὲν τοῖς νικῶσι φιλήματα. In other contests also, such fines and rewards were common, and the company in some cases adjudicated them by ballot. See Xenoph. *Symp.* 5, 8: Ἀλλὰ διαφερόντων, ἔφη, τὰς ψήφους, ἵνα ὡς τάχιστα εἰδῶ, ὅ,τι με χρὴ παθεῖν ἢ ἀποτῖσαι. And again, τῷ νικήσαντι μὴ ταινίας ἀλλὰ φιλήματα ἀναδήματα παρὰ τῶν κριτῶν γενέσθαι.

One of the most favourite of these diversions was the Cottabos, a game said to be of Sicilian origin, and in which success depended mainly on manual dexterity. On this subject, a few words may here suffice, since it has been discussed at length by Jacobs. In spite of the circumstantial accounts given by Athenæus, xv. p. 666, Pollux, vi. 109, and the Scholiasts to Aristophanes, *Pax*, and to Lucian, *Lexiphanes*, 3, there still appears to be a hopeless obscurity attaching to some of the peculiarities of this game.

There were two sorts of cottabos, subject to manifold variations; Groddeck makes out nine. The one was called κότταβος κατακτός, Aristoph. *Pax*, 1243, and this is the most difficult of explanation. The Scholiast to Lucian, *Lexiph.* 3, whose account is not plagiarised from Athenæus, and is more intelligible than the others, informs us that a shaft or staff, χάραξ, was erected, and to the extremity of this was attached the beam of a pair of scales, ζυγός, while from either end of this depended the scale-plates, πλάστιγγες: and beneath these scale-plates little figures were placed, ἀνδριανταρίων ταῖς πλάστιγξιν ὑποκειμένων. One of the players now took a mouthful of wine or water, and spirted it in a continuous stream upon one of the plates. If he succeeded in hitting this so as to fill it, it descended and struck the head of the little brass figure beneath; but rose again from the weight of the opposite scale, which, descending in its turn, hit the second figure, so that they both sounded in succession. Other accounts differ widely from this, though the discordance is probably owing to variations in the method in which the game was played.

Firstly, it is generally stated that the wine was not taken into the mouth, but jerked out of a cup, the hand being bent (*συνεστραμμένῃ τῇ χειρὶ*) and the arm curved (*ἀπ' ἀγκύλης*). This may have been an alteration introduced at a later date. Cf. Poll. vi. 111. Other authorities state that there was only one scale, and one figure, called Manes. But, according to Athenæus, xv. p. 667, this was not all, for beneath this Manes stood a basin into which the liquid must fall: *τὸ δὲ καλούμενον κατακτὸν κοττάβιον τοιοῦτόν ἐστι· λυχνίον ἐστὶν ὑψηλὸν ἔχον τὸν Μάνην καλούμενον, ἐφ' ὃν τὴν καταβαλλομένην ἔδει πευσεῖν πλάστιγγα, ἐντεῦθεν δ' ἔπιπτεν εἰς λεκάνην ὑποκειμένην πληγεῖσαν τῷ κοττάβῳ.* This agrees with the Scholion to Aristoph. *Pax*, 343, where it is further stated that the Manes stood under water in this basin, and that the scale-plate had to sink so sharply as to hit his head below the water: *ῥάβδος ἦν μακρὰ πεπηγμένη ἐν τῇ γῇ καὶ ἑτέρα ἐπάνω αὐτῆς κινουμένη, ὡς ἐπὶ ζυγίου. εἶχε δὲ πλάστιγγας δύο ἐξηρτημένας καὶ κρατῆρας δύο ὑποκάτω τῶν πλαστίγγων, καὶ ὑπὸ τὸ ὕδωρ ἀνδριὰς ἦν χαλκοῦς κεχρυσωμένος. τοῦτο δὲ ἦν ἐν τοῖς συμποσίοις. καὶ πᾶς τῶν παιζόντων ἀνίστατο ἔχων φιάλην γέμουσαν ἀκράτου καὶ μηκόθεν ἱστάμενος ἔπεμπεν ὅλον τὸν οἶνον ὑπὸ μίαν σταγόνα εἰς τὴν πλάστιγγα, ἵνα γεμισθεῖσα βαρυνθῇ καὶ κατελθῇ καὶ κατελθοῦσα κρούσῃ εἰς τὴν κεφαλὴν τοῦ ὑπὸ τὸ ὕδωρ κεκρυμμένου καὶ ποιήσῃ ἦχον. καὶ εἰ μὲν χυθῇ τοῦ οἴνου, ἐνίκα καὶ ᾔδει, ὅτι φιλεῖται ὑπὸ τῆς ἐρωμένης, εἰ δὲ μή, ἡττᾶτο. ἐλέγετο δὲ ὁ ἀνδριὰς ὁ ὑπὸ τὸ ὕδωρ κεκρυμμένος Μάνης.* Pollux says that the scale-plate, *κοτταβεῖον*, as he calls it, hung from the ceiling, and he compares it to the plate of a candelabrum, *λυχνίου ἐπίθεμα.*

The second species, *δι' ὀξυβάφων*, is less difficult to comprehend. On the surface of a vessel containing water a number of small empty bowls and such like things were set floating; into these the wine was spirted in order to sink them. Athen. xv. p. 667: *Ἕτερον δ' ἐστὶν εἶδος παιδιᾶς τῆς ἐν λεκάνῃ. αὕτη δ' ὕδατος πληροῦται, ἐπινεῖ τε ἐπ' αὐτῆς ὀξύβαφα κενά, ἐφ' ἃ βάλλοντες τὰς λάταγας ἐκ καρχησίων ἐπειρῶντο καταδύειν.* The other accounts are to the same effect, with the exception of that given by Pollux, who says: *τὸ δέ τι ἦν κοίλη τις καὶ περιφερὴς λεκανίς, ἣν καὶ χάλκειον καὶ σκάφην ἐκάλουν. ἐῴκει δὲ πόλῳ τῷ τὰς ὥρας δεικνύντι....τὸ δὲ χάλκειον ἐπεπλήρωτο μὲν ὕδατος, ἐπεπόλαζε δ' αὐτῷ*

σφαῖρα, καὶ πλάστιγξ καὶ Μάνης, καὶ τρεῖς μυρίναι, καὶ τρία ὀξύβαφα. ὁ δὲ ὑγρᾷ τῇ χειρὶ τὸν κότταβον ἀφεὶς καὶ τούτων τινὸς τυχὼν εὐδοκιμεῖ. Cf. Schol. to Aristoph. *Pax*, 1210. The liquid so spirted was called λάταξ or λαταγή, whence λαταγεῖν. The game itself, the entire apparatus, and also the wine that was spirted, were all called κόταβος. Without further entering into the subject, or attempting to reconcile the apparently contradictory accounts, it may suffice to refer to the passages quoted by Athenæus, which agree in the main with the description that has been given.

The game served also as a kind of love-oracle. Prizes were sometimes given, and at all events the player won the ὀξύβαφα which he had succeeded in sinking. Millingen, *Peint. d. vases gr.* p. 11, supposes, with very little ground, that he has found the cottabos represented on a vase. Also in Winkelmann's *Monum. Ined.* 200, a tall λυχνίον is seen standing without a lamp; but what it represents is doubtful. There is, however, one relief, *Marbles of the Brit. Mus.* ii. 4, which not improbably refers to the game. At the lower end of a couch stands a shaft bearing a large basin. Out of this (Combe, however, says, *behind it*, which makes all the difference,) rises a second pillar, surmounted by a Hermes-shaped figure, on the head of which rests something like a discus. This agrees very well with the account of Athenæus, already quoted: λυχνίον ἐστὶν ὑψηλὸν ἔχον τὸν Μάνητι καλούμενον, ἐφ' ὃν τὴν καταβαλλομένην ἔδει πεσεῖν πλάστιγγα, ἐντεῦθεν δ' ἔπιπτεν εἰς λεκάνην ὑποκειμένην πληγεῖσαν τῷ κοττάβῳ.

The χαλκισμὸς was also a game requiring manual dexterity. The account given of it by Pollux, ix. 118, is as follows: Ὁ μὲν χαλκισμὸς, ὀρθὸν νόμισμα ἔδει συντόνως περιστρέψαντας ἐπιστρεφόμενον ἐπιστῆσαι τῷ δακτύλῳ· ᾧ τρόπῳ μάλιστα τῆς παιδιᾶς ὑπερήδεσθαί φασι Φρύνην τὴν ἑταίραν. This is wrongly explained by Prof. K. W. Müller. The manner in which the game was really played is described in Scene v. p. 75. The account given by Eustathius, *ad Il.* xiv. 291, is perhaps clearer than that in Pollux. He says: ἀλλ' ἦν ὁ χαλκισμὸς ὀρθοῦ νομίσματος θετέου χαλκοῦ στροφὴ καὶ σύντονος περιδίνησις, μεθ' ἣν ἔδει τὸν παίζοντα ἐπέχειν ὀρθῷ τῷ δακτύλῳ τὸ νόμισμα εἰς ὅσον τάχος πρινὴ καταπεσεῖν.

The ἰμαντελιγμὸς may also be reckoned in this class of games, though success in it depended mainly upon chance. A strap was

doubled, and rolled up in the shape of a disk; the player then took a nail or some pointed instrument, and stuck it between the folds, and if, on unrolling the strap, the nail was inside the doubling, he had won. Poll. ix. 118: Ὁ δὲ ἱμαντελιγμὸς διπλοῦ ἱμάντος λαβυρινθώδης τίς ἐστι περιστροφή, καθ' ἣς ἔδει καθέντα παττάλιον τῆς διπλόης τυχεῖν· εἰ γὰρ μὴ λυθέντος ἐμπεριείληπτο τῷ ἱμάντι τὸ παττάλιον, ἥττητο ὁ καθείς. Cf. Eustath. *ad Il.* xiv. 214.

The πεττεία, on the other hand, was a game wherein all depended on skill and calculation, and bore some resemblance to our chess. There were several varieties of this game, and those who have written on the subject have fallen into some confusion from neglecting the distinctions. The game with πεσσοὶ is very ancient, and Penelope's suitors played at it in the house of Odysseus (*Odyss.* i. 107); but it would be absurd to describe the Homeric πεττεία, when the mere name is all that Homer mentions. Nor can we attach any value to the explanation given by Apion, ap. Athen. i. p. 16, since we cannot ascertain how much is due to a later period. Afterwards there were at least two quite different kinds. For an account of the first variety, see Pollux, ix. 97: ἐπειδὴ δὲ ψῆφοι μέν εἰσιν οἱ πεσσοί, πέντε δὲ ἑκάτερος εἶχε τῶν παιζόντων ἐπὶ πέντε γραμμῶν, εἰκότως εἴρηται Σοφοκλεῖ,

καὶ πεσσὰ πεντέγραμμα καὶ κύβων βολαί.

τῶν δὲ πέντε τῶν ἑκατέρωθεν γραμμῶν μέση τις ἦν ἱερὰ καλουμένη γραμμή. The same, in substance, are the descriptions given by Eustath. *ad Od.* i. 107; Schol. ad Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 820; Hesychius; and Schol. ad Theocr. *Id.* vi. 18. From these writers we learn that each player had five pieces, and five lines to move on, and that the piece standing on the centre line was not moved except in case of extreme necessity: hence the proverb: κινεῖν τὸν ἀφ' ἱερᾶς. But why this move was only made then, or what was the nature of the game, is unknown; and our informants appear to have had no very clear notions of it themselves. In the language of a later period, the game or the table on which it was played was called ζατρίκιον.

There is rather less obscurity about the second kind of πεττεία, by which the first appears to have been gradually supplanted. This is also called πόλις, or, more correctly, πόλεις. Poll. ix. 98: Ἡ δὲ διὰ πολλῶν ψήφων παιδιὰ πλινθίον ἐστὶ,

χώρας ἐν γραμμαῖς ἔχον διακειμένας· καὶ τὸ μὲν πλινθίον καλεῖται πόλις, τῶν δὲ ψήφων ἑκάστη κύων. διῃρημένων δὲ εἰς δύο τῶν ψήφων ὁμοχρόων κατὰ τὰς χρόας ἡ τέχνη τῆς παιδιᾶς ἐστι περιλήψει τῶν δύο ψήφων ὁμοχρόων τὴν ἑτερόχρουν ἀναιρεῖν. It thus appears to have been somewhat similar to our chess or draughts. The separate squares, which Pollux calls χῶραι, were also denominated πόλεις. See Zenob. *Prov. Cent.* v. 67; Plutarch, *Prov. Alex.* v. p. 1254; Plato, *de Republ.* iv. p. 423.

The move forward was called θέσθαι τὴν ψῆφον: moving backward, or recalling a move, ἀναθέσθαι. Harpocr. s. v. ἀναθέσθαι. Plato, *Hipparch.* p. 229; *Leg.* x. p. 903. To give the adversary an advantage was called κρεῖσσον διδόναι. Eurip. *Suppl.* 409:

> ἓν μὲν τόδ' ἡμῖν, ὥσπερ ἐν πεσσοῖς, δίδως
> κρεῖσσον.

This passage seems to hint that the better player gave his adversary something at the commencement of the game.

The chief object of the player consisted in so shutting up his opponent's pieces that he was unable to move. Plato, *de Republ.* vi. p. 487: ὥσπερ ὑπὸ τῶν πεττεύειν δεινῶν οἱ μὴ, τελευτῶντες ἀποκλείονται καὶ οὐκ ἔχουσιν ὅ,τι φέρωσιν. Polyb. i. 84: πολλοὺς ἀποτεμνόμενος καὶ συγκλείων, ὥσπερ ἀγαθὸς πεττευτής. Cf. Plato, *Eryx*, p. 395. According to Pollux, when a piece got between two hostile ones, it was beaten or taken away. The game was by no means an easy one, and good players were rare. Cf. Plato, *Polit.* p. 292; Id. *de Republ.* ii. p. 374: πεττευτικὸς δὲ ἢ κυβευτικὸς ἱκανῶς οὐδ' ἂν εἷς γένοιτο, μὴ αὐτὸ τοῦτο ἐκ παιδὸς ἐπιτηδεύων, ἀλλὰ παρέργῳ χρώμενος;

The διαγραμμισμὸς was a game analogous to, if not identical with that just described. See Poll. ix. 99; Eustath. *ad Il.* vi. p. 633: παιδιά τις ὁ διαγραμμισμός. ἐγίνετο δὲ, φασὶν, αὕτη κυβείας οὖσα εἶδος διὰ τῶν ἐν πλινθίοις ψήφων ἑξήκοντα, λευκῶν τε ἅμα καὶ μελαινῶν. Whether the game alluded to in an obscure epigram of Agathias, *Anthol.* ix. 482, was a species of πεττεία, can hardly, perhaps, be determined. That the *ludus latrunculorum* and *duodecim scriptorum* of the Romans originated from the Greek πεττεία, admits of no doubt, though the differences are considerable. See *Gallus*, p. 502.

The invention of the πεσσοὶ was traditionally ascribed to

Palamedes. Alcidamas, *Palam.* pp. 74, 76. Cf. Eurip. *Iphig. in Aul.* 194. Plato, on the other hand, names the Egyptian Theuth as the inventor of the πεττεία and κυβεία: *Phædr.* p. 274. These games were universal favourites throughout Greece. See Poll. vii. 203; ix. 48.

Of the games of chance the ἀστραγαλισμὸς claims the first mention. The regular game has been fully described in *Gallus*, pp. 499—502. But the astragals or knuckle-bones were used in other games, for instance, in the ἁρτιασμὸς, which was principally a children's game. See *Gallus*, p. 504. There are many antiques representing children playing at this game. See *Marbles of the Brit. Mus.* ii. 31. So the children of Medea, in a wall-painting in *Mus. Borb.* v. 33. The game was also called ζυγὰ ἢ ἄζυγα, and in vulgar parlance, μονὰ καὶ ζυγὰ, or μονζύγα, 'odd and even.' See Schol. ad Aristoph. *Plut.* 816. The same game is described in the Paris Gloss to v. 1057: πόσους ὀδόντας εἶπεν ἀντὶ τοῦ πόσα ἔχεις κάρυα. παιδιὰ γάρ ἐστι τοιαύτη· δραξάμενός τις καρύων καὶ ἐκτείνας τὴν χεῖρα ἐρωτᾷ, πόσα; καὶ ἐὰν ἐπιτύχῃ, λαμβάνει ὅσα ἔχει ἐν τῇ χειρί· ἐὰν δὲ ἁμάρτῃ κατὰ τὴν ἀπόκρισιν, ἀποτίνει ὅσα ἂν ὁ ἐρωτήσας εὑρεθείῃ ἔχων. There was another game of skill, not chance, which was played with these astragals, or knuckle-bones, and which is still a favourite amusement of schoolboys in our own day. Five astragals or pebbles were laid on the palm of the hand; the player then threw them up, and tried to catch them on the back of the hand, this was called πενταλιθίζειν. Poll. ix. 126: Τὰ δὲ πεντάλιθα. ἤτοι λιθίδια, ἢ ψῆφοι, ἢ ἀστράγαλοι πέντε ἀνερρίπτοῦντο, ὥστε ἐπιστρέψαντα τὴν χεῖρα δέξασθαι τὰ ἀναῤῥιφθέντα κατὰ τὸ ὀπισθέναρ, ἢ εἰ μὴ πάντα ἐπίσταται, ἢ τῶν ἐπιστάντων ἐπικειμένων ἀναιρεῖσθαι τὰ λοιπὰ τοῖς δακτύλοις. He adds that it was more of a women's game, γυναικῶν δὲ μᾶλλον ἐστιν ἡ παιδιά, and this, as well as his whole account, is corroborated by a monochromatic painting of Niobe's visit to Latona, where the girls are represented playing at this game. *Antich. d'Ercol.* i. pl. 1.

Lastly comes the regular game of dice, κυβεία. See *Gallus*, p. 499. This was mostly played for money, a circumstance which was frequently urged as an objection against the game, since many were ruined by it. See Lysias, *in Alcib.* p. 541: κατακυβεύσας τὰ ὄντα. Cf. Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 115.

The places where this game was carried on were called κυβεῖα, and also σκιράφεια, because the original locality was near, or in, the temple of Athena Sciras. See Eustath. *ad Odyss.* i. 107: καὶ ὅτι ἐσπουδάζετο ἡ κυβεία οὐ μόνον παρὰ Σικελοῖς, ἀλλὰ καὶ Ἀθηναίοις· οἱ καὶ ἐν ἱεροῖς ἀθροιζόμενοι ἐκύβευον, καὶ μάλιστα ἐν τῷ τῆς Σκιράδος Ἀθηνᾶς τῷ ἐπὶ Σκίρῳ. ἀφ' οὗ καὶ τὰ ἄλλα κυβευτήρια σκιράφεια ὠνομάζετο. Cf. the *Etym. M.*, Suidas, Harpocration, and Steph. *de Urb.*, s. v. Σκίρος. All places of the kind were afterwards called σκιράφεια. Isocr. *Areop.* 18, p. 202; Lucian, *Lexiph.* 10. We need not suppose that the τηλία, mentioned by Æschines, *in Timarch.* p. 79, refers to the ἀβάκιον or dice-board, for the reference is rather to cock-fighting. Still Pollux, vii. 203, and x. 150, enumerates this among the ὀργάνα κυβευτικά. Cf. Eustath. *ad Odyss.* i. 107.

There was another game in which πεσσοὶ and κύβοι were both used at once. Plato, *de Republ.* x. p. 604: ὥσπερ ἐν πτώσει κύβων, πρὸς τὰ πεπτωκότα (δεῖ) τίθεσθαι τὰ αὐτοῦ πράγματα, ὅπη ὁ λόγος ἐρεῖ βέλτιστ' ἂν ἔχειν. In reference to this, Plutarch, *de Tranquill. Anim.* 5, says: Κυβεία γὰρ ὁ Πλάτων τὸν βίον ἀπείκασεν, ἐν ᾧ καὶ βαλεῖν δεῖ τὰ πρόσφορα, καὶ βαλόντα χρῆσθαι καλῶς τοῖς πεσοῦσι. See *Anthol. Pal.* ix. 767. A similar game is referred to by Ovid, *Art. Am.* ii. 203. A host of other games, many of them requiring neither πεσσοὶ nor κύβοι, are classed by Pollux and others under the common name κυβεία.

EXCURSUS TO SCENE VII.

THE SLAVES.

ONE of the most striking anomalies in the character of the Greeks is, that though they acknowledged above all other nations the value of personal freedom, and kept a jealous guard against everything that threatened it from within, and were ready to resist to the death any encroachment made upon it from without —still they did not recognise the equal claims of all to this blessing, but withheld it from millions of their fellow-men, whom they made mere passive instruments of their will, and reduced to a condition little superior to that of domestic animals. This strange contradiction may be partly due to their assumption that the barbarians were creatures of a naturally inferior order to themselves; though there was nothing in the habits of those nations which could excuse such arrogance. But the root of slavery lies elsewhere, and must be rather sought in the general disinclination to menial labour, and that abhorrence of servitude, based on false notions of liberty, which first made the possession of slaves desirable. In process of time this grew into an imperious necessity, which refused to take into consideration the justice or injustice of the case; and as there now existed a class of men which had, by birth and education, become divested of all the habits and feelings that were regarded as the essential characteristics of an ἐλεύθερος, the notion of their belonging to a different race of mankind seemed justified and strengthened.

And this explains the fact, that even Plato, benevolent and humane as he was, never dreamt of excluding the slave-element from his ideal of a state which was to include nothing inconsistent with nature or with reason; and hence too, he thought it necessary to give different laws for the free-man and the slave; so that, where verbal censure was sufficient for the former, the latter was to receive corporal chastisement; and where the free were fined for an offence, the slaves were executed. No doubt such a distinction seemed desirable, to mark the difference between a slave and his master, and great severity might be also necessary to keep

down a class of men who had few feelings in common with those above them, and who in numbers were far their superiors. Aristotle, profound, but dispassionate, could not blink the question, 'What makes the slave a slave?' though he does not trouble himself to enquire whether, in its origin, slavery presented anything irrational, and contrary to the universal rights of men; but proceeds to shew, from a comparison between the present characters of the two, that the relative position occupied by the slave is that which is his due. Of course he falls into numerous contradictions, as, for instance, when he starts the question, *πότερόν ἐστιν ἀρετή τις δούλου παρὰ τὰς ὀργανικὰς καὶ διακονικὰς ἄλλη τιμιωτέρα τούτων, οἷον σωφροσύνη καὶ ἀνδρία καὶ δικαιοσύνη ... εἴτε γὰρ ἔστι, τί διοίσουσι τῶν ἐλευθέρων; εἴτε μὴ ἔστιν, ὄντων ἀνθρώπων καὶ λόγου κοινωνούντων, ἄτοπον.* *De Republ.* i. 13, p. 1259. His solution, which is quite in keeping with the notions of his age, is worth nothing, as the premises are false. Assuming that slaves belong to an *ἕτερον γένος*, he supposes the existence of a special *ἀρετὴ δούλων*, while he entirely ignores the *πρῶτον ψεῦδος* of the case.

The question as to the abstract injustice of slavery, he disposes of by an artificial argument, wherein he shews that it is ever the natural destination of the *κρεῖσσον* to rule over the *χεῖρον*, as the soul over the body, the husband over the wife, and he thus arrives at the conclusion, that there are also *φύσει δοῦλοι*. He adds: *ἔστι γὰρ φύσει δοῦλος ὁ δυνάμενος ἄλλου εἶναι (διὸ καὶ ἄλλου ἐστίν)*. Aristotle, moreover, pronounces a slave to be merely a piece of property; *de Republ.* i. 4: *καὶ ὁ δοῦλος κτῆμά τι ἔμψυχον*, and makes him in fact little more than a machine possessed of life; *Eth. Nicom.* viii. 13, p. 1161: *ὁ γὰρ δοῦλος ἔμψυχον ὄργανον, τὸ δ' ὄργανον ἄψυχος δοῦλος*. The verses of Philemon (*Fragm.* p. 410, Mein.) contain a sounder judgment than all the reasoning of the philosopher:

κἂν δοῦλός ἐστι (ᾧ τις) σάρκα τὴν αὐτὴν ἔχει·
φύσει γὰρ οὐδεὶς δοῦλος ἐγενήθη ποτέ·
ἡ δ' αὖ Τύχη τὸ σῶμα κατεδουλώσατο.

And again, p. 364:

Κἂν δοῦλος ᾖ τις, οὐδὲν ἧττον, δέσποτα,
ἄνθρωπος οὗτός ἐστιν, ἂν ἄνθρωπος ᾖ.

The Greek slaves were in a far more tolerable condition than those at Rome, as we shall presently see. Sparta forms the only

exception, with respect to the inhuman barbarities practised against the Helots. See Plutarch, *Lyc.* 28; Xenoph. *de Rep. Athen.* 1, 11. The abuse made by the Spartans of their power is entirely in unison with the character of that people, as is shewn by Limburg Brower, *Hist. de la Civil. Mor. et Rélig. d. Grecs*, iii. p. 261. But it is not our purpose to consider the state of a nation living, like the Helots, in serfdom to another race; and hence the Thessalian Penestæ, the Heracleote Mariandynæ, and the Cretan Clarotæ or Aphamiotæ, will be also excluded from our notice. Cf. Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 776; Poll. iii. 83.

With regard to the origin of slavery, the Hellenes are said to have possessed no slaves in the earliest times. Thus Herodotus, vi. 137, speaking of the Athenians, says, *οὐ γὰρ εἶναι τοῦτον τὸν χρόνον σφίσι κω οὐδὲ τοῖς ἄλλοις Ἕλλησι οἰκέτας.* See also a fragment of Pherecrates, apud Athen. vi. p. 263. In the Homeric period, however, we find slavery universal; but at that time the slaves were mostly captives, *δοριάλωτοι*, who served their captor; though this was not universally the case, for captives are made articles of sale in Homer. See *Odyss.* xv. 483. In the march of civilization, when predatory excursions had grown less frequent, there was no method by which slaves could be obtained except by purchase. But *δοριάλωτοι* and *ἀργυρώνητοι* or *χρυσώνητοι* were always distinguished. Isocr. *Platæens.* 9, p. 406. By degrees, however, the Hellenes grew ashamed of enslaving their own countrymen, and it became customary to set captives free for a certain ransom, which, if they were too poor to pay it, was often discharged by wealthy burghers, as a sort of *Leiturgia.* At the same time the traffic in barbarians and others increased proportionably. Timæus, apud Athen. vi. p. 264: *Οὐκ ἦν πάτριον τοῖς Ἕλλησιν ὑπὸ ἀργυρωνήτων τὸ παλαιὸν διακονεῖσθαι.* Theopompus, apud Id. p. 265: *Χῖοι πρῶτοι τῶν Ἑλλήνων μετὰ Θετταλοὺς καὶ Λακεδαιμονίους ἐχρήσαντο δούλοις, τὴν μέντοι κτῆσιν αὐτῶν οὐ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον ἐκείνοις...Χῖοι δὲ βαρβάρους κέκτηνται τοὺς οἰκέτας καὶ τιμὴν αὐτῶν καταβάλλοντες.* The principle, that none but barbarians should be held as slaves, is nowhere more strongly enunciated than in Plato, *de Republ.* v. p. 469: *Μηδὲ Ἕλληνα ἄρα δοῦλον ἐκτῆσθαι μήτε αὐτοὺς, τοῖς τε ἄλλοις Ἕλλησιν οὕτω συμβουλεύειν;* Cf. Id. *Leg.* vi. p. 777.

At Athens, as elsewhere, there was a regular slave-market,

where the slaves stood ready for selection. Harpocr. Κύκλοι, Δείναρχος ἐν τῷ κατὰ Καλλαίσχρου. κύκλοι ἐκαλοῦντο οἱ τόποι, ἐν οἷς ἐπωλοῦντό τινες. ὠνομάσθησαν δὲ ἀπὸ τοῦ κύκλῳ περιεστάναι τοὺς πωλουμένους. The place is also called by Pollux, iii. 78, πρατὴρ λίθος, which is analogous to the Latin phrases, *lapis, de lapide emtus*, unless this refers to sale by auction. The slaves thus exposed were naked, or had to strip at the desire of the purchaser. Lucian, *Eunuch.* 12: οἱ μὲν ἠξίουν ἀποδύσαντας αὐτὸν ὥσπερ τοὺς ἀργυρωνήτους ἐπισκοπεῖν. The law also made the seller responsible for any concealed defect. Plato, *Leg.* xi. p. 916; Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* x. p. 300. The market seems to have been held on fixed days, as for instance on the ἔνη καὶ νέα or νουμηνία. See Aristoph. *Equit.* 43:

οὗτος τῇ προτέρᾳ νουμηνίᾳ
ἐπρίατο δοῦλον, βυρσοδέψην Παφλαγόνα.

On this the Scholiast remarks: ἐν δὲ ταῖς νουμενίαις οἱ δοῦλοι ἐπωλοῦντο καὶ οἱ στρατηγοὶ ἐχειροτονοῦντο. So Alciphr. *Epist.* iii. 38: Φρύγα οἰκέτην ἔχω πονηρὸν, ὃς ἀπέβη τοιοῦτος ἐπὶ τῶν ἀγρῶν. ὡς γὰρ τῇ ἔνῃ καὶ νέᾳ κατ' ἐκλογὴν τοῦτον ἐπριάμην, Νουμήνιον μὲν εὐθὺς ἐθέμην καλεῖσθαι. The prices of course varied according to age and qualities. Ample details will be found in Böckh, *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 67. The most usual prices were from one to ten minæ; though old and useless creatures went for even less, while on the other hand trustworthy men who could act as foremen or overseers occasionally fetched far higher sums. Xenoph. *Memor.* ii. 5, 2: Τῶν γὰρ οἰκετῶν ὁ μέν που δύο μνῶν ἄξιός ἐστιν, ὁ δ' οὐδ' ἡμιμναίου, ὁ δὲ πέντε μνῶν, ὁ δὲ καὶ δέκα. Νικίας δ' ὁ Νικηράτου λέγεται ἐπιστάτην εἰς ταργύρια πρίασθαι τάλαντου. Plato, *Amat.* p. 135: καὶ γὰρ τέκτονα μὲν ἂν πρίαιο πέντε ἢ ἓξ μνῶν ἄκρον· ἀρχιτέκτονα δὲ οὐδ' ἂν μυρίων δραχμῶν. The story runs that when somebody asked Aristippos what he would charge for instructing his son, he demanded one thousand drachmæ; on which the father answered, that he could purchase a slave for that sum. Plutarch, *de Educ.* 7. Of course slaves who were artisans by trade varied much in value, according to their skill, and the difficulty of the craft they followed. Demosth. *in Aphob.* i. p. 816: μαχαιροποιοὺς (κατέλιπεν ὁ πατὴρ) τριάκοντα καὶ δύο ἢ τρεῖς, τοὺς μὲν ἀνὰ πέντε μνᾶς ἢ καὶ ἓξ, τοὺς δ' οὐκ ἐλάττονος ἢ τριῶν μνῶν ἀξίους...κλινοποιοὺς δ' εἴκοσι τὸν

ἀριθμὸν τετταράκοντα μνῶν ὑποκειμένους. Two minæ would thus be the average, and this was also the price paid in another instance; Demosth. *adv. Spud.* p. 1030. Slaves employed in ordinary field or house-work were naturally worth much less. It does not appear that the Greeks ever paid such enormous sums as were sometimes given in Rome. See *Gallus*, p. 201.

Next to the purchased slaves, called by Plato, *Polit.* p. 289, ἀναμφισβητήτως δοῦλοι, came those born in the house, οἰκότριβες. Suidas: οἰκότριψ, δοῦλος οἰκογενής. Ammonius: Οἰκότριψ καὶ οἰκέτης διαφέρει. οἰκότριψ μὲν γὰρ ὁ ἐν τῇ οἰκίᾳ διατρεφόμενος, ὃν ἡμεῖς θρεπτὸν καλοῦμεν· οἰκέτης δὲ ὁ δοῦλος ὁ ὠνητός. παρὰ δὲ Σόλωνι ἐν τοῖς ἄξοσιν οἰκεὺς κέκλῆται ὁ οἰκότριψ. They were either the offspring of the master and a female slave, or of two slaves, and in this case were called ἀμφίδουλοι. Eustath. *ad Odyss.* ii. 290. If the parents were οἰκότριβες, their offspring were called οἰκοτρίβαιοι. Poll. iii. 76. The relative number of such slaves, and the frequency of slave-marriages, has not been ascertained. Men frequently lived with a female slave as παλλακή, (see Excursus on *The Women*,) and the children resulting from this intercourse were only free by exception. See Demosth. *in Aristocr.* p. 637. With regard to the intercourse of the slaves, a mere intimation occurs in Xenophon, *Œcon.* 9, 5: μήτε τεκνοποιῶνται οἱ οἰκέται ἄνευ τῆς ἡμετέρας γνώμης. Also Plato, *Leg.* xi. p. 930, says: δούλῃ μὲν ἐὰν συμμίξῃ δούλῳ ἢ ἐλευθέρῳ, ἢ ἀπελευθέρῳ, πάντως τοῦ δεσπότου ἔστω τῆς δούλης τὸ γεννώμενον. ἐὰν δέ τις ἐλευθέρα δούλῳ συγγίγνηται τοῦ δεσπότου ἔστω τὸ γιγνόμενον τοῦ δούλου. ἐὰν δ' ἐξ αὐτοῦ δούλης ἢ ἐκ δούλου ἑαυτῆς καὶ περιφανὲς τοῦτ' ᾖ, τὸ μὲν τῆς γυναικὸς αἱ γυναῖκες εἰς ἄλλην χώραν ἐκπεμπόντων σὺν τῷ πατρί· τὸ δὲ τοῦ ἀνδρὸς οἱ νομοφύλακες σὺν τῇ γεννησάσῃ.

An insolvent debtor was liable to become the slave of his creditor, before the time of Solon, who forbade this δανείζειν ἐπὶ σώματι, though the practice seems to have continued in other states. See Isocr. *Platæens.* 19, p. 414: μικρῶν ἕνεκα συμβολαίων δουλεύειν. It was also the case in Athens when a captive in war did not repay the ransom which another had advanced for him. Demosth. *adv. Nicostr.* p. 1250: ὅτι καὶ οἱ νόμοι κελεύουσι, τοῦ λυσαμένου ἐκ τῶν πολεμίων εἶναι τὸν λυθέντα, ἐὰν μὴ ἀποδιδῷ τὰ λύτρα.

The number of slaves was very considerable, not only in Athens, but throughout Greece. According to Ctesicles, apud Athen. vi. p. 272, at a census of the population of Attica taken under Demetrius Phalereus, the number of free burghers was found to be twenty-one thousand, of resident aliens ten thousand, and of slaves four hundred thousand. Hence the statement of Thucydides (vii. 27) becomes intelligible, that in the Decelian war, ἀνδραπόδων πλέον ἢ δύο μυριάδες ηὐτομολήκεσαν. According to Timæus, Corinth possessed 460,000 slaves, and Ægina, as we learn from Aristotle, 470,000. But the number at Chios appears to have been the greatest. See Thucyd. viii. 40. For an estimate of the proportions of the free and slave populations, see Böckh, *Public Econ. of Athens*, pp. 30—39, and Wachsmuth, *Hellen. Alterthumsk.* ii. 1, p. 44. Slavery was not introduced into Phocis and Locris till a late period, according to Timæus, apud Athen. vi. p. 264, though little reliance can be placed on this author.

Although the number of private slaves possessed by individual burghers was sometimes very considerable, yet the Greeks seem to have fallen far behind the Romans in this respect. See *Gallus*, p. 203. The father of Demosthenes possessed fifty slaves, as that orator informs us; *in Aphob.* i. p. 823. In other instances the number was far greater. Thus Nicias let out a thousand to the Thracian mines, and Hipponicos six hundred. Plutarch, *Nic.* 4; Xenoph. *de Vect.* 4, 14; Böckh, *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 37. Aristotle's friend Mnason also had a thousand. Timæus, apud Athen. vi. p. 264. In early times few were retained in the house, most of them being employed in various handicrafts. At a later period, however, domestic slaves became much more numerous. See Aristot. *de Republ.* ii. 3: ὥσπερ ἐν ταῖς οἰκετικαῖς διακονίαις οἱ πολλοὶ θεράποντες ἐνίοτε χεῖρον ὑπηρετοῦσι τῶν ἐλαττόνων. Cf. Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* xiii. p. 434. There is no systematic account of the number of domestics in a large establishment, though a few hints may be gathered from the following passages. Thus according to Plutarch, *Apophth. Reg.* i. p. 696, Xenophanes complained to Hiero, μόλις οἰκέτας δύο τρέφειν, which was certainly a mark of great poverty. Again, the family of Æschines, consisting of himself, his wife, mother, and three children, was waited on by seven attendants, and this is brought

forward as a sign of very straitened circumstances. Æschin. *Epist.* 12, p. 698. So an escort of four slaves by which the hetæra Gnathænion was attended to the Piræus, is mentioned as insignificant; Machon, ap. Athen. xiii. p. 582:

> Πανηγύρεως οὔσης ποθ' ἡ Γναθαίνιον
> εἰς Πειραιᾶ κατέβαινε πρὸς ξένον τινὰ
> ἔμπορον ἐραστὴν εὐτελῶς ἐπ' ἀστράβης,
> τὰ πάντ' ἔχουσ' ὀνάρια μεθ' ἑαυτῆς τρία
> καὶ τρεῖς θεραπαίνας καὶ νέαν τιτθὴν μίαν.

To go out without a single attendant was a sign of great indigence. See Aristoph. *Eccl.* 593; also Lysias, *in Diogit.* p. 903, where a complaint is made of the children being dismissed, *οὐ μετὰ ἀκολούθου*. And when Phocion's wife allowed herself to be attended by only one female slave, it was considered so unusual, that it even came to be mentioned in the theatre. Plutarch, *Phoc.* 19. Men also had often three or more slaves to attend them when from home. Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 565: *καὶ τρεῖς ἀκολούθους ἢ τέτταρας αὐτὸς ἔχων διὰ τῆς ἀγορᾶς σοβεῖ*. Xenoph. *Memor.* i. 7, 2: *ὅτι ἐκεῖνοι σκεύη τε καλὰ κέκτηνται καὶ ἀκολούθους πολλοὺς περιάγονται*. In later times the escort was probably much more numerous. Lucian, *Imag.* 2, speaking of the appearance of a lady of distinction, says: *θεραπεία δὲ πολλὴ, καὶ ἄλλη περὶ αὐτὴν παρασκευὴ λαμπρὰ, καὶ εὐνούχων τι πλῆθος, καὶ ἄβραι πάνυ πολλαί*. But it is not always clear whether this author is portraying Greek or Roman manners; in this case, however, the lady was of Smyrna. From these instances we may fairly conclude that even at an early period the number of domestic slaves was very considerable.

But of the fifty to one thousand slaves that are mentioned as the property of one master, the majority were employed as artisans, either for their master, or on their own account, paying him a daily sum. The great difference between the Roman and Grecian systems consists in the fact that the Greeks looked on their slaves as a capital yielding interest, while at Rome they only attended to the wants of their master, or ministered to his luxury and pride. See Athen. vi. p. 272: *Ἀλλὰ Ῥωμαίων ἕκαστος...πλείστους ὅσους κεκτημένος οἰκέτας. καὶ γὰρ μυρίους καὶ δισμυρίους καὶ ἔτι πλείους δὲ πάμπολλοι κέκτηνται, οὐκ ἐπὶ προσόδοις δὲ, ὥσπερ ὁ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ζάπλουτος Νικίας, ἀλλ' οἱ πλείους τῶν Ῥωμαίων συμπροϊόντας ἔχουσι τοὺς πλείστους*. It is true that the

Roman slave also worked in the *familia urbana* as a mechanic or artist, but only to supply the immediate wants of his master; while the Greek was an operative supported by the proceeds of his labour. Aristot. *de Republ.* iii. 4, p. 1277: δούλου δ' εἴδη πλείω λέγομεν· αἱ γὰρ ἐργασίαι πλείους, ὧν ἓν μέρος κατέχουσιν οἱ χερνῆτες. οὗτοι δ' εἰσὶν, ὥσπερ σημαίνει καὶ τοὔνομ' αὐτοὺς, οἱ ζῶντες ἀπὸ τῶν χειρῶν, ἐν οἷς ὁ βάνανσος τεχνίτης ἐστίν. Æschines mentions the daily sum which each had to pay. *In Timarch.* p. 118: χωρὶς δὲ οἰκέτας δημιουργοὺς τῆς σκυτοτομικῆς τέχνης ἐννέα ἢ δέκα, ὧν ἕκαστος τούτῳ δύ' ὀβολοὺς ἀπέφερε τῆς ἡμέρας, ὁ δ' ἡγεμὼν τοῦ ἐργαστηρίου τριώβολον. A similar arrangement was made with regard to those working in the mines. Xenoph. *de Vect.* 4, 14: ὅτι Νικίας ποτὲ ὁ Νικηράτου ἐκτήσατο ἐν τοῖς ἀργυρίοις χιλίους ἀνθρώπους, οὓς ἐκεῖνος Σωσίᾳ τῷ Θρᾳκὶ ἐξεμίσθωσεν, ἐφ' ᾧ ὀβολὸν μὲν ἀτελῆ ἑκάστου τῆς ἡμέρας ἀποδιδόναι. So also the ἀνδράποδα μισθοφοροῦντα mentioned by Isæus, *de Ciron. Hered.* p. 219. Cf. Plato, *Leg.* v. p. 742. When a slave undertook on his own account the labour of a harvest or vintage, his case was the same. See Demosth. *adv. Nicostr.* p. 1253. It would even appear that slaves were occasionally allowed to hire farms on their own account. See Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 806.

The second method was to make the slaves work as artisans in their master's shop or factory, his profit being derived from the sale of their wares. Thus the father of Demosthenes possessed two workshops; Demosth. *in Aphob.* p. 816: μαχαιροποιοὺς μὲν τριάκοντα καὶ δύο ἢ τρεῖς, ἀφ' ὧν τριάκοντα μνᾶς ἀτελεῖς ἐλάμβανε τοῦ ἐνιαυτοῦ τὴν πρόσοδον. κλινοποιοὺς δ' εἴκοσι τὸν ἀριθμὸν τετταράκοντα μνῶν ὑποκειμένους, οἳ δώδεκα μνᾶς ἀτελεῖς αὐτῷ προσέφερον. Cf. Id. *in Olympiod.* p. 1170; Xenoph. *Memor.* ii. 7, 6; iii. 11, 4. This was most likely the method pursued when the manufacture undertaken required a large fixed capital.

If the master cultivated his lands himself, as Ischomachos did, (Xenoph. *Œcon.* 12, 2,) he employed numerous slaves under an overseer, ἐπίτροπος, who was himself also a slave, and on whom the entire management frequently devolved, the possessor devoting himself to public duties, or other employments. Aristot. *de Republ.* i. 7: ἃ γὰρ τὸν δοῦλον ἐπίστασθαι δεῖ ποιεῖν,... αὐτοὶ

δὲ πολιτεύονται ἢ φιλοσοφοῦσιν. The house-steward was called ταμίας; indeed this word is often used as synonymous with οἰκονόμος and ἐπίτροπος. This ταμίας or ταμία superintended all the domestic arrangements, and kept the household stores under lock and seal, giving out what was required. See Xenoph. *Œcon.* 9, 11; Aristoph. *Vespæ*, 612. He received, for this purpose, a signet-ring from his master. Aristoph. *Equites*, 947:

> καὶ νῦν ἀπόδος τὸν δακτύλιον, ὡς οὐκ ἔτι
> ἔμοι ταμιεύσεις.

The ταμίας must not be confounded with the ἐπίτροπος and οἰκονόμος mentioned by Lucian, *de Merc. Cond.* 12. The reference is in this case to Roman customs; and the οἰκονόμος, who is also paymaster of the household, answers to the Roman *dispensator*. See *Gallus*, p. 204. Of the other domestic slaves each had his peculiar duties. Among them may be mentioned the οἰνοχόος, the ἀγοραστής, (see Excursus on *The Markets and Commerce*, p. 287,) the ὑδροφόρος, (Lucian, *Vit. Auct.* 7,) and the λασανοφόρος (Plutarch, *Apophth. Reg.* i. p. 723.)

A word or two now on the female domestics. Their number was naturally less than that of the men. Some of them were employed in manufacturing articles for sale. Thus in Æschines, *in Timarch.* p. 118, we have mentioned: γυνὴ ἀμόργινα ἐπισταμένη ἐργάζεσθαι καὶ ἔργα λεπτὰ εἰς τὴν ἀγορὰν ἐκφέρουσα. The number thus engaged could not, however, have been large, and most of the feminine labours, as weaving, embroidering and the like, were also performed by men. In wealthy families a considerable number of women were probably employed in personal services, and we should recollect that multitudes of articles which we are accustomed to purchase ready-made, were in those times prepared at home. In addition to the male slaves, we only find δύο θεραπαίνας καὶ παιδίσκην mentioned as belonging to the slender establishment referred to by Isæus, *de Ciron. Her.* p. 219; but in more opulent houses several females were employed at the mill, and in the kitchen, as well as in keeping things clean and tidy. Then there were the spinners, nurses, chambermaids, and lady's-maids, κομμώτριαι. Of these last, one often held a more confidential position near her mistress, and was called ἄβρα. See Suidas; also Eustath. *ad Odyss.* xix. 28: ἔστι δὲ ἄβρα κατὰ Παυσανίαν ἡ σύντροφος καὶ παρὰ χεῖρα θεράπαινα. Cf. Alciphr.

Epist. i. 34. Female slaves born in the house were called σηκίδες. Poll. iii. 76. A general term for female slaves is δουλάρια. See Lucian, *Lexiph.* 25.

There were no learned slaves, as at Rome, nor any slaves who merely ministered to pleasure, as dancers, actors, or musicians. This however was the case at a later period, when the influence of Roman manners began to be felt. See Lucian, *Amor.* 10: Χαρικλεῖ γε μὴν πολὺς ὀρχεστρίδων καὶ μουσουργῶν εἵπετο. Yet the rich kept blacks and eunuchs; the former from mere vanity and love of show. Theophr. *Char.* 21: (μικροφιλοτίμου) ἐπιμεληθῆναι ὅπως αὐτῷ ὁ ἀκόλουθος Αἰθίοψ ἔσται. But the eunuchs were prized for their reputed fidelity. See Herodotus, viii. 105. Cf. Heliodor. *Æthiop.* viii. 17. Hence they were employed as treasurers; Plutarch, *Demetr.* 25: ἐπιεικῶς γὰρ εἰώθεσαν εὐνούχους ἔχειν ταζοφύλακας. In Lucian, *Imag.* 2, we have a πλῆθος ἐννούχων, and the porter in the house of Callias is an eunuch. Plato, *Protag.* p. 314. There is no ground for the supposition that they were kept to guard the women.

The artisan-slaves were naturally more independent than those employed in domestic services. The latter were provided by their master with clothes, food, and even wine. See Aristoph. *Vespæ*, 442. This was not the case with the artisans, except when they were working on their lord's account: when they only paid him a fixed sum per diem, they found themselves in everything. The master, nevertheless, had to make good all damage that his slaves might do to others, as was enjoined by a law of Solon. Lysias, *in Theomn.* p. 362: οἰκῆος καὶ δούλης τὴν βλάβην ὀφείλειν. Cf. Demosth. *in Nicostr.* p. 1253; Meier and Schömann, *Att. Proc.* pp. 477, 573. Upon the whole the position of the Greek slave, in reference to his master, was far preferable to that of the Roman, and this is principally to be attributed to the character of the Athenian, which led him to establish a confidential relation between himself and his domestic. Hence the mute obedience of the Roman, and the familiar garrulity of the Greek slave. See the amusing anecdote related by Plutarch, *de Garrul.* 18. P. Piso had ordered his slaves never to speak about anything unless when asked. On one occasion he had invited Clodius to a banquet. The guests arrived, all but Clodius. Piso repeatedly sent the slave who had carried the

invitation to look if he were coming. At last he asked him whether he was sure he had invited Clodius. 'Quite sure,' replied the slave. 'Why doesn't he come then?' enquired Piso. 'Because he declined the invitation,' answered the slave. 'And why didn't you tell me that before?' 'Because you never asked me,' was the slave's reply. Plutarch adds: Οὕτως μὲν Ῥωμαϊκὸς οἰκέτης· ὁ δὲ Ἀττικὸς ἐρεῖ τῷ δεσπότῃ σκάπτων, ἐφ' οἷς γεγόνασιν αἱ διαλύσεις, οὕτως μέγα πρὸς πάντα ὁ ἐθισμός ἐστι. Cf. Aristot. *de Republ.* v. 11, p. 1313; Xenoph. *de Republ. Ath.* 1, 12. Euripides, *Phœn.* 390, asserts that the greatest hardship of a slave's fate was being denied the παῤῥησία:

ΙΟ. τί φυγάσιν τὸ δυσχερές;
ΠΟ. ἓν μὲν μέγιστον, οὐκ ἔχει παῤῥησίαν.
ΙΟ. δούλου τόδ' εἶπας, μὴ λέγειν, ἃ τις φρονεῖ.

This is however of but limited application, and as far as Athens is concerned, is contradicted by Demosthenes, *Phil.* iii. p. 111: ὑμεῖς τὴν παῤῥησίαν ἐπὶ μὲν τῶν ἄλλων οὕτω κοινὴν οἴεσθε δεῖν εἶναι πᾶσι τοῖς ἐν τῇ πόλει, ὥστε καὶ τοῖς ξένοις καὶ τοῖς δούλοις αὐτῆς μεταδεδώκατε. καὶ πολλοὺς ἄν τις οἰκέτας ἴδοι παρ' ὑμῖν μετὰ πλείονος ἐξουσίας, ὅτι βούλονται, λέγοντας ἢ πολίτας ἐν ἐνίαις τῶν ἄλλων πόλεων. Of course the position of a slave depended a good deal on the character of his master, and on the extent to which the slave was an accessory to dubious transactions. See Lucian, *Asin.* 5: Δοῦλοι γὰρ τὰ δεσποτῶν ἐπίστανται καὶ καλὰ καὶ αἰσχρά. Plato requires a master always to preserve a grave deportment in the intercourse with his slaves. *Leg.* vi. p. 777.

But if these people were allowed more liberty at Athens, this did not proceed from the recognition of their natural rights; and even the laws for their protection are due to other motives than the love of justice. Xenophon, *de Republ. Athen.* i. 10, says that it was forbidden to strike a slave at Athens, for fear of hitting a free-man by mistake, as these, in dress and appearance, were not superior to the slaves and the metœci; but this regulation applied only to strange slaves, and not to one's own. It appears to have been allowable to institute a γραφὴ ὕβρεως for injury done to a slave. See Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 41: Ἄν τις Ἀθηναίων ἐλεύθερον παῖδα ὑβρίσῃ, γραφέσθω ὁ κύριος τοῦ παιδὸς πρὸς τοὺς θεσμοθέτας, κ.τ.λ. ἔνοχοι δὲ ἔστωσαν ταῖσδε ταῖς αἰτίαις καὶ

οἱ εἰς τὰ οἰκετικὰ σώματα ἐξαμαρτάνοντες. Also Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 529: Ἐάν τις ὑβρίσῃ εἴς τινα, ἢ παῖδα, ἢ γυναῖκα, ἢ ἄνδρα, τῶν ἐλευθέρων ἢ τῶν δούλων, ἢ παράνομόν τι ποιήσῃ εἰς τούτων τινά, γραφέσθω πρὸς τοὺς θεσμοθέτας ὁ βουλόμενος Ἀθηναίων, οἷς ἔξεστιν κ.τ.λ. The idea entertained by Meier and Schömann, *Att. Proc.* p. 321, that a δίκη αἰκίας could be instituted, but not a γραφὴ ὕβρεως, and that both the orators referred to one and the same law, seems erroneous. In Demosthenes, *in Nicostr.* p. 1251, a free-born lad, παιδάριον ἀστὸν, is sent into a garden to demolish a rose-bed, and this seems to militate against the assumption that a γραφὴ ὕβρεως might be brought for an assault upon a slave; for the complainant adds: ἵν' εἴπερ καταλαβὼν αὐτὸν ἔγω πρὸς ὀργὴν δήσαιμι ἢ κατάξαιμι, ὡς δοῦλον ὄντα γραφήν με γράψαιντο ὕβρεως. But if we investigate the matter more narrowly, it will appear that the inference is inadmissible; because it is not a question of ὕβρις at all, since the criteria are wanting, viz. the ἄρχεσθαι χειρῶν ἀδίκων, and the προπηλακισμός. See Aristot. *Rhet.* ii. 24, p. 1402. There is no doubt that if a slave had been dispatched to devastate the garden, and the owner had chastised him, an action of ὕβρις could not possibly have been supported: there would have been a better pretext for one on account of maltreating the free-born lad, though this would have had small shadow of justice.

Neither does it seem probable that Xenophon, or whoever was the author of the treatise *de Republica Atheniensium*, alleged the above-mentioned reason for the law against striking slaves, merely out of hostility to the Athenian democracy. See Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 42: οὐ γὰρ ὑπὲρ τῶν οἰκετῶν ἐσπούδακεν ὁ νομοθέτης, ἀλλὰ βουλόμενος ἡμᾶς ἐθίσαι πολὺ ἀπέχειν τῆς τῶν ἐλευθέρων ὕβρεως, προσέγραφε, μηδ' εἰς τοὺς δούλους ὑβρίζειν. Cf. Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 777. With regard to the difference between the punishments imposed on the slave and the free-man, the leading distinction appears to be that in every instance a corporal penalty is inflicted on the former, while in the case of the latter this is only the last resource. Demosth. *in Timocr.* p. 752: καὶ μὴν εἰ θέλοιτε σκέψασθαι παρ' ὑμῖν αὐτοῖς, ὦ ἄνδρες δικασταί, τί δοῦλον ἢ ἐλεύθερον εἶναι διαφέρει, τοῦτο μέγιστον ἂν εὕροιτε, ὅτι τοῖς μὲν δούλοις τὸ σῶμα τῶν ἀδικημάτων ἁπάντων ὑπεύθυνόν ἐστι, τοῖς δ' ἐλευθέροις ὕστατον τοῦτο προσήκει κολάζειν. Hence

the difference in the kinds of evidence required in a court of justice in behalf of the one or the other. Antipho, *de Choreut.* p. 778: *καὶ ἐξείη μὲν τοὺς ἐλευθέρους ὅρκοις καὶ πίστεσιν ἀναγκάζειν, ἃ τοῖς ἐλευθέροις μέγιστα καὶ περὶ πλείστου ἐστίν. ἐξείη δὲ τοὺς δούλους ἑτέραις ἀνάγκαις, ὑφ' ὧν, καὶ ἢν μέλλωσιν ἀποθανεῖσθαι κατειπόντες, ὅμως ἀναγκάζονται τἀληθῆ λέγειν.* One of the most degrading features of the slave's position was that when maltreated he was not allowed to defend himself. Plato, *Gorg.* p. 483: *οὐδὲ γὰρ ἀνδρὸς τοῦτό γ' ἐστὶ τὸ πάθημα, τὸ ἀδικεῖσθαι, ἀλλὰ ἀνδραποδου τινός, ᾧ κρεῖττον τεθνάναι ἐστὶν ἢ ζῆν, ὅστις ἀδικούμενος καὶ προπηλακιζόμενος μὴ οἷός τέ ἐστιν αὐτὸς αὑτῷ βοηθεῖν, μηδὲ ἄλλῳ, οὗ ἂν κήδηται.* If the injury were done by a stranger, the master might take the matter up, and lodge a complaint, since the slave himself could not sue, or be sued; but the only defence he had against his owner's cruelty was by taking refuge in the Theseion, or at some other altar, whereupon the master might be forced to sell him. See Petit, *Leg. Att.* p. 258; Meier and Schömann, *Att. Proc.* pp. 403, 557. Public slaves, who had no master to bring an action of *αἰκία*, appear to have sought protection in a similar manner. See Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 83: *τῇ δὲ ὑστεραίᾳ ὑπεραγανακτήσας τῷ πράγματι ὁ Πιττάλακος ἔρχεται γυμνὸς εἰς τὴν ἀγορὰν καὶ καθίζει ἐπὶ τὸν βωμὸν τὸν τῆς μητρὸς τῶν θεῶν.*

The custom of scattering sweet-meats, *καταχύσματα*, about the house on the entrance of a newly-purchased slave, seems, at first sight, to contrast strangely with this ill-treatment of his person. Demosth. *in Steph.* i. p. 1123: *καὶ ἡ τὰ καταχύσματα αὐτοῦ κατέχεε τόθ', ἡνίκα ἐωνήθη, ταύτῃ συνοικεῖν.* Aristoph. *Plut.* 768:

> *φέρε νῦν ἰοῦσ' εἴσω κομίσω καταχύσματα*
> *ὥσπερ νεωνήτοισιν ὀφθαλμοῖς ἐγώ.*

But this was done, not on the slave's account, but for the sake of a good omen, as the Scholiast tells us. Cf. Poll. iii. 77; also Harpocration and Suidas, s. v. *καταχύσματα*. There was also a custom of beating and driving a slave out of doors, on a certain day in the year, as a personification of want and worthlessness. Plutarch, *Symp.* vi. p. 851: *καλεῖται δὲ Βουλίμου ἐξέλασις· καὶ τῶν οἰκετῶν ἕνα τύπτοντες ἀγνίαις ῥάβδοις διὰ θυρῶν ἐξελαύνουσιν ἐπιλέγοντες, Ἔξω βούλιμον, ἔσω δὲ πλοῦτον καὶ ὑγίειαν.*

The method of using slaves as witnesses is quite in accordance

with the rest of the treatment they experienced. Their simple testimony passed for nothing, unless extorted by the rack, except perhaps when they came forward as μηνυταὶ, in cases of heavy crimes, such as murder: see Plato, *Leg.* xi. p. 937; Antipho, *de Cæde Vol.* p. 633. Thus Demosthenes, *in Onet.* i. p. 874, says: δούλων δὲ βασανισθέντων οὐδένες πώποτ' ἐξηλέγχθησαν, ὡς οὐκ ἀληθῆ τὰ ἐκ τῆς βασάνου εἶπον. Also Isæus, *de Ciron. Her.* p. 202: καὶ ὁπόταν δοῦλοι καὶ ἐλεύθεροι παραγένωνται καὶ δέῃ εὑρηθῆναί τι τῶν ζητουμένων, οὐ χρῆσθε ταῖς τῶν ἐλευθέρων μαρτυρίαις, ἀλλὰ τοὺς δούλους βασανίζοντες οὕτω ζητεῖτε εὑρεῖν τὴν ἀλήθειαν τῶν γεγενημένων. This was called ἐκ τοῦ σώματος, or ἐν τῷ δέρματι τὸν ἔλεγχον διδόναι. Demosth. *adv. Timoth.* p. 1200. The possibility of obtaining evidence of this kind tended to depreciate the judicial value of the voluntary testimony of freemen. Thus Lycurgus, *in Leocr.* p. 160, says: βασανίζειν καὶ τοῖς ἔργοις μᾶλλον ἢ τοῖς λόγοις πιστεύειν. The orators of course decry or extol such evidence, just as it suits their purpose. Thus Antipho, *de Choreut.* p. 778, declares it worthy of confidence, and again, *de Cæde Herod.* p. 720, rejects it as unsafe.

The punishments inflicted on slaves were almost invariably corporal. No mention occurs of any that were merely ignominious, as the Roman *furca.* See *Gallus*, p. 223. Beating with rods, thongs, or whips, was very common. As negroes have been flogged till a pipe could be leisurely smoked out, so, if not in Greece, at least in Etruria, a somewhat similar barbarity seems to have been practised. Plutarch, *de Cohib. Ira*, 11: Ἀριστοτέλης ἱστορεῖ κατ' αὐτὸν ἐν Τυρρηνίᾳ μαστιγοῦσθαι τοὺς οἰκέτας πρὸς αὐλόν.

Fetters, πέδαι, were often fastened on the feet, not only by way of punishment, but also to prevent the escape of the slaves, especially of those who worked in the fields or mines. Athen. vi. p. 272: καὶ αἱ πολλαὶ δὲ αὗται Ἀττικαὶ μυριάδες τῶν οἰκετῶν δεδεμέναι εἰργάζοντο τὰ μέταλλα. The ποδοκάκη or ποδοκάκκη was a cumbrous fetter employed as a punishment for offenders. See Lysias, *in Theomn.* p. 356; Demosth. *in Timocr.* p. 733. Cf. Suidas, s. v. Identical with, or similar to this, was the χοινίξ. Aristophanes puns on its double sense of a measure and a fetter. *Vesp.* 440:

οὓς ἐγὼ 'δίδαξα κλάειν τέτταρ' ἐς τὴν χοίνικα.

Something of the same kind was the σφαλός. Poll. viii. 72. The ξύλον was an elaborate apparatus, in which the culprit was fixed, with his neck, hands, and feet, in five different holes. Aristoph. *Equites*, 1049:

δῆσαι σ' ἐκέλευε πεντεσυρίγγῳ ξύλῳ.

See Scholiast on the passage. Suidas is wrong in taking this to be synonymous with the ποδοκάκη. The κλοιός, again, was something of the same kind, but only fastened the neck and the hands. Xenoph. *Hist. Gr.* iii. 3, 11: ἐκ τούτου μέντοι ἤδη δεδεμένος καὶ τῶ χεῖρε καὶ τὸν τράχηλον ἐν κλοιῷ, μαστιγούμενος καὶ κεντούμενος αὐτός τε καὶ οἱ μετ' αὐτοῦ κατὰ τὴν πόλιν περιήγοντο. See also Lucian, *Toxar.* 29: καὶ πονήρως εἶχεν, οἷον εἰκὸς χαμαὶ καθεύδοντα καὶ τῆς νυκτὸς οὐδὲ προτείνειν τὰ σκέλη δυνάμενον ἐν τῷ ξύλῳ κατακεκλεισμένα· τῆς μὲν γὰρ ἡμέρας ὁ κλοιὸς ἤρκει καὶ ἡ ἑτέρα χεὶρ πεπεδημένη, εἰς δὲ τὴν νύκτα ἔδει ὅλον καταδεδέσθαι. From this passage it appears that this instrument did not necessarily fasten the hands, and would then be merely a collar for the neck, the same as is elsewhere called περιδέραιον. Lucian, *Lexiph.* 10. According to the Scholiast on Aristoph. *Plut.* 476, κύφων is identical with κλοιός. These punishments were also judicially imposed on free-men in the case of certain crimes; but they were the usual correctives applied to slaves. The τύμπανα, however, (Aristoph. *Plut.* 476; Lysias, *in Agor.* p. 480,) the στρέβλαι, and the τροχός, (Antiph. *de Venef.* p. 615,) are the instruments of the δήμιος or δημόκοινος only.

Branding was a very common punishment, especially for running away, theft, and similar offences. Thus Aristoph. *Aves*, 759: ὁραπέτης ἐστιγμένος. A mark of some kind was branded on the forehead, and many strove to conceal it under the hair. Diphilos, apud Athen. vi. p. 225:

κόμην τρέφων μὲν πρῶτον ἱερὰν τοῦ θεοῦ,
ὡς φησίν. οὐ διὰ τοῦτό γ', ἀλλ' ἐστιγμένος
πρὸ τοῦ μετώπου παραπέτασμ' αὐτὴν ἔχει.

Captives taken in war were sometimes thus dealt with in cases of peculiar animosity. See Plutarch, *Pericl.* 26: Οἱ δὲ Σάμιοι τοὺς αἰχμαλώτους τῶν Ἀθηναίων ἀνθυβρίζοντες ἔστιζον εἰς τὸ μέτωπον γλαῦκας· καὶ γὰρ ἐκείνους οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι σάμαιναν.

The penalty of death could only be inflicted with the sanction of the law, and not merely at the will of the master, as among the Romans. Antipho, *de Cæde Herod.* p. 727: καίτοι οὐδὲ οἱ τοὺς

δεσπότας ἀποκτείναντες, ἐὰν ἐπ' αὐτοφώρῳ ληφθῶσιν, οὐδ' οὗτοι θνήσκουσιν ὑπ' αὐτῶν τῶν προσηκόντων, ἀλλὰ παραδίδόασιν αὐτοὺς τῇ ἀρχῇ κατὰ νόμους ὑμετέρους πατρίους. So also Eurip. *Hecub.* 289:

νόμος δ' ἐν ὑμῖν τοῖς τ' ἐλευθέροις ἴσος
καὶ τοῖσι δούλοις αἵματος κεῖται πέρι.

It is difficult to determine to what extent the character of the slaves themselves might render necessary such harsh treatment; for it is from the accounts given by their masters that we gather all our information on the subject. It would be absurd to deny that among the multitudes of slaves in Greece there were not a great number of intelligent and worthy, nay, even noble-minded persons. Thus Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 776, says: πολλοὶ γὰρ ἀδελφῶν ἤδη δοῦλοι καὶ υἱέων τισὶ κρείττους πρὸς ἀρετὴν πᾶσαν γενόμενοι σεσώκασι δεσπότας καὶ κτήματα τάς τε οἰκήσεις αὐτῶν ὅλας. Aristotle, too, despite his theory, is obliged to confess that nature sometimes errs, and accords to slaves the qualities of free-men: *De Republ.* i. 5. That the affecting instance of Tyndarus in *The Captives* of Plautus was founded on fact, we cannot doubt. On the other hand, it is no doubt true that there were many who, by the degradation of their nature, their want of fidelity to their masters, and their vices of all kinds, might seem to deserve their lot. See Plato, *Phæd.* p. 69.

But the real blame lay often with the master, and the badness of the slave was an index of the character of his owner, and of his domestic arrangements. Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 777: Ταῦτα δὴ διαλαβόντες ἕκαστοι τοῖς διανοήμασιν, οἱ μὲν πιστεύουσί τε οὐδὲν γένει οἰκετῶν, κατὰ δὲ θηρίων φύσιν κέντροις καὶ μάστιξιν οὐ τρὶς μόνον ἀλλὰ πολλάκις ἀπεργάζονται δούλας τὰς ψυχὰς τῶν οἰκετῶν· οἱ δ' αὖ τἀναντία δρῶσι τούτων πάντα. Cf. Xenophon, *Œcon.* 3, 4. The ordinary sentiments of the slave are nowhere better portrayed than in the dialogue between Æacos and Xanthias, in Aristoph. *Ranæ*, 745:

Α. μάλα γ' ἐποπτεύειν δοκῶ,
ὅταν καταράσωμαι λάθρα τῷ δεσπότῃ.
Ξ. τί δὲ τονθορύζων, ἡνίκ' ἂν πληγὰς λαβὼν
πολλὰς ἀπίῃς θύραζε; Α. καὶ τόθ' ἡδομαι.
Ξ. τί δὲ πολλὰ πράττων; Α. ὡς, μὰ Δί', οὐδὲν οἶδ' ἐγώ.
Ξ. Ὁμόγνιε Ζεῦ· καὶ παρακούων δεσποτῶν
ἄττ' ἂν λαλῶσι; Α. καὶ μάλα πλεῖν ἢ μαίνομαι.
Ξ. τί δὲ τοῖς θύραζε ταῦτα καταλαλῶν; Α. ἐγώ;
μὰ Δί', ἀλλ' ὅταν δρῶ τοῦτο, κἀκμιαίνομαι.

Cf. Plutarch, *Non Posse Suav.* 8. It was from this coarse and grovelling way of thinking usual among slaves, that every ignoble desire was called ἡδονὴ ἀνδραποδώδης. Plato, *Epist.* vii. p. 335; Aristot. *Eth. Nic.* iii. 10, 11; Plutarch, *Amat.* 4. They seem to have been considered incapable of noble feelings, and their chief praise was to commit no crime. Philostr. *Vit. Apoll. Tyan.* iii. 25: ἔπαινον ποιοῦνται τῶν ἀνδραπόδων τὸ μὴ κλέπτειν αὐτά.

Runaway slaves were not uncommon, even when there was no war to encourage their desertion. See Plato, *Protag.* p. 310; Xenoph. *Memor.* ii. 10, 1. On this account, when out of doors, the slave preceded his master, instead of going behind. Theophr. *Char.* 18: καὶ τὸν παῖδα δὲ ἀκολουθοῦντα κελεύειν αὐτοῦ ὄπισθεν μὴ βαδίζειν, ἀλλ' ἔμπροσθεν, ἵνα φυλάττηται αὐτῷ, μὴ ἐν τῇ οἰκίᾳ ἀποδράσῃ. Slave-rebellions actually took place once and again, (Athen. vi. p. 272; Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 777,) and that such outbreaks were constant causes of apprehension, we see from Plato, *de Republ.* ix. p. 578.

Slaves were sometimes manumitted by the state as a reward for certain services, such as informing against criminals, or good conduct in war; but the master was always indemnified. Plato, *Leg.* xi. p. 914. At other times they obtained their freedom by paying their owner the sum which he had given for them. See Petit, *Leg. Att.* p. 259; Meier and Schömann, *Att. Proc.* pp. 405, 559. Nevertheless these freed-men, ἀπελεύθεροι, always remained in a sort of dependence on the master, and the neglect of their duties gave rise to the δίκη ἀποστασίου. This explains the law proposed by the orator Lycurgus, Plutarch, *Dec. Orat. Vit.* iv. p. 377: μηδενὶ ἐξεῖναι Ἀθηναίων, μηδὲ τῶν οἰκούντων Ἀθήνησιν, ἐλεύθερον σῶμα πρίασθαι ἐπὶ δουλείᾳ ἐκ τῶν ἁλισκομένων ἄνευ τῆς τοῦ προτέρου δεσπότου γνώμης. These ἀπελεύθεροι always remained δοῦλοι, if not οἰκέται also. See Athen. vi. p. 267: Διαφέρειν δέ φησι Χρύσιππος δοῦλον οἰκέτου...διὰ τὸ τοὺς ἀπελευθέρους μὲν δούλους ἔτι εἶναι, οἰκέτας δὲ τοὺς μὴ τῆς κτήσεως ἀφειμένους. They certainly often continued wholly in the service of their former master, (Isæus, *de Philoctem. Her.* p. 134,) and were then perhaps in a better position than if they had tried to support themselves independently. So a fragment of Philemon (Mein. p. 418):

> Ὡς κρεῖττόν ἐστι δεσπότου χρηστοῦ τυχεῖν,
> ἢ ζῆν ταπεινῶς καὶ κακῶς ἐλεύθερον.

Still their feelings toward the προστάτης were very frequently not of the most friendly kind. Demosth. *in Timocr.* p. 739: πονηρῶν καὶ ἀχαρίστων οἰκετῶν τρόπους ἔχοντες. καὶ γὰρ ἐκείνων, ὦ ἄ. δ., ὅσοι ἂν ἐλεύθεροι γένωνται οὐ τῆς ἐλευθερίας χάριν ἔχουσι τοῖς δεσπόταις, ἀλλὰ μισοῦσι μάλιστα ἀνθρώπων ἁπάντων, ὅτι συνίσασιν αὐτοῖς δουλεύσασιν.

In conclusion, it may be remarked that, besides the slaves, there were many of the poorer classes, especially among the ξένοι or μέτοικοι, who performed the same services for hire, μισθωτοί. Plato, *de Republ.* ii. p. 371: οἱ δὴ πωλοῦντες τὴν τῆς ἰσχύος χρείαν, τὴν τιμὴν ταύτην μισθὸν καλοῦντες, κέκληνται, ὡς ἐγῷμαι, μισθωτοί. Id. *Polit.* p. 290: οὕς γε ὁρῶμεν μισθωτοὺς καὶ θῆτας πᾶσιν ἑτοίμους ὑπηρετοῦντας. Cf. Aristot. *de Republ.* i. 11. Such people were hired, not only as artisans and farm-servants, but also as domestics. Thus Plato, *Lys.* p. 208: ἔστι τις ἡνίοχος, παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς μισθὸν φέρων. The women who engaged themselves as nurses have been mentioned already, and the out-door attendants were also hired sometimes. Theophr. *Char.* 22: μισθοῦσθαι εἰς τὰς ἐξόδους παιδίον ἀκολουθῆσον. Occasionally the services of a poor relation were made use of in this capacity. Isæus, *de Dicæog. Her.* p. 94. Lastly, there were messengers, like our ticket-porters, waiting about the market-place at Athens, who were ready to run errands or do jobs at a moment's notice. Poll. vii. 132: δύο γὰρ ὄντων τῶν κολωνῶν, ὁ μὲν ἵππειος ἐκαλεῖτο ....ὁ δ' ἦν ἐν ἀγορᾷ παρὰ τὸ Εὐρυσάκειον, οὗ συνῆεσαν οἱ μισθαρνοῦντες. Cf. Suidas and Harpocration, s. v. Κολωνίτης.

EXCURSUS TO SCENE VIII.

THE DOCTORS.

AN account of the medical practice of the Greeks, or an estimate of the scientific acquirements of their physicians, would require a knowledge of medicine itself, as well as a deep study of the medical literature of the ancients. But such an attempt would be foreign to the object of this work, which professes only to describe the details of daily life. Yet it will not be uninstructive to cast our eyes for awhile from cheerful scenes to the sick chamber and the bed of suffering, and to learn the means of succour resorted to on such trying occasions. We shall describe the doctor's person and ordinary appearance; we shall investigate his position in society, the repute in which his art was held, his behaviour towards the sick, the amount of his fee, and we shall see how he at one time paid visits, at another received his patients at home. These particulars will be collected not so much from the medical writings of antiquity, as from the incidental notices which are found elsewhere.

In the first place we may remark that the science of healing and its professors were regarded in a much higher point of view in Greece than at Rome. See *Gallus*, p. 207. The arts of healing and divination were, in the very earliest times, considered as most intimately connected with each other; and this notion prevailed in a later age. See Eustath. *ad Il.* i. 63: *κοινὴ πώς ἐστι τέχνη ἰατρικὴ καὶ μαντική.* Since, moreover, the science was regarded as of divine origin, and since the doctors continued, in a certain sense, to be accounted the successors of Asclepios, this belief naturally increased the respect for the profession. Inherited from the son of Apollo by the Asclepiadæ, by them transmitted to their successors, the art was ever accounted divine; and, greatly as the *ἰατρικὴ τέχνη* of later times differed from the simple treatment pursued of yore, the doctors always looked on Asclepios as their *πρόγονος*, and themselves as his *ἔκγονοι*. Plato, *Symp.* p. 186: *πάντα τὰ τοιαῦτα τούτοις (τοῖς ἐναντίοις) ἐπιστηθεὶς ἔρωτα ἐμποιῆσαι καὶ ὁμόνοιαν ὁ ἡμέτερος πρόγονος Ἀσκληπιὸς, ὥς φασιν*

οἵδε οἱ ποιηταὶ καὶ ἐγὼ πείθομαι, συνέστησε τὴν ἡμετέραν τέχνην. Cf. Id. *de Republ.* iii. p. 406.

Many however looked on the art and its professors with great contempt. Thus of Pausanias, the Lacedæmonian, we read in Plutarch, *Apophth. Lac.* i. p. 921: κράτιστον δὲ ἔλεγε τοῦτον ἰατρὸν εἶναι τὸν μὴ κατασήποντα τοὺς ἀῤῥωστοῦντας, ἀλλὰ τάχιστα θάπτοντα. Again, Aristophanes, *Nubes*, 332, designates them as swindlers; and in *Plutus*, 407, we have:

τίς δῆτ' ἰατρός ἐστι νῦν ἐν τῇ πόλει;
οὔτε γὰρ ὁ μισθὸς οὐδὲν ἔστ', οὔθ' ἡ τέχνη.

Athenæus, also, calls them charlatans and pedants; ix. p. 377: Μέγας δ' ἐστὶ σοφιστὴς καὶ οὐδὲν ἧττων τῶν ἰατρῶν εἰς ἀλαζονείαν καὶ ὁ παρὰ Σωσιπάτρῳ μάγειρος. Cf. xv. p. 666. The caricature too in Plautus, *Menæch.* v. 3—5, is perhaps from a Grecian original. Yet it would be unfair to infer from these passages that the profession generally was looked down upon in Greece. Incompetent doctors there were, no doubt, as now; but there were others possessed of great experience and skill. See Antipho, *Tetral.* iii. p. 689: νῦν δὲ πολλαῖς ἡμέραις ὕστερον πονηρῷ ἰατρῷ ἐπιτρεφθεὶς διὰ τὴν τοῦ ἰατροῦ μοχθηρίαν, καὶ οὐ διὰ τὰς πληγὰς ἀπέθανε. προλεγόντων γὰρ αὐτῷ τῶν ἄλλων ἰατρῶν, εἰ ταύτην τὴν θεραπείαν θεραπεύσοιτο, ὅτι ἰάσιμος ὢν διαφθαρήσοιτο, δι' ὑμᾶς τοὺς συμβούλους διαφθαρεὶς ἐμοὶ ἀνόσιον ἔγκλημα προσέβαλεν.

At Rome it was usual to have a house-physician in the number of the slaves, those who healed for money being looked on with distrust. The elder Cato contented himself with a recipe-book, *commentarius*, which probably contained all sorts of prescriptions for particular cases. See *Gallus*, p. 208. In Greece also, there were numerous works on medical subjects, as we see from Xenophon, *Memor.* iv. 2, 10: πολλὰ γὰρ καὶ ἰατρῶν ἐστι συγγράμματα. Yet these general treatises were not held sufficient for the individual cases that might occur, and this ἰατρεύεσθαι κατὰ γράμματα, was considered useless. A doctor was therefore consulted on every occasion. See Euripides, apud Stob. *Tit.* C. 3, p. 308:

Πρὸς τὴν νόσον τοι καὶ τὸν ἰατρὸν χρεὼν
ἰδόντ' ἀκεῖσθαι, μὴ 'πιτακτὰ φάρμακα
διδόντ', ἐὰν μὴ ταῦτα τῇ νόσῳ πρέπῃ.

Cf. Aristot. *de Republ.* iii. 16, p. 1287: ὅτι τὸ κατὰ γράμματα

ἰατρεύεσθαι φαῦλον, ἀλλὰ καὶ αἱρετώτερον χρῆσθαι τοῖς ἔχουσι τὰς τέχνας. He adds, however, that when the doctor was suspected of having been tampered with, the γράμματα would be then consulted. Cf. Plato, *Polit.* p. 298: ὃν μὲν γὰρ ἐθελήσωσιν ἡμῶν τούτων ἑκάτεροι σώζειν, ὁμοίως δὴ σώζουσιν· ὃν δ' ἂν λωβᾶσθαι βουληθῶσι, λωβῶνται τέμνοντες καὶ καίοντες...καὶ δὴ καὶ τελευτῶντες ἢ παρὰ ξυγγενῶν ἢ παρά τινων ἐχθρῶν τοῦ κάμνοντος χρήματα μισθὸν λαμβάνοντες ἀποκτιννύασιν. The doctor, if he wished to play false, had the law in his favour, as it naturally acquitted him of all responsibility in case any thing happened to his patient. See Antipho, *Tetral.* iii. p. 694: εἰ δ' ἔτι καὶ ὑπὸ τοῦ ἰατροῦ ἀπέθανεν, ὡς οὐκ ἀπέθανεν, ὁ μὲν ἰατρὸς οὐ φονεὺς αὐτοῦ ἐστιν, ὁ γὰρ νόμος ἀπολύει αὐτόν. Also Philemon, apud Stob. *Tit.* CII. 6, p. 333:

μόνῳ δ' ἰατρῷ τοῦτο καὶ συνηγόρῳ
ἔξεστιν, ἀποκτείνειν μὲν, ἀποθνήσκειν δὲ μή.

Plin. *Nat. Hist.* xxix. 1, 6: 'Medico tantum hominem occidisse impunitas summa est.' Cf. Plato, *Leg.* ix. p. 865. Still, in certain cases, they seem to have been legally accountable for their treatment. See Aristot. *de Republ.* iii. 10, p. 1281: ὥσπερ οὖν ἰατρὸν δεῖ διδόναι τὰς εὐθύνας ἐν ἰατροῖς, οὕτω καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ἐν τοῖς ὁμοίοις.

It also appears that a permission from the state to practise was always required, and even though no public examination took place, yet every one desirous of being allowed to practise, had to shew that he had been the pupil of a medical man. See Xenoph. *Memor.* iv. 2, 5: Ἁρμόσεις δ' ἂν οὕτω προοιμιάζεσθαι καὶ τοῖς βουλομένοις παρὰ τῆς πόλεως ἰατρικὸν ἔργον λαβεῖν. ἐπιτήδειον γὰρ αὐτοῖς εἴη τοῦ λόγου ἄρχεσθαι ἐντεῦθεν· "Παρ' οὐδενὸς μὲν πώποτε, ὦ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, τὴν ἰατρικὴν τέχνην ἔμαθον, οὐδ' ἐζήτησα διδάσκαλον ἐμαυτῷ γενέσθαι τῶν ἰατρῶν οὐδένα· διατετέλεκα γὰρ φυλαττόμενος οὐ μόνον τὸ μαθεῖν τι παρὰ τῶν ἰατρῶν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ δόξαι μεμαθηκέναι τὴν τέχνην ταύτην. ὅμως δέ μοι τὸ ἰατρικὸν ἔργον δότε· πειράσομαι γὰρ ἐν ὑμῖν ἀποκινδυνεύων μανθάνειν." That this ἰατρικὸν ἔργον refers in this instance to a public salaried appointment, does not seem probable, though the fact cannot be disputed that there were medical men regularly retained in the pay of the state. Thus in Aristoph. *Acharn.* 1029,

Dicæopolis says to the countryman, who begs him for some ointment for his eyes:

ἀλλ', ὦ πόνηρ', οὐ δημοσιεύων τυγχάνω.

At the same time he directs him, πρὸς τοὺς Πιττάλου. The Scholiast says: δημοσίᾳ χειροτονούμενοι ἰατροὶ καὶ δημόσιοι προῖκα ἐθεράπευον. Cf. Plato, *Gorg.* p. 455: ὅταν περὶ ἰατρῶν αἱρέσεως ᾖ τῇ πόλει ξύλλογος. But Plato also distinctly mentions the two classes; those in the pay of the state, and those not. *Polit.* p. 259: εἴ τῳ τις τῶν δημοσιευόντων ἰατρῶν ἱκανὸς συμβουλεύειν, ἰδιωτεύων αὐτός. So Strabo, iv. 1, 291, speaking of the introduction of Greek customs into Gaul, says: σοφιστὰς γοῦν ὑποδέχονται τοὺς μὲν ἰδίᾳ, τοὺς δὲ αἱ πόλεις κοινῇ μισθούμεναι, καθάπερ καὶ ἰατρούς. Democedes also, had practised for a year in Ægina, on his own account, before he was taken into the public pay. Herodot. iii. 131. The salary was sometimes, as in this instance, very considerable. Democedes at first received from the Æginetans a talent per annum. Next year the Athenians sent for him, and paid him one hundred minæ, and at last Polycrates of Samos secured his services at a salary of two talents. See Böckh, *Public Econ. of Athens*, p. 120.

In addition to this salary, the patient paid a fee, as we see from Aristotle, *ib.*: ἄρνυνται τὸν μισθὸν τοὺς κάμνοντας ὑγιάσαντες. We certainly cannot draw the inference from this passage that the fee was conditional upon recovery. Besides the general expression μισθός, there were other more honourable terms for the fee, as, for instance, σῶστρα and ἰατρεῖα. Poll. vi. 186: ἰδίως δὲ ἰατρῷ μὲν σῶστρα, σωτήρια καὶ ἰατρεῖα. Sometimes the doctor demanded his fee in advance, before he attempted the cure. Thus Aspasia, wife of Cyrus the Younger, when a girl, had a tumour on her face: Δείκνυσι γοῦν αὐτὴν ὁ πατὴρ ἰατρῷ. ὁ δὲ ὑπέσχετο ἰάσασθαι εἰ λάβοι τρεῖς στατῆρας. ὁ δὲ ἔφατο μὴ ἔχειν. ὁ δὲ ἰατρὸς μηδὲ αὐτὸς εὐπορεῖν φαρμάκου. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* xii. 1. See also Achill. Tat. iv. 15. Occasionally, the reason for this procedure was, because the doctor had to provide the remedies at his own expense, as we see from the passage in Ælian; and this is confirmed by Plato, *Polit.* p. 298.

The physicians were under the necessity of dispensing their own drugs, as there were no apothecaries' shops, where the prescriptions could be made up. The booths of the φαρμακοπῶλαι were

of an entirely different nature. These people were nothing better than quacks and mountebanks, who, among other things, vended specifics, compounded by themselves without the aid of a qualified doctor, and which were adapted merely for common disorders. They also cried their nostrums about the streets. Lucian, *pro Merc. Cond.* 7: *τὸ δ' ὅλον ἐκείνῳ τῷ φαρμακοπώλῃ ἔοικας, ὃς ἀποκηρύττων βηχὸς φάρμακον, καὶ αὐτίκα παύσειν τοὺς πάσχοντας ὑπισχνούμενος, αὐτὸς μεταξὺ σπώμενος ὑπὸ βηχὸς ἐφαίνετο.* See Plutarch, *de Prof. in Virt.* 8. They probably carried serpents about with them, to aid their mysterious feats of jugglery, at least this would appear to be the meaning of the fragment of Aristophanes, preserved by Pollux, x. 180:

καὶ τοὺς μὲν ὄφεις, οὓς ἐπιπέμπεις
ἐν κίστῃ που κατασήμηναι,
καὶ παῦσαι φαρμακοπωλῶν.

Among other wares they dealt in burning-glasses, as we see from Aristoph. *Nub.* 766:

ΣΤ. *ἤδη παρὰ τοῖσι φαρμακοπώλαις τὴν λίθον*
ταύτην ἑώρας, τὴν καλήν, τὴν διαφανῆ,
ἀφ' ἧς τὸ πῦρ ἅπτουσι; ΣΩ. *τὴν ὕαλον λέγεις;*

Indeed the word *φάρμακον* has so many significations, that it is not clear whether the *φαρμακοτρίβαι*, mentioned by Demosthenes, *in Olympiod.* p. 1171, were employed in grinding drugs, colours, or something else.

The regular doctor always made up his own medicines, often mixing them with something sweet, to conceal the unpleasant taste. Plutarch, *de Educ. Puer.* 18: *καθάπερ ἰατροὶ τὰ πικρὰ τῶν φαρμάκων τοῖς γλυκέσι χυμοῖς καταμιγνύντες τὴν τέρψιν ἐπὶ τὸ συμφέρον πάροδον εὗρον.* Cf. Xenoph. *Memor.* iv. 2, 17.

Some patients called at his *ἰατρεῖον*, or *ἐργαστήριον*, as it was also called, others he visited at their own dwellings. See Plato, *Leg.* iv. p. 720: *ἰατρεύουσι περιτρέχοντες καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἰατρείοις περιμένοντες.* Such an *ἰατρεῖον* was at once a bathing establishment, apothecary's shop, and surgery; hence boxes, *πυξίδες* or *κυλικίδες*, (Athen. xi. p. 480; Eustath. *ad Odyss.* v. 296,) cupping-glasses, syringes, bathing apparatus, and so forth, were to be seen scattered about. A very complete picture of such a shop occurs in a fragment of Antiphanes, apud Pollux, x. 46:

κατεσκευασμένος
λαμπρότατον ἰατρεῖον ἐν χαλκοῖς πάνυ
λουτηρίοισιν, ἐξαλίπτροις, κυλικίσιν,
σικύαισιν, ὑποθέτοισι.

The doctors had also their assistants or pupils, who carried their instructions into effect. Plato, *Leg.* iv. p. 720. Thus we find Timarchos with Euthydicos, a doctor in the Piræus. See Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 65: *οὗτος γὰρ πρῶτον πάντων μέν, ἐπειδὴ ἀπηλλάγη ἐκ παίδων ἐκάθητο ἐν Πειραιεῖ ἐπὶ τοῦ Εὐθυδίκου ἰατρείου, προφάσει μὲν τῆς τέχνης μαθητής.* To these *ἰατρεῖα* those persons resorted who wished to take some medicine on the spot, for a slight indisposition; Plato, *Leg.* i. p. 646. But others also came, who were labouring under severer complaints, Lamachos, for instance: Aristoph. *Acharn.* 1022.

The assistants seem to have been partly slaves, and these had principally to attend to those of their own class. That a slave could set up as doctor, on his own account, does not appear to have been the case. A very interesting passage about these slave-doctors, from which it appears that they were not remarkably delicate or conscientious in their treatment of their patients, is to be found in Plato, *Leg.* iv. p. 720: *Ἆρ' οὖν καὶ ξυννοεῖς, ὅτι δούλων καὶ ἐλευθέρων ὄντων τῶν καμνόντων ἐν ταῖς πόλεσι, τοὺς μὲν δούλους σχεδόν τι οἱ δοῦλοι τὰ πολλὰ ἰατρεύουσι περιτρέχοντες καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἰατρείοις περιμένοντες; καὶ οὔτε τινὰ λόγον ἑκάστου περὶ νοσήματος ἑκάστου τῶν οἰκετῶν οὐδεὶς τῶν τοιούτων ἰατρῶν δίδωσιν, οὐδ' ἀποδέχεται· προστάξας δ' αὐτῷ τὰ δόξαντα ἐξ ἐμπειρίας, ὡς ἀκριβῶς εἰδώς, καθάπερ τύραννος, αὐθαδῶς οἴχεται ἀποπηδήσας πρὸς ἄλλον κάμνοντα οἰκέτην.* Free-men, on the contrary, especially the more wealthy, had none but free-men for their medical attendants, who proceeded to work in a very careful and conscientious manner. Plato, *ibid.*: *ὁ δὲ ἐλεύθερος ὡς ἐπὶ τὸ πλεῖστον τὰ τῶν ἐλευθέρων νοσήματα θεραπεύει τε καὶ ἐπισκοπεῖ. καὶ ταῦτα ἐξετάζων ἀπ' ἀρχῆς καὶ κατὰ φύσιν τῷ κάμνοντι κοινούμενος αὐτῷ τε καὶ τοῖς φίλοις ἅμα μὲν αὐτὸς μανθάνει τι παρὰ τῶν νοσούντων, ἅμα δὲ καθόσον οἷός τέ ἐστι, διδάσκει τὸν ἀσθενοῦντα αὐτόν.*

It was a rule of Hippocrates that a physician should maintain a becoming exterior, avoiding everything likely to cause an unpleasant impression on the patient. The hair and beard were to be carefully trimmed, and his dress to be even elegant. See Galen,

in Hippocr. *Epid.* xvii. 2, p. 138: *καὶ πρὸς τούτοις γε αὐτὸς ὁ ἰατρὸς, ὃν πρῶτόν τε καὶ μάλιστα τάς τε χεῖρας ἔχειν δεῖ καθαρωτάτας καὶ τὸ πρόσωπον τὰς τρίχας ἐπί τε τοῦ γενείου καὶ κεφαλῆς. ἐφεξῆς δὲ καὶ τὰ ἄλλα μόρια τοῦ σώματος, ὥσπερ γε καὶ τὴν ἐσθῆτα λαμπράν.* His deportment should be equally devoid of servility and of self-importance, he should be calm and collected, and very guarded in expressing opinions as to the state of the patient. This wholesome advice was not always observed, according to Galen; he says, p. 144: *ἰατροὶ δέ τινές εἰσιν, οἳ μέχρι τοσούτου μωραίνουσιν, ὡς καὶ τοῖς κοιμωμένοις ἐπεισιέναι μετὰ ψόφου ποδῶν, φωνῆς μείζονος, ὑφ' ὧν ἐνίοτε διεγερθέντες οἱ νοσοῦντες ἀγανακτοῦσι κ. τ. λ.* He also tells a story of a physician who, when a sick person enquired the chance of his recovery, answered him with the line:

κάτθανε καὶ Πάτροκλος, ὅπερ σέο πολλὸν ἀμείνων.

Galen adds: *ἔνιοι δὲ τῶν νῦν ἰατρῶν,...τραχέως καὶ αὐτοὶ προσφέρονται τοῖς νοσοῦσιν, ὡς μισηθῆναι, καθάπερ ἄλλοι τινὲς ἐξ ὑπεναντίου δουλοπρεπῶς κολακεύοντες ἐξ αὐτοῦ τούτου κατεφρονήθησαν.* Lucian, *adv. Indoct.* 29, gives an account of the stratagems of incompetent practitioners who sought to blind people's eyes to their incapacity by the elegance of their apparatus: *ὅτι καὶ οἱ ἀμαθέστατοι τῶν ἰατρῶν τὸ αὐτὸ σοὶ ποιοῦσιν, ἐλεφαντίνους νάρθηκας καὶ σικύας ἀργυρᾶς ποιούμενοι, καὶ σμίλας χρυσοκολλήτους· ὁπόταν δὲ χρήσασθαι τούτοις δέῃ, οἱ μὲν οὐδὲ ὅπως χρὴ μεταχειρίσασθαι αὐτὰ ἴσασι. παρελθὼν δέ τις εἰς τὸ μέσον τῶν μεμαθηκότων φλεβοτόμον εὖ μάλα ἠκονημένον ἔχων, ἰοῦ τἄλλα μεστὸν ἀπήλλαξε τῆς ὀδύνης τὸν νοσοῦντα.* Cf. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 15, 7.

The Greek physician was likewise a surgeon. In Plutarch, *de Sim. Tuend.* 15, we have an account of a bold attempt at opening the larynx of a man who had swallowed a fish-bone. The operation, however, proved fatal. It has been usually asserted that the ancients considered it a *πρᾶγμα ἀνοσιώτατον* to dissect a human body. But instances do occur, though we are told of none made especially for scientific purposes. See Steph. *de Urb.* s. v. *Ἀνδανία*, where it is related that the Lacedæmonians, having made the hero Aristomenes prisoner, cut open his body to see whether it contained anything extraordinary: *ἀνατεμόντες ἐσκόπουν, εἰ παρὰ τοὺς λοιπούς ἐστί τι. καὶ εὗρον σπλάγχνον*

ἐξηλλαγμένον, καὶ τὴν καρδίαν δασεῖαν. See also Pausan. iv. 9, 5; Eustath. *ad Il.* i. 189.

It was not till long afterwards that the science of healing became divided into separate branches, such as the arts of oculists, dentists, &c. See Lucian, *Lexiph.* 4. The passage in Dio Chrysostom, *Orat.* viii. p. 277, is hardly explicit enough to enable us to determine whether this was the case as early as the time of Diogenes the Cynic. He says: ἔλεγε θαυμάζειν, ὅτι εἰ μὲν ἔφη ὀδόντας ἰᾶσθαι, πάντες ἂν αὐτῷ προσῄεσαν οἱ δεόμενοι ὀδόντα ἐξελέσθαι. καὶ, νὴ Δία, εἰ ὑπέσχετο ὀφθαλμοὺς θεραπεύειν, πάντες ἄνθρωποι ὀφθαλμοὺς αὐτῷ ἐπεδείκνυον· ὁμοίως δὲ εἰ σπληνὸς ἢ ποδάγρας ἢ κορύζης εἰδέναι φάρμακον. Cf. *Gallus*, p. 208. The ἰατραλεῖπται seem to have been distinguished by their attempting to cure diseases by means of embrocations, combined with bodily exercise and strict regimen. See Plato, *de Republ.* iii. p. 406: Ἡρόδικος γὰρ...μίξας γυμναστικὴν ἰατρικῇ, κ. τ. λ.

The Greek doctors were perpetually encountered by difficulties arising from the stupidity, distrustfulness, and blind superstition of the time. Thus when the plague was raging at Athens, during the Peloponnesian war, it was confidently believed that all the wells had been poisoned; see Thucyd. ii. 48: ὥστε καὶ ἐλέχθη ὑπ' αὐτῶν, ὡς οἱ Πελοποννήσιοι φάρμακα ἐσβεβλήκοιεν ἐς τὰ φρέατα. Still more general was the superstition that certain persons, by incantations, tying of magic knots, and other secret arts, were able to afflict people with diseases, μαγευτικὴ or φαρμακεία, the different *núances* being termed μαγγανεία, γοητεία, and so forth. It is worthy of note that even Plato, who often mentions this belief, could not wholly bring himself to attribute it to mere superstition. In one passage, *de Republ.* ii. p. 364, he does seem to pronounce it an imposture; but in the Laws, where he treats the subject more at large, he appears to be undecided on this point. He distinguishes between two kinds of φαρμακεία; of which the first is σώματι σώματα κακουργοῦσα, i. e. by means of poison. Of the other he says: ἄλλη δὲ ἡ μαγγανείας τέ τισι καὶ ἐπῳδαῖς...ταῦτ' οὖν καὶ περὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα ξύμπαντα οὔτε ῥᾴδιον ὅπως ποτὲ πέφυκε γιγνώσκειν, οὔτ', εἴ τις γνοίη, πείθειν εὐπετὲς ἑτέρους. His law on the subject is as follows: ἐὰν δὲ καταδέσεσιν ἢ ἐπαγωγαῖς, ἢ τισιν ἐπῳδαῖς, ἢ τῶν τοιούτων φαρμακείων ὠντινωνοῦν δύξῃ ὅμοιος εἶναι βλάπτοντι. *Leg.* xi. p. 933.

Under these circumstances the use of counter-charms, *ἀλεξιφάρμακα*, was very natural. Plato, *Polit.* p. 280. Sympathetic cures were frequently tried; see Theocr. ii. 91. An important passage occurs in Demosthenes, *in Aristogit.* p. 793: *ἀλλ' ἐφ' οἷς ὑμεῖς τὴν μιαρὰν Θεοδωρίδα, τὴν Λημνίδα, τὴν φαρμακίδα καὶ αὐτὴν, καὶ τὸ γένος ἅπαν ἀπεκτείνατε, ταῦτα λαβὼν τὰ φάρμακα καὶ τὰς ἐπῳδὰς παρὰ τῆς θεραπαίνης αὐτῆς...μαγγανεύει καὶ φενακίζει καὶ τοὺς ἐπιλήπτους φησὶν ἰᾶσθαι.* The usages customary on such occasions are enumerated in an interesting fragment of Menander (*Meineke*, p. 42):

> *Περιμαξάτωσάν σ' αἱ γυναῖκες, ἐν κύκλῳ*
> *καὶ περιθειωσάτωσαν, ἀπὸ κρουνῶν τριῶν*
> *ὕδατι περίῤῥαν', ἐμβαλὼν ἅλας, φακούς.*

These were called *περικαθαρτήρια*, and the accompanying songs or charms, *ἐπῳδαί*, were considered essential to success. Thus Socrates says ironically: *καὶ ἐγὼ μὲν εἶπον, ὅτι αὐτὸ μὲν εἴη φύλλον τι, ἐπῳδὴ δέ τις ἐπὶ τῷ φαρμάκῳ εἴη, ἣν εἰ μέν τις ἐπᾴδοι ἅμα καὶ χρῷτο αὐτῷ, παντάπασιν ὑγιᾶ ποιοῖ τὸ φάρμακον· ἄνευ δὲ τῆς ἐπῳδῆς οὐδὲν ὄφελος εἴη τοῦ φύλλου.* Plato, *Charm.* p. 155. Those who practised these arts were called *φαρμακοὶ, φαρμακίδες, γόητες*, &c. Cf. Eustath. *ad Il.* xi. 739; *ad Odyss.* i. 260.

EXCURSUS TO SCENE IX.

THE BURIALS.

A VERY prominent feature in the Greek character was the pious conscientiousness with which they discharged those duties which were held to be due from the living to the dead. Among other nations of antiquity we find, it is true, a more pompous ceremonial, and usages more loudly expressive of grief, ending even in the bloody tragedy of self-sacrifice; we observe moreover a gloomy and superstitious veneration for the carefully-treasured relics of defunct kindred; but that modest piety which discharges the last labour of love to the departed, tending carefully the sepulchre, and testifying by often-recurring gifts an enduring recollection, is nowhere so distinctly traceable as among the Greeks. Originally, no doubt, a prudential consideration of the pernicious effects which the non-burial of the dead might have upon the living, may have given rise to the superstition that the unburied dead wandered restlessly about the earth. But as early as the time of Homer this discreet notion had been forgotten, and it was undoubtingly believed that an honourable interment was the happiest lot for the departed, and to provide it the most sacred duty of the survivor. Hence the wish expressed by Odysseus, when his bark is wrecked, that he had fallen before Troy, for then he says, τῷ κ' ἔλαχον κτερέων. In later times, also, splendid obsequies were held to be essential to human happiness: λέγω τοίνυν, ἀεὶ καὶ παντὶ, καὶ παντα̃χοῦ κάλλιστον εἶναι ἀνδρὶ πλουτοῦντι...ὑπὸ τῶν αὐτοῦ ἐκγόνων καλῶς καὶ μεγαλοπρεπῶς ταφῆναι. Plato, *Hipp. Maj.* p. 291.

Hence, except in cases of peculiar animosity, it was a rule among the Greeks not to deprive a fallen foe of the rites of sepulture. Thus in Euripides, *Suppl.* 524, Theseus is made to say:

> νεκροὺς δὲ τοὺς θανόντας, οὐ βλάπτων πόλιν,
> οὐδ' ἀνδροκμῆτας προσφέρων ἀγωνίας,
> θάψαι δικαιῶ, τὸν Πανελλήνων νόμον
> σώζων. τί τούτων ἐστὶν οὐ καλῶς ἔχον;

In cases where passion and hatred caused a departure from this rule, the procedure met with strong disapprobation. See Isocrates,

Platœens. p. 416: ἔστι δ' οὐκ ἴσον κακὸν οὐδ' ὅμοιον τοὺς τεθνεῶτας ταφῆς εἴργεσθαι καὶ τοὺς ζῶντας πατρίδος ἀποστερεῖσθαι καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἀγαθῶν ἁπάντων, ἀλλὰ τὸ μὲν δεινότερον τοῖς κωλύουσιν ἢ τοῖς ἀτυχοῦσιν, κ.τ.λ. And a notion actually existed that animals, and even insects, were capable of a like respect to the dead of their kind. Cf. Plutarch, *de Sol. Anim.* 11.

How much more natural therefore was it that in civil life the duty of sepulture was looked on as a very holy one; so that when the law absolved children from all other duties to unworthy parents, it still made it incumbent on them to provide for them a suitable interment. So the law of Solon cited by Æschines, *in Timarch.* p. 40: μὴ ἐπάναγκες εἶναι τῷ παιδὶ ἠβήσαντι τρέφειν τὸν πατέρα, μήτε οἴκησιν παρέχειν, ὃς ἂν ἐκμισθώσῃ ἑταιρεῖν· ἀποθανόντα δὲ θαπτέτω καὶ τἄλλα ποιείτω τὰ νομιζόμενα. Individual instances of neglect, such as that mentioned by Demosthenes, *in Erat.* p. 786, are referred to in terms which sufficiently shew the horror with which such unnatural conduct was generally regarded. See also Lysias, *in Phil.* p. 883; Isæus, *de Philoctem. Hered.* p. 143; *de Nicostr. Hered.* p. 78. But all these were examples of abandoned people, and mostly outcasts in the public esteem. Ordinary feeling imposed even on strangers coming across a corpse, the duty of at least covering it with earth, should a formal interment be found impracticable. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* v. 14. Again, the very words used to designate funeral rites, such as τὰ δίκαια, νόμιμα or νομιζόμενα, προσήκοντα, shew that obsequies were supposed to be claimed by the departed as their due.

The barbarous usages that accompanied the interments of earlier ages were gradually changed during the march of civilization. Plato, *Min.* p. 315: ὥσπερ καὶ ἡμᾶς αὐτοὺς οἶσθά που καὶ αὐτὸς ἀκούων, οἷοις νόμοις ἐχρώμεθα προτοῦ περὶ τοὺς ἀποθανόντας ἱερεῖά τε προσφάττοντες πρὸ τῆς ἐκφορᾶς τοῦ νεκροῦ καὶ ἐγχυτριστρίας μεταπεμπόμενοι. οἱ δ' αὖ ἐκείνων πρότεροι αὐτοῦ καὶ ἔθαπτον ἐν τῇ οἰκίᾳ τοὺς ἀποθανόντας. ἡμεῖς δὲ τούτων οὐδὲν ποιοῦμεν. At Athens this change was in a great measure wrought by the law of Solon. See Demosth. *in Macart.* p. 1071; cf. Plutarch, *Sol.* 12; *Lyc.* 27.

The best detailed account of the funeral ceremonies is that given by Lucian, *de Luctu*, 10; and there is no reason to suppose that at that period any material changes had taken place. The first thing

done on a person's death was to insert an obolos in his mouth as a ναῦλον for the ferry-man of Hades: ἐπειδάν τις ἀποθάνῃ τῶν οἰκείων, πρῶτα μὲν φέρσντες ὀβολὸν ἐς τὸ στόμα κατέθηκαν αὐτῷ, μισθὸν τῷ πορθμεῖ ναυτιλίας γενησόμενον. Thus in Aristophanes, *Ran.* 140, Dionysos is attended by Xanthias, and therefore has to pay for two:

ἐν πλοιαρίῳ τυννουτωῖ σ' ἀνὴρ γέρων
ναύτης διάξει, δύ' ὀβωλὼ μισθὸν λαβών.

This ναῦλον was also called δανάκη. Hesychius: Δανάκη, νομισμάτιόν τι βαρβαρικὸν (Περσικὸν) δυνάμενον πλέον ὀβολοῦ ὀλίγῳ τινί. ἐλέγετο δὲ καὶ ὁ τοῖς νεκροῖς διδόμενος ὀβολός.

A curious confirmation of these passages was obtained on opening a grave in Cephallenia, when the coin was discovered still sticking between the teeth of the skeleton. Stackelberg, *die Gräber der Hellenen*, p. 42. The dead were provided therewith as soon as possible, it being thought that their transit would be thus expedited. See Lucian, *Catapl.* 18: ἀδικεῖς, ὦ Χάρων, ἕωλον ἤδη νεκρὸν ἀπολιμπάνων. ἀμέλει γράψομαί σε παρανόμων ἐπὶ τοῦ Ῥαθαμάνθυος.

According to Lucian, *de Luctu*, 11, the corpse was next washed, anointed with the most precious perfumes, crowned with flowers, and dressed in a splendid garment: Μετὰ ταῦτα δὲ λούσαντες αὐτοὺς, ὡς οὐχ ἱκανῆς τῆς κάτω λίμνης λουτρὸν εἶναι τοῖς ἐκεῖ, καὶ μύρῳ τῷ καλλίστῳ χρίσαντες τὸ σῶμα πρὸς δυσωδίαν ἤδη βιαζόμενον, καὶ στεφανώσαντες τοῖς ὡραίοις ἄνθεσι, προτίθενται λαμπρῶς ἀμφιέσαντες, ἵνα μὴ ῥιγῷεν δηλονότι παρὰ τὴν ὁδὸν, μηδὲ γυμνοὶ βλέποιντο τῷ Κερβέρῳ. These offices were not performed by a hireling and stranger, as the Roman *pollinctor*, but by the nearest female relatives. Isæus, *de Philoctem. Her.* p. 143; *de Ciron. Her.* p. 209. Hence the demand of Antigone, Eurip. *Phœniss.* 1667:

σὺ δ' ἀλλὰ νεκρῷ λουτρὰ περιβαλεῖν μ' ἔα.

The corpse was always dressed in white. It may be objected that Plato appears to mention this as a distinctive mark in the obsequies of an ἱερεύς, *Leg.* xii. p. 947. There are, however, many other passages which shew that this was always the colour used. Archilochus, ap. Plutarch, *de Aud. Poët.* 6:

εἰ κείνου κεφαλὴν καὶ χαρίεντα μέλη
Ἥφαιστος καθαροῖσιν ἐν εἵμασιν ἀμφεπονήθη.

Cf. Pausan. iv. 13, 1; and Artemidor. *Oneirocr.* ii. 3: Ἀνδρὶ δὲ νοσοῦντι λευκὰ ἔχειν ἱμάτια θάνατον προαγορεύει διὰ τὸ τοὺς ἀποθανόντας ἐν λευκοῖς ἐκφέρεσθαι· τὸ δὲ μέλαν ἱμάτιον σωτηρίαν προσημαίνει. οὐ γὰρ οἱ ἀποθανόντες, ἀλλ' οἱ πενθοῦντες τοὺς ἀποθνήσκοντας τοιούτοις χρῶνται ἱματίοις. In Lucian, *Philops.* 32, some youngsters endeavour to frighten Democritus by dressing themselves νεκρικῶς ἐσθῆτι μελαίνῃ, but this, despite the verdict of the Scholiast, ὅτι τοὺς νεκροὺς οἱ παλαιοὶ μελαίναις στολαῖς ἀμφιέννυσαν, is no argument against the statement above; because death, as well as night, and her children, dreams, was also imagined to be μελάμπεπλος. Eurip. *Alc.* 860; Aristoph. *Ran.* 1336.

The use of garlands appears to have been universal. See Aristoph. *Eccles.* 538; *Lysist.* 602. These were brought by relations and friends, especially on the demise of young persons. So in Alciphron, *Epist.* i. 36, an hetæra complains: ἐγὼ δὲ ἡ τάλαινα θρηνῳδὸν, οὐκ ἐραστὴν, ἔχω, στεφάνιά μοι καὶ ῥόδα, ὥσπερ ἀώρῳ τάφῳ πέμπει. They were composed of the flowers in season, στεφανώσαντες τοῖς ὡραίοις ἄνθεσι, as Lucian says. The leaves of the parsley, σέλινον, appear to have been more usual than anything else. See note 7, p. 135.

It is also asserted that a honey-cake, μελιτοῦττα, was given to the corpse. In Aristoph. *Lysist.* 601, we have:

μελιτοῦτταν ἐγὼ καὶ δὴ μάξω.
λαβὲ ταυτὶ καὶ στεφάνωσαι.

The Scholiast says: ἡ μελιτοῦττα ἐδίδοτο τοῖς νεκροῖς ὡς εἰς τὸν Κέρβερον, καὶ ὀβολὸς τῷ πορθμεῖ, στέφανος, ὡς τὸν βίον διηγωνισμένοις. That this explanation is right, is however far from certain.

The corpse was thus laid out (προτίθεσθαι, πρόθεσις,) on a bed (κλίνη) in the house. The Scholiast on Aristophanes, *Lysist.* 611, says: τοὺς νεκροὺς γὰρ οἱ ἀρχαῖοι προετίθεσαν πρὸ τῶν θυρῶν καὶ ἐκόπτοντο. But this was certainly not the case at Athens, and by a law of Solon it was expressly commanded, τὸν ἀποθανόντα προτίθεσθαι ἔνδον, ὅπως ἂν βούληται. Demosth. *in Macart.* p. 1071. This ceremony seems to have been not only a piece of pageantry, but also in some respects a measure of police. Poll. viii. 65: καὶ αἱ προθέσεις δὲ διὰ τοῦτο ἐγίγνοντο, ὡς ὁρῷτο ὁ νεκρὸς, μή τι βιαίως πέπονθε. It served also to guard against

the burial of a person in a trance. Plato, *Leg.* xii. p. 959. For an account of this *πρόθεσις*, see Aristophanes, *Eccles.* 1030:

> *ὑποστόρεσαί νυν πρῶτα τῆς ὀριγάνου,*
> *καὶ κλήμαθ' ὑπόθου ξυγκλάσασα τέτταρα,*
> *καὶ ταινίωσαι, καὶ παράθου τὰς ληκύθους,*
> *ὕδατός τε κατάθου τοὔστρακον πρὸ τῆς θύρας.*

This custom of laying the bed with *ὀρίγανος* and broken vine-branches does not appear to be elsewhere mentioned. Near the bed were placed earthen vessels painted, which were called by the general name *λήκυθοι*. Cf. *ibid.* v. 538 and 994. The *κλίνη* was an ordinary bedstead, with a *προσκεφάλαιον* to support the head and back. Lysias, *in Eratosth.* p. 395: *ἀλλὰ τῶν φίλων ὁ μὲν ἱμάτιον, ὁ δὲ προσκεφάλαιον, ὁ δὲ ὅ,τι ἕκαστος ἔτυχεν, ἔδωκεν εἰς τὴν ἐκείνου ταφήν.* The face of the corpse was turned to the door, *ἀνὰ πρόθυρα τετραμμένος.* Eustath. *ad Iliad.* xix. 212.

Before the house-door was placed a vessel of water, called *ἀρδάνιον*, in order that visitors on leaving the house might purify themselves; and inasmuch as the house of mourning, with all belonging to it, was considered polluted by the presence of the corpse, this water had to be obtained from another house. Poll. viii. 65: *καὶ οἱ ἐπὶ τὴν οἰκίαν τοῦ πενθοῦντος ἀφικνούμενοι ἐξιόντες ἐκαθαίροντο ὕδατι περιῤῥαινόμενοι. τὸ δὲ προὔκειτο ἐν ἀγγείῳ κεραμέῳ ἐξ ἄλλης οἰκίας κεκομισμένον. τὸ δὲ ὄστρακον ἐκαλεῖτο ἀρδάνιον.* See also Hesychius and Suidas, s. v. *ἀρδανίαι.*

The relatives and friends, as well as others not particularly connected with the deceased, were present in the house, and around the bed the females lamented and wept. The best notion of such a scene may be derived from Plato's regulations as to the burial of an *ἱερεύς*, though we must bear in mind that the description is probably ideal to some extent. *Leg.* xii. p. 947: *τελευτήσασι δὲ προθέσεις τε καὶ ἐκφορὰς καὶ θήκας διαφόρους εἶναι τῶν ἄλλων πολιτῶν. λευκὴν μὲν τὴν στολὴν ἔχειν πᾶσαν, θρήνων δὲ καὶ ὀδυρμῶν χωρὶς γίγνεσθαι. κορῶν δὲ χορὸν πεντεκαίδεκα καὶ ἀῤῥένων ἕτερον περιϊσταμένους τῇ κλίνῃ ἑκατέρους οἷον ὕμνον πεποιημένον ἔπαινον εἰς τοὺς ἱερέας ἐν μέρει ἑκατέρους ᾄδειν, εὐδαιμονίζοντες ᾠδῇ διὰ πάσης τῆς ἡμέρας.* In more ancient times the scenes of woe were offensively exaggerated; but Solon curtailed the ceremony, and forbade the excessive lamentations of the women. Plutarch, *Sol.* 12, and 21: *Ἀμυχὰς δὲ κοπτομένων καὶ*

τὸ θρηνεῖν πεποιημένα, καὶ τὸ κωκύειν ἄλλον ἐν ταφαῖς ἑτέρων ἀφεῖλεν. ἐναγίζειν δὲ βοῦν οὐκ εἴασεν, οὐδὲ συντιθέναι πλέον ἱματίων τριῶν. It is doubtful, however, to what extent this law was complied with. The chorus of virgins at the grave of Agamemnon indulges in all the more extravagant manifestations of grief, such as beating the breast, lacerating the cheeks, rending their garments. Æschyl. *Choëph.* 20—28; cf. Eurip. *Hecub.* 642. The poet, it is true, may have only been faithfully portraying the customs of early times, or indulging in an allowable poetical exaggeration; but there are other reasons for supposing that these rude manifestations of woe prevailed till a later period. Thus Plutarch praises his wife for omitting them on the death of her child. *Consol. ad Uxor.* 3: Καὶ τοῦτο λέγουσιν οἱ παραγενόμενοι καὶ θαυμάζουσιν, ὡς οὐδὲ ἱμάτιον ἀνείληφας πένθιμον, οὐδὲ σαυτῇ τινα πρυσήγαγες ἢ θεραπαινίσιν ἀμορφίαν καὶ αἰκίαν. Cf. Lucian, *de Luctu*, 12: Οἰμωγαὶ δὲ ἐπὶ τούτοις καὶ κωκυτὸς γυναικῶν, καὶ παρὰ πάντων δάκρυα, καὶ στέρνα τυπτόμενα, καὶ σπαραττομένη κόμη, καὶ φοινισσόμεναι παρειαί. καί που καὶ ἐσθὴς καταῤῥήγνυται καὶ κόνις ἐπὶ τῇ κεφαλῇ πάσσεται, καὶ οἱ ζῶντες οἰκτρότεροι τοῦ νεκροῦ. οἱ μὲν γὰρ χαμαὶ καλινδοῦνται πολλάκις, καὶ τὰς κεφαλὰς ἀράττουσι πρὸς τὸ ἔδαφος. The ancient works of art also bear out this representation; see *Mus. Capit.* iv. 40. A law of Charondas went beyond that of Solon; it forbade all manner of lamentation and weeping for the dead. Stob. *Tit.* xliv. 40: Χρὴ δὲ καὶ τῶν τελευτώντων ἕκαστον τιμᾶν, μὴ δακρύοις, μηδὲ οἴκτοις, ἀλλὰ μνήμῃ ἀγαθῇ καὶ τῇ τῶν κατ' ἔτος ὡραίων ἐπιφορᾷ. Solon also enacted that, except the nearest female relatives, no women under sixty years of age should enter the house before the interment. Demosth. *in Macart.* p. 1071: γυναῖκα δὲ μὴ ἐξεῖναι εἰσιέναι εἰς τὰ τοῦ ἀποθανόντος, μηδ' ἀκολουθεῖν ἀποθανόντι, ὅταν εἰς τὰ σήματα ἄγηται, ἐντὸς ἐξήκοντ' ἐτῶν γεγοννῖαν, πλὴν ὅσαι ἐντὸς ἀνεψιαδῶν εἰσί.

The laying out of the corpse took place on the second day after death. An early burial was thought to be pleasing to the defunct. Eustath. *ad Iliad.* viii. 410: νεκροῦ μείλιγμα μὲν ἡ ὠκεῖα ταφή. And in Homer the shade of Patroclos demands of his friend—

θάπτε με ὅττι τάχιστα, πύλας Ἀΐδαο περήσω.

Il. xxiii. 71. Cf. Xenoph. *Memor.* i. 2, 53. Also Isæus, *de Philoctem. Her.* p. 143, mentions it as a matter of grave reproach

that the corpse had been allowed to lie two days without any preparations having been made for the *πρόθεσις*. This indeed is not mentioned in the law of Solon, which however is very imperfectly quoted by Demosthenes; though the deficiency is amply supplied by Antipho, *de Chor.* p. 782.

On the following day the *ἐκφορὰ* legally took place. According to Plato, *Leg.* xii. p. 960, the early morning was the time, *πρὸ ἡμέρας ἔξω τῆς πόλεως εἶναι*, and this is corroborated by Demosthenes, *ibid.*: *ἐκφέρειν δὲ τὸν ἀποθανόντα τῇ ὑστεραίᾳ, ᾗ ἂν προθῶνται, πρὶν ἥλιον ἐξέχειν.* In other places, and perhaps in later times, the burial took place as early as the second day. See Callimachus, *Epigr.* 15; Diog. Laert. i. 122. On the other hand, Timoleon's burial is put off several days, to allow of the arrival of distant friends. Plutarch, *Timol.* 39.

The corpse was carried to the place of interment upon the *κλίνη*. Who the bearers were is doubtful: it is not likely that there were special *νεκροθάπται* for the purpose, though a passage in Pollux, vii. 195, would seem to imply that this was the case: *εἶεν δ' ἂν τινες καὶ νεκροφόροι καὶ ταφεῖς.* No early writer mentions them, and it would seem more probable that relatives performed the office. In particular cases, when an extraordinary distinction was designed for the dead, youths (ephebi) were specially selected for the purpose. Plato, *Leg.* xii. p. 947: *ἔωθεν δ' εἰς τὴν θήκην φέρειν αὐτὴν μὲν τὴν κλίνην ἕκατον τῶν νέων τῶν ἐν τοῖς γυμνασίοις, οὓς ἂν οἱ προσήκοντες τοῦ τελευτήσαντος ἐπόψονται.* Also Plutarch, *Timol.* 39: *καὶ τὸ λέχος οἱ ψηφῳ τῶν νεανίσκων προκριθέντες ἔφερον.* The corpse of Demonax was borne by sophists. Lucian, *Demon.* 67; cf. Plutarch, *Philop.* 21

Hired *θρηνῳδοὶ* preceded or followed the corpse, like the *præficæ*, the *cornicines* and *tubicines* of the Romans. Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 800: *οἷον οἱ περὶ τοὺς τελευτήσαντας μισθούμενοι Καρικῇ τινι μούσῃ προπέμπουσι τοὺς τελευτήσαντας.* It is remarkable that Plato uses the masculine gender, whereas women, *Καρίναι*, are elsewhere mentioned. Hesychius: *Καρίναι, θρηνῳδοὶ μουσικαὶ, αἱ τοὺς νεκροὺς τῷ θρήνῳ παραπέμπουσαι πρὸς τὰς ταφὰς καὶ τὰ κήδη. παρελαμβάνοντο δὲ αἱ ἀπὸ Καρίας γυναῖκες.* Comparing this passage with Pollux, iv. 75, it appears that they were flute-players. Lucian also, *de Luctu*, 20, mentions a hired *θρηνῳδὸς*,

though probably he is referring to the *πρόθεσις* rather than to the *ἐκφορά*. See Schol. ad Aristoph. *Vesp.* 289.

The rest of the procession consisted of the relations, and others who chose to join it; the men before, and the women behind, according to Solon's law, apud Demosth. *in Macart.* p. 1071: *βαδίζειν δὲ τοὺς ἄνδρας πρόσθεν, ὅταν ἐκφέρωνται, τὰς δὲ γυναῖκας ὄπισθεν.* Plato arranges his funeral procession much in the same way: *πρώτους δὲ προϊέναι τοὺς ἡϊθέους, τὴν πολεμικὴν σκευὴν ἐνδεδυκότας ἑκάστους...καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ὡσαύτως. παῖδας δὲ περὶ αὐτὴν τὴν κλίνην ἔμπροσθεν τὸ πάτριον μέλος ἐφυμνεῖν. καὶ κόρας ἑπομένας ἐξόπισθεν ὅσαι τ' ἂν γυναῖκες τῆς παιδοποιήσεως ἀπηλλαγμέναι τυγχάνωσι.* Women who were not at least first cousin's children to the deceased were not allowed to follow, except in the case of those above sixty years of age. Demosth. *ibid.*: *μηδ' ἀκολουθεῖν ἀποθανόντι, ὅταν εἰς τὰ σήματα ἄγηται, ἐντὸς ἑξήκοντ' ἐτῶν γεγοννῖαν, πλὴν ὅσαι ἐντὸς ἀνεψιαδῶν εἰσίν.* The case of a daughter following her step-mother is mentioned by Lysias, *de Cæd. Erat.* p. 11. The rule seems also to be violated in Terence, *Andr.* i. 1, 90.

There has been a great deal of discussion as to whether the corpse was buried or burnt. Lucian, *de Luctu*, 21, says, *ὁ μὲν Ἕλλην ἔκαυσεν, ὁ δὲ Πέρσης ἔθαψεν*, and this sweeping conclusion is adopted by Böttiger without reserve or limitation. Wachsmuth, on the contrary, says, 'In the historical period interment was universal.' *Hellen. Alterthumsk.* ii. 2, p. 79. But neither are right; for, in the first place, there is abundant evidence that burning the corpse was not restricted to the heroic age, but was practised in every period. See Plato, *Phæd.* p. 115: *ἵνα Κρίτων ῥᾷον φέρῃ καὶ μὴ, ὁρῶν μου τὸ σῶμα ἢ καόμενον ἢ κατορυττόμενον, ἀγανακτῇ ὑπὲρ ἐμοῦ, ὡς δεινὰ ἄττα πάσχοντος.* See also Plutarch, *de Aud. poët.* 6. So Isæus, *de Nicostr. Her.* p. 78: *οὔτ' ἔκαυσεν, οὔτε ὡστολόγησεν.* And the legend of Solon's ashes being strewed about Salamis, although pronounced by Plutarch *ἀπίθανος παντάπασι καὶ μυθώδης*, shews that his cremation was pre-supposed. But to come to historical facts, we read that Timoleon was actually burnt. Plutarch, *Timol.* 39: *τῆς κλίνης ἐπὶ τὴν πυρὰν τεθείσης.* Cf. Id. *Philop.* 21: *τὸ δὲ σῶμα καύσαντες αὐτοῦ, καὶ τὰ λείψανα συνθέντες εἰς ὑδρίαν.* Also in Lycon's will, apud

Diog. Laert. v. 70, it is ordered: *περὶ δὲ τῆς ἐκφορᾶς καὶ καύσεως ἐπιμελεθήτωσαν* Βούλων *καὶ* Καλλῖνος *μετὰ τῶν συνήθων.* Cf. Plutarch, *Dec. Or. Vit.* p. 405; Lucian, *Nigr.* 30. How then, in the face of these examples, can it be affirmed that interment was exclusively practised at any period?

On the other hand, there is the clearest evidence to shew that the dead were also inhumed in the proper sense of the word. The word *θάπτειν*, it is true, proves nothing, as it is applied to all modes of sepulture, and is even used with regard to ashes after burning. Dionys. Hal. *Ant. Rom.* v. 48: *ἐμέλλησαν αὐτὸν... καίειν τε καὶ θάπτειν.* The proper expression for inhumation is *κατορύττειν*, as in Plato, *Phæd.* p. 115, *supra*, where it is opposed to *καίειν*. It is doubtful whether *σοροὶ*, *πύελοι*, *ληνοὶ*, and *δροῖται*, mean actual coffins for unburnt corpses, or receptacles for ashes. Cf. Homer, *Ilias*, xxiii. 91; xxiv. 795. But all doubts respecting a later period are removed by a fragment of Pherecrates, apud Pollux, x. 150, where *κατορύττειν* is used in connexion with *ληνοὶ*; see also Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 600; *Vesp.* 1365; Eurip. *Suppl.* 531. That inhumation was customary in very early times is shewn by the tales of opened graves. See the legend about the bones of Theseus, which were brought from Scyros to Athens, in consequence of a Delphic oracle. Plutarch, *Thes.* 36: *εὑρέθη δὲ θήκη τε μεγάλου σώματος, αἰχμή τε παρακειμένη χαλκῆ καὶ ξίφος.* But the custom is proved to a certainty by the contest between Athens and Megara for the possession of Salamis, where the claims of each party were based on the different modes of burial. Plutarch, *Sol.* 10: *Θάπτουσι δὲ Μεγαρεῖς πρὸς ἕω τοὺς νεκροὺς στρέφοντες· Ἀθηναῖοι δὲ πρὸς ἑσπέραν. Ἡρέας δ' ὁ Μεγαρεὺς ἐνιστάμενος λέγει, καὶ Μεγαρέας πρὸς ἑσπέραν τετραμμένα τὰ σώματα τῶν νεκρῶν τιθέναι.* Cf. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* v. 14; vii. 19; Diog. Laert. i. 48. Also Pausanias, ii. 7, 3, speaking of the Sicyonians, says, *τὸ μὲν σῶμα γῇ κρύπτουσι.* At Sparta also, the custom of inhumation was the prevailing one. Plutarch, *Lyc.* 27; Thucyd. i. 134.

These passages prove beyond dispute that burying and burning were practised coevally. In Lucian's time also, burying must have been customary, notwithstanding what he says, (*ὁ μὲν Ἕλλην ἔκαυσε, κ.τ.λ.*) for otherwise there would be no point in his proverbs, *τὸν ἕτερον πόδα ἐν τῇ σορῷ ἔχων*, (*Hermot.* 78,) and,

οἰόμενος ἐπιβήσειν αὐτὸν τοῦ σοροῦ. (*Mort. dial.* vi. 4.) Cf. Appuleius, *Metam.* iv. p. 277; and x. p. 699, where a Greek original is probably alluded to.

But setting aside all this weight of documentary evidence, the co-existence of both methods is proved incontestably by the excavations of ancient Grecian graves. In Magna Græcia several unburnt skeletons have been discovered surrounded by earthen vases. Böttiger, it is true, is loth to admit that these were Grecian corpses, but this objection has been set at rest by the discovery, in Greece itself, of undisturbed skeletons, in addition to the remains of corpses that had been burnt. See Stackelberg's invaluable work, *Die Gräber der Hellenen.* One custom might, no doubt, have been more common than the other at any particular period; but neither was totally superseded till the extension of Christianity by degrees put an end to burning.

In some cases the coffins were of wood. Thus in Thucydides, ii. 34, λάρνακες κυπαρίσσιναι are mentioned. More usually, however, they were the work of the potter. For their forms, see Stackelberg, Pl. 7 and 8. According to him, the oldest form was a three-sided prism, constructed of tiles, which were sometimes ornamented with arabesques: as in Pl. 5 and 6. The coffin of a child given in Pl. 8 is very interesting; it is in one piece, and is a regular πύελος or μάκτρα, oval and trough-shaped, and varnished black, with a red stripe running round. Those discovered at Capua and Nola are rather vaults of masonry than coffins. They were constructed of stones or tiles, with slabs placed on the low vertical walls so as to form a kind of gable roof. But there were also coffins of stone in Greece; and if we may trust the genuineness of the letter produced by Pollux, x. 150, the remarkable properties of the *lapis sarcophagus* from Assos were known in Plato's time. Cf. Plin. *Nat. Hist.* ii. 96; xxxvi. 17.

There are no certain accounts as to whether the body was burnt at the place of sepulture, or at a spot appropriated to the purpose, καῦστρον. If Terence, *Andr.* i. 1, 100, is to be received as an authority, the former was the case: 'sequimur; ad sepulchrum venimus; in ignem imposita est.' Timoleon's corpse, however, does not appear to have been burnt at the place of sepulture. The remains were collected and placed in a cinerary made of clay

or bronze. See Isæus, *de Nicostr. her.* p. 78; Sophocl. *Electr.* 54, 747.

The tombs, θῆκαι, τάφοι, μνήματα, μνημεῖα, and σήματα, were not all placed in one common spot appropriated to the purpose. In ancient times, according to Plato, a person's own house was used as his place of sepulture, in order that the remains of the defunct might be as near as possible to his friends. Plato, *Min.* p. 315. But it was afterwards forbidden to bury within the city, chiefly, no doubt, from an idea of the contamination arising from the contact or neighbourhood of corpses. This was the case in Athens at least, and Sicyon, though the feeling was far from being universal throughout Greece. Lycurgus, in order to accustom the Spartans to survey death without fear or aversion, allowed or rather commanded burials within the city. Plutarch, *Lycurg.* 27; *Apophth. Lac.* i. p. 954. This, however, is only in keeping with the other peculiarities of Spartan customs and legislation. Cf. Thucyd. i. 134. At Tarentum all the graves, in obedience to an oracle, were in a particular quarter of the city. Polyb. viii. 30: Τὸ γὰρ πρὸς ἕω μέρος τῆς τῶν Ταραντίνων πόλεως μνημάτων ἐστὶ πλῆρες διὰ τὸ τοὺς τελευτήσαντας ἔτι καὶ νῦν θάπτεσθαι παρ' αὐτοῖς πάντας ἐντὸς τῶν τειχῶν κατά τι λόγιον ἀρχαῖον. This was likewise the case at Megara; Pausan. i. 43, 2: εἰσὶ δὲ τάφοι Μεγαρέων ἐν τῇ πόλει. Timoleon's ashes were buried in the market-place of Syracuse, and a gymnasium, called after his name, was built over the spot; Plutarch, *Timol.* 39. Many other instances might be adduced. On the other hand, Plutarch, *Arat.* 53, speaking of Sicyon, says: νόμου ὄντος ἀρχαίου, μηδένα θάπτεσθαι τειχῶν ἐντός, ἰσχυρᾶς τε τῷ νόμῳ δεισιδαιμονίας προσούσης. The very necessity of a special law, however, shews that burial within the walls must have been usual elsewhere. But at Athens even the cenotaphs of the fallen warriors were outside the walls, on the road to the Academy; (Thucyd. ii. 34; Pausan. i. 29, 4;) and at Delos, from the time of Peisistratos, no graves were allowed in sight of the temple, and, after the sixth year of the Peloponnesian war, nowhere at all on the island. Thucyd. iii. 104: τότε δὲ πᾶσα ἐκαθάρθη τοιῷδε τρόπῳ· θῆκαι ὅσαι ἦσαν τῶν τεθνεώτων ἐν Δήλῳ, πάσας ἀνεῖλον, καὶ τὸ λοιπὸν προεῖπον, μήτε ἐναποθνήσκειν ἐν τῇ νήσῳ, μήτε ἐντίκτειν, ἀλλ' ἐς τὴν Ῥήνειαν διακομίζεσθαι.

Those Athenians who possessed a piece of land, frequently left directions to have themselves buried in it, and hence the graves were often in the fields; see Demosth. *in Euerg.* p. 1159. A more usual choice, however, was by some frequented roadside. Thus the family sepulchre of Isocrates was near the Cynosarges, and that of Thucydides by the Melitic gate. Plutarch, *Dec. Or. Vit.* p. 363. So too on a child's tombstone we read,

ἣν γονέες πενθούντες ἐπὶ τρίοδου κατέθαψαν.

See *Corp. Inscr.* p. 545, no. 1003. But for those who neither possessed such ground, nor the means of purchasing it, there was a public place of burial. At Athens this was the space between the Itonic gate and the road to the Piræus, and the gate leading thither was hence called the Grave-gate,—Ἡρίαι πύλαι. Theophr. *Char.* 14: πόσους οἴει κατὰ τὰς Ἡρίας πύλας ἐξενηνέχθαι νεκρούς; *Etym. M.*: Ἡρίαι πύλαι Ἀθήνησι διὰ τὸ τοὺς νεκροὺς ἐκφέρεσθαι ἐκεῖ ἐπὶ τὰ ἡρία, ὅ ἐστι τοὺς τάφους. Cf. Pollux, ix. 15; Harpocr. s. v. Ἡρία.

These tombs remained the inviolable property of the family, and no stranger was ever allowed to be buried therein; so that even before a court of justice this was brought forward as evidence of consanguinity. Demosth. *in Eubul.* p. 1307: ἔθαψε τούτους εἰς τὰ πατρῷα μνήματα, ὧν ὅσοιπέρ εἰσι τοῦ γένους κοινωνοῦσι. καὶ τούτων οὐδεὶς οὐκ ἀπεῖπε πώποτε, οὐκ ἐκώλυσεν, οὐ δίκην ἔλαχε. καίτοι τίς ἂν ἐστιν, ὅστις εἰς τὰ πατρῷα μνήματα τοὺς μηδὲν ἐν γένει προσήκοντας τιθέναι ἐάσαι. Id. *in Macart.* p. 1077: ἀλλὰ καὶ μνήματος ὄντος κοινοῦ ἅπασι τοῖς ἀπὸ τοῦ Βουσέλου γενομένοις, κ.τ.λ. Burying in another's grave was forbidden by a law of Solon. Cic. *de Leg.* ii. 26: 'de sepulcris autem nihil est apud Solonem amplius quam, ne quis ea deleat, neve alienum inferat.'

The construction of these tombs has been completely ascertained by excavations. Setting aside the mere heaps of earth or stones, χώματα, κολῶναι, τύμβοι, they may be classified in four principal divisions: shafts, στήλαι—regular columns, κίονες—small temple-shaped edifices, ναΐδια, or ἡρῷα—and horizontal gravestones, τράπεζαι. Cicero, *de Leg.* ii. 25, extols the ancient simplicity of Old-Athenian burials: but his account is not corroborated by any Greek author. Above the hillock a stone monument was usually erected, and this frequently at so great an expense, that after Solon's time, it was found necessary to curb the practice

by law. Cicero, *de Leg.* ii. 26: 'ne quis sepulcrum faceret operosius, quam quod decem homines effecerint triduo.' Cf. Plato, *Leg.* xii. p. 959: χῶμα δὲ μὴ χωννύναι ὑψηλότερον πέντε ἀνδρῶν ἔργου, ἐν πένθ' ἡμέραις ἀποτελούμενον. λίθινα δὲ ἐπιστήματα μὴ μείζω ποιεῖν ἢ ὅσα δέχεσθαι τὰ τοῦ τετελευτηκότος ἐγκώμια βίου, μὴ πλείω τεττάρων ἡρωϊκῶν στίχων. This sumptuary enactment does not seem, however, to have remained long in force, as great sums continued to be spent on the erection of monuments. Thus one—a modest erection—is mentioned as costing twenty-five minæ; Lysias, *in Diogit.* p. 905. So Phormio erects one to his wife at an expense of more than two talents; Demosth. *in Steph.* i. p. 1125. Of the monument to Isocrates, we are told by Plutarch, *Dec. Orat. Vit.* p. 364: αὐτῷ δὲ Ἰσοκράτει ἐπὶ τοῦ μνήματος ἐπῆν κίων τριάκοντα πηχῶν, ἐφ' οὗ σειρὴν πηχῶν ἑπτὰ συμβολικῶς. According to Cicero, *ibid.*, Demetrius Phalereus again tried unsuccessfully to restrain this sumptuousness. 'Sepulchris autem novis finivit modum; nam super terræ tumulum noluit quid statui, nisi columellam tribus cubitis ne altiorem, aut mensam, aut labellum, et huic procurationi certum magistratum præfecerat.'

The στῆλαι, in their strict signification—for the word often denotes tombs generally—were slabs of stone standing upright, rather than pillars. Upon these usually rested an ἐπίθημα, sometimes gable-shaped, sometimes rounded like a coping-tile, and mostly ornamented with arabesques. Often too they were adorned with reliefs or paintings. Pausan. ii. 7, 4. See Stackelberg, Pl. 1—6. The Sicyonian grave-stones were all of one peculiar form. Pausan. ii. 7, 3: λίθου δὲ ἐποικοδομήσαντες κρηπῖδα κίονας ἐφιστᾶσι καὶ ἐπ' αὐτοῖς ἐπίθημα ποιοῦσι κατὰ τοὺς ἀετοὺς μάλιστα τοὺς ἐν τοῖς ναοῖς.

The regular columns, κίονες, very frequently occur on vases. See Stackelberg, Pl. 44—46; Millin, *Peint. d. Vas.* i. 16; ii. 29, 51; Millingen, *Peint. d. Vas.* 39. The *mensa* mentioned by Cicero was a cubical or other four-cornered stone, having a flat surface above, while on the sides there were perhaps reliefs. See Plutarch, *Dec. Orat. Vit.* p. 364: ἦν δὲ καὶ αὐτοῦ τράπεζα πλησίον ἔχουσα ποιητάς τε καὶ τοὺς διδασκάλους αὐτοῦ, ἐν οἷς καὶ Γοργίαν εἰς σφαῖραν ἀστρολογικὴν βλέποντα, αὐτόν τε τὸν Ἰσοκράτην παρεστῶτα. The *labella* are probably identical with

the πύελοι or λῆνοί, and so perhaps are many of the so-called sarcophagi.

The inscription contained, in addition to the name of the deceased, a few notices about his life in an epigrammatic form, admonitions addressed to the survivors, and frequently imprecations on any one who should touch or desecrate the tomb. For example, see Böckh, *Corp. Inscr.* p. 531, no. 916: Παραδίδωμι τοῖς καταχθονίο[ι]ς θεοῖς τοῦτο τὸ ἡρῷον φυλάσσειν, Πλούτωνι καὶ Δήμητρι καὶ Περσεφόνῃ καὶ Ἐρ[ι]νύσι καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς κατα[χ]θονίοις θεοῖς. εἴ τις ἀποκοσμήσει τοῦτο τὸ ἡρῷον ἢ ἀναστομ[ώ]σει ἤ τι καὶ ἕτερον μετακινήσει ἢ αὐτὸς ἢ δι' ἄλλου, μὴ γῆ βατὴ, μὴ θάλασσα πλωτὴ [ἔσται], ἀλλὰ ἐκριζωθήσεται παγενεί. πᾶσι τοῖς κακοῖς πεῖραν δώσει καὶ φρείκη καὶ π[υ]ρε[τῷ τριταίῳ] καὶ τεταρταίῳ καὶ ἐλέφαντι. καὶ ὅσα κακὰ καὶ [ὀλέθρια] γίνεται, ταῦτα γενέσθω τῷ τολμήσαντι ἐκ τούτου τοῦ ἡρῴου μετακινῆσαί τι. Also *ib.* p. 541, nos. 989, 990, 991; and *Gallus*, p. 522. Many directed that slaves should keep watch by the tomb. Lucian, *Nigr.* 30. In some places, however, the epitaphs were usually short and simple. Thus Pausanias, ii. 7, 3, speaking of Sicyon, says: ἐπίγραμμα δὲ ἄλλο μὲν γράφουσιν οὐδέν, τὸ δὲ ὄνομα ἐφ' ἑαυτοῦ καὶ οὐ πατρόθεν ὑπειπόντες κελεύουσι τὸν νεκρὸν χαίρειν. Lycurgus would not even allow the name to be inscribed. Plutarch, *Lyc.* 27. One Diodoros wrote a special work περὶ μνημάτων. Plutarch, *Themist.* 32; *Dec. Orat. Vit.* p. 406. Age seems to have made no difference, for monuments with inscriptions were erected to children who died in early infancy. *Corp. Inscr.* p. 544, no. 997; p. 500, no. 632; p. 535, no. 942.

Various effects were put into the tomb along with the corpse, such as earthen vessels, and certainly the λήκυθοι which had served at the πρόθεσις, in like manner as, in case of burning, they were placed on the pyre. This was a very ancient custom, as is seen from what Agesilaos found on opening the reputed grave of Alcmene. Plutarch, *de Gen. Socr.* 5. Within were discovered: ψέλλιον χαλκοῦν οὐ μέγα καὶ δύο ἀμφορέες κεράμειοι γῆν ἔχοντες ἐντὸς ὑπὸ χρόνου λελιθωμένην ἧδη καὶ συμπεπηγυῖαν. To whomsoever this grave belonged, it was at all events one of very ancient date, as is shewn by the brazen tablets inscribed with strange characters. The invaluable collections of painted vases which we possess, were all discovered in tombs, and the style of the

painting shews that the practice endured over the best period of Grecian art. The time of the decline of the custom can be known only from conjecture. It is certain, however, that it was so utterly forgotten in Greece at Cæsar's time, that when, on the rebuilding of Corinth, graves were discovered containing such vessels, these were regarded by the Romans as curiosities, and eagerly bought up. See Strabo, viii. 6, 23.

In the walled graves of Magna Græcia these vessels either stand round the corpse, or hang on the walls; so also in the earthen coffins. In the coffin of a child which has been already mentioned, p. 392, there were fifteen vessels of various shapes, among which were four large *lecythi*, as well as four sitting figures of earthenware. Mirrors, trinkets, and so forth, were also put into the tomb. See Stackelberg, Pl. 72.

The burial was followed by a funeral-feast, *περίδειπνον*. Lucian, *de Luctu*, 24: *ἐπὶ πᾶσι δὲ τούτοις τὸ περίδειπνον, καὶ πάρεισιν οἱ προσήκοντες καὶ τοὺς γονέας παραμυθοῦνται τοῦ τετελευτηκότος, καὶ πείθουσι γεύσασθαι ὡς οὐκ ἀηδῶς, μὰ Δί', οὐδ' αὐτοὺς ἀναγκαζομένους, ἀλλ' ἤδη ὑπὸ λιμοῦ τριῶν ἐξῆς ἡμερῶν ἀπηυδηκότας.* See Cic. *Leg.* ii. 25. It was naturally held in the house of the nearest relative. When Demosthenes was selected to deliver the funeral oration for those who had fallen at Chæroneia, their parents and brothers agreed to celebrate the *περίδειπνον* at his house, he being regarded as the representative of all. Demosth. *de Coron.* p. 321: *ἀλλὰ δέον ποιεῖν αὐτοὺς τὸ περίδειπνον, ὡς παρ' οἰκειοτάτῳ τῶν τετελευτηκότων, ὥσπερ τἄλλ' εἴωθε γίγνεσθαι, τοῦτ' ἐποίησαν παρ' ἐμοί.* Cf. Id. *in Macart.* p. 1071. On these occasions the deceased person was regarded as the host. Artemidor. *Oneirocr.* v. 82: *Ἔθος μὲν γὰρ τοῖς συμβιώταις καὶ εἰς τὰ τῶν ἀποθανόντων εἰσιέναι καὶ δειπνεῖν. ἡ δὲ ὑποδοχὴ λέγεται γενέσθαι ὑπὸ τοῦ ἀποθανόντος κατὰ τιμὴν τὴν ἐκ τῶν συμβιωτῶν εἰς τὸν ἀποθανόντα.* Cf. Plutarch, *Frag.* v. p. 881.

On the succeeding days various sacrifices took place. Poll. viii. 146: *Προθέσεις, ἐκφοραί, τρίτα, ἔννατα, τριακάδες, ἐναγίσματα, χοαί, τὰ νενομισμένα.* First come the *τρίτα*, which happened on the third day. Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 611:

> *μῶν ἐγκαλεῖς, ὅτι οὐχὶ προὐθέμεσθά σε;*
> *ἀλλ' ἐς τρίτην γοῦν ἡμέραν σοι πρῳ πάνυ*
> *ἥξει παρ' ἡμῶν τὰ τρίτ' ἐπεσκευασμένα.*

Schol.: *ἐπειδὴ τῇ τρίτῃ τὸ τῶν νεκρῶν ἄριστον ἐφέρετο.* But the most important sacrifice was the *ἔνατα* or *ἔννατα*, which took place on the ninth day, and formed the conclusion of the regular obsequies. Æschin. *in Ctesiph.* p. 617; Isæus, *de Ciron. Her.* p. 224. In what the *ἔνατα* consisted does not clearly appear, though from Plautus, *Aul.* ii. 4, 45, it would seem to have been a formal feast prepared for the dead. Cf. Id. *Pseud.* iii. 2, 4. But the mourning of the survivors was not yet complete. See Æschin. *in Ctesiph.* p. 468; Plutarch, *Demosth.* 22. At Athens it probably terminated on the thirtieth day, as may be inferred from Lysias, *de Cæde Erat.* p. 15: *ἔδοξε δέ μοι, ὦ ἄνδρες, ἐψιμυθιῶσθαι, τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ τεθνεῶτος οὔπω τριάκονθ' ἡμέρας.* Cf. Poll. i. 66; and Harpocr. s. v. *τριακάς.* At Sparta Lycurgus ordered that the mourning should finish sooner; Plutarch, *Lycurg.* 27: *Χρόνον δὲ πένθους ὀλίγον προσώρισεν, ἡμέρας ἕνδεκα· τῇ δὲ δωδεκάτῃ θύσαντας ἔδει Δήμητρι λύειν τὸ πένθος.* With regard to the custom at Argos, see Plutarch, *Quæst. Gr.* 24.

The outward signs of mourning consisted in a studied avoidance of everything betokening joy and happiness; the usual dress was laid aside, and even the hair was cut off. From the very earliest times the customs of cutting off the hair and putting on black garments appear to have prevailed. See Æschyl. *Choëph.* 7; Eurip. *Helen.* 1087:

> *ἐγὼ δ' ἐς οἴκους βᾶσα βοστρύχους τεμῶ,*
> *πέπλων τε λευκῶν μέλανας ἀνταλλάξομαι.*

Iphig. in Aul. 1416:

> *μήτ' οὖν γε τὸν σὸν πλόκαμον ἐκτέμῃς τριχὸς,*
> *μήτ' ἀμφὶ σῶμα μέλανας ἀμπίσχῃ πέπλους.*

Cf. Isæus, *de Nicostr. Her.* p. 71. These customs endured till a very late period. Plutarch, *Consol. ad Ux.* 4: *κουρὰς συγχωρεῖν πενθίμους καὶ βαφὰς ἐσθῆτος μελαίνης.* Athen. xv. p. 675. Thus also on the death of any very popular personage, as a general, it sometimes happened that all the army cut off their hair and the manes of their horses; a custom also practised by the barbarians. Plutarch, *Pelop.* 33; Herodot. ix. 24. So Alexander, on the death of Hephæstion, had the folly to cause the battlements of several towns to be razed. Plutarch, *Alex.* 72: *εὐθὺς μὲν ἵππους τε κεῖραι πάντας ἐπὶ πένθει καὶ ἡμιόνους ἐκέλευσε καὶ τῶν πέριξ πόλεων ἀφεῖλε τὰς ἐπάλξεις.* Cf. Id. *Pelop.*

34; and Ælian, *Var. Hist.* vii. 8. After all this, it is not easy to understand the meaning of a passage in Plutarch, *Quæst. Rom.* 14, where he says: καὶ γὰρ παρ' Ἕλλησιν, ὅταν δυστυχία τις γένηται, κείρονται μὲν αἱ γυναῖκες, κομῶσι δὲ οἱ ἄνδρες, ὅτι τοῖς μὲν τὸ κείρεσθαι, ταῖς δὲ τὸ κομᾶν σύνηθές ἐστιν. In this assertion he is supported by Artemidorus, *Oneirocr.* i. 19, though the statement appears to be contradicted by Athenæus, xv. p. 675; by Eustathius, *ad Iliad.* ii. 6; and even by Plutarch himself, *de superst.* 7. These passages appear to be irreconcilable, unless we suppose the custom to have altered.

The mourning dress was, as we have seen, generally black; hence the boast of Pericles: οὐδεὶς δι' ἐμὲ τῶν ὄντων Ἀθηναίων μέλαν ἱμάτιον περιεβάλετο. Plutarch, *Peric.* 38. Black garments were worn not only in cases of death, but also on other occasions of mourning. Lysias, *in Agorat.* p. 469: καὶ δὴ καὶ Διονυσόδωρος μεταπέμπεται τὴν ἀδελφὴν τὴν ἐμὴν εἰς τὸ δεσμωτήριον, γυναῖκα ἑαυτοῦ οὖσαν. πυθομένη δ' ἐκείνη ἀφικνεῖται μέλαν τε ἱμάτιον ἠμφιεσμένη, ὡς εἰκὸς ἦν ἐπὶ τῷ ἀνδρὶ αὐτῆς, τοιαύτῃ συμφορᾷ κεχρημένῳ. Cf. Aristoph. *Acharn.* 1023. In different states, however, the custom varied; at Argos, for instance, the colour of mourning was white. Plutarch, *Quæst. Rom.* 26: ἐν δὲ Ἄργει λευκὰ φοροῦσιν ἐν τοῖς πένθεσι, ὡς Σωκράτης φησίν, ὑδατόκλυστα. It should also be observed that in every case a black himation only, is mentioned; and it is the more probable that the chiton was not changed, because dark-coloured undergarments were frequently worn in common life.

The graves were piously and assiduously tended by the surviving relatives; the light in which they were regarded is shewn by the mention of them in the climax of the impassioned harangue before the battle of Salamis. Æschyl. *Pers.* 408:

> ὦ παῖδες Ἑλλήνων, ἴτε
> ἐλευθεροῦτε πατρίδ', ἐλευθεροῦτε δὲ
> παῖδας, γυναῖκας, θεῶν τε πατρῴων ἕδη,
> θήκας τε προγόνων· νῦν ὑπὲρ πάντων ἀγών.

See also Lycurg. *in Leocr.* p. 141: τί γὰρ χρὴ παθεῖν τὸν ἐκλιπόντα μὲν τὴν πατρίδα, μὴ βοηθήσαντα δὲ τοῖς πατρῴοις ἱεροῖς, ἐγκαταλιπόντα δὲ τὰς τῶν προγόνων θήκας; It was also usual, at the Docimasia of the Athenian Archons, to enquire whether the candidate had neglected the graves of his forefathers. Xenoph.

Memor. ii. 2, 13: Καὶ, νὴ Δία, ἐάν τις τῶν γονέων τελευτησάντων τοὺς τάφους μὴ κοσμῇ, καὶ τοῦτο ἐξετάζει ἡ πόλις ἐν ταῖς τῶν ἀρχόντων δοκιμασίαις. Cf. Dinarch. *in Aristog.* p. 86; Isocr. *Platæens.* p. 418. On stated days the tombs were crowned and adorned with *turniæ*, and various offerings were made. One of the ceremonies in honour of the dead was the γενέσια, mentioned as a Grecian custom by Herodotus, iv. 26, and which has been variously explained. The most reasonable solution of the difficulty is that which the etymology would indicate, namely, that it was the festival on the birth-day of the defunct; and this is confirmed by the will of Epicurus; Diog. Laert. x. 18: σκοπούμενοι εἴς τε τὰ ἐναγίσματα τῷ τε πατρὶ καὶ τῇ μητρὶ, καὶ τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς, καὶ ἡμῖν εἰς τὴν εἰθισμένην ἄγεσθαι γενέθλιον ἡμέραν ἑκάστου ἔτους τῇ προτέρᾳ δεκάτῃ τυῦ Γαμηλιῶνος. See also Suidas; Ammonius; and Lobeck on Phryn. p. 104. Besides this there was another festival held on the anniversary of the day when the person died. At Athens also there was a public festival in honour of the dead, called νεκύσια. Hesychius: Γενέσια, ἑορτὴ πένθιμος Ἀθηναίοις· οἱ δὲ τὰ νεκύσια. καὶ ἐν τῇ ἡμέρᾳ τῇ γῇ θύουσι. These were what are called ἀποφράδες ἡμέραι, by Plato, *Leg.* vii. p. 800. Tim. *Lex.* p. 41: Ἀποφράδες ἡμέραι, ἐν αἷς τοῖς κατοιχομένοις χοὰς ἐπιφέρουσιν.

The process of sacrificing at the grave was called ἐναγίζειν, and the sacrifice itself ἐνάγισμα, usually χοαί; and, when combined with bloody offerings, αἱμακουρίαι. Æschylus, *Pers.* 615—624, enumerates the ingredients of which the χοαὶ consisted, namely, milk, honey, water, wine, olives, and flowers. But this was not all, for regular banquets were set out, and burnt in honour of the dead. Lucian, *Char. s. Contempl.* 22: Τί οὖν ἐκεῖνοι στεφανοῦσι τοὺς λίθους καὶ χρίουσι μύρῳ; οἱ δὲ καὶ πυρὰν νήσαντες πρὸ τῶν χωμάτων, καὶ βόθρον τινὰ ὀρύξαντες καίουσί τε ταυτὶ τὰ πολυτελῆ δεῖπνα καὶ εἰς τὰ ὀρύγματα οἶνον καὶ μελίκρατον, ὡς γοῦν εἰκάσαι, ἐγχέουσιν. Cf. Id. *de Merc. Cond.* 28; Artemidor. *Oneirocr.* iv. 81. Solon forbade any but relatives to take part in these rites; he also forbade the sacrifice of heifers. Plutarch, *Sol.* 21; Böckh, ad Pind. *Olymp.* i. p. 112.

It was considered a pious duty to visit the grave, not only on these fixed days, but at other times, from a belief that the presence of those who had been friends of the deceased in this life, was as

agreeable, as the approach of his enemies was hateful. Isæus, *de Astyph. Her.* p. 232: καὶ τὸν ἐμὸν πατέρα ἀσθενοῦντα ἐπὶ τὸ μνῆμα ἤγαγον, εὖ εἰδότες, ὅτι ἀσπάζοιτο αὐτὸν Ἀστύφιλος. Again, p. 242, a dying person wills that certain parties should not approach his tomb. Cf. Sophocl. *Ajax*, 1372:

> σὲ δ', ὦ γεραιοῦ σπέρμα Λαέρτου πατρὸς,
> τάφου μὲν ὀκνῶ τοῦδ' ἐπιψαύειν ἐᾶν,
> μὴ τῷ θανόντι τοῦτο δυσχερὲς ποιῶ.

It now only remains to allude to the particular cases in which, from religious or political causes, the burial was omitted altogether, or was performed in an extraordinary manner; or when, it being impossible to recover the body, vicarious ceremonies only, were performed. Firstly, the bodies of those struck by lightning were either left uninterred, or at least were not placed in a tomb with others, since they were looked on as struck by the deity, and therefore ἱεροὺς νεκρούς. See Eurip. *Suppl.* 935:

> Θ. τὸν μὲν Διὸς πληγέντα Καπανέα πυρὶ—
> Α. ἦ χωρὶς, ἱερὸν ὡς νεκρὸν, θάψαι θέλεις;
> Θ. ναί. τοὺς δέ γ' ἄλλους πάντας ἐν μιᾷ πυρᾷ.

Also Artemid. *Oneirocr.* ii. 9: οὐδεὶς γὰρ κεραυνωθεὶς ἄτιμός ἐστιν· ὅθεν γε καὶ ὡς θεὸς τιμᾶται...οὐ γὰρ οἱ κεραυνωθέντες μετατίθενται, ἀλλ' ὅπου ἂν ὑπὸ τοῦ πυρὸς καταληφθῶσιν, ἐνταῦθα θάπτονται. Cf. Philost. *Imag.* ii. 31; and Plutarch, *Sympos.* iv. 2, 3. Malefactors also, who had been condemned to death, were left unburied, though this appears to have been intended as an aggravation of their punishment. At Athens there was a place where such corpses were thrown, and the same was the case in Sparta. Plutarch, *Themist.* 22; Thucyd. i. 134. Traitors to their country were also denied burial; as for instance was the case with Polynices, Ajax, and also, according to the legend, with Palamedes. See Philostr. *Heroic.* 7; Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* xxxi. p. 580; Thucyd. *ibid.* The right hands of those who had committed suicide were hacked off, but burial was not refused them. Æschin. *in Ctesiph.* p. 636: καὶ ἐάν τις αὐτὸν διαχρήσηται, τὴν χεῖρα τὴν τοῦτο πράξασαν χωρὶς τοῦ σώματος θάπτομεν. Plato thinks that such should be buried privately, and without any monument. *Leg.* ix. p. 873: θάπτειν ἀκλεεῖς αὐτούς, μήτε στήλαις, μήτε ὀνόμασι δηλοῦντας τοὺς τάφους. Perhaps such burials took place at night, which was certainly the case in special instances; so

Cassandra prophesies concerning Agamemnon; Eurip. *Troades*, 448:

ἢ κακὸς κακῶς ταφήσει νυκτὸς, οὐκ ἐν ἡμέρᾳ.

Those who had died a violent death were interred with particular formalities. To symbolize the pursuit of the murderer, which was incumbent on the relations, a lance was carried in front of the procession, and stuck upright by the grave, and this was watched for three days. Cf. Demosth. *in Euerg.* p. 1160: πρῶτον μὲν ἐπενεγκεῖν δόρυ ἐπὶ τῇ ἐκφορᾷ καὶ προαγορεύειν ἐπὶ τῷ μνήματι, εἴ τις προσήκων ἐστὶ τῆς ἀνθρώπου· ἔπειτα τὸ μνῆμα φυλάττειν ἐπὶ τρεῖς ἡμέρας. Cf. Harpocr. s. v. ἐπενεγκεῖν δόρυ. Eurip. *Troad.* 1137. When the body could not be obtained, as in the case of those who had been lost at sea, a fictitious burial took place. Charit. iv. 1: καὶ γὰρ εἰ μὴ τὸ σῶμα εὕρηται τοῦ δυστυχοῦς, ἀλλὰ νόμος οὗτος ἀρχαῖος Ἑλλήνων, ὥστε καὶ τοὺς ἀφανεῖς τάφοις κοσμεῖν. Eurip. *Helen.* 1241:

Ἑλλησίν ἐστι νόμος, ὃς ἂν πόντῳ θάνῃ...
κενοῖσι θάπτειν ἐν πέπλων ὑφάσμασιν.

In Chariton the εἴδωλον of Chæreas is carried on the κλίνη. But he is not a writer to be trusted implicitly on such matters; and perhaps the Roman custom, at the funerals of the emperors, of placing the wax effigy of the deceased on the lectus, may have given rise to such a fiction. Also in the public funerals of those warriors who had fallen in battle a similar representative ceremony took place. Thucyd. ii. 34: μία δὲ κλίνη κενὴ φέρεται ἐστρωμένη τῶν ἀφανῶν, οἳ ἂν μὴ εὑρεθῶσιν ἐς ἀναίρεσιν. Cf. Plutarch, *Quæst. Rom.* 5.

The chief works on the burial-usages of the ancients are Meursius, *de Funere;* Guther, *de Jure Manium;* Laurentius, *de Fun. Ant.;* Quensted, *de Sepult. Vet.* But all these writers refer more to the Roman usages than the Greek, and blend both together after a strange fashion. Stackelberg's work, *Die Gräber der Hellenen*, Berl. 1837, is of more value than all of them.

LIBRARY OF THE BOMBAY BRANCH OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY

EXCURSUS TO SCENE X.

THEATRE-GOING.

OMITTING all enquiry as to the structure of the theatre, the method of scenic representation, and the numberless appliances of the stage, we shall confine ourselves to the spectators, and collect a few particulars as to who they were, what was their appearance, how they manifested their approval or disapproval of the performance, and how Greek character and manners were displayed in the theatre.

Who then were the spectators? The answer to this question is by no means easy, but nevertheless of much importance to any one who would arrive at a just comprehension of the habits of the Greek people, since its solution involves our estimate of the social position of the women, the efficiency of the educational system, and moreover our verdict as to the Athenian drama, and the appropriateness of the characters introduced upon the stage.

Böttiger was the first to assert that the females of Athens were not present at the dramatic representations; and he further affirmed that no young Athenian was allowed to visit the theatre before his eighteenth year, at which period he was admitted among the ephebi. These positions were attacked by Schlegel and Böckh, and Böttiger has even contradicted himself by inadvertently speaking of women and children being present at the theatre. *Kl. Schr.* ii. p. 279. Heindorf, Welcker, Voss, and Jacobs, also agree that women were among the audience. Of late the question has again been mooted by Meier, who thinks that maidens and respectable women did not often go to the theatre at Athens. And finally Passow comes to the conclusion that the Athenian females were present at tragedies, but not at comedies.

All the passages bearing on the subject have been again and again brought forward in the course of the controversy, but it is worthy of remark, that among them all, there is not one positively deciding the matter either way. Those who argue against the presence of the women rely mainly on the assumed seclusion of the sex, and also on the absence of clear positive assertions to

the contrary; while their opponents rest chiefly on sundry passages which appear, in their natural sense, to refer to the presence of women among the auditors. But before entering on this discussion, we would first enquire whether there was anything in the nature of the tragic drama which might render it undesirable for women to be present. In tragedy itself, that most solemn species of poetry, which, full of earnestness and propriety, assayed to convey to mankind warning and instruction couched in the noblest language; that held up to him the nothingness of man, and the might of the deity; the perniciousness of passion, the high value of just and dispassionate action, and the slow, yet sure, punishment of transgression; in short, the sublimest picture of human doing and suffering;—in such representations nothing can be discovered rendering it unfit for women to be spectators. It would indeed be strange if the Greeks, with whom poetry was such a generally acknowledged means of forming the mind, had denied this advantage to the women, unless other reasons existed for this step. It will therefore be necessary to fall back on Böttiger's assertion, that it would have been considered the height of impropriety for a modest woman to appear openly among men.

We will now investigate certain passages bearing on the subject; and here it will not be desirable merely to confine ourselves to Athens, nor to the Aristophanic period alone. First then for the later writers on the subject, who are by far the most explicit. Thus in Lucian's dialogue, *de Saltatione*, § 5, the sophist Craton, who objects to mimic dances, is made to say, Ἔτι γὰρ τοῦτό μοι τὸ λοιπὸν ἦν, ἐν βαθεῖ τούτῳ πώγωνι καὶ πολιᾷ τῇ κόμῃ καθῆσθαι μέσου ἐν τοῖς γυναίοις καὶ τοῖς μεμηνόσιν ἐκείνοις θεαταῖς, κ. τ. λ. And Plutarch, *Consol. ad Uxor.* 5, praising the εὐτέλεια of his wife, says: οὔτε τῶν πολιτῶν (οὐδεὶς ἐστιν), ᾧ μὴ θέαμα παρέχεις ἐν ἱεροῖς καὶ θυσίαις, καὶ θεάτροις τὴν σεαυτῆς ἀφελείαν. But these passages prove little, since they allude to a period when Greek manners had been considerably modified by Roman influence. Again, Phintys, apud Stob. *Tit.* lxxiv. 61, speaking of the occasions on which it was allowable for a woman to make her appearance in public, says: ἔπειτα μήτε ὀρφνὰς ἀνισταμένας, μήτε ἑσπέρας, ἀλλὰ πλαθούσας ἀγορᾶς καταφανέα γινομέναν τὰν ἔξοδον ποιεῖσθαι θεωρίας ἕνεκά τινος ἢ ἀγορασμᾶ οἰκήω. Here

however it does not necessarily follow that this word θεωρία relates to the theatre at all. Cf. Poll. ii. 56. Moreover, the passage which Pollux, x. 67, cites from Aristophanes is inconclusive, as we are ignorant of the context. The same objection applies also to a fragment of Alexis, ap. Poll. ix. 44. Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 832—841, and *Eccles.* 23, are not applicable to the question. The Scholiast's explanation of the latter passage was probably manufactured by himself for the occasion. But a third passage of Aristophanes, *Ranæ*, 1049, seems conclusive as to the fact that noble ladies were present at the representation of tragedies, such as the *Phædra* of Euripides, and were deeply shocked at the insults to their sex.

Much weight, moreover, should be attached to Plato, *Leg.* ii. p. 658. To illustrate the influence of age and education on the judgment, he supposes a contest between jugglers, comedians, tragedians, and rhapsodists, and then says: εἰ μὲν τοίνυν τὰ πάνυ σμικρὰ κρίνοι παιδία, κρινοῦσι τὸν τὰ θαύματα ἀποδεικνύντα ... ἐὰν δέ γ' οἱ μείζους παῖδες, τὸν τὰς κωμῳδίας· τραγῳδίαν δὲ αἵ τε πεπαιδευμέναι τῶν γυναικῶν καὶ τὰ νέα μειράκια καὶ σχεδὸν ἴσως τὸ πλῆθος πάντων, κ.τ.λ. If women had been systematically excluded from the theatre, it would be difficult to account for their preference for tragedy. Equally conclusive is *Leg.* vii. p. 817, where, when the tragedians and actors apply for admission into the new state, the citizens reply: μὴ δὴ δόξητε ἡμᾶς ῥᾳδίως γε οὕτως ὑμᾶς ποτε παρ' ἡμῖν ἐάσειν σκηνάς τε πήξαντας κατ' ἀγορὰν καὶ καλλιφώνους ὑποκριτὰς εἰσαγομένους μεῖζον φθεγγομένους ἡμῶν ἐπιτρέψειν ὑμῖν δημηγορεῖν πρὸς παῖδάς τε καὶ γυναῖκας καὶ τὸν πάντα ὄχλον κ.τ.λ. The most decisive passage in Plato, however, is in *Gorg.* p. 502: ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν ἡ ῥητορικὴ δημηγορία ἂν εἴη, ἢ οὐ ῥητορευειν δοκοῦσί σοι οἱ ποιηταὶ ἐν τοῖς θεάτροις; ΚΑΛ. Ἔμοιγε. ΣΩ. Νῦν ἄρα ἡμεῖς εὑρήκαμεν ῥητορικήν τινα πρὸς δῆμον, τοιοῦτον, οἷον παίδων τε ὁμοῦ καὶ γυναικῶν καὶ ἀνδρῶν, καὶ δούλων καὶ ἐλευθέρων, ἣν οὐ πάνυ ἀγάμεθα. Here we find it stated in plain words that the δῆμος in the theatre consisted of men, women, and children, of slaves and free. That hetæræ visited the theatre there can be no doubt at all. See Athen. iv. p. 157, where the nickname Θεατροτορύνη is applied to Melissa, from her appearance in the theatre being the signal for disturbance. Still none of the above passages mention as a fact the presence of women on any

particular occasion. But fortunately such evidence is supplied by an anecdote of Alcibiades contained in a fragment of the peripatetic Satyros, ap. Athen. xii. p. 534: ὅτε δὲ χορηγοίη πομπεύων ἐν πορφυρίδι, εἰσιὼν εἰς τὸ θέατρον ἐθαυμάζετο οὐ μόνον ὑπὸ τῶν ἀνδρῶν, ἀλλὰ καὶ ὑπὸ τῶν γυναικῶν. The place alluded to is Athens, and the period that of the Peloponnesian war.

The well-known legend of the fright of the women on the appearance of the chorus in the *Eumenides*, may be a later invention or exaggeration, as is the opinion of Hermann and Böttiger. It does not come to us on sufficiently good or early authority to do us any service in the present argument.

But on a Greek vase found at Aulis there is a remarkable painting of a Grecian theatre, (Millin, *Peint. d. Vas.* ii. pl. 55, 56), which, from the view of the temple of the Acropolis above, is evidently that of Dionysos at Athens. It is in three compartments, one of which represents a portion of the stage, and the other two, the seats for the spectators; one division of the seats is empty, and in the other and larger one, we see two women in the long chiton and himation; one sitting, the other standing. Behind them is a third female figure, in a chiton only, and beside her a youth in a chlamys. That these figures are made to stand for the entire audience will surprise no one who is acquainted with the conventions usual in the vase-paintings. This vase then seems almost conclusive on the question.

The women do not appear however to have been present at the comedy, at least in early times. The passages cited below to prove the admission of the boys, afford specific proof that the women were excluded, and indeed the grossness of the dialogue can only be excused on the supposition that none of the female sex were among the auditors.

Boys were allowed to be present at both comic and tragic representations. This may be gathered, more especially as regards tragedy, from the passages of Plato already cited. *Leg.* ii. p. 658; *Ib.* vii. p. 817; *Gorg.* p. 502. To these may be added Pausan. i. 2, 3: λέγεται μὲν δὴ καὶ ἄλλα οὐκ ἀληθῆ παρὰ τοῖς πολλοῖς, οἷα ἱστορίας ἀνηκόοις οὖσι καὶ ὁπόσα ἤκουον εὐθὺς ἐκ παίδων ἔν τε χοροῖς καὶ τραγῳδίαις πιστὰ ἡγουμένοις. And in Theophr. *Char.* 9, a sign of ἀναισχυντία is said to be: καὶ ξένοις δὲ αὐτοῦ θέαν ἀγοράσας μὴ δοὺς τὸ μέρος θεωρεῖν· ἄγειν δὲ καὶ

τοὺς υἱεῖς εἰς τὴν ὑστεραίαν καὶ τὸν παιδαγωγόν. It need not excite surprise that the women are not here mentioned, since they sat apart in a separate portion of the theatre. Another passage is Isæus, *de Ciron. Her.* p. 206: ἀλλὰ καὶ εἰς Διονύσια εἰς ἀγρὸν ἦγεν ἀεὶ ἡμᾶς, καὶ μετ' ἐκείνου (τοῦ πάππου) τε ἐθεωροῦμεν καθήμενοι παρ' αὐτὸν, κ.τ.λ. With respect to the comedies, clear proof may be derived from the comedians themselves. See Aristoph. *Nub.* 537:

ὡς δὲ σώφρων ἐστὶ φύσει, σκέψασθ'· ἥτις πρῶτα μὲν
οὐδὲν ἦλθε ῥαψαμένη σκύτινον καθειμένον
ἐρυθρὸν ἐξ ἄκρου, παχὺ, τοῖς παιδίοις ἵν' ᾖ γέλως.

See also *Pax*, 50:

ἐγὼ δὲ τὸν λόγον γε τοῖσι παιδίοις,
καὶ τοῖσιν ἀνδρίοισι, καὶ τοῖς ἀδράσι,
καὶ τοῖς ὑπερτάτοισιν ἀνδράσιν φράσω.

Cf. *Ib.* 766. So too a fragment of Eupolis apud Aristot. *Ethic. Nic.* iv. 2: γελῶσιν, ὡς ὁρᾷς, τὰ παιδία. Also for a later period, see Lucian, *de Gymn.* 22: καὶ μέντοι καὶ ἐς τὸ θέατρον συνάγοντες αὐτοὺς ἐημοσίᾳ παιδεύομεν ὑπὸ κωμῳδίαις καὶ τραγῳδίαις, ἀρετάς τε ἀνδρῶν παλαιῶν καὶ κακίας θεωμένους, ὡς τῶν μὲν ἀποτρέποιντο, ἐπ' ἐκεῖνα δὲ σπεύδοιεν.

It is true that this seems in opposition to the otherwise strict discipline in which youths were kept; and it is not very comprehensible how an Autolycos or a Charmides could have been spectators of an Aristophanic comedy. The universal license of the Dionysia may perhaps have countenanced a departure of this kind from ordinary rules. Probably also the custom was not universal, and some fathers may have been too careful of their sons to allow them to be present on such occasions.

The spectators then were men, boys, and, as far as tragedy is concerned, women. To these, on Plato's authority, we must add slaves. *Gorg.* p. 502. The above cited passage of Theophrastus shews that the pedagogues, who were slaves, were present; and it was no doubt usual for an attendant, ἀκόλουθος, to accompany his master to the theatre. Moreover, Theophrastus (*Char.* 2) introduces the κόλαξ as taking the cushion from the slave, and placing it himself for the object of his attentions: καὶ τοῦ παιδὸς ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ ἀφελόμενος τὰ προσκεφάλεια αὐτὸς ὑποστρῶσαι. Cf. Æschin. *in Ctesiph.* p. 467. But it is doubtful whether these slaves remained in the theatre, and whether others

might go thither by themselves. At all events, it was not allowed in Rome at the time when the prologue to the *Pœnulus* of Plautus was written (v. 23):

> Servi ne obsideant, liberis ut sit locus.

Nor were the pedisequi allowed to remain (v. 40):

> Dum ludi fiunt, in popinam pedisequi
> Irruptionem facite.

But at Athens, where the relation between slave and free-man was on a different footing, the former may very possibly have been admitted, from the period when money was paid for entrance.

This entrance-money, *θεωρικὸν*, was, from the time of Pericles, paid out of the treasury to the poorer classes, and by degrees to all the burghers. It amounted to two oboles, which went to the contractor of the building, *ἀρχιτέκτων*, or to the person who rented the theatre, *θεατρώνης*, who was also called *θεατροπώλης*, from his selling the seats. But it seems from Plato, *Apol.* p. 26, that a higher charge was made for the better places (Alciphr. iii. 20: *τὸ καλὸν τοῦ θεάτρου*), and some were as high as a drachma apiece. It will be unnecessary to pursue this subject further, since it has been very fully discussed in Böckh's *Public Econ. of Athens*, pp. 219—226.

That certain parts of the house were assigned to certain classes, as at Rome, may possibly be inferred from Aristoph. *Equit.* 704:

> *ἰδοῦ προεδρίαν οἷον ὑψομαί σ' ἐγὼ*
> *ἐκ τῆς προεδρίας ἔσχατον θεώμενον.*

This inference is confirmed by a passage of Alexis, ap. Poll. ix. 44, to which reference has already been made:

> *ἐνταῦθα περὶ τὴν ἐσχάτην δεῖ κερκίδα*
> *ὑμᾶς καθιζούσας θεωρεῖν, ὡς ξένας.*

See also a very remarkable passage in Demosthenes, *in Mid.* p. 572, where the *πάρεδρος* of an archon forcibly ousts a person who is not sitting in his proper place: *ἕτερος ἀδικεῖν ποτ' ἔδοξεν ὑμῖν περὶ τὰ Διονύσια, καὶ κατεχειροτονήσατ' αὐτοῦ παρεδρεύοντος ἄρχοντι τῷ νικῆ, ὅτι θέαν τινὸς καταλαβόντος ἤψατο, ἐξείργων ἐκ τοῦ θεάτρου*: and Demosthenes himself thinks that his conduct should have been: *τοῖς ὑπηρέταις ἐξείργειν εἰπεῖν, οὐκ αὐτὸς τύπτειν.* Ulpian, however, explains it, that he had got into another person's seat.

We may assert with confidence that the women sat separate

from the men, and this opinion is supported by the inscriptions of the theatre at Syracuse; for an excellent account of which the reader is referred to a paper by Göttling, in the *Rhein. Mus.* 1834, p. 103 sqq. This theatre consisted of three stories, separated by passages, διαζώματα, eight feet wide. The whole of the seats throughout all three stories were divided into nine κατατομὰς or κερκίδας, (*cuneos*,) and the inscriptions on most of them are still legible. On the first κιρκὶς to the east nothing can be deciphered; on the second is inscribed ΒΑΣΙΛΙΣΣΑΣ ΝΗΡΗΙ-ΔΟΣ; on the third, ΒΑΣΙΛΙΣΣΑΣ ΦΙΛΙΣΤΙΔΟΣ; on the fourth ΒΑΣ...ΝΟΣ. Proceeding further to the west the inscriptions are more defaced, and on the fifth and seventh Göttling could only make out a few disconnected letters. Landolina, however, who saw the inscriptions toward the end of the last century, was able to read on the fifth, or centre one, ΔΙΟΣ Ο.ΛΥ ...ΙΟΥ; and on the seventh, Η . ΑΚΛΕΟΣΕ . ΦΡΟΝΙΟΥ; though on the eighth and ninth compartments he could decipher nothing intelligible. From this it would seem that on the centre and four western compartments there were male names, and female ones on the four to the east, (those namely to the right of the spectator). This can scarcely be supposed a fortuitous arrangement; on the contrary, it is probable that the seats of the women were denoted by female, and those of the men by male, names. These inscriptions were the appellations of the whole κατατομὴ, and do not denote the seats of individuals, as Göttling has satisfactorily shewn. Cf. Panofka, *Lettera sopra una Inscriz. del Teatro Syracus.*

The ὑπηρέται mentioned by Demosthenes in the passage just quoted, (*in Mid.* p. 572,) are the ῥαβδοφόροι or ῥαβδοῦχοι employed to preserve order, and answer to the *præcones* of the Roman theatre, who also oust (*suscitant*) those who are not in their proper places. See Schol. ad Aristoph. *Pax*, 718.

The representations began early in the morning, and places were taken ἔωθεν. Philochoros, apud Athen. xi. p. 464, says: Ἀθηναῖοι τοῖς Διονυσιακοῖς ἀγῶσι τὸ μὲν πρῶτον ἡριστηκότες καὶ πεπωκότες ἐβάδιζον ἐπὶ τὴν θέαν καὶ ἐστεφανωμένοι ἐθεώρουν, παρὰ δὲ τὸν ἀγῶνα πάντα ᾠνοχοεῖτο καὶ τραγήματα παρεφέρετο, καὶ τοῖς χοροῖς εἰσιοῦσιν ἐνέχεον πίνειν καὶ διηγωνισμένοις, ὅτ' ἐξεπορεύοντο ἐνέχεον πάλιν· μαρτυρεῖν δὲ τούτοις καὶ Φερεκράτη

τὸν κωμικὸν, ὅτι μέχρι τῆς καθ' ἑαυτὸν ἡλικίας οὐκ ἀσίτους εἶναι τοὺς θεωροῦντας. This statement that they breakfasted first can only be true of the earliest period, for that it was not so in the time of Aristophanes is clear from *Aves*, 784:

οὐδέν ἐστ' ἄμεινον, οὐδ' ἥδιον, ἢ φῦσαι πτερά.
αὐτίχ' ὑμῶν τῶν θεατῶν εἴ τις ἦν ὑπόπτερος,
εἶτα πεινῶν τοῖς χοροῖσι τῶν τραγῳδῶν ἦχθετο,
ἐκπετόμενος ἂν οὗτος ἡρίστησεν ἐλθὼν οἴκαδε,
κἄτ' ἂν ἐμπλησθεὶς ἐφ' ἡμᾶς αὖθις αὖ κατέπτετο.

According to all accounts, however, the performances commenced at a very early hour. See Æschin. *in Ctesiph.* p. 467: καὶ ἅμα τῇ ἡμέρᾳ ἠγείτο τοῖς πρέσβεσιν εἰς τὸ θέατρον. So Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 538: ἐγὼ δ' ὑπ' ἐχθροῦ νήφοντος ἕωθεν...ὑβριζόμην. This practice continued till a late period. Plutarch, *Non Posse Suav.* 13: Τί λέγεις, ὦ Ἐπίκουρε; κιθαρῳδῶν καὶ αὐλητῶν ἕωθεν ἀκροασόμενος εἰς τὸ θέατρον βαδίζεις, κ.τ.λ. Eating and drinking was permitted in the theatre; but many only sat out part of the performance, while others did not come till late, when the money-taker was gone, and they could get in for nothing. The βδελυρὸς did this; Theophr. *Char.* 30: καὶ ἐπὶ θέαν τηνικάδε πορεύεσθαι ἄγων τοὺς υἱεῖς, ἡνίκα προῖκα ἀφιᾶσιν οἱ θεατρῶναι. Others, however, were present the whole time. Dio Chrysost. *Or.* xxvii. p. 528: καὶ τούτων (τῶν θεατῶν) ὅσοι σφόδρα ἐσπουδακότες εἰς τὸ πρᾶγμα, διατελοῦσιν οὐθὲν ἄλλο πράττοντες ἐξ ἑωθινοῦ.

The audience did not scruple to evince their disapprobation either of actors or of individual spectators, by loud whistling and clucking. Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 586: ὑμῶν οἱ θεώμενοι τοῖς Διονυσίοις εἰσιόντα εἰς τὸ θέατρον τοῦτον (Μειδίαν) ἐσυρίττετε καὶ ἐκλώζετε. ὥστε ἃ μίσους ἐστὶ σημεῖα ταῦτ' ἐποιεῖτε. Cf. Æschin. *in Ctesiph.* p. 467. On the other hand, those who were distinguished and beloved, were received with marks of universal respect. Thus at the Olympic games, when Themistocles entered, the whole assembly rose with one accord; see Pausan. viii. 50, 3. Similar demonstrations occurred in other instances. Lucian, *Demon.* 63. The method of applauding poets and players was by clapping the hands and by loud acclamations, this was called θορυβεῖν, or ἐπισημαίνειν. Athen. viii. p. 350. See Aristoph. *Equit.* 546, where the poet himself incites the spectators to applaud:

αἴρεσθ' αὐτῷ πολὺ τὸ ῥόθιον, παραπίμψατ' ἐφ' ἕνδεκα κώπαις
ὄμβυον χρηστὸν ληναΐτην.

Lucian, *de Salt.* 83, relates that an actor played the mad Ajax so naturally that *τό γε θέατρον ἅπαν συνεμεμήνει τῷ* Αἴαντι, *καὶ ἐπήδων καὶ ἐβόων, καὶ τὰς ἐσθῆτας ἀπερρίπτουν.* This, however, seems rather to pertain to the Roman custom, *togam jactare.* It has been supposed that certain passages were encored, the audience raising a loud cry of *αὖθις* (*da capo*). This may perhaps be inferred from the analogous case in Xenoph. *Symp.* 9, 4: *Οἱ δὲ συμπόται ὁρῶντες ἅμα μὲν ἐκρότουν, ἅμα δὲ ἐβόων 'αὖθις.'* The ordinary mode of expressing disapprobation was by hissing; thus Demosthenes says to Æschines, who had been a bad tragic actor, *ἐξέπιπτες, ἐγὼ δ' ἐσύριττον. De Coron.* p. 315. The audience, however, were not always content with this, but sometimes proceeded to beat an actor who displeased them, so that the tragic Agon became an *ἀγὼν περὶ ψυχῆς.* See Demosth. *de Coron.* p. 314: *μισθώσας σαυτὸν τοῖς βαρυστόνοις ἐπικαλουμένοις ἐκείνοις ὑποκριταῖς, Σιμύλῳ καὶ Σωκράτει, ἑτεραγωνίστοις, σῦκα καὶ βότρυς καὶ ἐλάας συλλέγων ὥσπερ ὀπωρώνης ἐκεῖνος ἐκ τῶν ἀλλοτρίων χωρίων, πλείω λαμβάνων ἀπὸ τούτων, ἢ τῶν ἀγώνων οὓς ὑμεῖς περὶ τῆς ψυχῆς ἠγωνίζεσθε. ἦν γὰρ ἄσπονδος καὶ ἀκήρυκτος ὑμῖν ὁ πρὸς τοὺς θεατὰς πόλεμος· ὑφ' ὧν πολλὰ τραύματ' εἰληφὼς εἰκότως τοὺς ἀπείρους τῶν τοιούτων κινδύνων ὡς δειλοὺς σκώπτεις.* That these *τραύματα* are to be taken literally appears from a second passage, *de Falsa Leg.* p. 449: *ἐμοὶ δὲ δοκεῖτε ἀτοπώτατον ἁπάντων ἂν ποιῆσαι, εἴ ὅτε μὲν τὰ Θυέστου καὶ τῶν ἐπὶ Τροίᾳ κακὰ ἠγωνίζετο, ἐξεβάλλετε αὐτὸν καὶ ἐξεσυρίττετε ἐκ τῶν θεάτρων καὶ μόνον οὐ κατελεύετε οὕτως, ὥστε τελευτῶντα τοῦ τριταγωνιστεῖν ἀποστῆναι.* See the anecdote of the parodist Hegemon, Athen. ix. p. 406: *εἰσῆλθε δέ ποτε καὶ εἰς τὸ θέατρον διδάσκων κωμῳδίαν, λίθων ἔχων πλῆρες τὸ ἱμάτιον· οὓς βάλλων εἰς τὴν ὀρχήστραν διαπορεῖν ἐποίησε τοὺς θεατάς. καὶ ὀλίγον διαλιπὼν εἶπε, Λίθοι μὲν οἵδε. βαλλέτω δ' εἴ τις θέλει.* For the poet himself sometimes received a reception of this kind, or was forcibly expelled from the theatre, as was the case with Diphilus. Athen. xiii. p. 583.

But it would be wrong to argue from these instances that the profession of a player was despised; on the contrary, talented actors were honoured and regarded. See Plutarch, *Apophth. Lac.* i. p. 848. At a later time troops of despised and ill-paid actors went about Greece, and they seem even to have consisted of slaves.

See Lucian, *Icaromen.* 29: (σοφισταὶ) ἐοικότες μάλιστα τοῖς τραγικοῖς ἐκείνοις ὑποκριταῖς, ὧν ἦν ἀφέλης τὰ προσωπεῖα καὶ τὴν χρυσόπαστον ἐκείνην στολήν, τὸ καταλειπόμενόν ἐστι γελοῖον, ἀνθρώπιον ἑπτὰ ὀραχμῶν ἐς τὸν ἀγῶνα μεμισθωμένον. Lucian does not speak in much higher terms even of actors who were in considerable repute. *Merc. Cond.* 5: ἐνίοτε δὲ μαστιγούμενοί τινες αὐτῶν ὡς ἂν τῷ θεάτρῳ δοκῇ. Cf. *Necyom.* 16; *Nigrin.* 8; Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* x. p. 302; Plutarch, *de Sera Num. Vind.* 9. At this period, however, the stage was at a very low ebb.

If what has been said shews that the behaviour of the spectators was somewhat coarse, (see Theoph. *Char.* 11,) yet there is at the same time abundant proof of the attention with which they followed the piece, and of their fine taste and correct discrimination, which allowed no ἀσχημονεῖν on the part of the actor or poet to pass without expressions of disapprobation. Cf. Plutarch, *de Aud. Poet.* 12.

Of course the tragic representations were listened to with more gravity and tranquillity than the comedies. The deep impression made by the former on the feelings of the Athenian, who was easily moved to sympathy and pity, is well shewn by what Lycon says of Callipides in Xenoph. *Symp.* 3, 11: ὃς ὑπερσεμνύνεται, ὅτι δύναται πολλοὺς κλαίοντας καθίζειν. Cf. Isocr. *Paneg.* p. 98; Plutarch, *de Esu Carn.* ii. 5; Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* xxiii. p. 427; Lucian, *de Gymn.* 3. The wonderful effect produced on the monster Alexander of Pheræ by the representation of the *Troades* (or the *Hecuba?*) of Euripides, is recorded by Plutarch, *de Alex. Fort.* 1: Ἀλέξανδρος δὲ ὁ Φεραίων τύραννος... θεώμενος τραγῳδὸν ἐμπαθέστερον ὑφ' ἡδονῆς διετέθη πρὸς τὸν οἶκτον· ἀναπηδήσας οὖν ἐκ τοῦ θεάτρου θᾶττον ἢ βάδην ἀπῆει, δεινὸν εἶναι λέγων, εἰ τοσούτους ἀποσφάττων πολίτας ὀφθήσεται τοῖς Ἑκάβης καὶ Πολυξένης πάθεσιν ἐπιδακρύων. Cf. *Pelop.* 29; Ælian, *Var. Hist.* xiv. 40.

In the comedies, on the other hand, the spectators were often requested to laugh and applaud, and many poets strove further to effect this by throwing nuts and figs among them (Aristoph. *Plut.* 797, *Vesp.* 58); so that it may be supposed there was no lack of noise and uproar.

EXCURSUS I. TO SCENE XI.

THE DRESS.

IN the discussion of this voluminous subject, the difficulties arise from the superfluity, instead of the paucity of those materials—both literary and artistic,—which classical antiquity has transmitted to us. Indeed, to explain the names and peculiarities of all the various articles of Greek attire with which we are acquainted, would be utterly beyond the scope and limits of the present treatise.

The older writers on the subject, Ferrarius and Rubenius, as well as Montfaucon, are out of date, owing to the immense amount of material which has been discovered since their time, and Winkelmann is far from having exhausted even the special department he has chosen. One of the chief labourers in this field is Böttiger, whose numerous isolated memoirs are very valuable, though they are deficient in unity of purpose, and moreover give no notion of every-day Greek costume. Müller's *Handbuch der Archäol.* contains many very valuable hints, and his *History of the Dorians*, ii. pp. 271—278, conveys a very satisfactory idea of the dress of that people.

In the following pages an attempt will be made to describe, in the first place, the general national dress, and afterwards to review the casual peculiarities brought about by time, fashion, or foppery.

Upon the whole, the same remark applies to the Greek dress as to the Roman, that its separate portions continued, from the earliest to the latest period, essentially unchanged. It was characterized by great simplicity, which is partly attributable to the mildness of the climate, partly to the inborn taste for simple nobleness of form. There was no pinching up the proportions of the body, no multiplicity of garments drawn one over another, and no useless display of heterogeneous ornaments.

The articles of Greek costume may be divided into two chief classes, ἐνδύματα; and ἐπιβλήματα or περιβλήματα, generally ἀναβολή.

The sole ἔνδυμα was the chiton, and this, at an early period,

was different among the different races, till at last the more useful Doric species got into vogue, and perhaps became general. This last, as worn by the men, was a short woollen shirt, without sleeves; while the Ionic race, and more especially the Athenians, wore a longer linen chiton. It is hard to say whether this was in use in Athens before the historic period, or whether it was first introduced there from the Ionic colonies. The account given by Thucydides (i. 6) must be familiar to every one. Speaking of the Athenians, he says: *οὐ πολὺς χρόνος, ἐπειδὴ χιτῶνάς τε λινοῦς ἐπαύσαντο φοροῦντες καὶ χρυσῶν τεττίγων ἐνέρσει κρωβύλον ἀναδούμενοι τῶν ἐν τῇ κεφαλῇ τριχῶν. ἀφ' οὗ καὶ Ἰώνων τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους κατὰ τὸ ξυγγενὲς ἐπιπολὺ αὕτη ἡ σκευὴ κατέσχε.* Müller, however, in his *Dorians*, ii. p. 278, rejects this testimony, and assigns the invention of this chiton to the more effeminate inhabitants of Ionia. Nevertheless the Greek historian's account is strongly supported by the fact that the epithet *ἑλκεχίτωνες* is applied by Homer (*Il.* xiii. 685) to the Ionians, among whom the Athenians are comprehended. Pausanias (i. 19, 1) mentions a rather improbable legend, that Theseus came to Athens in a dress of this sort, and was laughed at by the Athenians: *οἷα δὲ χιτῶνα ἔχοντος αὐτοῦ ποδήρη καὶ πεπλεγμένης δὲ εὐπρεπῶς οἱ τῆς κόμης, ὡς ἐγίνετο κατὰ τὸν τοῦ Δελφινίου ναὸν, οἱ τὴν στέγην οἰκοδομοῦντες ἤροντο σὺν χλευασίᾳ, ὅτι δὴ παρθένος ἐν ὥρᾳ γάμου πλανᾶται μόνη.* This story is moreover at variance with the statements of Herodotus, v. 88, who assigns a much later epoch for the adoption of the Ionic chiton by the Athenian women.

The time when this antique dress fell into disuse admits of more accurate determination. Thucydides says, *οὐ πολὺς χρόνος*, and it is pretty certain that it still was in fashion at the time of the Persian war. See Heraclid. Pont. ap. Athen. xii. p. 512: *καὶ ἡ Ἀθηναίων πόλις, ἕως ἐτρύφα, μεγίστη τε ἦν καὶ μεγαλοψυχοτάτους ἔτρεφεν ἄνδρας. ἀλουργῇ μὲν γὰρ ἠμπίσχοντο ἱμάτια, ποικίλους δ' ὑπέδυνον χιτῶνας, κορύμβους δ' ἀναδούμενοι τῶν τριχῶν χρυσοῦς τέττιγας περὶ τὸ μέτωπον καὶ τὰς κόμας ἐφόρουν· ὀκλαδίας τε αὐτοῖς δίφρους ἔφερον οἱ παῖδες, ἵνα μὴ καθίζοιεν ὡς ἔτυχεν. καὶ οὗτοι ἦσαν οἱ τοιοῦτοι, οἱ τὴν ἐν Μαραθῶνι νικήσαντες μάχην καὶ μόνοι τὴν τῆς Ἀσίας ἁπάσης δύναμιν χειρωσάμενοι.* We have also the authority of Aristophanes, who often combines the description of these antiquely dressed forefathers with the

mention of the exploits at Marathon. See *Equit.* 1330; *Nub.* 984. It is also clear from Aristophanes that at the time of the Peloponnesian war this dress had gone out entirely, and the epoch of the adoption of the shorter chiton may be fixed at that period when Pericles was at the head of affairs. Eustath. *ad Il.* xiii. 689: *μέχρι γὰρ, φασὶ, τῆς Περικλέους στρατηγίας ποδήρεις εἶχον χιτῶνας, φοροῦντες καὶ τέττιγας.*

The chiton, which from this time formed the universal attire of the men, had two varieties of form. Poll. vii. 47: *χιτὼν δὲ, ὁ μὲν ἀμφιμάσχαλος ἐλευθέρων σχῆμα. ὁ δὲ ἑτερομάσχαλος οἰκετῶν.* It is by no means necessary to suppose that even the *ἀμφιμάσχαλος* invariably possessed sleeves; there were often merely arm-holes, though the shoulders were always covered. See Hesychius; Suidas; and Schol. ad Aristoph. *Equit.* 882. The *ἑτερομάσχαλος* had an arm-hole only for the left arm, leaving the right, with a part of the breast, quite bare, and hence it was also called *ἐξωμίς*. See Hesychius; Phot. *Lex.* p. 25; Schol. to Aristoph. *Vesp.* 444; and Heliod. *Æthiop.* iii. 1: *τὸ μὲν ζῶσμα ἑκάστῳ χιτῶνα λευκὸν εἰς ἀγκύλην ἀνέστελλε. χεὶρ δὲ ἡ δεξιὰ σὺν ὤμῳ καὶ μαζῷ παραγυμνουμένη πέλεκυν δίστομον ἐπεκράδαινεν.* See also Pausan. v. 16, 2: *χιτὼν ὀλίγον ὑπὲρ γόνατος καθήκει, τὸν ὦμον ἄχρι τοῦ στήθους φαίνουσι τὸν δεξιόν.* But the *ἐξωμὶς* was not only a chiton, but could also serve as an *ἱμάτιον* or *περίβλημα*. Hesychius at least, asserts this to have been the case: *Ἐξωμίς· χιτὼν ὁμοῦ καὶ ἱμάτιον. τὴν γὰρ ἑκατέρου χρείαν παρεῖχεν· καὶ χιτῶνα μὲν διὰ τὸ ζώννυσθαι, ἱμάτιον δὲ, ὅτι τὸ ἕτερον μέρος ἐβάλλετο. παρ' ὃ καὶ οἱ κωμικοὶ ὁτὲ μὲν Ἐνδυθι, ὁτὲ δὲ Περιβαλοῦ.* The same meaning appears to attach to a passage of Ælius Dionysius, ap. Eustath. *ad Il.* xviii. 595: *χιτῶνος εἶδος καὶ ἡ ἐξωμίς· ἐξωμὶς γὰρ, φησὶ, χιτὼν ἅμα καὶ ἱμάτιον τὸ αὐτό.* Pollux, however, states that there were two different garments, both of which bore the same name, exomis. He says: *ἡ δ' ἐξωμὶς καὶ περίβλημα ἦν, καὶ χιτὼν ἑτερομάσχαλος.* This view is supported by the artistic remains, one of the most important of which is a relief figured in the *Mus. Pio-Clem.* iv. pl. 11, representing an Hephæstos clad in the exomis, which is no chiton, but an indubitable himation. Cf. Stuart and Revett, *Antiq. of Athens*, ii. 4, p. 36, and iii. 1, pl. 8. On the other hand, instances of the genuine *χιτὼν ἑτερομάσχαλος* occur in two polychromatic vase-

paintings, given by Stackelberg, *Die Gräber der Hellenen*, pl. 47, 48, of one of which the accompanying wood-cut is a copy.

Charon, in the Exomis and sailor's cap; from a polychromatic Lecythos in Stackelberg's *Gräber der Hellenen*, Pl. 47.

The exomis was not only the dress of the slaves, but of the working classes generally, and hence it is worn by Hephæstos in the relief above-mentioned. By Hesychius the ἑτερομάσχαλος is called ἐργατικὸς, and a Scholion to Aristoph. *Equit.* 882, says: ἦν δὲ καὶ ἑτερομάσχαλος ὁ τῶν ἐργατῶν, οὗ τὴν μίαν μασχάλην ἐῤῥάπτον. In the *Lysistrata* the Chorus of old men is clad in the exomis; v. 662, τὴν ἐξωμίδ' ἐκδυώμεθα. See also Poll. iv. 118, 119; Plaut. *Mil.* iv. 4, 43.

There is some difficulty in determining whether the chiton was worn next to the skin, ἀμέσως πρὸς τῇ σαρκὶ, or whether there was an under garment or shirt beneath it. Eustathius, who is always thinking of the customs of later times, when a *tunica interior* had long been worn, frequently speaks ambiguously on the subject. See *ad Il.* xviii. 416; xvi. 224; xviii. 25: Χιτῶνα δὲ νῦν τὸ ἐπιπολάζον ἱμάτιον ἔφη καὶ οὐκ ἐξ ἀνάγκης τὸ ἀμέσως ἐπικεχυμένον τῷ σώματι, εἰ μὴ ἴσως μονοείμων ἔτυχεν εἶναι ὁ Ἀχιλ-

λεύς. It is true that an article of dress is often mentioned, which apparently differed from the regular chiton. It is called χιτωνίσκος when worn by the men, and χιτώνιον in the case of women; and though Plutarch once uses the former name for both sexes, yet the latter word is exclusively restricted to the female garment. Lucian, *Lexiph.* 25: ὅτε χιτώνιον μὲν καὶ τὸν ἀνδρεῖον ᾧου λέγεσθαι, δουλάρια δὲ καὶ τοὺς ἄρρενας· ἃ τις οὐκ οἶδεν, ὅτι χιτώνιον μὲν γυναικὸς ἐσθῆς, δουλάρια δὲ τὰ θήλεα καλοῦσι. Eustath. *ad Il.* xviii. 595: ὁ δὲ ἀνδρεῖος χιτωνίσκος, ὅ τινες ἐπενδύτην, τὸ δὲ βραχὺ χιτωνισκάριον· χιτώνιον δὲ καὶ χιτωνάριον λεπτὸν ἔνδυμα γυναικεῖον πολυτελές. See also Plutarch, *de Gen. Socr.* 14: σοὶ δὲ, ὦ πάτερ, Μιλησίαν χλαμύδα, τῇ δὲ μητρὶ παραλουργὸν ὠνησόμεθα χιτώνιον. Cf. Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 150. The word χιτωνίσκος is, however, used for an article of female dress by Plutarch, *Mul. Virt.* 26, where he says, speaking of Xenocrita: παρεκαλύψατο τῷ χιτωνίσκῳ τὸ πρόσωπον, but the reason is because the Cuman women had to wear male attire. Cf. *Alcib.* 39.

As an article of female costume, χιτώνιον seems to mean an under shift, as will presently be shewn. But when Böttiger assumes that the χιτωνίσκος filled an analogous position in male attire, and proceeds to explain μονοχίτων of one who only wore the chitoniscos, without an upper chiton, and ἀχίτων, on the other hand, of one who wore no under shirt, he is quite wrong. For the chitoniscos is only a short chiton, not a shirt worn under the chiton, but, as Eustathius says, ἐπιπολάζων. It is the chiton of the men, and, as may be proved by many passages, it is the outer (or rather only) visible one. Thus Antiphanes, apud Athen. xii. p. 545, describing an Academic, says,

λευκὴ χλανὶς, φαιὸς χιτωνίσκος καλός.

See Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 583: ὥστε με, ὦ ἄ. Ἀ., φοβηθέντα τὸν ὑμέτερον θόρυβον, θοἰμάτιον προέσθαι καὶ μικροῦ γυμνὸν ἐν τῷ χιτωνίσκῳ γενέσθαι. Cf. Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 142; Lysias *in Theomn.* p. 350. An opposite conclusion would seem to be derivable from Aristoph. *Ran.* 1067: χιτῶνά γ' ἔχων οὔλων ἐρίων ὑπένερθε. The ὑπένερθε will be found, however, if the context be considered, to refer to the ῥάκια or *tribonion* before mentioned. See also *Aves*, 944. The clearest proof however is from Plato, *Hipp. Min.* p. 368, where the articles of Hippias' wardrobe are

one by one enumerated by Socrates. We have signet, sandals, girdle, καὶ τὸ ἱμάτιον καὶ τὸν χιτωνίσκον. A third garment, if worn, would have been infallibly mentioned.

Thus, then, the ἱμάτιον, χλαῖνα, or χλανὶς, and the χιτὼν or χιτωνίσκος, appear universally as the two sole articles of male dress, and there is no such thing as an inner shirt. And μονοχίτων denotes one who wore no περιβόλαιον over the chiton, i. q. οἰοχίτων: see *Odyss.* xiv. 488. Cf. Pythænetos, ap. Athen. xiii. p. 589. On the other hand, ἀχίτων denotes one who wore the himation only, without the chiton, which was often done by persons of a simple and austere manner of life. See Xenoph. *Memor.* i. 6, 2, where Antiphon says to Socrates: καὶ ἱμάτιον ἠμφίεσαι οὐ μόνον φαῦλον, ἀλλὰ τὸ αὐτὸ θέρους τε καὶ χειμῶνος, ἀνυπόδητός τε καὶ ἀχίτων διατελεῖς. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* vii. 13, speaking of Agesilaos, says: γέρων ἤδη ὢν ἀνυπόδητος πολλάκις καὶ ἀχίτων προήει, τὸν τρίβωνα περιβαλλόμενος αὐτὸν, καὶ ταῦτα ἐωθινὸς ἐν ὥρᾳ χειμερίῳ. Cf. Plutarch, *Apophth. Lac.* i. p. 838. And Diodorus Siculus, xi. 26, says of Gelon: ἀχίτων ἐν ἱματίῳ προσελθὼν, κ.τ.λ. It would indeed have been an unexampled instance of τρυφὴ for a Spartan, who from his twelfth year had been clad only in a tribon, to put on a double chiton. See Plutarch, *Lyc.* 16: Γενόμενοι δὲ δωδεκαετεῖς ἄνευ χιτῶνος ἤδη διετέλουν, ἓν ἱμάτιον εἰς τὸν ἐνιαυτὸν λαμβάνοντες. Cf. Diog. Laert. vi. 13; and vii. 169.

The ἐπίβλημα or περίβλημα of the Greeks, the ἱμάτιον Ἑλληνικὸν, as Lucian (*de Merc. Cond.* 25,) calls it, in contradistinction to the Roman toga, was a large square cloth. This is expressly stated in the story of those Greeks who, under the Roman rule, had adopted the toga, and which they again exchanged for the national dress, in order to escape the oppressions of Mithridates. Posidonius, ap. Athen. v. p. 213: τῶν δ' ἄλλων Ῥωμαίων οἱ μὲν θεῶν ἀγάλμασι προσπεπτώκασιν, οἱ δὲ λοιποὶ μεταμφιεσάμενοι τετράγωνα ἱμάτια τὰς ἐξ ἀρχῆς πατρίδας πάλιν ὀνομάζουσι. See *Gallus*, p. 410. The method of adjustment was exactly the same as in the older and simpler way of wearing the toga, described in *Gallus*, pp. 412, 413. It was first thrown over the left shoulder, and then round the back to the right side, and then above the right arm or below it, and again brought over the left shoulder or arm. This was called ἐπὶ δεξιὰ ἀναβάλλεσ-

θαι or ἀμπισχνεῖσθαι; and according to a man's skill or awkwardness in doing it, was he pronounced genteel, or clownish and un-Greek. The token of the ἀνελεύθερος and ἀπαίδευτος is expressly stated by Plato, *Theæt.* p. 175, to be ἀναβάλλεσθαι μὴ ἐπίστασθαι ἐπιδέξια ἐλευθέρως. Cf. Athen. i. p. 21: Ἔμελε δὲ αὐτοῖς καὶ τοῦ κοσμίως ἀναλαμβάνειν τὴν ἐσθῆτα καὶ τοὺς μὴ τοῦτο ποιοῦντας ἔσκωπτον. See also Aristoph. *Aves*, 1565, where Poseidon says to the barbarians:

οὗτος, τί δρᾷς; ἐπ' ἀριστερὸ' οὕτως ἀμπέχει;
οὐ μεταβαλεῖς θοἰμάτιον ὡς ἐπὶ δεξιά;

At an earlier period it was the fashion, as with the Romans (*cohibere brachium*), to keep the right hand in the garment, ἐντὸς τὴν χεῖρα ἔχειν, a rule which does not apply to orators alone. Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 52; Demosth. *de Falsa Leg.* p. 420; Müller, *Handb. d. Archäol.* pp. 85, 468. Many adhered to this ancient custom, Phocion, for instance, as we are told by Duris, apud Plutarch, *Phoc.* 4.

The himation reached properly to the knee at least, and a shorter ἀναβολὴ was considered unbecoming. Theophr. *Char.* 4: (ἀγροίκου) ἀναβεβλημένος ἄνω τοῦ γόνατος καθιζάνειν, ὥστε τὰ γυμνὰ αὐτοῦ φαίνεσθαι. Philetærus, ap. Athen. i. p. 21: Ἀμφὶ στέρνοις φᾶρος οὐ καθήσεις, μηδ' ἀγροίκως ἄνω γόνατος· ἀμφέξει; Usually it reached even lower. Quint. *Instit.* xi. 3, 143: 'Togas veteres ad calceos usque demittebant, ut Græci pallium.' Cf. Böttiger, *Vasengemälde*, p. 56. Still, when Athens was at her zenith, so long a garment would have been thought a mark of luxury and pride. Plato, *Alcib.* i. p. 122; Demosth. *de Falsa Leg.* p. 442: καὶ διὰ τῆς ἀγορᾶς πορεύεται, θοἰμάτιον καθεὶς ἄχρι τῶν σφυρῶν. Even in Lucian's time it was thought a sign of τρυφή. See *Amor.* 3: φαιδρὰ μὲν ἐσθῆς μέχρι ποδῶν τὴν τρυφὴν θειμένη.

The Spartans wore a short mantle, of coarse texture, called τρίβων or τριβώνιον. Those who aped Spartan customs, the Λακωνίζοντες, and the philosophers of the cynic and stoic schools, naturally adopted it also. See Thucyd. i. 6; Plato, *Protag.* p. 342; Aristot. *Ethic. Nic.* iv. 13; Müller, *Dorians*, ii. p. 279. Of course a good deal would depend on a man's means and condition, and the lower classes would frequently content themselves with such a garment. See Isæus, *de Dicæog. Her.* p. 94; Aristoph. *Vesp.* 116, 1131; *Eccl.* 850.

The boys at Athens used, in early times, to wear the simple chiton, but towards the period of the Peloponnesian war it became usual for them to wear an upper garment also. See Aristoph. *Nubes*, 964, 987. The boys of Sparta, as above-mentioned, were allowed the chiton only till their twelfth year; afterwards the tribon was their sole article of dress, in winter as well as summer. Xenoph. *de Republ. Laced.* 2, 4: Καὶ ἀντί γε τοῦ ἱματίοις διαθρύπτεσθαι, ἐνόμισεν ἑνὶ ἱματίῳ δι' ἔτους προσεθίζεσθαι, νομίζων οὕτω καὶ πρὸς ψύχη καὶ πρὸς θάλπη ἄμεινον ἂν παρασκευάσασθαι. Plutarch, *Dec. Or. Vit.* iv. p. 379: ἱμάτιον ἓν καθ' αὑτὸ ἐφόρει τοῦ χειμῶνος καὶ τοῦ θέρους.

After the Athenian lad had attained to the age of an ephebus, his proper dress was the chlamys, a garment entirely different from

the himation. It originally came from Thessaly or Macedon, whence it seems to have been spread over all Greece. Poll. vii. 46: *τὰς δὲ Θετταλικὰς χλαμύδας Θετταλικὰ πτερὰ ὠνόμαζον, καὶ ἐντεθετταλίσμεθα ἔλεγον τὸ χλαμυδοφορούμεν.* The clearest description of its form is in Plutarch, *Alex.* 26, where its shape is compared to that of the city of Alexandria: *κυκλοτερῆ κύλπον ἦγον, οὗ τὴν ἐντὸς περιφέρειαν εὐθεῖαι βάσεις, ὥσπερ ἀπὸ κρασπέδων εἰς σχῆμα χλαμύδος, ὑπελάμβανον ἐξ ἴσου συνάγουσαι τὸ μέγεθος.* It is also represented very frequently in vase-paintings, and other artistic remains. See the accompanying wood-cut, which represents Œdipus before the Sphinx, and is taken from Tischbein, *Engrav.* ii. 24. The chlamys which he wears appears to be of an oblong quadrangular shape. It has a purple border, and tassels at the four corners. It was fastened by a button on the right shoulder, and sometimes also across the breast, and the tassels which hang down are the *πτερὰ* or *πτέρυγες*. Hesychius: *Θετταλικὰ πτερά· τοῦτο εἴρηται διὰ τὸ πτέρυγας ἔχειν τὰς Θετταλικὰς χλαμύδας. Πτέρυγες δὲ καλοῦνται αἱ ἑκατέρωθεν γωνίαι, διὰ τὸ ἐοικέναι πτέρυξιν.* The time when this garment got into vogue throughout Greece is unknown. The first mention of it is said to occur in Sappho. Poll. x. 124: *οἱ μέντοι Ἀττικοὶ τὸ λεπτὸν χλανίδα, τὸ δὲ ἱππικὸν χλαμύδα, ὡς Θετταλῶν. πρώτην δέ φασι χλαμύδα ὀνομάσαι Σαπφὼ ἐπὶ τοῦ Ἔρωτος εἰποῦσαν, Ἐλθόντ' ἐξ ὀρανῶ πορφυρέαν ἔχοντα προϊέμενον χλαμύν.* Pollux rightly calls it *τὸ ἱππικὸν*, for it is the proper riding coat, and was worn on journeys. Müller, *Dorians*, ii. p. 278. Other names, such as *χλαῖνα*, *χλανὶς*, &c. refer not so much to the form as to the material which was adopted.

The dress of the women was in its main features the same as that of the men, though distinguished by various additions. Care, however, must be taken to distinguish between the two chitons, the Doric and the Ionic. The Doric was a very simple woollen shift, perhaps consisting only of two short pieces of cloth, sewed together up to the breast, (at least on one side,) while the parts covering the breast and back were fastened over the shoulders, and thus formed arm-holes. The *σχιστὸς* of the virgins, alluded to in the Excursus on *The Gymnasia*, p. 298, is only one species. For this garment see the accompanying figure of Nike, which is copied from Stackelberg, *Gräb. der Hell.* pl. 60. The *σχιστὸς*

which she wears can only be called a mixture of Doric and Ionic. It should however be remarked that artists represented the longer chiton with the diploidion, open in the same manner as in the figure, so that the proper Doric σχιστὸς is only to be seen on the Amazons, *Marbles in the Brit. Mus.* iv. 16; and in the *Mus. Borb.* iv. 21.

The Ionic chiton, on the other hand, was an ample shift, falling in many folds down to the feet, and with broad sleeves, which were variable in length. It was of linen or of similar material. Cf. Herodot. v. 87: ἐφόρεον γὰρ δὴ πρὸ τοῦ αἱ τῶν Ἀθηναίων γυναῖκες ἐσθῆτα Δωρίδα τῇ Κορινθίᾳ παραπλησιωτάτην. μετέβαλλον ὦν ἐς τὸν λίνεον κιθῶνα, ἵνα δὴ περόνῃσι μὴ χρέωνται. ἔστι

A Bronze from Herculaneum, *Mus. Borb.* ii. 6.

Here the adjustment of the Chiton is complete. On the shoulders are the clasps which fasten together the πτέρυγες. The Chiton is girded under the bosom, and the upper part, which is thus made to hang over, (κόλπος,) forms a parallel line with the Diploidion.

A Bronze from Herculaneum, *Mus. Borb.* ii. 4.

The girl is just in the act of fastening the chiton over the right shoulder, and we see how the lappet, διπλοΐδιον, is caused by this means. On the side where the seam is, joining the πτέρυγες, are two purple stripes.

δὲ ἀληθεῖ λόγῳ χρεωμένοισι οὐκ Ἰὰς αὕτη ἡ ἐσθὴς τὸ παλαιὸν ἀλλὰ Κάειρα· ἐπεὶ ἥ γε Ἑλληνικὴ ἐσθὴς πᾶσα ἡ ἀρχαίη τῶν γυναικῶν ἡ αὐτὴ ἦν, τὴν νῦν Δωρίδα καλέομεν.

The διπλοῖς, διπλοΐδιον, or ἡμιδιπλοΐδιον, is intimately connected with the chiton, or rather is a part of it. Böttiger supposes this diploidion, or the ἐπωμὶς, to have been a separate article of dress, a kind of double mantle, which at last came to be drawn on like a tunic. In the case of the sleeved chiton, which was not fastened with a clasp over the shoulder, this may have been the case, but originally, and in most instances, it was nothing more than the turn-over or lappet of the chiton itself. This is very clearly seen in many vase-paintings; but no antiques shew the arrangement of this garment more unmistakably than the two bronzes from Herculaneum which are here engraved. The parts covering the breast and back are much too long, and hence this flap or turn-over, which in some cases falls as low as the hips, or lower; and inasmuch as the chiton was double so far as this reached, it was called διπλοΐδιον. When the lappet was formed on the breast only, or on the back, instead of on both, it may have borne the name ἡμιδιπλοΐδιον; or perhaps this name was used when the flap did not double the chiton more than half way down. There is no passage which determines which of these two meanings properly attaches to the word. This diploidion was also called ἐπωμὶς, because it was fastened over the shoulders by agraffes. Müller, it is true, supposes that ἐπωμὶς merely means the end which was fastened across the shoulder. *Handb. d. Archäol.* p. 472. In support of this position he adduces Eurip. *Hec.* 553:

λαβοῦσα πέπλους ἐξ ἄκρας ἐπωμίδος
ἔρρηξε λαγόνος ἐς μέσον παρ' ὀμφαλόν.

He also cites a fragment of Chæremon, apud Athen. xiii. p. 608:

ἔκειτο δ' ἡ μὲν λευκὸν εἰς σεληνόφως
φαίνουσα μαστὸν λελυμένης ἐπωμίδος.

In these passages, however, the word ἐπωμὶς may just as well be understood of the garment itself, and it is evidently taken in this sense by Pollux, vii. 49: Καὶ ἴδια δὲ γυναικῶν ἐπωμὶς, διπλοΐδιον, ἡμιδιπλοΐδιον, κ.τ.λ. So too a fragment of Apollodorus, ap. Suidas, s. v. ἐγκομβώσασθαι:

τὴν ἐπωμίδα
πτύξασα διπλῆν ἄνωθεν ἐνεκομβωσάμην.

Müller also takes ἔγκυκλον as synonymous with diploidion; but this is very doubtful. The Scholiast to Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 261, very properly observes: δῆλον δὲ, ὅτι τὸ ἔγκυκλον ἱμάτιον, ὁ δὲ κροκωτὸς ἔνδυμα: and again, *Lysistr.* 114, we have τοὔγκυκλον τουτὶ καταθεῖσαν. Pollux, however, understands the word to mean a coloured border. See vii. 53.

The sleeves of the proper Ionic chiton seem quite closed, and hang down in folds like broad pouches; but they were often slit

A female from Millin, *Peint. d. Vas.* II. 70. She is dressed in a long chiton, without sleeves, and fastened over the shoulders, (ἐπωμίς). The diploidion is bordered with a coloured edge; below it is the lappet (κόλπος) caused by the girdle; on the right side near the seam are two parallel stripes (ῥάβδοι or παρυφαί). The small shawl-shaped himation (ἀμπεχόνιον) has also a purple border (παρυφὲς or παραλουργὲς, Poll.) at each end. She wears shoes, covering the whole foot, and in her left hand is a parasol (σκιάδειον), of the usual form.

open from the shoulder on the upper side, and fastened with clasps, so that the arm might be seen. This sort Böttiger very improperly terms χιτὼν σχιστός.

The Ionic chiton was generally much longer than the body, and was so drawn up by the girdle as just to reach to the feet. The fold or lappet (κόλπος) which was by this means caused under the breast or lower, (according to the position of the girdle,) forms a parallel line with the border of the diploidion. See Figure on p. 423. When the chiton was not girded, but hung loose from the top, it was called ὀρθοστάδιος, or συμμετρία χιτών. Poll. vii. 48: χιτὼν ὀρθοστάδιος ὁ οὐ ζωννύμενος. Phot. *Lex.* p. 346: Ὀρθοστάδιοι οἱ στατοὶ χιτῶνες· οἱ γὰρ συρόμενοι συρτοί. The chiton was called συρτὸς, before it was girt up; it was never worn with a train. Sometimes it had below a flounce with folds, and was then called στολιδωτός. Poll. vii. 54: εἴη δ' ἄν τις καὶ στολιδωτὸς χιτών. στολίδες δέ εἰσιν αἱ ἐξεπίτηδες ὑπὸ δεσμοῦ γιγνόμεναι κατὰ τέλη τοῖς χιτῶσιν ἐπιπτυχαί. μάλιστα ἐπὶ λινῶν χιτωνίσκων. Cf. Xenoph. *Cyrop.* vi. 4, 2.

The girdle used by women is called ζώνιον or στρόφιον. Ptolem. Ascal. *de differ. voc.* 87: ζώνην λέγουσι τὴν τοῦ ἀνδρός· ζώνιον δὲ τὸ γυναικός. Mœr. Att. p. 124; Poll. vii. 67; Aristoph. *Thesm.* 139; *Lysistr.* 72. This must be distinguished from the band placed around the breast (usually) under the chiton. It was called by several names, of which the most usual are ταινία, μίτρα, ἀπόδεσμος, and στηθόδεσμος. Poll. vii. 65: τὸ δὲ τῶν μαστῶν τῶν γυναικείων ζῶσμα ταινίαν ὠνόμαζον καὶ ταινίδιον. Cf. *Anthol. Pal.* v. 199; Anacr. 20, 13. The ἀπόδεσμος corresponds to the *fascia pectoralis*, (see *Gallus*, p. 432,) but is also generally a bosom-band. Lucian, *Dial. Mer.* xii.: ἡ δὲ φιλήσασα μεταξὺ τῶν μαστῶν ὑπὸ τῷ ἀποδέσμῳ παρεβύσατο. The manner of wearing it is seen in a bronze, figured in the *Antich. d'Erc.* vi. 17, 3. The body-band, περίζωμα, was different, being used as a περὶ τῇ κοιλίᾳ ζῶσμα. Poll. vii. 65. The Greek women had an infinity of means for improving the figure, though few, perhaps, beside hetæræ made use of them. Alexis, ap. Athen. xiii. p. 568, gives a long catalogue:

οὐκ ἔχει τις ἰσχία·
ὑπενέδυσ' ἐρράμμεν' αὐτὴν, ὥστε τὴν εὐπυγίαν
ἀναβοᾶν τοὺς εἰσιδόντας. κοιλίαν ἁδρὰν ἔχει·

στηθί' ἔστ' αὐταῖσι τούτων, ὧν ἔχουσ' οἱ κωμικοί.
ὀρθὰ προσθεῖσαι τοιαῦτα γοῦν αὐτῶν τῆς κοιλίας,
ὡσπερεὶ κύντοισι τούτοις εἰς τὸ πρόσθ' ἀπήγαγον.

The ἐπίβλημα, or upper garment of the women, in all essential points resembled the himation of the males; hence the same might serve for both man and wife. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* vii. 9: ἡ Φωκίωνος γυνὴ τὸ Φωκίωνος ἱμάτιον ἐφόρει καὶ οὐδὲν ἐδεῖτο οὐ κροκωτοῦ, οὐ Ταραντίνου, οὐκ ἀναβολῆς, οὐκ ἐγκυκλίου, οὐ κεκρυφάλου, οὐ καλύπτρας, οὐ βαπτῶν χιτωνίσκων. The same author relates that Xantippe refused to go out in her husband's himation, which is likely enough. The usual name for this female himation is ἀμπεχόνη or ἀμπεχόνιον, and the use of the diminutive shews that smaller shawl-like garments were also worn. See the figure with the σκιάδειον, p. 426. The word πέπλος, with the exception of the Panathenaic, denotes any article of apparel ordinarily used. See Poll. vii. 49. If there was originally a particular garment called by this name, it must have become obsolete.

Before proceeding to describe these garments further, let us return a moment to the question whether the χιτὼν and ἀμπεχόνη sufficed for a woman's attire, or whether an under shift was also worn. Even at Athens this was probably not universally the case, still, most likely, it was pretty general. It is true that there is no monument which distinctly represents one chiton over the other; though the χιτώνια mentioned above can certainly be nothing else than such under shifts. But it is clear from Aristophanes that these could never have been worn alone, not even in the house, for it would have been next to nudity. *Lysistr.* 150:

εἰ γὰρ καθοίμεθ' ἔνδον ἐντετριμμέναι
κἀν τοῖς χιτωνίοισι τοῖς ἀμοργίνοις
γυμναὶ παρίοιμεν.

In the *Adoniazusæ* of Theocritus, Praxagora, while washing herself, is clad in her chitonion; she then puts on the περονατρὶς or upper ἔνδυμα, and the ἀμπέχονον. Still plainer, however, is what Athenæus says of the celebrated Phryne, xiii. p. 590: διόπερ οὐδὲ ῥᾳδίως ἦν αὐτὴν ἰδεῖν γυμνήν. ἐχέσαρκον γὰρ χιτώνιον ἠμπείχετο καὶ τοῖς δημοσίοις οὐκ ἐχρῆτο βαλανείοις. So too the artifice of Hyperides, at the conclusion of his oration in her defence: περιῤῥήξας τοὺς χιτωνίσκους γυμνά τε τὰ στέρνα ποιήσας, κ.τ.λ.

In the following engraving, taken from Tischbein, *Engravings*, i. pl. 59, one of the women, who are here performing their ablutions, has on such a short thin shift, ἐξωμὶς, which has all the peculiarities of a χιτώνιον, for it reaches scarcely half down the thigh, and is quite διαφανὲς, (Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 48,) and ἐχέσαρκον, like that of Phryne.

We will next speak of the materials of the several articles of Greek dress. After the linen chiton of the men had fallen into disuse, sheep's wool was the only material employed, the fabric being of coarser or finer texture, according to circumstances. The most celebrated wool came from Miletus. Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 729; Strabo, xii. 7, 16: φέρει δ' ὁ περὶ τὴν Λαοδίκειαν τόπος προβάτων ἀρετὰς, οὐκ εἰς μαλακότητας μόνον τῶν ἐρίων, ἢ καὶ τῶν Μιλησίων διαφέρει, ἀλλὰ καὶ εἰς τὴν κοραξὴν χρόαν. See *Gallus*, p. 442. For winter wear the chiton was made of much stouter cloth, and shagged on one or both sides. Thus in Aristophanes, *Ran.* 1067, we have: χιτὼν οὔλων ἐρίων: and Pollux, vii. 57, mentions the χιτὼν δασὺς, μαλλωτὸς or ἀμφίμαλλος. The χλαῖνα, which was probably like the ordinary himation in form,

only of thicker stuff, was worn in winter. See Aristoph. *Aves*, 714, where the appearance of the swallow is said to indicate

ὅτε χρὴ χλαῖναν πωλεῖν ἤδη καὶ ληδάριόν τι πρίασθαι.

Also Hesych.: χλαῖνα ἱμάτιον χειμερινόν. Cf. Suidas; Aristoph. *Vesp.* 738, 1132; *Ranæ*, 1459. In summer, on the other hand, was worn a garment of lighter material, θερίστρια, which Winkelmann mistakes for a veil. See Hesychius; and Poll. vii. 48. In Theocr. xv. 69, Praxinoe wears a θερίστριον at the season of the festival of Adonis. The λῆδιον, or ληδάριον, was also a light summer-garment, and so, probably, was the χλανὶς also.

The female attire was made from other materials besides wool and linen. The byssus claims the first mention, though the subject is obscure, since the ancients appear to have called various stuffs by this name. The byssus of our naturalists is quite distinct, being a tuft of silky threads secreted by a family of mollusks, of which the *Pinna marina* is the type. This also was known to the ancients. See Tertull. *de Pallio*, 3; Man. Philes. *de Anim. Propr.* 88. But the byssus used for garments was a vegetable product, consisting of the fibres of certain plants. In this all writers, ancient and mode n, agree; but what the plants were is not so easy to say. Herodotus, ii. 86, speaks of it in reference to the Egyptian mummies: κατειλίσσουσι πᾶν τὸ σῶμα σινδόνος βυσσίνης τελαμῶσι κατατετμημένοισι. It has been generally supposed that mummies, at least those of the first and second classes, were enveloped in swathes of cotton; and if this was the case, Herodotus must have taken βύσσος for cotton. [Recent microscopical investigations, however, tend to shew that the fibres of the mummy-cloth are of flax, not cotton.] Again, Herodotus, vii. 181, mentions βύσσος as a bandage for wounds, a purpose to which cotton is not at all adapted. Cf. Id. ii. 37; Plin. *Nat. Hist.* xix. 1, 2. Philostratus, moreover, *Vit. Apollon.* ii. 20, says: καὶ βύσσῳ δὲ τοὺς φανερωτέρους αὐτῶν (Ἰνδῶν) φάσιν ἐσταλθαι, τὴν δὲ βύσσον φύεσθαι δένδρου φασίν. It is not unlikely that the thicker cotton fabrics may have been confounded with linen. Anything resembling linen was probably often called so, and this may explain the uncertainty of the expression. See Plutarch, *de Pythiæ Orac.* 4; and Poll. vii. 76: καὶ μὴν καὶ τὰ βύσσινα καὶ ἡ βύσσος λίνου τι εἶδος παρ' Ἰνδοῖς. ἦδη δὲ καὶ παρ' Αἰγυπτίοις ἀπὸ ξύλου τι ἔριον γίγνεται

ἐξ οὗ τὴν ἐσθῆτα λίνου ἄν τις μᾶλλον φαίη προσεοικέναι, πλὴν τοῦ πάχους. On the whole, the best authorities have inclined to the opinion that by βύσσος cotton is meant. See Forster, *de Bysso Antiquorum*, p. 47; Böttiger, *Aldobrand. Hochz.* p. 127; Sprengel, *Hist. rei Herb.* i. p. 15. There can however be no doubt that several fabrics, essentially different from each other, all bore this name. The usual byssus was white, like cotton; but there was also one kind of a yellow colour. Philostr. *Vit. Apollon.* ii. 20: καὶ ἡσθῆναι τῇ βύσσῳ φησὶν ὁ Ἀπολλώνιος, ἐπειδὴ ἔοικε φαιῷ τρίβωνι. Empedocles, apud Plutarch, *de Def. Orac.* 4: βύσσῳ δὲ γλαυκῆς κρόκου καταμίσγεται. Pausan. vii. 21, 7; and v. 5, 2: ἡ δὲ βύσσος ἡ ἐν τῇ Ἠλείᾳ λεπτότητος μὲν εἵνεκα οὐκ ἀποδεῖ τῆς Ἐβραίων, ἔστι δὲ οὐχ ὁμοίως ξανθή. Cf. Plin. xix. 1, 4. But the enormous price of this yellow byssus, which grew around Elis, makes it probable that it was used for cauls and other ornaments, but hardly for whole dresses. According to Voss, ad Virg. *Georg.* ii. 120, the true byssus is the yellow cotton (*Gossypium religiosum?*); but the question is, what he means by the true byssus, for Herodotus certainly is not thinking of the yellow kind, and that grown at Elis was evidently not the common article. It seems then that we must assume that, originally, there was a great resemblance among a variety of stuffs, owing to a similarity in the style of manufacture; and the confusion and perhaps intentional imitation which took place will account for the contradictory nature of the descriptions which have come down to us. See Wedel, *de Purp. et Bysso*; Bertolini, *de Bysso Ant.*; Heeren, *Ideen*, i. 1, p. 106.

The period at which cotton garments were introduced into Greece is uncertain. The fragment of Empedocles, quoted by Plutarch, is too brief to afford us any information as to the use of byssus for clothing; a βύσσινον φάρος, however, is mentioned, with reference to the same period, in a fragment of the *Laocoon* of Sophocles, apud Dionys. Halic. *Ant. Rom.* i. 48. Plutarch, also, *de Virt. et Vit.* 2, affirms that at the Homeric period, wool and linen, only, were known. There is a remarkable passage in Diogenes Laertius, (vi. 90,) referring to the surveillance exercised by the *Astynomi* over luxuriousness in dress; where the obscure expression σινδῶν may originally denote linen, but in any case of foreign manufacture. He says: ὑπὸ τῶν Ἀθήνησιν ἀστυνόμων

ἐπιτιμηθεὶς, ὅτι σινδόνα ἠμφίεστο, ἔφη, Καὶ Θεόφραστον ὑμῖν δείξω σινδόνα περιβεβλημένον. ἀπιστούντων δὲ ἀπήγαγεν ἐπὶ κουρεῖον καὶ ἔδειξε κειρόμενον. See Poll. vii. 72; Phot. *Lex.* p. 512: Σινδονίτης χιτών· λινοῦς. But since Herodotus mentions the σινδὼν βυσσίνη, it would appear that fabrics of cotton were also called by this name. Hence, if in the above story from Diogenes Laertius robes of cotton are meant, it will follow that this was, at that period, a very unusual dress for men; but how early women made use of this material does not appear. Cf. Art. *Byssus* in the *Real-Encyklop. d. Class. Alterth.*

Another somewhat similar material was a very fine sort of flax, which derived its name from the island Amorgos, where the best was grown. Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 150, mentions χιτώνια ἀμόργινα, and these are doubtless synonymous with the διαφανῆ χιτώνια of v. 48. See Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 118: γυναῖκα ἀμόργινα ἐπισταμένην ἐργάζεσθαι καὶ ἔργα λεπτὰ εἰς τὴν ἀγορὰν ἐκφέρουσαν. The garments thus made were particularly delicate and transparent, and seem to have resembled those of byssus. Harpocr. Ἀμοργός· ἔστι παραπλήσιόν τι βύσσῳ. Pollux, vii. 74, says: Τὰ δὲ ἀμόργινα γίγνεσθαι μὲν τὰ ἄριστα ἐν τῇ Ἀμοργῷ. λίνου δ' οὖν καὶ ταύτας εἶναι λέγουσιν. ὁ δὲ ἀμόργινος χιτὼν καὶ ἀμοργὶς ἐκαλεῖτο. This last assertion is, however, erroneous, as appears from Aristophanes, *Lysistr.* 735, 737, and the Scholion thereon: τῆς λινοκαλάμης. ἔστι δὲ ἡ ἀμοργὶς ὅμοιον ἀλεπίστῳ λίνῳ. The material was exported raw, and manufactured abroad.

The history of the silk manufacture is enveloped in still greater obscurity, and the writers on the subject deal in the most extravagant assertions. With regard to early times this need excite no surprise, considering the distance of the country that produced the material, and the fables which the silk-dealers purposely spread abroad. See Voss, ad Virg. *Georg.* ii. 121. But it is strange that the Macedonian conquest did not introduce more accurate information. Aristotle, it is true, appears to be acquainted with the species (*Bombyx Mori*, Linn.) by which the silk is produced; but from his account of its metamorphoses, and his description of the larva, it would appear that he knew nothing about the insect from personal observation. He says, *Hist. Anim.* v. 17: ἐκ δέ τινος σκώληκος μεγάλου, ὃς ἔχει οἷον κέρατα καὶ διαφέρει τῶν ἄλλων, γίγνεται τὸ πρῶτον μὲν μεταβαλόντος τοῦ σκώληκος κάμπη,

ἔπειτα βομβύλιος, ἐκ δὲ τούτου νεκύδαλος· ἐν ἓξ δὲ μησὶ μεταβάλλει ταύτας τὰς μορφὰς πάσας. Ἐκ τούτου τοῦ ζώου καὶ τὰ βομβύκια ἀναλύουσι τῶν γυναικῶν τινες ἀναπηνιζόμεναι κἄπειτα ὑφαίνουσι. Πρώτη δὲ λέγεται ὑφῆναι ἐν Κῷ Παμφίλου Πλάτεω θυγάτηρ. Pliny, *Nat. Hist.* vi. 17, 20, and xi. 22, 23, has merely reproduced Aristotle's account, with the addition of a few blunders from other sources; while Strabo, xv. 1, 21, follows Nearchus, and gravely states that silk came ἔκ τινων φλοιῶν ξαινομένης βύσσου. The traditions followed by Pausanias, vi. 26, 4, and Eustathius, ad Dionys. Perieg. 753, are still more absurd. The latter takes σηρικὰ to be a different thing from silk, and Pollux, vii. 76, fancies it was made from something resembling spiders' webs.

Silk came both in a raw and manufactured state to the West; and in the latter case perhaps, was called σηρικά. By far the larger quantity, however, was imported in a raw condition, and was then denominated μέταξα. Procop. *Bell. Pers.* i. 20; *Bell. Goth.* iv. 17. Cf. Hesychius, s. v. Σῆρες. The cocoons, on arrival, were first unwound, (according to Aristotle on the isle of Cos,) and the βομβύκινα were then woven from the thread. The obscurity of Aristotle's words, ἀναλύουσιν ἀναπηνιζόμεναι κἄπειτα ὑφαίνουσι, and still more of Pliny's, vi. 17, 20, 'unde geminus feminis labor, redordiendi fila rursumque texendi,' has given rise to the erroneous notion that the webs, already finished, were again unravelled. Forster, *de Bysso Ant.* 16, shares in this error. The word ἀναλύειν refers only to the cocoons, as is correctly remarked by Salmasius and Schneider.

In all probability silken garments were not used in Greece till a late period, but the Asiatics wore them from the earliest times; for the ἐσθῆτες Μηδικαὶ, which Herodotus (iii. 84, vii. 116) mentions as gifts of honour, were certainly of silk, as Procopius expressly states; *Bell. Pers.* i. 20: (μέταξα) ἐξ ἧς εἰώθεσαν τὴν ἐσθῆτα ἐργάζεσθαι, ἣν πάλαι μὲν Ἕλληνες Μηδικὴν ἐκάλουν, τὰ δὲ νῦν σηρικὴν ὀνομάζουσιν. In Aristotle's time the manufacture was still very limited in Greece; for his words are, ἔνιαι τῶν γυναικῶν. This, to be sure, does not prove that silk dresses were not imported; but the silence of the writers of the time, and the enormous price which the article maintained at a later period, shews that its use must have been extremely limited. In after times silk chitons even are mentioned. Alciphr. *Epist.* i. 39:

βόμβυξ δ' ἦν τὸ χιτώνιον. Cf. *Gallus*, p. 442; and Art. *Bombyx*, in the *Real-Encycl. d. Class. Alterth.*

It cannot therefore be doubted that the notorious Coän robes were a gauze-like silk fabric; but the εἵματα διαφανῆ, often alluded to at an earlier period, must have been of another material, βύσσινα or ἀμόργινα. See Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 48: διαφανῆ χιτώνια. Philemon, Fragm. (p. 387, Mein.): ἱμάτια διαφαίνοντα. These were often employed by artists, as through them the contour of the form was pretty visible. See the wood-cut, p. 460. Also, *August.* iii. 105; *Marm. Oxon.* 5; *Mus. Borb.* iii. 36. Lucian, *Amor.* 41, aptly terms such a dress an εἰς πρόφασιν ἐσθῆς. Cf. Hippolochus, apud Athen. iv. p. 129: εἰσβάλλουσιν αὐλητρίδες καὶ μονσουργοὶ καὶ σαμβυκίστριαί τινες Ῥόδιαι, ἐμοὶ μὲν γυμναὶ δοκῶ, πλὴν ἔλεγόν τινες αὐτὰς ἔχειν χιτῶνας.

The manufacture of asbestos fabrics at Carystos in Eubœa may be mentioned as a curiosity. Strabo, x. 1, 6; Steph. Byz. s. v. Κάρυστος. Clothes of such a material were never actually worn.

Furs were not required, on account of the mildness of the climate, and indeed are scarcely mentioned. Athenæus, v. p. 220, tells a story of a sophist who used to wear a sheep-skin. Cf. Böttig. *Vasengem.* iii. p. 186; and the derivation of the name Λοκροὶ Ὀζολαί, given by Pausanias, x. 38, 1; see also Philostr. *Vit. Apollon.* i. 2.

Chitons of leather are mentioned by Pollux, vii. 70: καὶ σκύτινοι δὲ ἦσαν ἐσθῆτες καὶ χιτὼν ἐκ δέρματος. In the time of Pausanias the poorer classes in Eubœa and Phocis wore chitons of hogs' hides. Pausan. viii. 1, 2: χιτῶνας τοὺς ἐκ τῶν δερμάτων τῶν ὑῶν, οἷς καὶ νῦν περί τε Εὔβοιαν ἔτι χρῶνται καὶ ἐν τῇ Φωκίδι, ὁπόσοι βίου σπανίζουσιν.

The next enquiry is as to the colour of the dresses. In the first place we must protest against the very prevalent notion that in the rank of the free burghers, and indeed among all respectable females, with few exceptions, nothing but white was worn. This assertion has been repeatedly made by Böttiger; he affirms that 'so long as the ancient Hellenic world, and, later, Rome, kept free from admixture with the barbarians,—which of course was not the case under the later Roman emperors,—white was the prevailing colour worn by the respectable females and among

the higher classes, and at Athens purple and other colours were even considered the marks of immodest women.' *Kl. Schr.* iii. p. 44. In one of his latest essays, however, he states his views in a materially modified form. He there says, 'Though the Greek women unquestionably wore coloured garments, and, as is clear from the pictures of Polygnotus, often wore dresses of yellow, and of variable colours, yet these are very different from stripes and flaring patterns.' *Kl. Schr.* i. p. 293. This more recent opinion is certainly that which will best bear examination. On this subject we read in Pollux, vii. 55: *αἱ δὲ ἀπὸ χρωμάτων ἐσθῆτες καλούμεναι, ἀλουργὶς, πορφυρὶς, φοινικὶς καὶ φοινικοῦς χιτῶν, βατραχίς. αὗται μὲν ἀνδρῶν. Γυναικῶν δὲ, κροκωτὸς, κροκώτιον, παραλουργὶς, ὀμφάκινον. τούτῳ δὲ τῷ χρώματι καὶ Ἀλέξανδρον ἥδεσθαι λέγουσι, τὸ δὲ ὑοροβαφὲς εἴη ἂν ἱμάτιον, ὃ νῦν ψυχροβαφὲς καλοῦσιν. ἔστι δὲ καὶ κίλλιον ἐσθῆτος χρῶμα, τὸ νῦν ὑνάγρινον καλούμενον. καὶ κίλλον γὰρ τὸν ὄνον οἱ Δωριεῖς, καὶ κιλλακτῆρα τὸν ὀνηλάτην. φαιὸν δὲ καὶ μέλαν ἀλλήλοις ἐστὶν ἐγγύς. καὶ τὸ κοκκοβαφὲς δὲ καλεῖται ἀπὸ τοῦ χρώματος.* Here there is an express distinction drawn between those colours which were worn by the men, and those which were confined to the women. In another place the same writer mentions the colours appropriated to particular characters on the stage; and we should bear in mind that comedy, especially the new, was an imitation of the manners of ordinary life. Poll. iv. 118: *κωμικὴ δὲ ἐσθὴς ἐξωμίς. ἐστι δὲ χιτὼν λευκὸς ἄσημος...γερόντων δὲ φόρημα ἱμάτιον, καμπύλη· φοινικὶς ἢ μελαμπόρφυρον ἱμάτιον, φόρημα νεωτέρων. πήρα, βακτηρία, διφθέρα, ἐπὶ τῶν ἀγροίκων. καὶ πορφυρᾷ δὲ ἐσθῆτι ἐχρῶντο οἱ νεανίσκοι. οἱ δὲ παράσιτοι μελαίνῃ ἢ φαιᾷ... Ἡ δὲ γυναικῶν ἐσθὴς κωμικῶν, ἡ μὲν τῶν γραῶν μηλίνη, ἢ ἀερίνη, πλὴν ἱερειῶν· ταύταις δὲ λευκή·...ἡ δὲ τῶν νέων λευκὴ ἢ βυσσίνη. ἐπικλήρων δὲ λευκὴ, κροσσωτή. πορνοβοσκοὶ δὲ χιτῶνι βαπτῷ καὶ ἀνθεινῷ περιβολαίῳ ἐνδέδυνται, κ. τ. λ.* From this passage it must not be inferred that because a young man, in contrast to a *γέρων*, wears a dark-coloured garment, *μελαμπόρφυρον*, and a lad a bright purple one, *πορφυρᾷ ἐσθὴς*, that therefore they were always so clad; on the contrary, we only conclude that a coloured robe was not unusual in common life among the higher orders, or they would never have thus appeared in one on the stage.

Besides, it would be wonderful if that passion for magnificence

in dress which was so prevalent in Ionia, had not exercised some influence on the costume of the mother-country. The Ionians selected remarkably brilliant colours for their attire, though this certainly was not the case at Athens, at least till a very late period. See a fragment of Democritus of Ephesus, ap. Athen. xii. p. 525: Τὰ δὲ τῶν Ἰώνων ἰοβαφῆ καὶ πορφυρᾶ καὶ κρόκινα ῥόμβοις ὑφαντά. καὶ σαράπεις μήλινοι καὶ πορφυροῖ καὶ λευκοί, οἱ δὲ ἀλουργεῖς. καὶ καλασίρεις Κορινθιουργεῖς. εἰσὶ δὲ αἱ μὲν πορφυραῖ τούτων, αἱ δὲ ἰοβαφεῖς, αἱ δὲ ὑακίνθιναι· λάβοι δ' ἄν τις καὶ φλογίνας καὶ θαλασσοειδεῖς. There is distinct proof, moreover, that in the mother-country the use of coloured garments partially prevailed, even among the men. Thus there must be some meaning in the line in Aristoph. *Plut.* 533:

οὔθ' ἱματίων βαπτῶν δαπάναις κοσμῆσαι ποικιλομόρφων.

See also Xenoph. *Œcon.* 10, 3: ἐπιδεικνύς τε ἀργύριον κίβδηλον καὶ ὅρμους ὑποξύλους, καὶ πορφυρίδας ἐξιτήλους φαίην ἀληθινὰς εἶναι. Plutarch, *de Tranq. An.* 10: ἡ πορφύρα τριῶν μνῶν. The reply of Socrates shews that a garment is here meant. Occasionally we even meet with notices of the dress of individuals. Thus Chamæleon, ap. Athen. ix. p. 374, says of the comedian Anaxandrides: ἐφόρει ἀλουργίδα καὶ κράσπεδα χρυσᾶ. See Ælian, *Var. Hist.* xii. 32: Ἐμπεδοκλῆς δὲ ὁ Ἀκραγαντῖνος ἀλουργεῖ ἐχρήσατο, καὶ ὑποδήμασι χαλκοῖς. Ἱππίαν δὲ καὶ Γοργίαν ἐν πορφυραῖς ἐσθῆσι προϊέναι διαῤῥεῖ λόγος. Cf. *Ib.* xii. 11; Athen. xii. p. 543. In these passages, it is true, such coloured clothes are mentioned as something remarkable; and no doubt it was not every body that wore them, but they certainly were used on festive occasions, and doubtless frequently by *ἁβροδίαιτοι*. See Lucian, *Bis Accus.* 17: ἀφῄρει τε τοὺς στεφάνους...καὶ ἐπὶ τῇ πορφυρίδι ἡσχύνετο. The whole passage may be referred to as giving a striking picture of an Athenian dandy.

Dark-coloured chitons appear to have been not at all unusual. See especially a fragment of Antiphanes, apud Athen. xii. p. 544, where the costume of the academicians is described:

λευκὴ χλανίς, φαιὸς χιτωνίσκος καλός,
πιλίδιον ἁπαλόν, εὔρυθμος βακτηρία,
βαιὰ τράπεζα. τί μακρὰ δεῖ λέγειν; ὅλως
αὐτὴν ὁρᾶν γὰρ τὴν Ἀκαδημείαν δοκῶ.

Here the φαιὸς χιτωνίσκος is certainly not black, but either

brown, as manufactured from the undyed wool of the brown sheep, or else gray. See Phot. *Lex.* p. 637: χρῶμα σύνθετον ἐκ μέλανος καὶ λευκοῦ· ἤγουν μῦϊνον. Cf. Suidas, s. v. φαιός.

Coloured dresses were prevalent to a far greater extent among the female sex. In theory, no doubt, white was considered the most becoming for a discreet and modest woman. See Phintys, apud Stob. *Tit.* lxxiv. 61: περὶ δὲ τῶ κόσμω τῶ περὶ τὸ σῶμα δοκεῖ μοι οὕτως. Δεῖ λευχείμονα ἦμεν καὶ ἁπλοϊκὰν, καὶ ἀπερίσσευτον. Ἐσεῖται δὲ τοῦτο, αἴκα μὴ διαφανέεσσι, μηδὲ διαποικίλοις, μηδὲ ἀπὸ βεμβικος ὑφασμένοις χρᾶται τοῖς περὶ τὸ σῶμα, ἀλλὰ μετρίοις καὶ λευκοχρωμάτοις. Evidently enough this advice is directed against the prevailing practice. What is related of Polygnotus by Pliny, *Nat. Hist.* xxxv. 9, 35, 'primus mulieres lucida veste pinxit,' may indicate a change in attire which took place after the Persian war, or rather perhaps an improvement in the art of painting and the preparation of pigments; and it is certain that the painter would never have ventured on this step, if, as Böttiger supposes, coloured clothes had been at Athens the legal distinction of hetæræ; nor does it follow, because he was the first to paint women in brilliant colours, that his predecessors had attired their females in white only. The polychromatic vase-paintings and terracottas published by Stackelberg, in his *Gräber der Hellenen*, which represent figures from the life, are the more valuable, inasmuch as they corroborate, in the most striking manner, many of the statements made by Pollux. Though in most instances the colours have been much faded by age, yet the ground tone still remains; and the fact that white as well as coloured chitons and himatia occur, demonstrates that the scenes are from every-day life. Thus in Plate 44, 2, are represented two female figures, one of whom wears a dark upper garment (ἀμπεχόνη) with a white border, over a pale yellow sleeved-chiton. The latter, which looks like nankeen, is probably of byssus. Cf. Philostr. *Vit. Apollon.* ii. 20: καὶ ἡσθῆναι τῇ βύσσῳ φησὶν ὁ Ἀπολλώνιος, ἐπειδὴ ἔοικε φαιῷ τρίβωνι. This colour frequently occurs in women's dress. The himation, with its white border, is what is called περίλευκον by Pollux, vii. 51: τὰ δὲ περίλευκα τοὐναντίον εἴη ἂν ὕφασμα ἐκ πορφύρας ἢ ἄλλου χρώματος, ἐν τῷ περιδρύμῳ λευκὸν ἐνυφασμένον. The second figure is in a gold-brown diploïs, also with a white edging. In Pl. 45, 1, are seen two females, one of

whom wears a white chiton with sleeves, under a red himation; while the second is wrapped in a red mantle. Pl. 46, 2, is especially interesting. One of the women who stand at the tomb is clad in an ample blue cloak, which entirely conceals the under garment; the other wears a short and close-fitting purple chiton, without sleeves, the seam of which is adorned with a tolerably broad border of yellow. This border consists of upright indentations, which are seen elsewhere on monochromatic vase-paintings; see Tischbein, *Engrav.* i. 15; Millin, *Peint.* i. 52, 61. But under this dress the woman seems to have also a chitonion of the usual yellow colour, the sleeves of which are visible. On these polychromatic *lecythæ* there are also representations of men in coloured garments, for instance in Pl. 45, 2, is seen a young man in a red cherry-coloured chlamys; and the shades in Charon's bark wear red himatia. See Pl. 48. Charon's exomis, however, is gray or brown, which was the usual colour for sailors. Plaut. *Mil.* iv. 4, 43:

Palliolum habeas ferrugineum; nam is colos thalassicu'st.

Indeed the garb of the working classes was always dark-coloured. Artemidor. *Oneirocr.* ii. 3, p. 132: *οὐ γὰρ πρὸς ἔργῳ ὄντες οἱ ἄνθρωποι, καὶ μάλιστα οἱ τὰς βαναύσους τέχνας ἐργαζόμενοι λευκοῖς ἱματίοις χρῶνται.* The terracottas given in Stackelberg's work go also to shew that white was by no means the only colour worn either by men or women, and that perhaps it was only the very staring and brilliant tints, *ἀνθεινὰ χρώματα*, which were avoided by sober-minded and respectable women; this view, moreover, is excellently borne out by Artemidor. *Oneirocr.* ii. 3, p. 135: *Γυναικὶ δὲ ποικίλη καὶ ἀνθηρὰ ἐσθὴς συμφέρει, μάλιστα δὲ ἑταίρᾳ καὶ πλουσίᾳ· ἡ μὲν γὰρ διὰ τὴν ἐργασίαν, ἡ δὲ διὰ τὴν τρυφὴν ἀνθηραῖς ἐσθῆσι χρῶνται.*

It would seem from Pollux, iv. 120, that damsels belonging to the upper classes wore only the white or yellowish chiton, for he says: *ἡ δὲ τῶν νέων (ἐσθὴς) λευκὴ ἢ βυσσίνη.* He does not here refer to the *ἀμπεχόνη*, a garment which belongs indeed more to the matrons than the maidens, who, being rarely abroad, did not require it. It is strange that he alludes to no particular costume for the hetæræ. He mentions, as suitable to women, first, the *κροκωτὸς (χιτὼν)*, probably a chiton with a saffron-coloured diploidion. See Aristoph. *Eccl.* 331:

τῆς γυναικὸς ἐξελήλυθα
τὸ κροκωτίδιον ἀμπισχόμενος, ὑνδύεται.

Cf. Id. *Thesmoph.* 253: *τὸν κροκωτὸν πρῶτον ἐνδύου λαβών.* Pollux gives an erroneous explanation of this word: he says, *ὁ δὲ κροκωτὸς ἱμάτιον*, having manifestly in view Aristoph. *Ranæ*, 46; but in that passage there is not the slightest allusion to an himation. The *κροκωτὸς* was also occasionally worn by men, though of course not as a diploïs. Cf. Suïdas, s. v. *κροκωτὸς*, and Diog. Laert. vii. 169. It certainly was never of silk, as is affirmed by the Scholiasts to Aristoph. *Ranæ*, 46.

The other colours mentioned by Pollux are *ὀμφάκινον*, perhaps olive-green; *μήλινον*, apple-green or yellow; *ἀέρινος*, not only azure, but a variety of tints, even to a bright gray. There is much more obscurity about the *ὑδροβαφὲς*, which Pollux, doubtingly, compares with *ψυχροβαφές*. If with this we compare the *ὑδάτινα βράκη* in Theocr. xxviii. 11, and the *ὑδατόκλυστα* of Plutarch, *Quæst. Rom.* 26, it would almost seem that we are to suppose watered cloth (moiré) to be meant, and the same sort of thing is probably intended by the *undulata vestis* of Pliny, *Nat. Hist.* viii. 48, 74, and the *cumatile* (*κυματῶδες*) of Plautus, *Epid.* ii. 2, 49.

The ornaments of the chiton may be divided into horizontal borders, vertical stripes, figures irregularly embroidered, and lastly, regular patterns running over the whole garment. The first kind of ornament ran along the bottom edge, or round the hole for the neck, and consisted either of simple coloured stripes, or of ornamental patterns. They were called *πέζαι*. Poll. vii. 62: *αἱ δὲ παρὰ τὰς ὤας παρυφαὶ καλοῦνται πέζαι καὶ πεζίδες, καὶ περίπεζα τὰ οὕτω παρυφασμένα.* These stripes also were apparently many-coloured. The diploidion of the figure of Νίκη, on p. 422, has a narrow blue stripe close to the lower edge, and above that a broader one of red; probably too it was shaded off. See a fragment of Menander, apud Athen. ii. p. 163:

τῆς σκιᾶς τὴν πορφύραν
πρῶτον ἐννυφαίνουσ'· εἶτα μετὰ τὴν πορφύραν
τοῦτ' ἔστιν, οὐδὲ λευκὸν, οὐδὲ πορφύρα,
ἀλλ' ὥσπερ αὐγὴ τῆς κρόκης κεκραμένη.

These borders were usually woven in, but sometimes were sewn on, and, when faded, replaced by new ones. Poll. vii. 64: *περιῶσαι δὲ ἔλεγον τῶν παλαιῶν ἱματίων τὰς ὤας ἀφελόντα καινὰς παραθεῖναι.* Phot. *Lex.* p. 405: *τὸ ἀπολῆγον τοῦ χιτῶνος, ὃ ἡμεῖς ὦαν* (sic) *λέγομεν· πρότερον γὰρ ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ τρίβεσθαι δέρμα προβάτων προσέῤῥαπτον.* (?)

The vertical stripes frequently appear on the two sides of the chiton, where the πτέρυγες are sewn together, and are therefore always double. They are also often seen in front, also doubled, and are either on the chiton, and reach down to the feet, or are only on the diploïdion. See the wood-cuts on pp. 423, 424, 426; also Tischbein, *Engrav.* i. 4. The general name for these stripes was ῥάβδοι or πάρυφοι. Poll. vii. 53: αἱ μέντοι ἐν τοῖς χιτῶσι πορφυραῖ ῥάβδοι πάρυφοι καλοῦνται. Cf. Id. vii. 65; and Hesychius, s. v. Ὀχθοιβοι, which he supposes to mean these stripes, although this seems a mistake. In Millin, *Peint. des Vases Gr.* i. Pl. 38, may be seen a chiton with long sleeves reaching to the hand, and which has a singular ornament. An arabesque runs from the breast to the lower seam, and a similar one passes down the whole length of the sleeve. Such sleeve-ornaments also occur on men's chitons, being perhaps borrowed from the tragic costume. See Tischbein, *Engrav.* i. 3.

On the himatia also of both men and women are to be seen similar borders, which sometimes run right round, and sometimes appear to be only on the two seamed sides of the oblong cloth. To the former kind Pollux refers the words περίνησα and ἔγκυκλον. The second is said by Hesychius to be comprehended under the dubious term παράπηχυ. Cf. Phot. *Lex.* p. 388. Pollux seems to assign a different signification to this word. He says, vii. 53: τὸ δὲ παράπηχυ ἱμάτιον ἦν τι λευκὸν πῆχυν πορφυροῦν ἔχον παρυφασμένον. τὸ δὲ παρυφὲς καὶ παραλουργὲς τὸ ἑκατέρωθεν ἔχον παρυφασμένην πορφύραν. Ἴωνες δὲ αὐτὸ καλοῦσι πηχυαλές.

Fringes also, κροσσοί, θύσανοι, were appended to the garments, and tassels at the corners, as in the Roman toga; these were not for ornament merely, but for the purpose of keeping down the dress by their weight. Poll. vii. 64; iv. 120.

The third class of ornaments consisted of flowers, stars, and so forth, embroidered or woven in, and scattered all over the chiton, which was then called χιτὼν κατάστικτος. Poll. vii. 55: ὁ δὲ κατάστικτος χιτών ἐστιν ὁ ἔχων ζῶα ἢ ἄνθη ἐνυφασμένα. καὶ ζωωτὸς δὲ χιτὼν ἐκαλεῖτο καὶ ζωδιωτός. Plato, *de Republ.* viii. p. 557: ἱμάτιον ποικίλον, πᾶσιν ἄνθεσι πεποικιλμένον. These are very commonly represented on vases.

Fourthly come the dresses of regular patterns. In a very ancient and remarkable vase-painting in Millin. ii. Pl. 61, are

seen two Attic maidens, who are being offered to the Minotaur. They are enveloped in garments of a chess-board looking pattern; which the artist could never have borrowed entirely from his own invention. A somewhat similar device occurs elsewhere for turbans. See Plaut. *Epid.* ii. 2, 40, where the *vestis impluviata* is probably something of the sort.

There are but few names of dresses which yet remain to be mentioned, some of these are of a general import, others are peculiar to the lower classes and the slaves. The word ξυστὶς is very insufficiently explained by Böttiger to mean an embroidered purple coat. The grammarians give a variety of explanations, such as ποδῆρες ἔνδυμα and τραγικὸν ἔνδυμα, again, χλανὶς κωμικὴ, ἱμάτιον πορφυροῦν, ἱππικὸν ἔνδυμα, or λεπτὸν ὕφασμα, κ. τ. λ. See Harpocr.; Hesych.; Phot.; Schol. ad Aristoph. *Nub.* 70; Schol. ad Theocr. ii. 74. That it was not exclusively an ἔνδυμα, nor belonged merely to the tragic or comic stage, but might also denote a female robe of state, is evident from Theocr. ii. 74:

ἐγὼ δέ οἱ ἃ μεγάλοιτος
ὡμάρτευν, βύσσοιο καλὸν σύροισα χιτῶνα
κἀμφιστειλαμένη τὰν ξυστίδα τὰν Κλεαρίστας.

The name ξυστὶς does not refer to the shape at all, but merely to the material and ornaments. Thus it is correctly observed by Pollux, vii. 49: ξυστὶς, ἔνδυμά τε ὁμοῦ καὶ περίβλημα, καὶ χιτών. The best proof of this is that rich coverlets, στρώματα, are also thus designated. See Poll. vi. 10; x. 42.

The name ἐφεστρὶς also refers more to a cloth or coverlet than to a garment of any particular shape. Hence Pollux, x. 42, reckons it among the στρώματα, as well as the χλαῖνα, though this too, served also as a robe. Nevertheless it is clear that it resembled the chlamys, being, like that garment, fastened by a clasp. The word is used for an himation in Xenophon, *Symp.* 4, 38; but in Lucian, *Dial. Meretr.* ix., it denotes a garment resembling a chlamys: ἑώρακα δὲ κἀγὼ αὐτὸν ἐφεστρίδα περιπόρφυρον ἐμπεπορπημένον. See also Id. *Contempl.* 14; Artemidor. *Oneirocr.* ii. 3: χλαμὺς, ἣν ἔνιοι μανδύην, οἱ δὲ ἐφεστρίδα, οἱ δὲ βίρρον καλοῦσι. In Heliod. *Æthiop.* iii. 6, it is used for the ἀμπεχόνη of the women. In Agathias, apud Suidas, it is used both for this, and also for a soldier's chlamys.

The διφθέρα was a coat of skins used by herdsmen and countryfolks. Aristoph. *Nubes*, 71:

> Ὅταν μὲν οὖν τὰς αἶγας ἐκ τοῦ Φελλέως,
> ὥσπερ ὁ πατήρ σου, διφθέραν ἐνημμένος.

Schol.: ποιμενικὸν δὲ περιβόλαιον ἡ διφθέρα. Ἀττικοὶ δὲ λέγουσιν, ἣν νῦν ἰσάλην καλοῦμεν. ἔστι δὲ ἐκ δέρματος. It could be drawn over the head. Poll. vii. 70: (Περὶ σκυτίνων ἐσθήτων) διφθέρα δὲ στεγανὸς χιτὼν ἐπίκρανον ἔχων. See Aristoph. *Vesp.* 444; Plato, *Crit.* p. 53; Lucian, *Tim.* 12. A herdsman wearing the διφθέρα is represented in the *Mus. Pio-Clem.* iii. 34. Probably the σισύρα was something similar, but serving more as an himation than a chiton. It was also a κώδιον, see Aristoph. *Eccles.* 418, and it appears to have been mostly used as a coverlet, as was shewn in Note 8 to Scene VIII. It also served the purpose of a cloak; and sometimes was not a skin, but was made of coarse thick cloth. Lucian, *Rhet. Præc.* 16: ἡ πορφύρα μόνον ἔστω καλὴ καὶ εὐανθής, κἂν σισύρα τῶν παχειῶν τὸ ἱμάτιον ᾖ. Cf. Longus, *Past.* ii. p. 35.

The κατωνάκη was a dress for slaves, probably used only in the country: it was a chiton of coarse cloth, with the lower hem trimmed with sheep-skin. See Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 1151; Athen. vi. p. 271; Poll. vii. 68; Müller, *Dorians*, ii. p. 38. The lower orders, and especially seafaring folks, wore a kind of matting, φορμός. Pausan. x. 29, 2: ὁ δὲ Ἑλπήνωρ ἀμπέχεται φορμὸν ἀντὶ ἐσθῆτος, σύνηθες τοῖς ναύταις φόρημα. There are a number of other names which refer either to trivial variations of attire, or to articles introduced from abroad, but they need not be discussed here, being irrelevant to the general Greek customs.

We will now say a word or two on the head-coverings worn by the men; the women used nothing of the kind, and their nets, cauls, and head-dresses will be treated of in the Excursus on *The Hair and Beard*. The men did not wear any covering on the head, either at the gymnasia, or when going about the city. So Anacharsis, in Lucian, *de Gymn.* 16, speaking of the fierceness of the sun, says: τὸν γὰρ πῖλόν μοι ἀφελεῖν οἴκοθεν ἔδοξεν, ὡς μὴ μόνος ἐν ὑμῖν ξενίζοιμι τῷ σχήματι. But something of the kind was required in certain trades, and was also worn on journeys. They may be divided into two sorts, hats with brims, and caps with

none, though both kinds were known by the common terms κυνῆ and πῖλος. The πέτασος is the best known form of the first kind; it was of Thessalian or Macedonian origin, like the chlamys, and quite appertained to it, and hence was commonly worn by the ephebi and those who appeared in the chlamys. Poll. x. 164: Τὸ δὲ τῶν ἐφήβων φόρημα πέτασος καὶ χλαμύς. Hesych. Πέτασος, τὸ τῶν ἐφήβων φόρημα. The best illustrations of its use are the reliefs of the Parthenon, and many other monuments. The variations, which are very numerous, always occur in the brim. The ephebi from the Parthenon wear a petasos, the brim of which is bent downwards, and has four arch-shaped cuts, by which means four corners are formed, one of which projects right over the forehead. Another variety is seen on the Bellerophon in Tischbein, *Engrav.* i. 3, where the brim is entire, and bent upwards. The petasos of Hermes has often a very small brim. See Winkelm. iv. Pl. 7. a. But the invariable characteristic of all is the round arched crown. See the figure of Œdipus, p. 420. In Sophocl. *Œd. Col.* 315, Ismene wears such a hat, ἡλιοστερὴς κυνῆ Θεσσαλίς: and the only explanation of a female wearing such an article, may be found in the equally unusual apparition of a virgin on such a journey. Cf. Böttiger, *Furienmaske*, p. 123.

The καυσία, also Macedonian in its origin, much resembled the petasos, only that it had a higher crown, flat at the top, and a horizontal brim, quite round, and often very broad. Tischbein, *Engrav.* i. 10. Probably the Arcadian κυνῆ resembled it. Böttiger erroneously supposes that this κυνῆ had a kind of shade, παραπέτασμα, on the brim, which was bent downwards. The mistake appears to have arisen from a misconception of Aristoph. *Aves*, 1202. Iris no doubt had a rainbow round her head, when she appeared on the stage, and this ring may have resembled the shade of an Arcadian sombrero. Concerning the καυσία, see Müller, *Ueber die Makedoner*, p. 48.

The cap-shaped coverings for the head vary but little; they were generally semioval in shape. They were worn by the boatmen, and consequently Charon is thus represented. See the wood-cut on p. 416; also Stackelberg, *Die Gräber der Holl.* Pl. 47, and 48. The same is also the case with Odysseus and Cadmos; Millingen, *Uned. Mon.* i. 27. The artisans also wore them, and therefore Hephæstos usually has one; Hirt, *Bilderb.* Pl. vi. 1, 2;

Terracottas in the Brit. Mus. 10. The workmen of the Argo wear a similar cap with a somewhat broad rim running round it.

The colour of these hats and caps was various. That of Charon in the preceding wood-cut should be red; while Plautus, *Mil.* iv. 4, 42, mentions a *causia ferruginea* among the *ornatus nauclericus*. On another lecythos in Stackelberg, (Pl. 45, 2,) a young man in a chlamys wears a white petasos with red rim. In Macedonia a purple καυσία was a mark of honour, bestowed by kings. Plutarch, *Eumen.* 8: Ἐξῆν γὰρ Εὐμένει καὶ καυσίας ἀλουργεῖς καὶ χλαμύδας διανέμειν, ἥτις ἦν δωρεὰ βασιλικωτάτη παρὰ Μακεδόσι. Cf. Id. *Demetr.* 41. Occasionally, and especially in early times, the material may have been leather; afterwards it was generally felt, and hence all head-coverings go by the name of πῖλοι. On this subject see Mongèz, *sur les Vêtemens des Anciens. Mém. de l'Institut. Royal*, iv.; Clarac, *Musée de Sculpt.* ii. p 49; and *Gallus*, p. 408.

EXCURSUS II. TO SCENE XI.

THE SHOES.

IN-DOORS the Greeks always went about unshod, and even when abroad the use of a foot-covering was by no means universal. Already in the heroic ages we find persons putting on the πέδιλα just before going out, not on a journey, but for a common walk. See *Iliad*, ii. 44; *Odyss.* ii. 4; xvii. 2. At a later period the custom continued the same. Shoes were only worn to protect the feet from injury in the street: at home they were never used, and at a stranger's, were put off, before reclining to the meal. See Excursus on *The Meals*, p. 318. Effeminate persons, in winter-time, may possibly have covered their feet with something at home; but this was not the rule; and many even went barefoot out of doors both in summer and winter. Plato, *de Republ.* ii. p. 372; Lucian, *Navig.* 1. At Sparta, in the case of younger persons, this was actually compulsory by law. Xenoph. *de Republ. Laced.* 2, 3: Ἀντί γε μὴν τοῦ ἀπαλύνειν τοὺς πόδας ὑποδήμασιν ἔταξεν, ἀνυποδησίᾳ κρατύνειν, νομίζων, εἰ τοῦτο ἀσκήσειαν, πολὺ μὲν ῥᾷον ἂν ὀρθιάδε βαίνειν, ἀσφαλέστερον δὲ πρανῆ καταβαίνειν. Plato, *Leg.* i. p. 633. And even aged people did the same. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* vii. 13: Ἀγησίλαος ὁ Λακεδαιμόνιος γέρων ἤδη ὢν ἀνυπόδητος πολλάκις καὶ ἀχίτων προῆει...καὶ ταῦτα ἐωθινὸς ἐν ὥρᾳ χειμερίῳ. At Athens too, it was usual for those of simple habits never to wear anything on the feet, except on special occasions, when propriety demanded it. Plato, *Symp.* p. 220; cf. Xenoph. *Mem.* i. 6, 2. Of this Socrates was by no means a solitary instance, and it was also done by persons of consequence and wealth, such as Lycurgus the orator; Plutarch, *Dec. Or. Vit.* iv. p. 379: ὑπεδέδετο ταῖς ἀναγκαίαις ἡμέραις. So also Phocion; Plutarch, *Phoc.* 4. It was a special mark of the stricter philosophic sects, and, as such, affected by the later beard-philosophers. Lucian, *Icaromen.* 31.

With these exceptions, it was usual to wear sandals or some such thing out of doors; and masters also gave them to their slaves, at least in winter-time. See Aristoph. *Vespæ*, 448.

In spite of numberless varieties of form, the foot-coverings of the Greeks may be divided into two chief classes, sandals and shoes. But there are so many transition forms, that a complete set of gradations may be adduced, from the simple sandal up to the quasi-boot or endromis. Sandals bound under the foot are the genuine *ὑποδήματα*; and the often-repeated assertion of Salmasius, (ad Tertull. *de Pallio*, p. 387,) that *ὑπόδημα* denotes the regular shoe, and *σανδάλιον* the sandal, is entirely erroneous. The passage on this subject in Pollux, vii. 84, stood, in the old editions: *λέγοις δ' ἂν καὶ ὑποδήματα κοῖλα, βαθέα, εἰς μέσην τὴν κνήμην ἀνήκοντα. τὰ δὲ οὐκ οἶδα εἰ μόνον ἀποχρῶν ἐστιν εἰπεῖν ὑποδήματα.* It has, however, been thus corrected by Kühn from the MSS.: *τὰ δὲ οὐ (μὴ) κοῖλα αὐτὸ μόνον ἀποχρῶν ἐστιν εἰπεῖν ὑποδήματα.*

The *σανδάλιον* or *σάνδαλον* is the first transition form to the shoes which covered the upper part of the foot. For it had a thong across the toes, which grew into a small upper leather, and was called *ζυγὸς* or *ζυγόν*. Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 416:

> *Ὦ σκυτοτόμε τῆς μου γυναικὸς τοῦ ποδὸς*
> *τὸ δακτυλίδιον πιέζει τὸ ζυγόν,*
> *ἄθ' ἁπαλὸν ὄν· τοῦτ' οὖν σὺ τῆς μεσημβρίας*
> *ἐλθὼν χάλασον, ὅπως ἂν εὐρυτέρως ἔχῃ.*

Scholiast: *μέρος τοῦ σανδαλίου·...ζυγὸς γὰρ καλεῖται ὁ περικείμενος τοῖς γυναικείοις σανδαλίοις ἱμὰς κατὰ τοὺς δακτύλους πρὸς τὸ συνέχειν ἐξαγόμενον τὸν πόδα.* So also Hesychius, and Pollux, vii. 81. Without this *ζυγὸν* the sandal was no *σανδάλιον*; and hence Böttiger has wrongly interpreted a passage in Strabo, vi. 1, 8: *τινὰς δὲ καὶ σανδάλια ὑποδουμένας ἄζυγα, τὸ μὲν ὑψηλὸν, τὸ δὲ ταπεινόν.* The word *ἄζυγα* he explains, 'not having thongs over the feet;' whereas it evidently means, odd, not pairs, one of the sandals being high, another low. It is evident that this *ζυγὸν* was not a mere thong over the toes, because we read of sandals embroidered and ornamented even with gold. See Cephisodorus, apud Poll. vii. 87:

> *σανδάλιά τε τῶν λεπτοσχιδῶν,*
> *ἐφ' οἷς τὰ χρυσᾶ ταῦτ' ἔπεστιν ἀνθεμα.*

Also Clem. Alex. *Paed.* ii. 11: *Αἰσχρὰ γοῦν ἀληθῶς τὰ σανδάλια ἐκεῖνα, ἐφ' οἷς ἐστι τὰ χρυσᾶ ἀναθέματα* (l. *ἄνθεμα*). In this respect the sandal was like a slipper; but it was fastened with thongs beside. Thus Pollux, vii. 92, says of the Tyrrhenian

sandals: *οἱ δὲ ἱμάντες ἐπίχρυσοι. σανδάλιον γὰρ ἦν.* Generally, however, *σανδάλια* are appropriated to the women. See Hesychius: *Σανδάλια, σάνδαλα, γυναικεῖα ὑποδήματα, ἃ καὶ βλαύτια.* Hence it is clear that *σανδάλια* could not have been mere *sandals*, for these were worn by men also.

Mere sandals, made of cow's hide, are mentioned by Homer, *Odyss.* xiv. 24; and Hesiod, *Op.* 542; in later times such may have been worn by women in the house, or by the lower classes; but a stronger double-soled kind was worn on going out. See Winkelm. v. p. 41. Not only leather was employed, but cork was sometimes used to form the intermediate thickness of the sole. Concerning the manifold ways of fastening them, see *Gallus*, p. 425. The most usual plan was for a thong to go between the great and second toe, being fastened by a heart or leaf-shaped *fibula* to two side straps, or to another, which ran along the instep, and was then fastened to the back strap. Instead of thongs the poorer classes used *σπάρτια*, i.e. cords of twisted *σπάρτος*. See Athen. v. p. 220: *τὰ ὑποδήματα σπαρτίοις ἐνημμένον σαπροῖς.* The thongs, however, were often so multiplied as to cover not only the foot, but the lower part of the leg up to the calf. See Millingen, *Peint. d. Vas.* Pl. 51; *Mus. Borb.* vii. 19. These, which were probably called *ῥαῖδια*, in some sort resembled shoes or boots with holes pierced in them, and therefore form a transition to the regular shoes, *κοῖλα ὑποδήματα*. These were made on a last, *καλόπους*, which was different, so as to suit each foot. They were worn both by men and women, and were like our high shoes, reaching to the ankle, and having a slit over the instep. See Millingen, *Peint. d. Vas.* Pl. 39; *Pitt. d'Ercol.* i. 13—28; *Mus. Borb.* vii. 20, 23—40.

The very numerous varieties of form mentioned by Pollux are difficult to specify and distinguish, owing to the brevity with which they are noticed. In this place we can only mention a few of the kinds which were most generally worn. The *κρηπὶς* is one of those names whose explanation is the most dubious. From the other signification of the word it might be supposed to mean a mere sole; and this derives additional probability from the name being also applied to a kind of cake, which in form probably resembled this *ὑποδημα*. Athen. xiv. p. 645: *Ἐμπέπτας...πύρινος ἄρτος κοῖλος καὶ σύμμετρος, ὅμοιος ταῖς λεγομέ-*

ναις κρηπῖσιν, εἰς ἃς ἐντίθεται τὰ διὰ τοῦ τυροῦ σκευαζόμενα πλακούντια. See also Poll. vi. 77. Cf. Suidas and Hesychius. Athenæus also distinguishes between the κρηπὶς and the ὑπόδημα. He says, xiv. p. 621: καὶ τὸ μὲν παλαιὸν ὑποδήμασιν ἐχρῆτο, ὥς φησιν ὁ Ἀριστοκλῆς, νῦν δὲ κρηπῖσι. Cf. Poll. vii. 91: ἦν δέ τι ὑπόδημα καὶ ὀπισθοκρηπίς. From these passages the κρηπὶς would appear to have been a high sandal, differing from the simpler ὑπόδημα in having several thicknesses; and in Pollux it seems to be a sandal with a higher heel than usual. With these accounts it is difficult to reconcile what is said of the κόλαξ by Theophrastus, *Char.* 2: καὶ συνωνούμενος δὲ κρηπῖδας τὸν πόδα φῆσαι εἶναι εὐρυθμότερον τοῦ ὑποδήματος. Most likely it was a sort of half-shoe, which only covered the fore-part of the foot, and was fastened behind with thongs. See also Heliod. *Æthiop.* iii. 3: κρηπὶς μὲν αὐτοῖς ἱμάντι φοινικῷ διάπλοκος ὑπὲρ ἀστράγαλον ἐσφίγγετο. Poll. vii. 85: κρηπῖδες, τὸ μὲν φόρημα στρατιωτικόν. Cf. Plutarch, *Alex.* 40. We cannot, however, confine its use to soldiers. The Romans formed out of κρηπὶς the word



Fig. 1. Foot-covering belonging to a young man, from Tischbein, I. 14. Perhaps the name ῥαίδια might be applied to it.

Fig. 2. Man's shoe, of a form which very frequently occurs. Millin. II. 8.

Fig. 3. Half boot of a male figure from Millin. II. 69; it seems slit down and laced in front, though the lacing serves only for ornament.

Fig. 4. Woman's shoe, from Millingen, *Peint. d. Vas. Gr.* 39.

crĕpĭdă, which is certainly not equivalent to *solea*, as is assumed by Heindorf, ad Hor. *Sat.* i. 3, 127. See Cic. *pro Rab.* 10; Liv. xxix. 19.

Something more definite is known about the ἐμβάδες. They were real shoes, and must therefore be reckoned among the κοῖλα ὑποδήματα in a more extended sense. They were worn exclusively by men, as is seen from Aristophanes, *Eccles.* 47, 314; *Equit.* 872. Also Suidas: ἐμβάς· τὰ ὑποδήματα τὰ ἀνδρεῖα. In the time of Aristophanes they seem to have been the most usual kind of common men's shoes, though they were not worn by the higher classes. See Isæus, *de Dicæog. Her.* p. 94: καὶ πρὸς τοῖς ἄλλοις κακοῖς ὀνειδίζει καὶ ἐγκαλεῖ αὐτῷ, ὅτι ἐμβάδας καὶ τριβώνια φορεῖ, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἀδικῶν, ὅτι ἀφελόμενος αὐτὸν τὰ ὄντα πένητα πεποίηκεν. This of course refers principally to Athens; for the ἐμβὰς was not everywhere the same. Thus Herodotus, i. 195, tells us that the Babylonians wore ὑποδήματα ἐπιχώρια, παραπλήσια τῇσι Βοιωτίῃσι ἐμβάσι. Pollux derives them from Thrace; he says, vii. 85: ἐμβάδες· εὐτελὲς μὲν τὸ ὑπόδημα, Θρᾴκιον δὲ τὸ εὕρημα· τὴν δὲ ἰδέαν κοθόρνοις ταπεινοῖς ἔοικεν.

The Λακωνικαὶ were also men's shoes, and probably bore some resemblance to the ἐμβάδες. As the name imports, they originated at Lacedæmon, but were also very much worn in Athens. Sometimes they are distinguished from the ἐμβάδες, at others they are confounded with them. See Aristoph. *Vesp.* 1157; *Eccles.* 314, 345, 507. Perhaps there were two sorts of Laconian shoes, a εὐτελέστερον and a πολυτελέστερον ὑπόδημα; and in this case the latter may be the Ἀμυκλαῖες, which Pollux calls an ἐλευθεριώτερον ὑπόδημα. See also Hesychius: Ἀμυκλαῖες· εἶδος ὑποδήματος πολυτελοῦς Λακωνικοῦ. Pollux proceeds to say that the Laconian shoes were red: αἱ δὲ Λακωνικαὶ τὸ μὲν χρῶμα ἐρυθραί. Hence they cannot be the so-called ἁπλαῖ, which the Λακωνίζοντες wore along with the tribon. Demosth. *in Conon.* p. 1267. Of these ἁπλαῖ Harpocration says: Καλλίστρατός φησι, τὰ μονόπελμα τῶν ὑποδημάτων οὕτω καλεῖσθαι, i. e. they had only one thickness of sole, and perhaps were not a regular shoe at all.

The βλαῦται or βλαυτία were shoes of a more elegant sort, and were worn by men when they went out to dinner. Thus shod, Socrates goes to Agathon's; Plato, *Symp.* 174: ἔφη γάρ οἱ Σωκράτη ἐντυχεῖν λελουμένον τε καὶ τὰς βλαύτας ὑποδεδεμένον,

ἃ ἐκεῖνος ὀλιγάκις ἐποίει. Cf. Aristoph. *Equites*, 889. Pollux, vii. 87, calls them σανδαλίου τι εἶδος, and they were in fact a sort of half-shoe, fastened round the ankles by thongs. This explains Athen. xii. p. 543: χρυσοῖς ἀνασπαστοῖς ἐπέσφιγγε τῶν βλαντῶν τὰς ἀναγωγέας.

The foregoing names are those most frequently met with. We may however mention the ἐνδρομίδες and καρβάτιναι, both worn by men. The first were high shoes, or rather boots, which Pollux, perhaps led astray by the etymology, mentions as being suited for athletæ (iii. 155); though elsewhere (vii. 93) he assigns them to Artemis: ἴδιον τῆς Ἀρτέμιδος τὸ ὑπόδημα. This is corroborated by a Scholion to Callim. *Hymn. in Del.* 238: Ἐνδρομίδας, κυρίως τῶν κυνηγῶν ὑποδήματα. Perhaps in essential points they were the same as the κόθορνος. See Salmasius, ad Tertull. *de Pallio*, p. 310. Singularly enough, the word has quite another meaning in Latin; signifying a warm garment. See Mart. iv. 19, 4; Juven. iii. 103. The καρβάτιναι, on the contrary, were probably the commonest foot-covering of the lower orders, and made of undressed leather. Poll. vii. 88: Καρβατίνη μὲν ἀγροικῶν ὑπόδημα. See Phot. *Lex.* p. 131; Hesychius; Xenoph. *Anab.* iv. 5, 14. Many other names are mentioned, and probably this article of costume was more subject to the caprices of fashion than any other. Hence there were many kinds which were named after the persons who had introduced them. Poll. vii. 89: ἀπὸ δὲ τῶν χρησαμένων, Ἰφικρατίδες, Δεινιάδες, Ἀλκιβιάδια, Σμινδυρίδια, Μυνάκια ἀπὸ Μυνάκου. Shoes were imported in great quantities; those from Sicyon, Σικυώνια, are often mentioned.

So likewise there were many kinds of women's shoes; but little more is known of them than the names which are recounted by Pollux, vii. 92—94. Besides the σανδάλιον, Aristophanes makes special mention of the Περσικά, which, according to the best accounts, was a sort of common shoe, which covered the whole foot. Hesychius: Περσικά'...εὐτελῆ ὑποδήματα. Steph. Byz.: Πέρσαι. Καὶ Περσικαὶ εἶδος εὐτελοῦς ὑποδήματος. ἔοικε δὲ γυναικεῖων εἶναι. Pollux is evidently mistaken in his account of them. He says: ἴδια δὲ γυναικῶν ὑποδήματα Περσικά· λευκὸν ὑπόδημα, μᾶλλον ἑταιρικόν. Probably they were not made right and left, but suited either foot, like the κόθορνοι. With regard to the κόθορνοι, (not those of tragedy or the chase,) see Schol. ad

Aristoph. *Eccl.* 346: *κόθορνος εἶδος ὑποδήματος, ἁρμόζον ἀμφοτέροις ποσί.* Poll. vii. 90: *ὁ δὲ κόθορνος ἑκάτερος ἀμφοῖν τοῖν ποδοῖν.* Suidas: *ὑπόδημα ἀμφοτεροδέξιον.* Photius, *Lex.* p. 176, says it was *κοινὸν ἀνδρῶν καὶ γυναικῶν*: but this is probably a confusion with the *cothurnus* of the chase. The *βαυκίδες* were a more elegant sort. See Pollux, vii. 94: *αἱ δὲ βαυκίδες πολυτελὲς ἦν ὑπόδημα, κροκοειδὲς, γυναικεῖον.* The *περιβαρὶς* was a kind used for slaves. Poll. vii. 92. The Bœotian women wore a low purple shoe. Dicæarch. *Fragm.* p. 491: *ὑπόδημα λιτὸν, οὐ βαθὺ, φοινικοῦν δὲ τῇ χροιᾷ καὶ ταπεινόν· ἀσκλωτὸν δὲ, ὥστε γυμνοὺς σχεδὸν ἐκφαίνεσθαι τοὺς πόδας.*

All these foot-coverings were generally of leather; and hence the designation *σκυτοτόμος* includes the shoemaker. But other materials were occasionally used. Cf. Plato, *Symp.* p. 220: *ὑποδεδεμένων καὶ ἐνειλιγμένων τοὺς πόδας εἰς πίλους καὶ ἀρνακίδας.* So Antiphanes, apud Athen. xii. p. 545:

λευκὴ χλανὶς, φαιὸς χιτωνίσκος καλὸς,
πιλίδιον ἁπαλὸν, εὔρυθμος βακτηρία.

The word *πιλίδιον* in this place certainly refers to a shoe, not a hat. So Poll. vii. 171: *οὐ μόνον δὲ ὁ ἐπὶ τῶν κεφαλῶν ἐπιτιθέμενος πῖλος οὕτως ἐκαλεῖτο, ἀλλὰ καὶ ὁ περὶ τοῖς ποσὶν, ὡς δηλοῖ Κρατῖνος ἐν Μαλθάκοις λέγων 'λευκοὺς ὑπὸ ποσσὶν ἔχων πίλους.'* In Stackelb. *Gräber der Hell.* Pl. 45, is a young man who apparently wears boots of white felt. Such also were the shoes of Demetrius Poliorcetes. Duris, apud Athen. xii. p. 535: *τὴν μὲν γὰρ ὑπόδεσιν, ἣν εἶχε, κατεσκεύαζεν ἐκ πολλοῦ δαπανήματος. ἦν γὰρ κατὰ μὲν τὸ σχῆμα τῆς ἐργασίας σχεδὸν ἐμβάτης, πίλημα λαμβάνων τῆς πολυτελεστάτης πορφύρας· τούτῳ δὲ χρυσοῦ πολλὴν ἐνύφαινον ποικιλίαν ὀπίσω καὶ ἔμπροσθεν ἐνιέντες οἱ τεχνῖται.* Felt socks were also worn inside the shoes or sandals. Hesiod, *Op.* 541:

ἀμφὶ δὲ ποσσὶ πέδιλα βοὸς ἶφι κταμένοιο
ἄρμενα δήσασθαι πίλοις ἔντοσθε πυκάσσας.

These in some measure supplied the place of our stockings, as we see from Lucian, *Rhet. Præc.* 15: *καὶ ἡ κρηπὶς Ἀττικὴ καὶ γυναικεία, τὸ πολυσχιδές· ἡ ἐμβὰς Σικυωνία, πίλοις τοῖς λευκοῖς ἐπιπρέπουσα.* Also Poll. vii. 91: *ἃ δὲ πόδεια Κριτίας καλεῖ, εἴτε πίλους αὐτὰ οἰητέον εἴτε περιειλήματα ποδῶν, ταῦτα πέλυντρα καλεῖ ἐν Φοινίσσαις Αἰσχύλος,*

πέλυντρ' ἔχουσιν εὐθέτοις ἐν ἀρβύλαις.

τὰ δὲ πέλυντρα εἶδος ὑποδήματος, ὥσπερ αὖ τὰ πόδεια ταὐτὸν ἦν ταῖς ἀναξυρίσιν, ἃς σκελέας ἔνιοι ὀνομάζουσι. Hesych. σκελεαὶ, τὰ τῶν σκελῶν σκεπάσματα. These are the *udones* of the later Roman time.

Cork was often used for the stronger sole, κάττυμα; it formed the middle layer; and women were very partial to such shoes, as they added to their apparent height, and yet were not heavy. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 10, 2: ὑποδήματα ἔχουσαν ὑψηλά, ὅπως μείζων δοκοίη εἶναι ἢ ἐπεφύκει. So Alexis, apud Athen. xiii. p. 568, speaking of the arts of the hetæræ, says:

τυγχάνει μικρά τις οὖσα· φελλὸς ἐν ταῖς βαυκίσιν
ἐγκεκάττυται. μακρά τις· διάβαθρον λεπτὸν φορεῖ.

See Böttiger, *Ueber die Stelzenschuhe der Alten Griechinnen.* Men's shoes were studded with nails, ἧλοι, to render them more durable, but of course this was not considered *à la mode;* and Theophrastus, *Char.* 4, mentions it as a mark of ἀγροικία. Still it was not unusual on a journey, and even gold and silver nails were sometimes used.

Being neatly shod was essential to propriety of attire. Hence the frequent notices of the subject in Plato, as in *Protag.* p. 322, *Phæd.* p. 64, *Hipp. Maj.* 294; cf. Lucian, *Imag.* 10; Theophr. *Char.* 4. From these passages we see that a good fit was considered desirable, and the contrary a mark of ἀγροικία.

The most usual colour was either black, or the natural one of the leather; and the shoes were cleaned with a sponge. Aristoph. *Vesp.* 600:

τὸν σπίγγον ἔχων ἐκ τῆς λεκάνης τἀμβάδι' ἡμῶν περικωνεῖ.

Athen. viii. p. 351: ἀπαντήσας δέ τινι τῶν γνωρίμων, ὡς εἶδεν ἐσπογγισμένα τὰ ὑποδήματα καλῶς, συνηχθέσθη, ὡς πράττοντι κακῶς, νομίζων, οὐκ ἂν οὕτως ἐσπογγίσθαι καλῶς, εἰ μὴ αὐτὸς ἐσπόγγισεν. But it is evident from the foregoing passages that both sexes also wore white and party-coloured shoes. For further information on the subject, consult Ferrari and Rubens, *de Re Vest.;* Sperling, *de Crepedis* (*Gronov. Thes. ant. Gr.* ix.); and Voss, *Mythol. Briefe*, i. pp. 132, 138.

EXCURSUS III. TO SCENE XI.

HAIR AND BEARD.

THE Greeks bestowed great pains on that natural ornament of the head, the hair, *οἰκεῖοι πῖλοι*, as Plato calls it; and he is very adverse to having it covered up in any manner *τῇ τῶν ἀλλοτρίων σκεπασμάτων περικαλυφῇ*. *Leg.* xii. p. 942. Winkelmann (iii. p. 49) remarks that the natives of the south are endowed with a greater profusion of hair than the inhabitants of northern lands; and by the Greeks its growth was carefully cherished, as it was thought to contribute greatly to render the figure noble and attractive.

Moreover a certain political significancy was attached to the hair; families, grades of rank, and of age, being thereby distinguished. Even Homer mentions the *καρηκομόωντες Ἀχαιοὶ* and the *ὄπιθεν κομόωντες Ἄβαντες*; and in after times the Athenians, who followed the Ionic fashion, were distinguished from the Spartans, who adhered to the old Doric. The latter allowed the hair, as being the cheapest of ornaments, *τῶν κόσμων ἀδαπανώτατος*, to grow long. Plutarch, *Apophth. Reg.* i. p. 754. Id. *Lyc.* 22: *κομῶντες εὐθὺς ἐκ τῆς τῶν ἐφήβων ἡλικίας, μάλιστα περὶ τοὺς κινδύνους ἐθεράπενον τὴν κόμην, λιπαράν τε φαίνεσθαι καὶ διακεκριμένην.* Id. *Lysand.* 1: *Λυσάνδρου δέ ἐστιν εἰκονικὸς (ἀνδριάς), εὖ μάλα κομῶντος ἔθει τῷ παλαιῷ καὶ πώγωνα καθειμένου γενναῖον. Οὐ γὰρ, ὡς ἔνιοί φασιν, Ἀργείων μετὰ τὴν μεγάλην ἧτταν ἐπὶ πένθει καρέντων οἱ Σπαρτιᾶται πρὸς τὸ ἀντίπαλον αὐτοῖς τὰς κόμας, ἀγαλλόμενοι τοῖς πεπραγμένοις, ἀνῆκαν. οὐδὲ Βακχιαδῶν τῶν ἐκ Κορίνθου φυγόντων εἰς Λακεδαίμονα ταπεινῶν καὶ ἀμόρφων διὰ τὸ κείρασθαι τὰς κεφαλὰς φανέντων, εἰς ζῆλον αὐτοὶ τοῦ κομᾶν ἦλθον· ἀλλὰ καὶ τοῦτο Λυκούργειόν ἐστι. Καί φασιν εἰπεῖν αὐτὸν, ὡς ἡ κόμη τοὺς μὲν καλοὺς εὐπρεπεστέρους ὁρᾶσθαι ποιεῖ, τοὺς δὲ αἰσχροὺς φοβερωτέρους.* See also Heliod. *Æthiop.* ii. 20; Xenoph. *de Republ. Lac.* 11, 3. This practice was certainly not an institution of Lycurgus, but an old Doric fashion. Plutarch's assertion, that the Spartans adorned their hair before battle, or on the eve of any imminent danger, is derived from Herodotus, vii.

208, 209, where it is related that the spy of Xerxes found the Spartans τὰς κόμας κτενιζομένους before the battle of Thermopylæ. Cf. Müller, *Dorians*, ii. pp. 264, 282. Other passages, however, seem to contradict these accounts. See Plutarch, *Alcib.* 23: τοὺς πολλοὺς κατεδημαγώγει καὶ κατεγοήτευε τῇ διαίτῃ λακωνίζων· ὥσθ' ὁρῶντας ἐν χρῷ κουριῶντα καὶ ψυχρολουτοῦντα, κ.τ.λ. Also Lucian, *Fugit.* 27: γυναῖκα ἐν χρῷ κεκαρμένην εἰς τὸ Λακωνικὸν, ἀῤῥενωπὴν καὶ κομιδῇ ἀνδρικήν. The only way of reconciling the discrepancy is to suppose that Plutarch confounded the habit of his own time with the earlier one; for the Spartans had long given up this antique fashion, certainly before the time of the Achæan league. Pausan. vii. 14, 2; Philostr. *Vit. Apollon.* iii. 15.

It is stated that in Sparta it was on arriving at the age of an ephebos that the hair was first allowed to grow, boys wearing it cut short. Plutarch, *Lyc.* 16. Elsewhere, however, as at Athens, the custom was exactly the reverse. There, as is well known, cutting off the hair on the entering upon the age of an ephebos was a solemn act, accompanied by religious ceremonies. A sacrifice, called Οἰνιστήρια, was first made to Hercules. Hesychius says: Ἀθήνησιν οἱ μέλλοντες ἐφηβεύειν πρὶν ἀποκείρασθαι τὸν μαλλὸν εἰσέφερον Ἡρακλεῖ μέτρον οἴνου καὶ σπείσαντες τοῖς συνελθοῦσιν ἐπεδίδουν πίνειν. ἡ δὲ σπονδὴ ἐκαλεῖτο Οἰνιστήρια. Cf. Phot. *Lex.* p. 321; Eustath. *ad Il.* xii. 311. The hair was then usually consecrated to some deity, most usually perhaps to a neighbouring river-god. Æschyl. *Choëph.* 6: πλόκαμον Ἰνάχῳ θρεπτήριον. Pausan. i. 37, 2: ἀνάθημα κειρομένου τὴν κόμην τοῦ παιδός οἱ τῷ Κηφισσῷ. There was also an ancient custom of repairing for this purpose to Delphi, and this Theseus is reported to have done. Plutarch, *Thes.* 5: Ἔθους δὲ ὄντος ἔτι τότε, τοὺς μεταβαίνοντας ἐκ παίδων ἐλθόντας εἰς Δελφοὺς ἀπάρχεσθαι τῷ θεῷ τῆς κόμης ἦλθε μὲν εἰς Δελφοὺς ὁ Θησεύς. And this custom had not fallen into disuse, even in the age of Theophrastus, for among the marks of μικροφιλοτιμία, he gives: τὸν υἱὸν ἀποκεῖραι ἀπαγαγὼν εἰς Δελφούς. *Char.* 21.

The ephebi always appear with short hair; and so also do the athletæ. Lucian, *Dial. Mer.* v. p. 290: ἐν χρῷ ὤφθη αὐτὴ, καθάπερ οἱ σφύδρα ἀνδρώδεις τῶν ἀθλητῶν ἀποκεκαρμένη. The expression, κουρὰ ἐν χρῷ, means the hair cropped short and smooth. Cf. Eustath. *ad Odyss.* ii. 376.

In manhood, on the contrary, the hair was worn longer, and the correct quantity, and the fashion of the cut, served quite as much to indicate the polished gentleman, as did the adjustment of the himation, or the fit and fashion of the shoes. See Lucian, *Lexiph.* 10. In Theophrastus, *Char.* 21, πλειστάκις ἀποκείρασθαι is mentioned as a sign of ridiculous vanity.

Hair-cutting was performed in the barbers' shops, κουρεῖα, which were also, however, frequented as mere lounging-places; hence Theophrastus called them wineless symposia. Plutarch, *Symp.* v. 5: Διὸ καὶ Θεόφραστος ἄοινα συμπόσια παίζων ἐκάλει τὰ κουρεῖα διὰ τὴν λαλιὰν τῶν προσκαθιζόντων. A place of this kind, with the instruments and mirrors, is depicted by Lucian, *adv. Ind.* 29: τοὺς κουρέας τούτους ἐπίσκεψαι, καὶ ὄψει τοὺς μὲν τεχνίτας αὐτῶν ξυρὸν καὶ μαχαιρίδας, καὶ κάτοπτρον σύμμετρον ἔχοντας, κ.τ.λ. Cf. Plutarch, *de Aud.* 8; Alciphr. *Epist.* iii. 66. The instruments used by the κουρεὺς are enumerated by Pollux, x. 140.

Besides cutting the hair and trimming the beard, the κουρεὺς cleansed the nails, removed excrescences of the skin, (τύλοι, warts?) and other corporeal disfigurements. In small matters of this kind the εὐσχημονεῖν was carefully observed; for instance, it was considered very unseemly to appear with nails unpared. Theophr. *Char.* 19: Ὁ δὲ δυσχερὴς τοιοῦτός τις, οἷος λέπραν ἔχων καὶ τοὺς ὄνυχας μεγάλους περιπατεῖν. At Athens it does not seem to have been thought so much *infra dig.* for a person to pare his own nails as it was at Rome. (Cf. Hor. *Epist.* i. 7, 51: 'Cultello proprios purgantem leniter ungues.') Thus in Xenophon, *Memor.* i. 2, 54, we have, αὐτοί τε γὰρ αὑτῶν ὄνυχάς τε καὶ τρίχας καὶ τύλους ἀφαιροῦσι: but the mention of the hair in this passage shews that it can hardly apply to persons of the upper class; and moreover it took place in the κουρεῖον, where there were ὀνυχιστήρια λεπτὰ for the purpose. See Posidippos, apud Poll. x. 140. People were also in the habit of using the τριχολάβιον to pluck out the hairs on the body, παρατίλλεσθαι and παραλεαίνεσθαι. This custom is said to have been first originated by the Tarentines. Athen. xii. p. 522: Ταραντίνους δέ φησι Κλέαρχος ἐν τετάρτῳ βίων...εἰς τοσοῦτον τρυφῆς προελθεῖν, ὥστε τὸν ὅλον χρῶτα παραλεαίνεσθαι καὶ τῆς ψιλώσεως ταύτης τοῖς λοιποῖς κατάρξαι. Cf. Poll. vii. 165.

After the extinction of the old Attic κρώβυλος, little is known with certainty concerning the particular modes of wearing the hair which were usual among the men. It is true that several εἴδη κουρᾶς are mentioned by Pollux, ii. 29, as well as by other writers; but how many of them were in ordinary use, and in what their several peculiarities consisted, is left chiefly to conjecture; nor do the portraits in Visconti's *Iconographie Grecque* afford much certain information on the subject.

Black was probably the prevailing colour of the hair, though blond is frequently mentioned. Thus even in Homer, ξανθαὶ τρίχες are said to be ὑακινθίνῳ ἄνθει ὅμοιαι. *Odyss.* vi. 231. Both colours could be produced artificially. Poll. ii. 35: ἔλεγον δὲ καὶ ξανθίζεσθαι τὴν κόμην καὶ μελαίνεσθαι. καὶ μέλασμα τὸ τῆς κόμης βάμμα. This was practised not only by women, but also by men, especially when the hair began to turn gray. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* vii. 20: Ἀνὴρ εἰς Λακεδαίμονα ἀφίκετο Κεῖος γέρων ἤδη ὤν, τὰ μὲν ἄλλα ἀλαζών, ᾐδεῖτο δὲ ἐπὶ τῷ γήρᾳ καὶ διὰ ταῦτα τὴν τρίχα πολιὰν οὖσαν ἐπειρᾶτο βαφῇ ἀφανίζειν. According to Plutarch, *Apophth. Reg.* i. p. 709, this was done by Philip of Macedon; and also by Demetrius Phalereus; see Duris, ap. Athen. xii. p. 542: τὴν τρίχα τὴν ἐπὶ τῆς κεφαλῆς ξανθιζόμενος. The blond or yellow hair was much admired; and there was a preparation which, being smeared on the hair, produced this tint by exposure to the sun. Women, probably, often had recourse to it. Menand. Fragm. (Mein. p. 235):

τὴν γυναῖκα γὰρ
τὴν σώφρον' οὐ δεῖ τὰς τρίχας ξανθὰς ποιεῖν.

There is no necessity to suppose a reference to late Roman usage in Plutarch, *Amat.* 25: φάρμακον, ᾧ τὴν κόμην αἱ γυναῖκες ἐναλειφόμεναι ποιοῦσι χρυσοειδῆ πυῤῥάν. The most detailed account is in Lucian, *Amor.* 40: Τὸ δὲ πλεῖστον ἀναλίσκει ἡ πλοκὴ τῶν τριχῶν. αἱ μὲν γὰρ φαρμάκοις ἐρυθαίνειν δυναμένοις πρὸς ἡλίου μεσημβρίαν τοὺς πλοκάμους, ἴσα ταῖς τῶν ἐρίων χροιαῖς, ξανθῷ μεταβάπτουσιν ἄνθει, τὴν ἰδίαν κατακρίνουσαι φύσιν· ὑπόσαις δὲ ἀρκεῖν ἡ μέλαινα χαίτη νομίζεται, τὸν γεγαμηκότων πλοῦτον ἀναλίσκουσιν, ὅλην Ἀραβίαν σχεδὸν ἐκ τῶν τριχῶν ἀποπνέουσαι. Ointment was often applied; and those who contemned the perfumes mentioned by Lucian, still used pure oil to assist the growth of the hair, and render it soft. Plutarch, *Præc. Conjug.* 29; Plato, *Protag.* p. 334.

No less attention was lavished on the beard, which was not looked on as a troublesome incumbrance, but as a dignified ornament of maturity and old age. Lucian, *Cyn.* 14; Epictet. *Dissert.* i. 16, 13. Hence the whiskers, πώγων, the moustachios, μύσταξ, πάππος, ὑπήνη, and the beard, γένειον, were allowed to grow (πωγωνοτροφεῖν). The words πώγων, ὑπήνη, and γένειον are often used for the hair on the face generally; but originally their meanings were restricted as above stated. Poll. ii. 80; Eubulos, ap. Id. x. 120.

None of these parts were shorn; but of course there were variations in the wear, according to race, abode, condition, and individual character. Compare, for instance, the busts of Solon and Lycurgus, Visconti, *Iconogr. Grecque*, Pl. 8 and 9; or those of Plato, Antisthenes, and Chrysippos: *Ib.* Pl. 18, 22, 23.

In general a strong full beard, πώγων βαθὺς or ἂσυς, was held to be a sign of manliness and power. Cf. Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 31. Still it was never allowed to go untrimmed, the κουρεὺς attending to it, as well as to the hair of the head, though this may have been neglected by the sophists and others. Thus Plato is ridiculed for the opposite extreme by Ephippos, ap. Athen. xi. p. 509:

> εὖ μὲν μαχαίρᾳ ξύστ' ἔχων τριχώματα,
> εὖ δ' ὑποκαθιεὶς ἄτομα πώγωνος βάθη.

Cf. Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 1072. Alexander brought shaving into fashion, but there can be no doubt that it was partially adopted at a much earlier period, though the practice was certainly regarded as contemptible. See Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 218. So too the courtiers of Philip are attacked by Theopompos, apud Athen. vi. p. 260: τί γὰρ τῶν αἰσχρῶν ἢ δεινῶν αὐτοῖς οὐ προσῆν, ἢ τί τῶν καλῶν καὶ σπουδαίων οὐκ ἀπῆν; οὐχ οἱ μὲν ξυρούμενοι καὶ λεαινόμενοι διετέλουν ἄνδρες ὄντες, οἱ δ' ἀλλήλοις ἑτόλμων ἐπανίστασθαι πώγωνας ἔχουσι. Cf. Chrysippos and Alexis, apud Athen. xiii. p. 565. Yet Chrysippos expressly states that this new custom of shaving, probably derived from the East or Egypt, was introduced by Alexander. Τὸ ξύρεσθαι τὸν πώγωνα κατ' Ἀλέξανδρον προῆκται, τῶν πρώτων οὐ χρωμένων αὐτῷ. Plutarch, *Thes.* 5, asserts that Alexander caused his soldiers' beards to be shaved, from motives of strategical caution: ὡς λαβὴν ταύτην ἐν ταῖς μάχαις οὖσαν προχειροτάτην. Cf. Id. *Apophth. Reg.* i. p.

714; Eustathius, *ad Odyss.* xxi. 305. The innovation was stoutly resisted in many states, and was forbidden by special laws, which do not seem to have had much effect; Chrysippos adduces a couple of instances: *ἐν Ῥόδῳ δὲ νόμου ὄντος, μὴ ξύρεσθαι, οὐδὲ ὁ ἐπιληψόμενος οὐδείς ἐστι, διὰ τὸ πάντας ξύρεσθαι. ἐν Βυζαντίῳ δὲ ζημίας ἐπικειμένης τῷ ἔχοντι κουρεῖ ξυρὸν, οὐδὲν ἧττον πάντες χρῶνται αὐτῷ.* Hence the practice seems to have been very universally and speedily adopted. Alexander's successors adhered to the new custom in their own persons, and most of the kings of the Macedonian dynasties are thus represented. There are a few exceptions, such as Philip V. and Perseus, (Visconti, *Iconogr.* Pl. 40,) as well as Ptolemæus Philadelphus on the celebrated Cameo-Gonzaga (*Mus. Odesc.* i. Pl. 15; Meyer, *Abbild. z. Kunstgesch.* Pl. 14). The same is also the case with the busts of poets, as Menander and Posidippus; of physicians, as Asclepiades; and even of philosophers, as Aristotle, which are all without beards. Visconti, Pl. 6, 32. Yet the sophists, for the most part, kept to the ancient fashion; and till a late period the *πώγων βαθὺς* continued to be the badge of the stoic Aretalogi: and so much did they affect it, that it gave rise to more than one proverb directed against them, as *ἐκ πώγωνος σοφὸς, πωγωνοτροφία φιλόσοφον οὐ ποιεῖ*, etc. See Plutarch, *de Iside et Osir.* 3; Lucian, *Demon.* 13; Gell. ix. 2.

A pleasant picture of the process of shaving is drawn by Alciphron, *Epist.* iii. 66: *ὡς γὰρ ἀφικόμην ξυριεῖσθαι τὴν γενειάδα βουλόμενος, ἀσμένως τε ἐδέξατο καὶ ἐφ' ὑψηλοῦ θρόνου καθίσας, σινδόνα καινὴν περιθεὶς πρῴως εὖ μάλα κατέφερέ μοι τῶν γνάθων τὸ ξυρὸν, ἀποψιλῶν τὸ πύκνωμα τῶν τριχῶν.* Comp. Plaut. *Capt.* ii. 2, 16; Böttiger, *Sabina*, ii. p. 57; and Becker's *Gallus*, p. 428. Concerning the enigmatical Spartan decree, *μὴ τρέφειν* or *κείρεσθαι τὸν μύστακα*, see Valcken. ad Theocr. p. 208. But there is still much difficulty about it. Cf. Plutarch, *Agesil.* 30; Antiphanes, apud Athen. iv. p. 143.

The women doubtless wore their hair in manifold ways; the antiques, however, do not enable us to establish the prevalence of any definite fashions, or even to interpret the names that here and there occur. The extraordinary artificial coiffure of the virgins of the Pandroseum, (the so-called Caryatides,) has no more reference to the every-day costume, than has their dress itself.

This observation will also apply to many varieties depicted on the terracottas found in Attic tombs, and in this case, moreover, we are ignorant of the period to which they belong. See Stackelberg, *Gräber der Hell.* Pl. 75—78. In by far the majority of cases the long and luxuriant hair is neither braided nor curled, but, if no other head-dress is worn, it is gathered together and tied behind or over the crown in a knot. The forehead, at the same time, is pretty well covered, as it was considered a beauty to have a *βραχὺ τῷ μετώπῳ μεταίχμιον*. Cf. Hor. *Od.* i. 33, 5: *tenuis frons*. There are nevertheless instances of a more elaborate coiffure, for instance, in the busts of Aspasia, and of Berenice, wife of Ptolemæus Soter. See Visconti, *Iconogr.* Pl. 15 and 52. In both the head is encircled with a wreath of curiously twisted ringlets, which hang low down. Cf. Lucian, *Amor.* 40: *σιδηρᾶ τε ὄργανα, πυρὸς ἀμβλείᾳ φλογὶ χλιανθέντα βίᾳ τὴν ἑλίκων οὐλότητα διαπλέκει. καὶ περίεργοι μὲν αἱ μέχρι τῶν ὀφρύων ἐφειλκυσμέναι κόμαι βραχὺ τῷ μετώπῳ μεταίχμιον ἀφιᾶσι· σοβαρῶς δὲ ἄχρι τῶν μεταφρένων οἱ ὄπισθεν ἐπισαλεύονται πλόκαμοι.* On the comic stage, long locks hanging down on both sides belonged to the costume of hetæræ. Poll. iv. 153: *Τὸ δὲ τέλειον ἑταιρικὸν τῆς ψευδοκόρης ἐστὶν ἐρυθρότερον καὶ βοστρύχους ἔχει περὶ τὰ ὦτα.* Cf. Lucian, *Bis Accus.* 31: *τὰς τρίχας εὐθετίζουσαν εἰς τὸ ἑταιρικὸν καὶ φυκίον ἐντριβομένην, καὶ τῷ ὀφθαλμῷ ὑπογραφομένην.*

In vase-paintings we usually see the hair held together by variously formed bandeaus, by a cap-like kerchief, a net, or something of the kind. And first of these, stands the *σφενδόνη*, which, as the name indicates, was a sling-shaped band, i. e. broad over the forehead, and narrow at the sides. It was sometimes, perhaps, of metal, or merely of gilded leather; for of the somewhat similar *στλεγγὶς*, we read in Pollux, vii. 179: *ἔστι δὲ καὶ ἕτερόν τι στλεγγὶς, δέρμα κεχρυσωμένον, ὃ περὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν φοροῦσι.* Cf. Id. v. 96; Böttiger, *Vasengem.* iii. p. 225; and Gerhard, *Prodromus*, p. 20, sqq. This band was also worn on the back of the head, as an *ὀπισθοσφενδόνη*, and the two were often worn at the same time. Böttiger, *K. Schr.* iii. p. 108. The forms of these bandeaus are very numerous, and they were mostly ornamented in some way with gold, for Pollux, after enumerating their names, adds: *χρυσᾶ καὶ ἐπίχρυσα πάντα.*

The cap-like head coverings, the use of which is of great antiquity, may be divided into nets, hair-bags, and kerchiefs. They are all comprehended under the name κεκρύφαλος, though properly a distinction should be drawn between κεκρύφαλος, σάκκος, and μίτρα. The κεκρύφαλος proper, was a net, which was worn both night and day, like the reticulum of the Roman ladies. See *Gallus*, p. 440; and the Article *Calantica*, in the *Real-Encyklop. d. Klass. Alterth.* It was merely netted or woven of threads; hence the makers were called κεκρυφαλοπλόκοι. Poll. vii. 179. The σακχυφάνται mentioned by Demosthenes, *in Olympiod.* p. 1170, are, it is true, said by Pollux, x. 192, to be the same as τοὺς πλέκοντας ταῖς γυναιξὶ τοὺς κεκρυφάλους, but the word has nevertheless a wider signification. Such hair-nets are not visible on vase-paintings, but they may be seen on the carefully-executed frescoes of Herculaneum and Pompeii. See *Mus. Borb.* iv. 49; vi. 18; viii. 4; and fig. 1, *infra*. They seem to consist of gold threads, which agrees with Juven. ii. 96:

Reticulumque comis auratum ingentibus implet.

Cf. Petron. 97. They were also made of silk (Salmas. *Exerc. ad Solin.* p. 392), and of the costly gold-yellow byssus of Eleia, (Pausan. vii. 21, 7,) as well as of commoner stuff. See Hesychius: τρίχαπτον· τὸ βομβύκινον ὕφασμα ὑπὲρ τῶν τριχῶν, τῆς κεφαλῆς ἀπτόμενον. Cf. Photius and Suidas. A different explanation of the word is however given by Pollux, x. 32: καὶ τρίχαπτον δέ φασι, πλέγμα ἐκ τριχῶν.

The σάκκοι or snoods, on the contrary, were often of thicker stuff, and sometimes covered the whole head, so that the hair

Different kinds of κεκρύφαλος. Fig. 1 is a regular κεκρύφαλος, or hair-net, from a Pompeian fresco, Aphrodite and Eros: *Mus. Borb.* VIII. 5. The gauze drapery also deserves attention. Fig. 2 and 3 are from a vase-painting in Millin, *Peint. d. Vas.* II. 43. Fig. 4, a σάκκος, from Tischbein, *Engrav.* I. 14.

hung down on the neck like a pouch; occasionally the front part was left bare; and sometimes it was open behind, so as to allow a tuft of hair to hang out. See figs. 3 and 4; also Stackelberg, *Gräber der Hell.* Pl. 68, 75, 76. From the peak of the snood tassels sometimes depended. A head-dress of this kind is evidently intended by the κεφαλὴ περίθετος, in Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 257:

ΕΥΡ. κεκρυφάλου δεῖ καὶ μίτρας. ΑΓ. ἠδὶ μὲν οὖν
κεφαλὴ περίθετος, ἣν ἐγὼ νύκτωρ φορῶ.

They were of divers materials—silk, byssus, and wool. See Poll. vii. 66. They usually were coloured, and often worked in patterns, like the kerchiefs. See Millingen, *Coghill*, Pl. 22; Millin, *Peint. des Vases Gr.* i. Pl. 36, 37, 41, 58, 59; ii. 43; Stackelberg, Pl. 33, 34. Bladders were also used for the purpose; and the πομφόλυγας of Aristophanes are explained in the same manner by Mœr. Attic. p. 222: Πομφόλυγας, τὰ δερμάτια, ἃ ἐπὶ τῶν κεφαλῶν αἱ γυναῖκες ἔχουσιν. Ἀριστοφάνης Θεσμοφοριαζούσαις. Cf. Mart. viii. 33, 19:

Fortior intortos servat vesica capillos.

A coloured kerchief was also wrapped round the hair, sometimes covering the entire head, at others only a part of it. This was doubtless the μίτρα, which originally signified only a band, and this must be its meaning in the above-quoted passage of Aristophanes, where the κεκρύφαλος is also mentioned. The band was by degrees worn broader and broader, till it at last merged into the kerchief, and from this originated the snood itself.

For further details, see Böttiger, *Aldobr. Hochz.* pp. 79, 150; *Kl. Sch.* ii. p. 245; *Sabina*, i. p. 143; also Junii *de Coma lib.*; Hotoman, *de Barba*, in Pitisci *Lex.*; Ferrarii *Electa*, ii. 12; Camill. Silvestr. ad Juven. iv. 103.

EXCURSUS TO SCENE XII.

THE WOMEN.

A VARIETY of views have been entertained on the social position of the Greek women, and their estimation in the eyes of the men. The majority of scholars have described them as despicable in the opinion of the other sex, their life as a species of slavery, and the gynæconitis as a place of durance little differing from the oriental harem; while a few writers have stoutly contended for the historic emancipation of the fair sex among the Greeks. To the former class belong de Pauw, *Recherches sur les Grecs;* Meiners, *Gesch. des Weibl. Geschl.;* as well as Böttiger and Thöluck. This last writer was replied to by Jacobs, in his *Beitr. z. Gesch. d. Weibl. Geschl.* As usual the truth lies between the contending parties. The assertions of the former are manifestly exaggerated; while Jacobs, without undertaking the necessary research, has assigned to the Grecian women in general, a position analogous to that which they occupied in the heroic age. The former view, though in a modified and less objectionable form, has been again espoused by Limburg-Brower, in his *Hist. de la Civilis. Morale et Relig. des Grecs.*

It cannot be denied that the women of Homer occupy a more honourable position in the household than those of the so-called historic period. The reason of the change cannot be ascertained, as we have no certain accounts of the intervening centuries, during which had been effected an entire revolution in the modes of life among the Greeks. For instance, the vice of παιδεραστία is utterly unknown to Homer; and again, while he describes the husband as almost buying the bride from her parents, the father now gave a dowry with his daughter. We need then no longer wonder that the women, at the later period, were less respected and more restrained, and that the marriage relationship was less tender and endearing.

It is no part of the purpose of this work to dwell upon the Homeric period. That subject is complete in itself, and the sources of information are by no means difficult of access. We

may refer especially to Lenz, *Gesch. d. Weiber im Hero. Zeitalter*, and Helbig, *Die Sittlichen Zustände d. Griech. Heldenalters.*

We shall here strictly confine ourselves to the historic epoch. At this time, and in the very focus of civilization, the women were regarded as a lower order of beings, neglected by nature in comparison with man, both in point of intellect and heart; incapable of taking part in public life, naturally prone to evil, and fitted only for propagating the species and gratifying the sensual appetites of the men. Of course the invectives of the notorious misogynist Euripides, or the complaints of pestered husbands in the comedians, must not be adduced as proof; though, on the other hand, they cannot be entirely ignored. Passages such as that in the *Hippolytus* of Euripides, v. 615—621, are nothing but rhetorical exaggerations. See Athen. xiii. p. 557: Εἰπόντος Σοφοκλεῖ τινος, ὅτι μισογύνης ἐστὶν Εὐριπίδης, Ἔν γε ταῖς τραγῳδίαις, ἔφη ὁ Σοφοκλῆς· ἐπεὶ ἔν γε τῇ κλίνῃ φιλογύνης. So also the παίδων ἐραστὴς in Lucian, *Amor.* 38, praises this outburst of the poet; but this is only in keeping with his assigned character. Cf. Hipponax, ap. Stob. *Tit.* lxviii. 8:

Δύ' ἡμέραι γυναικός εἰσιν ἥδισται·
ὅταν γάμῃ τις κἀκφέρῃ τεθνηκυῖαν.

Also Plaut. *Asin.* i. 1, 30; *Mil.* iii. 1, 91; Achill. Tat. i. 7. But such expressions prove nothing as to the general opinion. We may regard, however, as the deeply-rooted sentiment of Greek antiquity, the confession of Iphigenia in the *Iphigenia in Aulide* of Euripides, v. 1373:

εἷς γ' ἀνὴρ κρείσσων γυναικῶν μυρίων.

And the habit of regarding the wife as a necessary evil of the household is shewn by the words of Menander (p. 190, Mein.):

ἀνάγκη γὰρ γυναῖκ' εἶναι κακόν,
ἀλλ' εὐτυχὴς ἐσθ' ὁ μετριώτατον λαβών.

A great number of such passages as the foregoing might be easily collected from the dramatists and others. But far greater weight should be attached to the voices of the most thoughtful, liberal, and unprejudiced philosophers of antiquity, who have, without any bitterness or comic exaggeration, deliberately pronounced that nature assigns to woman a place far beneath that of man. It is true that Aristotle considers the putting women

and slaves on an equality, as un-Hellenic; see *de Republ.* i. 2, p. 1252, and *ib.* 13, p. 1260: but he clearly enunciates his opinion in *ib.* 5, p. 1254, where he says: τὸ ἄρρεν πρὸς τὸ θῆλυ φύσει τὸ μὲν κρεῖττον, τὸ δὲ χεῖρον, τὸ μὲν ἄρχον, τὸ δ' ἀρχόμενον. Cf. *Hist. Anim.* ix. 1. Plato too, with all his mildness, benevolence, and love of justice, says, *Leg.* vi. p. 781: λαθραιότερον μᾶλλον καὶ ἐπικλοπώτερον ἔφυ τὸ θῆλυ: and he proceeds to say that the women must be so much the more curbed, ὅσῳ ἡ θήλεια φύσις ἐστὶ πρὸς ἀρετὴν χείρων τῆς τῶν ἀρρένων. See also Aristot. *de Republ.* ii. 9, p. 1270; and Id. *Probl.* xxix. 11: Διὰ τί δεινότερον γυναῖκα ἀποκτεῖναι ἢ ἄνδρα; καίτοι βέλτιον τὸ ἄρρεν τοῦ θήλεος φύσει. Cf. Stob. *Tit.* lxxiii. 62. All this expresses the prevalent notion; and the only ἀρετὴ of which woman was thought capable in that age differed but little from that of a faithful slave. See Plato, *Meno*, p. 71.

In some cases, doubtless, a woman's virtues ensured her a greater share of affection; and, again, a great dower, or her own natural character, might occasionally give her the upper hand in the household; but the general notion mentioned above always prevailed. Except in her own immediate circle, a woman's existence was scarcely recognised; and though now and then an extraordinary instance of female σωφροσύνη was publicly recognised, yet the homage was clearly intended for the husband. See Plutarch, *Phoc.* 19.

Among the Dorians, and especially at Sparta, where the women enjoyed a much greater degree of freedom than among the Ionico-Attic race, and where the attempt of Lycurgus to place the women under stricter regulations is said to have failed, their position may have been somewhat different; but even there the woman had only a physical worth. Aristot. *de Republ.* ii. 9; Plutarch, *Lyc.* 14; Id. *Agis.* 7.

Intimately connected with this vilipending of the woman was her utter want of independence, in consequence of which she was, at least in Athens, considered a minor all her life long. But little is said of this, in connexion with judicial and public matters, yet quite enough to shew how the case was regarded by the law. See Thucyd. ii. 45; Plutarch, *de Mul. Virt.* 1. Thus it was enacted, that everything that a man did by the counsel or request of a woman should be null. Demosth. *in Olymp.* p.

1183: καὶ ἄκυρά γε ταῦτα πάντα ἐνομοθέτησεν εἶναι Σόλων, ὅ,τι ἄν τις γυναικὶ πειθόμενος πράττῃ, ἄλλως τε καὶ τοιαύτῃ (πόρνῃ). They were not allowed to conclude any bargain, or transaction of consequence, on their own account. Isæus, *de Aristarchi Hered.* p. 259: ὁ γὰρ νόμος διαῤῥήδην κωλύει, παιδὶ μὴ ἐξεῖναι συμβάλλειν μηδὲ γυναικὶ πέρα μεδίμνων κριθῶν. Plato, it is true, proposes that this concession should be made them, but this is only a notion of his own. See *Leg.* xi. p. 937.

Their education from early childhood corresponded to the rest of their treatment. As has been already stated, there were no educational institutions for girls, nor any private teachers at home. Their whole instruction was left to the mother and the nurses, through whose means they obtained, perhaps, a smattering ἐν γράμμασι, and were taught to spin and weave, and similar female avocations. This was certainly the case at Athens. How it was in other states does not appear, but it was probably much the same elsewhere, except at Sparta. Hence there were no scientific or even learned ladies, with the exception of the hetæræ. See, however, Eurip. *Hippol.* 635. They were also almost entirely deprived of that most essential promoter of female culture, the society of the other sex. They were excluded from intercourse not only with strangers, but also with their nearest relations, and they saw but little even of their fathers or husbands; for the men lived more abroad than at home, and even when at home they inhabited their own apartments. Κεχωρίσθαι ἄνδρας γυναικῶν was an established maxim, as Herodotus says, v. 18: and to this Plato also adheres, when desirous of introducing Syssitia of the women: see *Leg.* vii. p. 806. A more confidential intercourse would seem to be indicated by passages such as Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1382; and Æschin. *in Timarch.* p. 178; but we cannot infer that anything like instructive and improving conversation took place.

Thus the gynæconitis, though not exactly a prison, nor yet an ever-locked harem, was still the confined abode allotted, for life, to the female portion of the household; and Plato rightly calls the women γένος εἰθισμένον δεδυκὸς καὶ σκοτεινὸν ζῆν. *Leg.* vi. p. 781. This applies especially to the maidens, who lived in the greatest seclusion till their marriage, and, so to speak, regularly under lock and key, κατάκλειστοι (Callim. *Fragm.* 118),

θαλαμευόμεναι, and φρουρούμεναι (Aristæn. ii. *Ep.* 5). See also Phocylides, 203:

Παρθενικὴν δὲ φύλασσε πολυκλείστοις θαλάμοισι,
μηδέ μιν ἄχρι γάμων πρὸ δόμων ὠφθῆναι ἐάσῃς.

Cf. Eurip. *Iphig. in Aul.* 728; Sophocl. *Œdip. Colon.* 344. They never quitted the shades of the παρθενὼν, except on special occasions, such as to be spectators of a festal procession, or to swell its pomp; and probably it was on such opportunities that a tender passion first arose; as we see from the use made of such circumstances by the comedians. But no παρθένος ἐλευθέρα ever takes part in the action of a comedy. No such instance occurs in the pieces preserved to us by the Romans, except in the *Persa* of Plautus, where, however, the appearance of the parasite's daughter is owing to the father's pretended sale of her as a slave. In tragedy it was allowed, though Euripides says, *Orest.* 108:

ἐς ὄχλον ἔρπειν, παρθένοισιν οὐ καλόν.

The material of tragedy was taken from the domain of the epic, and, as we learn from Homer, the virgins in his time enjoyed more liberty.

After marriage these restrictions were mitigated at Athens, though at Sparta, on the contrary, the married women lived in stricter seclusion than the others, who were purposely allowed to have intercourse with the men. See Müller, *Dorians*, ii. p. 273. In fact, it is impossible to deny that a gross mistake prevailed at Sparta respecting the destination and natural disposition of woman, and an education such as was there practised necessarily induced bold and unfeminine manners, which to us seem highly repulsive. Plutarch, *Compar. Lyc. c. Num.* 3; Aristot. *de Rep.* ii. 9; and the Excursus on *The Gymnasia*, p. 297.

Returning to the Attic women, we find that after marriage they were still, in a great measure, confined to the gynæconitis, which no strange man was allowed to enter. It was also considered unbecoming for the young wife to leave the house without her husband's knowledge; and, in fact, she seldom quitted it: she was thus restricted for the most part to the society of her female slaves; and her husband, if he chose to exercise it, had the power of keeping her in confinement. The doubt which has been thrown upon these assertions renders it necessary to substantiate them by the production of the original authorities.

Now first with regard to the οἰκουρεῖν, or continuous staying at home, we find this universally mentioned as a woman's first duty. See Eurip. *Troad.* 649:

> πρῶτον μὲν, ἔνθα κἂν προσῇ, κἂν μὴ προσῇ
> ψόγος γυναιξὶν, αὐτὸ τοῦτ' ἐφέλκεται
> κακῶς ἀκούειν, ἥτις οὐκ ἔνδον μένει,
> τούτου πόθον παρεῖσ', ἔμιμνον ἐν δόμοις.

Also Menand. Fragm. (p. 87, Mein.):

> Τοὺς τῆς γαμετῆς ὄρους ὑπερβαίνεις, γύναι,
> τὴν αὐλίαν· πέρας γὰρ αὔλιος θύρα
> ἐλευθέρᾳ γυναικὶ νενόμιστ' οἰκίας.

These passages certainly express the universal opinion hereon, though their critical value may be called in question, on the ground that Euripides was a μισογύνης, and that Menander refers to a special case. No such objection however can be urged against the extract from the treatise περὶ γυναικὸς σωφροσύνης of Phintys the Pythagorean, which has been preserved by Stobæus, *Tit.* lxxiv. 61: Ἴδια μὲν ἀνδρὸς τὸ στραταγὲν, τὸ πολιτεύεσθαι καὶ ἐαμαγορέν. ἴδια δὲ γυναικὸς τὸ οἰκουρὲν καὶ ἔνδον μένεν, καὶ ἐκδέχεσθαι καὶ θεραπεύεν τὸν ἄνδρα. Further on she specifies the cases in which a wife might be permitted to go abroad. So also Aristophanes speaks of the wrath of the husbands when their wives leave the house unknown to them; nor is there the slightest ground for supposing him to exaggerate; *Thesmoph.* 793:

> κἂν ἐξέλθῃ τὸ γύναιόν ποι, κᾆθ' εὕρητ' αὐτὸ θύραισιν,
> μανίας μαίνεσθ'.

See also *Pax*, 980. And hence when the news of the defeat of Chæroneia reached Athens, and we might have expected that the eager anxiety of the moment would have caused the women to leave the house, we find them only at the doors. Lycurg. *in Leocr.* p. 165: ὁρᾶν δ' ἦν ἐπὶ μὲν τῶν θυρῶν γυναῖκας ἐλευθέρας περιφύβους, κατεπτηχυίας καὶ πυνθανομένας, εἰ ζῶσι, τὰς μὲν ὑπὲρ ἀνδρὸς, τὰς δ' ὑπὲρ πατρὸς, τὰς δ' ὑπὲρ ἀδελφῶν, κ. τ. λ., and even this the orator calls ἀναξίως αὐτῶν καὶ τῆς πόλεως ὀρωμένας. Much the same took place at Thebes, after the overthrow of the foreign domination. Plutarch, *de Gen. Socr.* 33: Αἱ δὲ γυναῖκες, ὡς ἑκάστη περὶ τοῦ προσήκοντος ἤκουσεν, οὐκ ἐμμένουσαι τῶν Βοιωτῶν ἤθεσιν ἐξέτρεχον πρὸς ἀλλήλας καὶ διεπυνθάνοντο παρὰ τῶν ἀπαντώντων....Οὐδεὶς δὲ ἐκώλυε. Cf. Xenoph. *Œcon.* vii. 30.

Older females were not so straitly guarded as those of younger years, as may be gathered from the words of Hyperides, apud Stob. *Tit.* lxxiv. 33: Δεῖ τὴν ἐκ τῆς οἰκίας ἐκπορευομένην ἐν τοιαύτῃ καταστάσει εἶναι τῆς ἡλικίας, ὥστε τοὺς ἀπαντῶντας πυνθάνεσθαι, μὴ τίνος ἐστὶ γυνὴ, ἀλλὰ τίνος μήτηρ. Cf. Eurip. *Androm.* 858, *Heraclid.* 474; and Plato, *de Republ.* ix. p. 579, where it is said of tyrants: καταδεδυκὼς ἐν τῇ οἰκίᾳ τὰ πολλὰ ὡς γυνὴ ζῇ. And lastly, the tortoise, on which the Aphrodite Urania of Phidias was supported, was considered as a symbol of this secluded existence of the women. Plutarch, *de Iside et Osir.* 76: Τῷ δὲ τῆς Ἀθηνᾶς (εἰκάσματι) τὸν δράκοντα Φειδίας παρέθηκε, τῷ δὲ τῆς Ἀφροδίτης ἐν Ἠλιδὶ χελώνην, ὡς τὰς μὲν παρθένους φυλακῆς δεομένας, ταῖς δὲ γαμεταῖς οἰκουρίαν καὶ σιωπὴν πρέπουσαν. Cf. Id. *Conjug. Præc.* 32; Pausan. vi. 25, 2.

As regards going abroad, we may take in their full extent the words of Aristophanes, *Lysistr.* 16: χαλεπή τοι γυναικῶν ἔξοδος. Apart from the consideration of toilet and household occupations, the women were detained at home by special restrictions. Thus Athenæus, xii. p. 521, informs us, on the authority of Phylarchos, that a law was in force at Syracuse, which forbade free-women to go out at all after sunset; or even in the daytime, ἄνευ τῶν γυναικονόμων, ἀκολουθούσης αὐτῇ μιᾶς θεραπαινίδος. To a similar effect was a law of Solon's; Plutarch, *Sol.* 21: Ἐπέστησε δὲ καὶ ταῖς ἐξόδοις τῶν γυναικῶν καὶ τοῖς πένθεσι καὶ ταῖς ἑορταῖς νόμον ἀπείργοντα τὸ ἄτακτον καὶ ἀκόλαστον'...μήτε νύκτωρ πορεύεσθαι πλὴν ἁμάξῃ κομιζομένην, λύχνου προφαίνοντος. The γυναικονόμοι are not mentioned in the latter law, but there were such officers at Athens, though whether at so early a period is doubtful. See Poll. viii. 112; Hesych. s. v. Πλάτανος. Besides their other duties they had to watch over the ἐξόδους of the women, as is clear from Aristot. *de Republ.* iv. 15, p. 1300: παιδονόμος δὲ καὶ γυναικονόμος, καὶ εἴ τις ἄλλος ἄρχων κύριός ἐστι τοιαύτης ἐπιμελείας, ἀριστοκρατικὸν, δημοκρατικὸν δ' οὔ. πῶς γὰρ οἷόν τε κωλύειν ἐξιέναι τὰς τῶν ἀπόρων. Also *ib.* vi. 8, p. 1323: τούτων δ' ἔνιαι φανερῶς εἰσιν οὐ δημοτικαὶ τῶν ἀρχῶν, οἷον γυναικονομία καὶ παιδονομία· τοῖς γὰρ ἀπόροις ἀνάγκη, χρῆσθαι καὶ γυναιξὶ καὶ παισὶν ὥσπερ ἀκολούθοις διὰ τὴν ἀδουλίαν. Somewhat similar is Plato's appointment of women, as ἐπίσκοποι of morals. *Leg.* vi. p. 784.

It is scarcely possible that, in accordance with the Syracusan law, women were never allowed to go out except by the permission of the gynæconomi, and doubtless this would not apply to excursions away from home. In the above-mentioned treatise of Phintys, *περὶ γυναικὸς σωφροσύνης*, the third place is taken by the *ἐκ τῶν ἐξόδων τῶν ἐκ τᾶς ἰδίας οἰκίας*. She thinks the occasions on which the women should be allowed to go out are, religious ceremonies, to be spectators of a festival, and to purchase household necessaries. She says: *Τὰς δὲ ἐξόδως ἐκ τᾶς οἰκίας ποιεῖσθαι τὰς γυναῖκας τὰς ἐαμοτελέας θυηπολούσας τῷ ἀρχαγέτᾳ θεῷ τᾶς πόλιος ὑπὲρ αὐτᾶς καὶ τῷ ἀνδρὸς καὶ τῷ παντὸς οἴκω. ἔπειτα μήτε ὀρφνᾶς ἀνισταμένας, μήτε ἐσπέρας, ἀλλὰ πλαθούσας ἀγορᾶς καταφανέα γινομέναν τὰν ἔξοδον ποιεῖσθαι, θεωρίας ἕνεκά τινος, ἢ ἀγορασμῶ οἰκήω μετὰ θεραπαίνας μιᾶς, ἢ κατ᾽ὸ πλεῖστον δύο εὐκόσμως χειραγωγουμέναν.* We are hardly justified in assuming that so much liberty was usually allowed, and our surprise is by no means lessened when we find that she goes on to recommend a walk for the improvement of the complexion, instead of the use of rouge. Whether this ever actually took place, or whether it is merely a theoretical suggestion of her own, we cannot determine; no mention ever occurs of such a thing, and at all events no such promenading was in vogue in Attica; and the *γυναικεία ἀγορὰ* at Athens would hardly be visited by respectable females, as has been shewn in the Excursus on *The Markets and Commerce*, p. 287. At those festivals, however, from which men were excluded, the women had an opportunity of seeing something of each other; and they enjoyed themselves all the more on account of their ordinary seclusion. Cf. Isæus, *de Pyrrhi Her.* p. 66; Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 795.

No respectable lady thought of going out without a female slave, as we see from the Syracusan law above quoted; and the husband always assigned one to his wife; and how indispensable such an attendant was thought, we see from the example of the *ἀνελεύθερος*, who hired a slave for the purpose, when wanted. Theophr. *Char.* 22: *τῇ γυναικὶ μὴ πρίασθαι θεράπαιναν, ἀλλὰ μισθοῦσθαι εἰς τὰς ἐξόδους παιδίον ἀκολουθῆσον.* At a later period the number of these attendants was greatly increased. Lucian, *Imag.* 2: *θεραπεία δὲ πολλὴ καὶ ἄλλη περὶ αὐτὴν παρασκευὴ λαμπρὰ, καὶ εὐνούχων τι πλῆθος, καὶ ἄβραι πάνυ πολλαὶ, κ. τ. λ.* Cf. Excursus on *The Slaves*, p. 362.

Under such circumstances there could not have been much interchange of visits, except among relatives, though they were not entirely omitted. See Naumachios, apud Stob. *Tit.* lxxiv. 7:

> μήτε γραῦν ποτε σοῖσι κακὴν δέξαιο μελάθροις·
> πολλῶν γρῆες ἔπερσαν ἐΰκτιτα δώματα φώτων.
> μηδὲ μὲν ἀκριτόμυθον ἑταιρίσσαιο γυναῖκα·
> κεδνὰ κακοὶ φθείρουσι γυναικῶν ἤθεα μῦθοι.

Here ἐλεύθεραι γυναῖκες cannot be meant; but they are in another passage; Euripides, *Androm.* 926. There is no doubt that elderly and experienced women used to visit and offer their assistance at childbeds and in cases of illness. Quite different, however, from the regular Grecian custom was that prevalent at Alexandria. See Theocrit. xv.

We see then that there were very severe restrictions on the freedom of the Greek women, with the exception of those of the lowest class. Yet many writers have gone further, and have asserted that husbands often kept their wives under lock and key, and even placed their seals on the door of the gynæconitis, for the sake of additional security. Perhaps a jealous and suspicious man might now and then have ensured his wife's fidelity by fastening the μέσαυλος; but the passages quoted in proof of this seem of no great weight. Thus we have the tirade in Eurip. *Androm.* 932:

> πρὸς τάδ' εὖ φυλάσσετε
> κλῄθροισι καὶ μοχλοῖσι δωμάτων πύλας.

This, however, does not refer to the door of the women's apartments, but to the house-door, and the end proposed was to get rid of the visits of other women. See also Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 414:

> εἶτα διὰ τοῦτον ταῖς γυναικωνίτισιν
> σφραγῖδας ἐμβάλλουσιν ἤδη καὶ μόχλους,
> τηροῦντες ἡμᾶς. καὶ προσέτι Μυλοττικοὺς
> τρέφουσι, μορμολυκεῖα τοῖς μοιχοῖς, κύνας.

We must not suppose this to be by any means intended as a representation of actual life, it is merely meant as a persiflage on passages of Euripides, such as that in the fragment of the *Danaë*, v. 58:

> πατὴρ δέ μιν κλῄτας
> ἐν παρθενῶσι σφραγῖσι δέμας φυλάσσει.

More weight may be attached to a seriously-meant passage of Menander, apud Stob. *Tit.* lxxiv. 27:

ὅστις δὲ μοχλοῖς καὶ διὰ σφραγισμάτων
σώζει δάμαρτα, δρᾶν τι δὴ δοκῶν σοφὸν,
μάταιός ἐστι καὶ φρονῶν οὐδὲν φρονεῖ.

But if we consider that these Euripido-Aristophanic inventions became almost proverbial, we shall hardly be disposed to argue as to facts from Menander's hypothetical expressions. Tholuck, moreover, is quite wrong in asserting that the gynæconitis was guarded by eunuchs, a notion which he may perhaps have culled from Barthelemy or Potter.

Such a method of treatment naturally had the effect of rendering the girls excessively bashful, and even prudish; but the proverbial modesty of the Attic virgins, which arose from this, stood in agreeable contrast to the wantonness of other Greek damsels, and the pert forwardness of those at Sparta. See the remarkable account of the Lydian girls given by Herodotus, i. 93: Τοῦ γὰρ δὴ Λυδῶν δήμου αἱ θυγατέρες πορνεύονται πᾶσαι, συλλέγουσαι σφίσι φερνὰς, ἐς ὃ ἂν συνοικήσωσι τοῦτο ποιέουσαι. ἐκδιδόασι δὲ αὐταὶ ἑωυτάς. Strabo, xi. 13, 16, relates the same thing of the Armenian damsels, and those of Tuscany did likewise. See Plaut. *Cist.* ii. 3, 20:

non enim hic, ubi ex Tusco modo
Tute tibi indigne dotem quæras corpore.

It is especially noticeable that the Lydians and Tuscans, whose other customs were so similar as to lead to the inference of a common origin, should also resemble each other in this strange usage. Such enormities were quite unknown to the Greeks, and branding was the punishment inflicted in the few cases of the sort. But at Athens, and indeed in most other cities, the Spartan γύμνωσις and ἄνεσις must have been thought very repulsive. See the Excursus on *The Gymnasia*, p. 298, and the passage there quoted from Euripides, *Andromache*, 586. There was as great a diversity between the manners of the Spartan and Athenian virgin as between the χιτὼν σχιστὸς of the former, and the modest dress of the latter, which so carefully concealed the person. Even the married woman shrunk back and blushed if she chanced to be seen at the window by a man. Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 797. And thus the whole behaviour of the women, and not at Athens only, was most modest and retiring. Indeed it sometimes lapsed into a simplicity very amusing. See Plutarch, *de Cap. ex Inim. Util.* 7: Ὁ Ἱέρων ὑπό τινος τῶν ἐχθρῶν εἰς τὴν

δυσωδίαν ἐλοιδορήθη τοῦ στόματος· ἐλθὼν οὖν οἰκαδε πρὸς τὴν γυναῖκα, Τί λέγεις, εἶπεν, οὐδὲ σύ μοι τοῦτο ἔφρασας; ἡ δὲ οὖσα σώφρων καὶ ἄκακος, Ὤιμην, εἶπεν, ὅτι τοιοῦτο πάντες ὄζουσιν οἱ ἄνδρες. Cf. Id. *Apophth. Reg.* p. 695; *Conjug. Præc.* 29.

On the other hand, the men were very careful as to their behaviour, in the presence of women; though they were quite strangers to those minute attentions which constitute the gallantry of the moderns. On the other hand, the conjugal rights and relations were carefully respected, and the men were ceremoniously observant of that etiquette which debarred them from the society of the other sex. Thus it was considered a grievous infraction of the rights of a married couple, as well as a gross piece of vulgarity, for a man to enter an abode of women in the absence of the master. We have a remarkable instance of the conscientious observance of this rule, in a case where a friend or relation who is called in to give assistance, does not venture to cross the threshold. See Demosth. *in Euerg.* p. 1157: προσελθὼν δὲ ὁ Ἀγνόφιλος προσκληθεὶς ὑπὸ τοῦ θεράποντος τοῦ Ἀνθεμίωνος, ὃς ἐστί μοι γείτων, εἰς μὲν τὴν οἰκίαν οὐκ εἰσῆλθεν· οὐ γὰρ ἡγεῖτο δίκαιον εἶναι μὴ παρόντος γε τοῦ κυρίου. Again, in the same speech, the plaintiff excuses his having entered the house of his opponent, on the plea of this person being unmarried. *Ibid.* p. 1150: καὶ ἐπεπύσμην αὐτὸν ὅτι οὐκ εἴη γεγαμηκώς. And in a case similar to the former one, Lysias accuses Simon of a gross ὕβρις for forcing his way into the gynæconitis. Lysias, *adv. Simon.* p. 139: ἐλθὼν ἐπὶ τὴν οἰκίαν τὴν ἐμὴν νύκτωρ μεθύων, ἐκκόψας τὰς θύρας εἰσῆλθεν εἰς τὴν γυναικωνῖτιν, ἔνδον οὐσῶν τῆς τε ἀδελφῆς τῆς ἐμῆς καὶ τῶν ἀδελφιδῶν, αἳ οὕτω κοσμίως βεβιώκασιν, ὥστε καὶ ὑπὸ τῶν οἰκείων ὁρώμεναι αἰσχύνεσθαι.

Offensive language before females was held very culpable. See Demosth. *in Mid.* p. 540: τῆς ἀδελφῆς ἔτ' ἔνδον οὔσης τότε καὶ παιδὸς οὔσης κόρης ἐναντίον ἐφθέγγοντο αἰσχρὰ καὶ τοιαῦτα, οἷα ἂν ἄνθρωποι τοιοῦτοι φθέγξαιντο. Hiero punished Epicharmos for a similar offence. Plutarch, *Apophth. Reg.* p. 696: Ἐπίχαρμον δὲ τὸν κωμῳδοποιὸν, ὅτι τῆς γυναικὸς αὐτοῦ παρούσης εἶπέ τι τῶν ἀπρεπῶν, ἐζημίωσε. Cf. Terent. *Heaut.* v. 4, 19: 'pudet dicere hac præsente verbum turpe' (i. e. the word *scortum*). A beautiful observance, had it sprung from true moral grounds, and not rather from motives of respect to the κύριος, whoever he might

be. Besides which, even the strong current of popular opinion was not able to prevent frequent breaches of this custom.

Marriage, in reference to the procreation of children, was considered by the Greeks as a necessity enforced by their duties to the gods, to the state, and to their ancestors; and they also took into account the advantages which the wedded state possessed with regard to household arrangements. Aristot. *Ethic. Nic.* viii. 14, p. 1162: *οἱ δ' ἄνθρωποι οὐ μόνον τῆς τεκνοποιΐας χάριν συνοικοῦσιν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν εἰς τὸν βίον.* Until a very late period, at least, no higher considerations attached to matrimony, nor was strong attachment a frequent cause of marriage. Yet it would be too much to assert with Müller, *Dorians*, ii. p. 292, that there is no instance of an Athenian falling in love with a free-born woman, and marrying her from violent passion. Now, firstly, this is actually related of Callias, who, in order to obtain the sister of Cimon, paid the debt of her father. Plutarch, *Cim.* 4: *ἐπεὶ δὲ Καλλίας, τῶν εὐπόρων τις Ἀθήνησιν, ἐρασθεὶς προσῆλθε, τὴν ὑπὲρ τοῦ πατρὸς καταδίκην ἐκτίνειν ἕτοιμος ὢν πρὸς τὸ δημόσιον.* Cf. Demosth. *adv. Boot.* ii. p. 1016. Secondly, how often do the comedians describe a youth desperately enamoured of a girl; and they surely would not have done so had not such a thing sometimes occurred. We may cite the instances of Charinus and Pamphilus in the *Andria* of Terence, of Antipho in the *Phormio*, and many others. And if we remember the Antigone and Hæmon of Sophocles, can we assert that the *ἔρως ἀνίκατος μάχαν* was at work for hetaeræ only? Still it must be admitted that sensuality was the soil from which such passion sprung, and none other than a sensual love was acknowledged between man and wife. This is very distinctly stated by Pausanias, in Plato, *Symp.* p. 181; cf. Plutarch, *Amat.* 4.

But in the greater number of cases there was no such previous inclination, as is shewn by the way in which marriages were usually arranged. The ordinary motives are laid down by Demosthenes, *in Neaer.* p. 1386: *τὰς μὲν γὰρ ἑταίρας ἡδονῆς ἕνεκ' ἔχομεν· τὰς δὲ παλλακὰς τῆς καθ' ἡμέραν θεραπείας τοῦ σώματος. τὰς δὲ γυναῖκας τοῦ παιδοποιεῖσθαι γνησίως καὶ τῶν ἔνδον φύλακα πιστὴν ἔχειν.* This agrees with Antipho, *de Venef.* p. 613, where the *παλλακὴ* follows Philoneos to the sacrifice, and waits upon him and his guests at table. In this case she was the

absolute property of her master, as we see from *Ib.* p. 611: καὶ ἦν αὐτῷ παλλακὴ ἣν ὁ Φιλόνεως ἐπὶ πορνεῖον ἔμελλε καταστῆσαι. Sometimes, however, the παλλακὴ occupied a higher position. This is seen from the law quoted by Demosthenes, *in Aristocr.* p. 637: ἢ ἐπὶ ϑάμαρτι, ἢ ἐπὶ μητρὶ, ἢ ἐπ' ἀδελφῇ, ἢ ἐπὶ ϑυγατρὶ, ἢ ἐπὶ παλλακῇ ἣν ἂν ἐπ' ἐλευθέροις παισὶν ἔχῃ. Here we should notice the distinction betwee ι ἐλεύθεροι and γνήσιοι. Cf. Lysias, *de Cæde Eratosth.* p. 34. In the heroic age it was quite usual to keep a παλλακὴ as well as the lawful wife; but there is no passage directly informing us whether this was subsequently allowable, though it most likely was not, since we know that if a husband brought an hetæra into the house, it was a legal ground for separation. Andocid. *in Alcib.* p. 117. See also Eurip. *Androm.* 891. It is stated by Diog. Laert. ii. 26, and by Athenæus, xiii. p. 556, that in the time of Socrates a Psephisma made it lawful, γαμεῖν μὲν ἀστὴν μίαν, παιδοποιεῖσθαι δὲ καὶ ἐξ ἑτέρας. This assertion must be received with suspicion, in spite of the authorities adduced. The thing itself might no doubt sometimes occur. Cf. Hermann, *Griech. Staatsalt.* p. 254.

In regular marriage, on the other hand, in which the wife as γαμετὴ is opposed to the παλλακὴ, the chief object was, as Demosthenes expresses it, παιδοποιεῖσθαι γνησίως, though this intention was not made so prominent at Athens as at Sparta, where, as Plutarch tells us, the women were married for nothing else ἢ ἐπὶ τὸ τῆς τεκνώσεως ἔργον, and the husband often resigned his rights to some more potent individual, though the marriage continued undissolved. Plutarch, *comp. Lyc. c. Num.* 3: Ὁ δὲ Λάκων οἴκοι τῆς γυναικὸς οὔσης παρ' αὐτῷ, καὶ τοῦ γάμου μένοντος ἐπὶ τῶν ἐξ ἀρχῆς δικαίων, μετεδίδου τῷ πείσαντι τῆς κοινωνίας εἰς τέκνωσιν. πολλοὶ δὲ, ὥσπερ εἴρηται, καὶ παρακαλοῦντες εἰσῆγον ἐξ ὧν ἂν εὐδόκουν μάλιστα παῖδας εὐειδεῖς καὶ ἀγαθοὺς γενέσθαι. Cf. Xenoph. *de Rep. Lac.* 1, 8; and Goguet, *Orig. des Lois*, v. p. 427.

At Athens too, and probably in the other Grecian states as well, the generation of children was considered the chief end of marriage. Xenoph. *Memor.* ii. 2, 4: Καὶ μή που τῶν γε ἀφροδισίων ἕνεκα παιδυποιεῖσθαι τοὺς ἀνθρώπους ὑπολάμβανε, κ. τ. λ. Cf. Demosth. *pro Phorm.* p. 953. Here, however, the sacredness of the married state was not sacrificed to this eager desire of

posterity, nor were state-interests in this case the only motives.

There were three considerations by which the duty of marriage was enforced. First, respect to the gods; for it was considered to be incumbent on every one to leave behind him those who should continue to discharge his religious obligations. Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 773: *ἀεὶ τῷ θεῷ ὑπηρέτας ἀνθ' αὑτοῦ παραδιδόναι.* Secondly, obligation to the state; since by generating descendants, its continuance was provided for. Entirely consonant with the Spartan institutions was such a subordination of individual inclination to the demands of the public weal. See Plutarch, *Lyc.* 15: *οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ καὶ ἀτιμίαν τινὰ προσέθηκε τοῖς ἀγάμοις. εἴργοντο γὰρ ἐν ταῖς γυμνοπαιδιαῖς τῆς θέας· τοῦ δὲ χειμῶνος οἱ μὲν ἄρχοντες αὐτοὺς ἐκέλευον ἐν κύκλῳ περιϊέναι τὴν ἀγοράν, οἱ δὲ περιϊόντες ᾖδον εἰς αὐτοὺς ᾠδήν τινα πεποιημένην ὡς δίκαια πάσχοιεν, ὅτι τοῖς νόμοις ἀπειθοῦσι· τιμῆς δὲ καὶ θεραπείας, ἣν νέοι πρεσβυτέροις παρεῖχον, ἐστέροντο.* See Aristo, apud Stob. *Tit.* lxvii. 16; Poll. viii. 40; Clearch. apud Athen. xiii. p. 555. These passages relate to Sparta, but at Athens, and probably in all or most of the other states, there were no such express penalties on celibacy. Something of the kind, it is true, is hinted at by Plutarch, *de Amore Prol.* 2: *Πρῶτον οὐκ ἀναμένει (τὰ ζῶα) νόμους ἀγάμου καὶ ὑψιγάμου, καθάπερ οἱ Λυκούργου πολῖται καὶ Σόλωνος.* Pollux, also, not referring to Sparta, speaks of a *γραφὴ ἀγάμου*, though such a process does not appear to have been ever instituted. Laws of this kind, enforced by *ἀτιμία*, as well as fines, are no doubt recommended by Plato, *Leg.* iv. p. 721; and vi. p. 774. But here, as in many other instances, he leans more to the Spartan than to the Attic principles of legislation. Thus he says, p. 773: *τὸν γὰρ τῇ πόλει δεῖ συμφέροντα μνηστεύειν γάμον ἕκαστον, οὐ τὸν ἥδιστον αὑτῷ.* Of the same tendency was the regulation to the effect that the orators and generals should be married, as a pledge of their fidelity to the state; see Dinarch. *in Demosth.* p. 51. Nevertheless the number of bachelors seems to have been very considerable; and we see from the lengthy apologies for celibacy, (*e. g.* Antipho, ap. Stob. *Tit.* lxviii. 37; Plaut. *Mil.* iii. 1,) how many, to avoid the trouble of maintaining a wife and children, or from suspicion of the sex, remained single. Other causes also, are assigned by Fr. Schlegel, *Griechen und Römer*, p. 261.

A third consideration which induced persons to marry was a regard for their own race and lineage; and this was not only from the wish of seeing themselves perpetuated in the same, but was chiefly in reference to the continuance of the duties to the departed, inasmuch as the belief in the beneficial perception of the offerings and tokens of love devoted to the manes, made obligatory the propagation of the family. See Isocrates, *Plat.* 24. Hence those who were childless sought, by means of adoption, to prevent the cessation of these usages. Isæus, *de Apollod. Her.* p. 179: *πάντες γὰρ οἱ τελευτήσειν μέλλοντες πρόνοιαν ποιοῦνται σφῶν αὐτῶν, ὅπως μὴ ἐξερημώσωσι τοὺς σφετέρους αὐτῶν οἴκους, ἀλλ' ἔσται τις καὶ ὁ ἐναγιῶν καὶ πάντα τὰ νομιζόμενα αὐτοῖς ποιήσων. διὸ κἂν ἄπαιδες τελευτήσωσιν, ἀλλ' οὖν ποιησάμενοι καταλείπουσι.*

In addition to these motives, considerations of an economical nature entered into the case; and many married chiefly in order to obtain a trusty and skilful housekeeper. It is very rarely that we meet with even a hint of any higher considerations. See, however, the fragment of Musonius, apud Stob. *Tit.* lxvii. 20.

The choice of the bride seldom depended on previous, or at least on intimate, acquaintance. More attention was generally paid to the position of a damsel's family and the amount of her dowry, than to her personal qualities. This subject is enlarged on by Plato, *Polit.* p. 310, and he utterly repudiates the principle of looking out for a wife of equal condition and property: *τὰ πλούτου καὶ δυνάμεων διώγματα.* That great regard was paid to the proverb, *τὴν κατὰ σαυτὸν ἕλα*, (Plutarch, *Educ. Puer.* 19,) is seen not only from many passages in Plato, but from frequent expressions of the comedians, when rich men sue for the sisters or daughters of their poorer neighbours. Thus in the *Aulularia* of Plautus, ii. 2, 58, where the wealthy Megadorus sues for the hand of the daughter of the poor Euclio: 'Hoc magnum est periculum ab asinis ad boves transcendere, etc.' Cf. Xenophon, *Hier.* i. 27; Plutarch, *Amat.* 2. If the rich objected to a dowerless bride, the poor, on their side, had equal scruples about a rich alliance; and on this hinges the whole plot of the *Trinummus* of Plautus, in which Lesbonicus strongly urges the impropriety of his undowered sister being wedded by the rich Lysiteles. See Act iii. 2, 62.

Hence it was usual for a father to choose for his son a wife,

and one perhaps whom the bridegroom had never seen. Terent. *Andr.* i. 5, 14. Marriage was often adopted by the father as an expedient for putting an end to the debaucheries of his son, who received the lady as a sort of penalty inflicted on him. Terent. *Heaut.* v. 5; Plaut. *Trin.* v. 2, 59: 'si pro peccatis centum ducat uxores, parum est.' Achill. Tat. i. 8: Γάμου, εἶπεν, ἤδη σοι δίδωσιν ὁ πατήρ; τί γὰρ ἠδίκησας, ἵνα καὶ πεδηθῇς;

Such arrangements were unfavourable to the existence of real affection, and we cannot be surprised at the frequent prevalence of coldness, indifference, or discontent. Plato thinks these consequences might be prevented, by giving the young people more frequent opportunities of seeing one another. See *Leg.* vi. p. 177. No such previous intercourse was possible at Athens, and therefore couples might often find themselves mutually disappointed. Love after marriage was of unfrequent occurrence, though an instance is to be found in the *Hecyra* of Terence. It was probably still more unusual for the lady's inclinations to be consulted. The hard fate of maidens who were thus consigned for life to an unknown master, is forcibly described in a fragment of the *Tereus* of Sophocles, apud Stob. *Tit.* lxviii. 19. At first, as we might expect, there was an entire absence of confidence between the newly-married pair, and it was a long while before the shyness in the woman gave place to a more familiar tone. See the characteristic description Ischomachos gives of the behaviour of his wife soon after marriage. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 7, 10: ἐπεὶ ἤδη μοι χειροήθης ἦν καὶ ἐτιθασσεύετο, ὥστε διαλέγεσθαι, ἠρόμην αὐτὴν κ.τ.λ.

An essential consideration with the Attic burgher, ἀστὸς or Ἀθηναῖος, was that his bride should be also of that rank. For the children of such marriages only, were γνήσιοι, and marriage between an ἀστὸς and a ξενὴ was forbidden. The two laws on this subject are produced by Demosthenes, *in Neær.* pp. 1350, 1363. For further details see Wachsmuth, *Hellen. Alterthumsk.* i. 2, p. 205. These laws, however, appear to have been frequently infringed. See Demosth. *ibid.* p. 1385.

Relationship was, with trifling limitations, no hinderance to marriage, which could take place within all degrees of ἀγχιστεία or συγγένεια, though naturally not in the γένος itself. See Isæus, *de Cir. Her.* p. 217: Κίρωνος θυγάτηρ ἦ ἀδελφὸς ἐγγυτέρω τοῦ γένους ἐστί; ἦλον γὰρ ὅτι θυγάτηρ. ἡ μὲν γὰρ ἐξ ἐκείνου γέγο-

νεν, ὁ δὲ μετ' ἐκείνου. θυγατρὸς δὲ παῖδες ἢ ἀδελφός; παῖδες δήπουθεν· γένος γὰρ, ἀλλ' οὐχὶ συγγένεια τοῦτ' ἔστιν. The marriage of brothers and sisters was only allowed in the case of their not being ὁμομήτριοι. Demosth. *adv. Eubul.* p. 1304; Plutarch, *Themist.* 32; Pausan. i. 7, 1; Achill. Tat. i. 3. But there is strong reason to conclude that, except in the very earliest period, such alliances were universally condemned. It seems also that we should take in their full meaning such passages as Lysias, *in Alcib.* p. 550, and Eurip. *Androm.* 175. Plato too, carefully enumerates all the grades of relationship within which the daughters might marry, in case the father died intestate, and he makes no mention of any but collateral branches. *Leg.* xi. p. 925. Cf. *ib.* viii. p. 838. The force of public opinion was not, however, sufficient to prevent the occasional occurrence of flagrant cases. Andocid. *de Myst.* p. 61: γαμεῖ μὲν Ἰσχομάχου θυγατέρα· ταύτῃ δὲ συνοικήσας οὐδ' ἐνιαυτὸν τὴν μητέρα αὐτῆς ἔλαβε. καὶ συνῴκει ὁ πάντων σχετλιώτατος ἀνθρώπων τῇ μητρὶ καὶ τῇ θυγατρί... καὶ εἶχεν ἐν τῇ οἰκίᾳ ἀμφοτέρας. See also Athen. xii. p. 534.

It is well known that widows frequently married again; this was often in compliance with the testamentary dispositions of their husbands, as little regard being paid to their own wishes as in the case of girls. See Isæus, *de Philoctem. Her.* p. 149; *de Cir. Her.* p. 215; Demosth. *in Aphob.* i. p. 814. This custom was of very ancient date, and it is asserted by Pausanias, ii. 21, 8, that Gorgophone, the daughter of Perseus, was the first widow who married again! The words of Euripides (*Troad.* 669,) are at the most his own individual view, though they in some measure agree with the law of Charondas, quoted by Stobæus, *Tit.* xliv. 40: Ὁ μητρυιὰν ἐπιγαμὼν μὴ εὐδοξείτω, ἀλλ' ὀνειδιζέσθω αἴτιος ὢν οἰκείας διαστάσεως.

With regard to age, there does not seem to have been any fixed time for marriage, except perhaps at Sparta. Plato is somewhat inconsistent on this point. In the *de Republ.* p. 460, he suggests, τὰ εἴκοσιν ἔτη γυναικὶ, ἀνδρὶ δὲ τὰ τριάκοντα. Compare *Leg.* vi. p. 785: γάμου δὲ ὅρον εἶναι, κόρῃ μὲν ἀπὸ ἑκκαίδεκα ἐτῶν εἰς εἴκοσι τὸν μακρότατον χρόνον ἀφωρισμένον· κόρῳ δὲ ἀπὸ τριάκοντα μέχρι τῶν πέντε καὶ τριάκοντα. Aristotle, *de Republ.* vii. 16, p. 1335, thinks eighteen a good age for girls to marry, and

for men thirty-seven, or less. It may be assumed that virgins did not often marry before their fifteenth year, nor men before their twentieth. See Xenoph. *Œcon.* 7, 5. Some exceptions are, however, mentioned. See Demosth. *adv. Barot.* προικ. p. 1009; Pausan. iv. 19, 4; though both these passages shew that it was unusual. Cf. Meier and Schömann, *Att. Proc.* p. 07.

Care was generally taken that the bride should be considerably the younger. See Eurip. apud Stob. *Tit.* lxxi. 3:

> *κακὸν γυναῖκα πρὸς νέαν ζεῦξαι νέον.*
> *μακρὸν γὰρ ἰσχὺς μᾶλλον ἀῤῥένων μένει,*
> *θήλεια δ' ἥβη θᾶσσον ἐκλείπει δέμας.*

Sappho, Fragm. 20: *ἀλλ' ἐὼν φίλος ἁμῖν λέχος ἄρνυσο νεώτερον. οὐ γὰρ τλάσομ' ἐγὼ ξυνοικεῖν οὖσα γερατέρᾳ.* Hence those girls who did not find a husband early were mostly fated not to marry at all. See Aristoph. *Lysist.* 597. Still it must not be concealed that there were other means, besides a father's aid, by which a husband might be procured. There appear to have been certain obliging dames who drove a trade in match-making, and were hence called *προμνήστριαι* or *προμνηστρίδες*. Xenoph. *Mem.* ii. 6, 36: *ἔφη γὰρ (Ἀσπασία) τὰς ἀγαθὰς προμνηστρίδας μετὰ μὲν ἀληθείας τἀγαθὰ διαγγελλούσας δεινὰς εἶναι συνάγειν ἀνθρώπους εἰς κηδείαν, ψευδομένας δ' οὐκ ὠφελεῖν ἐπαινούσας. τοὺς γὰρ ἐξαπατηθέντας ἅμα μισεῖν ἀλλήλους τε καὶ τὴν προμνησαμένην.* Poll. iii. 31: *καὶ προμνήστριαι μὲν αἱ συνάγουσαι τὸν γάμον.* Cf. Lucian, *Deor. Dial.* xx. 16; Dio Chrysost. *Orat.* vii. p. 249. Sometimes confidential female slaves discharged such duties, as in the *Pharmaceutria* of Theocritus. Cf. Charit. i. 2. But as pandering in its worse sense might easily lurk behind, the whole trade was in no very good repute. See Plato, *Theæt.* p. 150; Xenoph. *Symp.* 4, 61.

The usages and formalities of marriage were numerous. The solemn affiancing, *ἐγγύησις*, which was legally necessary, in order to render the marriage complete and binding, will not be discussed here. The law itself is to be found in Demosthenes, *in Steph.* ii. p. 1134; see also Platner, *Beitr. z. Kenntn. d. Att. Rechts*, p. 109; Meier and Schömann, *Att. Proc.* p. 409; Hermann, *Staatsalterth.* p. 254; Wachsmuth, *Hell. Alterth.* ii. 1, p. 206. This public ratification must be carefully distinguished from the previous betrothal or consent of the bride. See Pindar, *Ol.* vii. 1:

Φιάλαν ὡς εἴ τις ἀφνείας ἀπὸ χειρὸς ἑλὼν
ἔνδον ἀμπέλου καχλάζοισαν δρύσῳ
δωρήσεται
νεανίᾳ γαμβρῷ προπίνων οἴκοθεν οἰκαδε, πάγχρυσον κορυφὰν κτεάνων
συμποσίου τε χάριν κἄδός τε τιμάσαις ἐὸν, ἐν δὲ φίλων
παρεόντων θῆκέ μιν ζαλωτὸν ὁμόφρονος εὐνᾶς.

From this passage Böckh assumes that it was a prevalent Greek custom to solemnize the affiancing at the banquet, by pledging the future son-in-law; but this can hardly be borne out. The instances adduced from Athenæus, xiii. p. 575, are neither of them Greek; and moreover, in each case, the girl, and not the father, drinks to the bridegroom, thus declaring her choice. All this is entirely opposed to Greek customs.

At the same time that the affiancing took place, the dowry, *προῖξ* or *φερνή*, was also settled upon the bride. This, however, was scarcely so much of a legal requirement as the *ἐγγύη*, but rather was an ancient usage, strictly complied with for the most part. See Meier and Schömann, *Att. Proc.* p. 415. It might be a matter of law, in so far as the *κύριος* of the bride was in some cases bound to an *ἔκδοσις* with a dower; but its neglect was certainly not attended with the same civil disadvantages as were entailed by an omission of the *ἐγγύησις*. See Demosth. *adv. Boeot.* p. 1016, where the legitimacy of the plaintiff, whose mother was *ἄπροικος*, is nowise called in question, though the unseemliness of the marriage is made the subject of remark. Cf. Platner, *Beitr.* p. 110. And for the very reason that it was considered more proper, and because the woman took a higher position in her new household when possessed of a dowry, rich burghers would often join in portioning the sisters or daughters of those in humble circumstances. See Lysias, *de bon. Aristoph.* p. 659: *ἔτι τοίνυν καὶ ἰδίᾳ τισὶ τῶν πολιτῶν ἀπορουῦσι συνεξέδωκε θυγατέρας καὶ ἀδελφάς.*

There is no evidence enabling us to determine when this custom of giving dowers first began; though it was certainly long before the time of Solon. In the heroic age, as above remarked, the contrary was the case: the man won his bride by gifts, *ἕδνα*; a custom so general that it cannot be disproved by *Odyss.* i. 227, and ii. 196. Aristotle also mentions the early custom of buying the bride, as he expresses it; *de Republ.* ii. 8, p. 1268: *τοὺς γὰρ ἀρχαίους νόμους λίαν ἁπλοῦς εἶναι καὶ βαρβαρικούς. ἐσιδηροφορουῦντό τε γὰρ οἱ Ἕλληνες, καὶ τὰς γυναῖκας ἐωνουῦντο παρ' ἀλλήλων.*

We are also told that Solon introduced a law to restrict the amount of the *φερνὴ* which the bride brought her husband. Plutarch, *Sol.* 20: *τῶν δ' ἄλλων γάμων ἀφεῖλε τὰς φερνὰς, ἱμάτια πρία, καὶ σκεύη μικροῦ νομίσματος ἄξια κελεύσας, ἕτερον δὲ μηδὲν ἐπιφέρεσθαι τὴν γαμουμένην. οὐ γὰρ ἐβούλετο μισθοφόρον, οὐδ' ὤνιον εἶναι τὸν γάμον, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τεκνώσει καὶ χάριτι καὶ φιλότητι γίνεσθαι τοῦ ἀνδρὸς καὶ γυναικὸς συνοικισμόν.* Some doubt, however, is thrown upon this statement by the fact that no such restriction is mentioned by any of the Attic orators. The most probable conjecture seems to be that adopted by Bunsen, *de Jure Herod. Athen.* p. 43, and other writers, who suppose that by the *φερνὴ* mentioned by Plutarch, is not meant the regular *προῖξ*, but certain additional wedding-presents. This supposition is not free from difficulties, for the dowry did not solely consist of money, but included clothes and ornaments, *ἱμάτια καὶ χρυσία*, as well as slaves. See Eurip. *Iphig. in Aul.* 46; Diog. Laert. v. 13. In support of his position, Bunsen quotes a passage in which these gifts are distinguished from the *προῖξ*. Demosth. *in Spud.* p. 1036: *ἅπερ ἔπεμψέ μοι χωρὶς τῆς προικός.* But in Isæus, *de Cir. Her.* p. 199, things of this sort are not reckoned as separate presents, but are included in the dowry: *ἐκείνων δὲ ἔτι ζώντων, ἐπεὶ συνοικεῖν εἶχεν ἡλικίαν, ἐκδίδωσιν αὐτὴν Ναυσιμένει, Χολαργεῖ, σὺν ἱματίοις καὶ χρυσίοις πέντε καὶ εἴκοσι μνᾶς ἐπιδούς.* Most probably Solon's law was only directed against these presents, and its application was erroneously extended by Plutarch to the whole *προῖξ*.

The intention assigned by Plutarch to this law, is the maintenance of the husband's independence, which might have been endangered by the reception of too large a dowry with his wife. This object receives Plato's sanction, and he recommends that no dowry should be allowed to exceed fifty drachmæ in value, *ἐσθῆτος χάριν*. See *Leg.* vi. p. 774. In fact the Greek ladies must have presumed a good deal upon the strength of their fortunes; for not only are the comedians full of complaints on this head, but other authors repeat the warning. See Plutarch, *de Educ. Puer.* 19; *Amator.* 7. On the other hand, fathers thought it a burden to portion their daughters, and hence female infants were more frequently exposed. In support of this, a host of passages might be adduced. See, for instance, Menander (p. 14, Mein.):

χαλεπόν γε θυγάτηρ κτῆμα καὶ δυσιάθετον.

See also Id. p. 24:

εὐδαιμονία τοῦτ' ἔστιν υἱὸς νοῦν ἔχων·
ἀλλὰ θυγάτηρ κτῆμ' ἐστὶν ἐμγῶδες πατρί.

By far the greater number of marriages seem to have taken place in winter. This is mentioned as the most suitable and usual time by Aristotle, *de Republ.* vii. 16. It is known also that the month Gamelion received its name from this circumstance. Certain days also were considered more proper than others. The fourth day of the month is named by Hesiod, *Opp.* 800, though it may be doubtful whether he means the fourth from the beginning. His words are:

περφύλαξο δὲ θύμῳ
τετράδ' ἀλεύασθαι φθίνοντός θ' ἰσταμένου τε
ἄλγεα θυμοβορεῖν· μάλα τοι τετελεσμένον ἦμαρ.
ἐν δὲ τετάρτῃ μηνὸς ἄγεσθαι ἐς οἶκον ἄκοιτιν.

Since the Greeks reckoned their months from the new moon, or the first appearance of the crescent, this is in tolerable accordance with Procl. ad Hesiod. *Opp.* 782: διὸ καὶ Ἀθηναῖοι τὰς πρὸς σύνοδον ἡμέρας ἐξελέγοντο πρὸς γάμους καὶ τὰ Θεογάμια ἐτέλουν, τότε φυσικῶς εἶναι πρῶτον οἰόμενοι γάμον τῆς σελήνης οὔσης πρὸς ἥλιον σύνοδον. A contrary custom seems to be inferred by Pindar, *Isthm.* vii. 44: ἐν διχομηνίδεσσιν δὲ ἑσπέραις ἐρατὸν λύοι κεν χαλινὸν ὑφ' ἥρωϊ παρθενίας. See also Eurip. *Iphig. in Aulid.* 717:

ὅταν σελήνης εὐτυχὴς ἔλθῃ κύκλος.

Cf. Dio Chrysost. *Or.* vii. p. 245: καὶ ποιήσομέν γε τοὺς γάμους ἡμέραν ἀγαθὴν ἐπιλεξάμενοι. κἀγώ, Πῶς, ἔφην, κρίνετε τὴν ἀγαθὴν ἡμέραν; καὶ ὅς, Ὅταν μὴ μικρὸν ᾖ τὸ σελήνιον.

The wedding-day was preceded by several solemnities. The most important of these was the sacrifice to the tutelar gods of marriage, θεοὶ γαμήλιοι. This was called τὰ προτέλεια γάμων, or προγάμεια. Cf. Poll. iii. 38; Ruhnken, *ad Tim.* p. 188. There does not appear to be any authority for the statement frequently advanced, that this took place on the day preceding the wedding. The wedding-day itself seems to be intended in Achilles Tatius, ii. 12: ἔθυεν οὖν τότε ὁ πατὴρ προτέλεια τῶν γάμων ... καὶ ἐπέσχον ἐκείνην τὴν ἡμέραν τοὺς γάμους. It is worthy of remark, that the father is the person who makes the offering both here, and in Eurip. *Iphig. in Aulid.* 718.

Diodorus Siculus, v. 73, names only Zeus and Hera as the deities thus sacrificed to. See, however, Phot. p. 464: Προτελείαν

ἡμέραν ὀνομάζουσιν, ἐν ᾗ εἰς τὴν ἀκρόπολιν τὴν γαμουμένην παρθένον ἄγουσιν οἱ γονεῖς ὡς τὴν θεὸν καὶ θυσίαν ἐπιτελοῦσιν. Here *ἡ θεὸς* probably means Artemis, who, as well as Athene, had a temple on the Acropolis. So Pollux, iii. 38: *διὰ τοῦτο καὶ Ἥρα τελεία ἡ ζυγία. ταύτῃ γὰρ τοῖς προτελείοις προυτέλουν τὰς κόρας καὶ Ἀρτέμιδι καὶ Μοίραις.* And this does not apply to Athens alone, but also to Bœotia and Locris, as we are told by Plutarch, *Aristid.* 20. Cf. Eurip. *Hippol.* 1414; Lucian, *de Syr. Dea*, 60.

The *ἀρκτεύεσθαι* seems to have been an expiatory sacrifice offered to Artemis Munychia or Brauronia, but at an earlier age, perhaps in the tenth year. See Schol. ad Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 645; also Harpocration, and Suidas. We learn too that the *προτέλεια* were also offered to various local deities, *θεοῖς ἐγχωρίοις*. Plutarch, *Amat. Narr.* 1: *ἕως ἡ κόρη κατὰ τὰ πάτρια ἐπὶ τὴν Κισσύεσσαν καλουμένην κρήνην κατῄει ταῖς Νύμφαις τὰ προτέλεια θύσουσα.* But the offering to Aphrodite did not belong to the *προτέλεια*, but took place either on the wedding-day, (Plutarch, *Amator.* 26,) or was an after-offering made by the *νεωστὶ γεγαμημέναι*, as in Æschin. *Epist.* 10, p. 681.

A second ceremony which appears to have been universally observed, was the bath, which both bride and bridegroom took on the wedding-day, in the water of a certain fountain or river. At Athens it was the fountain Callirrhoë, called also, after the alterations of Peisistratos, Ἐννεάκρουνος, from which was fetched the water for this *λουτρὸν νυμφικόν*. Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 378. See Thucyd. ii. 15: *καὶ τῇ κρήνῃ τῇ νῦν μὲν τῶν Τυράννων οὕτω σκευασάντων Ἐννεακρούνῳ καλουμένῃ, τὸ δὲ πάλαι φανερῶν τῶν πηγῶν οὐσῶν Καλλιρρόῃ ὠνομασμένῃ, ἐκείνῃ τε ἐγγὺς οὔσῃ τὰ πλείστου ἄξια ἐχρῶντο. καὶ νῦν ἔτι ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀρχαίου πρό τε γαμικῶν καὶ ἐς ἄλλα τῶν ἱερῶν νομίζεται τῷ ὕδατι χρῆσθαι.* Cf. Poll. iii. 43. Harpocration says that the water was brought by a boy, the nearest relation of the bridegroom, and that he was called *λουτροφόρος*. The passage is as follows: *Ἔθος ἦν τοῖς γαμοῦσι λουτρὰ μεταπέμπεσθαι κατὰ τὴν τοῦ γάμου ἡμέραν. ἔπεμπον δ' ἐπὶ ταῦτα τὸν ἐγγυτάτω γένους παῖδα ἄρρενα, καὶ οὗτοι ἐλουτροφόρουν. ἔθος δὲ ἦν καὶ τοῖς ἀγάμοις ἀποθανοῦσι λουτροφορεῖν καὶ ἐπὶ τὸ μνῆμα ἐφίστασθαι (λουτροφόρον ἐπὶ τὸ μν.?). τοῦτο δὲ ἦν παῖς ὑδρίαν ἔχων. λέγει περὶ τούτων Δείναρχος ἔν τε τῷ κατὰ Θευδότου καὶ ἐν τῇ κατὰ Καλλισθένους εἰσαγγελίᾳ.*

Suidas and Photius say exactly the same. This express account would not excite much attention, were it not contradicted by several other passages. Thus Pollux, iii. 43, makes no mention of a boy, but says: καὶ λουτρά τις κομίζουσα, λουτροφόρος. To reconcile these conflicting accounts, we might assume that a girl fetched water for the bride, and a boy for the bridegroom; and the first of these suppositions is supported by existing antiques, but for the latter there is no corroborating evidence of any kind. It is condemned, moreover, by the well-known passage in Demosthenes, *in Leochar.* p. 1086, from which we learn that it was the custom to place some figure referring to water-carrying on the tomb of one who had died single, as a symbol of celibacy. We there read: οὐ πολλῷ δὲ χρόνῳ ὕστερον...ἠῤῥώστησεν ὁ Ἀρχιάδης καὶ τελευτᾷ τὸν βίον ἀπόντος τοῦ Μειδυλίδου ἄγαμος ὤν. τί τούτου σημεῖον; λουτροφόρος ἐφέστηκεν ἐπὶ τῷ τοῦ Ἀρχιάδου τάφῳ. That a girl is here intended, is seen from p. 1069, where Demosthenes expressly says: καὶ ἡ λουτροφόρος ἐφέστηκεν ἐπὶ τῷ τοῦ Ἀρχιάδου μνήματι. We are elsewhere informed that the symbol was merely a vessel for carrying water, in fact a black pitcher, hence also called λίβυς. Eustath. *ad Iliad.* xxiii. 141: καὶ τοῖς πρὸ γάμου τελευτῶσιν ἡ λουτροφόρος, φασὶν, ἐπετίθετο κάλπις εἰς ἔνδειξιν τοῦ ὅτι ἄλουτος τὰ ὑμφικὰ καὶ ἄγονος ἄπεισι. Such vessels are to be found on sepulchral pillars. See Stackelberg, pl. 1. Nevertheless this sense of the word is distinctly contradicted by Pollux, viii. 66: τῶν δὲ ἀγάμων λουτροφόρος τῷ μνήματι ἐφίστατο κόρη, ἀγγεῖον ἔχουσα ὑδροφόρον, ἢ ὑδρίαν, ἢ πρόχουν, ἢ κρωσσὸν, ἢ κάλπιν. This testimony is confirmed by the paintings on some Volscian vases, representing girls carrying water, and the inscription over one of them, ΚΑΛΙΡΕ ΚΡΕΝΕ (Καλλιῤῥῆ κρήνη), leaves no doubt as to the signification. See Brönsted, *Description of thirty-two ancient Greek vases*, Pl. 27.

The expression used by Pollux, iii. 43, ἀλλαχόθι δὲ, ὅθεν ἂν καὶ τύχοι, does not mean that in other places it was immaterial what water was used, but that in each place water was fetched from that spring which happened to be most in repute. At Thebes, for instance, the bridal bath would be supplied from the Ismenos. Running water was universally used for the purpose. Eurip. *Phoenis.* 347, and Schol.; Böttig. *Vasengem.* i. p. 143.

In the tenth letter of Æschines, a somewhat similar custom is

mentioned as prevalent in Troas. It was there usual for virgins to bathe in the Scamander before their marriage, and thus symbolically dedicate their virginity to the god, see p. 680: *νενόμισται δὲ ἐν τῇ Τρωάδι γῇ, τὰς γαμουμένας παρθένους ἐπὶ τὸν Σκάμανδρον ἔρχεσθαι καὶ λουσαμένας ἀπ' αὐτοῦ τὸ ἔπος τοῦτο, ὥσπερ ἱερόν τι ἐπιλέγειν· Λάβε μου, Σκάμανδρε, τὴν παρθενίαν.*

In Sparta the marriage was solemnized in a manner very different from that usual in Attica, and probably in the other states. As is well known, the bridegroom, of course with the parents' consent, carried off the bride by force. Plutarch, *Lyc.* 15; Müller, *Dorians*, ii. p. 293. A scene of the kind is very frequently represented on vases, but it is extremely doubtful whether these paintings refer to the usage in question. Many of them are intended for the rape of Thetis, and similar subjects. See also Achill. Tat. ii. 13: *Νόμου γὰρ ὄντος Βυζαντίοις, εἴ τις ἁρπάσας παρθένον φθάσας ποιήσει γυναῖκα, γάμον ἔχειν τὴν βίαν, προσεῖχε τούτῳ τῷ νόμῳ.*

The bride was usually fetched away towards evening by the bridegroom, in a carriage, *ἐφ' ἁμάξης*. This was drawn by mules or oxen, and probably by horses also, and the bride sat between the bridegroom and *παράνυμφος*, who was a near relation or intimate friend, and was also called *πάροχος*. The most detailed account is that given by Harpocration: *ζεῦγος ἡμιονικὸν ἢ βοεικὸν ζεύξαντες τὴν λεγομένην κλινίδα, ἥ ἐστιν ὁμοία διέδρῳ, τὴν τῆς νύμφης μέθοδον ποιοῦνται. Παραλαβόντες δὲ αὐτὴν ἐκ τῆς πατρῴας ἑστίας ἐπὶ τὴν ἅμαξαν ἄγουσιν ἐς τὰ τοῦ γαμοῦντος ἑσπέρας ἱκανῆς. Κάθηνται δὲ τρεῖς ἐπὶ τῆς ἁμάξης· μέση μὲν ἡ νύμφη, ἑκατέρωθεν δὲ ὅ τε νυμφίος, καὶ ὁ πάροχος. οὗτος δέ ἐστι φίλος ἢ συγγενὴς ὅτι μάλιστα τιμώμενος καὶ ἀγαπώμενος. Ἐπειδὴ δὲ ἡ ἅμαξα ὄχημα ἐλέγετο, ὁ ἐκ τρίτου ὁ παροχούμενος πάροχος ἐκλήθη. Καὶ ἀπὸ ταύτης τῆς συνηθείας, κἂν πεζοὶ μετίωσί τινες κόρην, ὁ τρίτος συμπαρὼν πάροχος λέγεται.* See also Poll. iii. 40, and x. 33; Schol. ad Aristoph. *Aves*, 1735. We find a team of oxen mentioned by Pausanias, ix. 3, 1; but horses are expressly named by Euripides, *Hel.* 723:

> *καὶ λιμπάδ' ὧν μεμνήμεθ', ἃς τετραόροις*
> *ἵπποις τροχαζων παρέφερον· σὺ δ' ἐν δίφροις*
> *σὺν τῇδε νύμφῃ δῶμ' ἔλειπες ὄλβιον.*

In many places a symbolical custom prevailed of burning the

axle of the carriage on their arrival. Plutarch, *Quæst. Rom.* 29: Καὶ γὰρ παρ' ἡμῖν ἐν Βοιωτίᾳ καίουσι πρὸ τῆς θύρας τὸν ἄξονα τῆς ἁμάξης, ἐμφαίνοντες δεῖν τὴν νύμφην ἐμμένειν ὡς ἀνηρημένου τοῦ ἀπάξοντος. The bridegroom is carried by bearers in Aristoph. *Pax*, 1341; but this was doubtless a deviation from the rule for stage-convenience. The bridegroom escorted home in this manner his first wife only. If he married again, the lady was brought to him by a relative or friend, who was then called νυμφαγωγός. See Poll. iii. 40; Hesychius says: Νυμφαγωγός· ὁ μετερχόμενος ἑτέρῳ νύμφην καὶ ἄγων ἐκ τοῦ πατρὸς οἰκίας· ᾧ πρότερον γεγαμηκότι οὐκ ἔξεστι μετελθεῖν· διὸ ἀποστέλλουσι τῶν φίλων τινάς. κ. τ. λ.

The train, which was probably numerous, was preceded by torches, δᾷδες νυμφικαί; but by whom they were borne is not certain. That the mother lighted the nuptial torch is seen from Euripides, *Iphig. in Aul.* 722; and *Phœniss.* 344:

> ἐγὼ δ' οὔτε σοι πυρὸς ἀνῆψα φῶς νύμιμον ἐν γάμοις,
> ὡς πρέπει ματέρι μακαρίᾳ.

On this passage the Scholiast says: ἔθος ἦν τὴν νύμφην ὑπὸ τῆς μητρὸς τοῦ γαμοῦντος μετὰ λαμπάδων εἰσάγεσθαι. This assertion that the bride was escorted with torches by the mother of the bridegroom, is nowhere corroborated; and in any case it could only be an escorting into the thalamos. In the *Helena*, 723, a servant lights the procession, torches being of course borne by several others of the escort. Cf. Aristoph. *Pax*, 1318. If we may assume that the whole solemnity was intended as an imitation of the ἱερὸς γάμος, it will then seem probable that a special δᾳδοῦχος, symbolical as it were of Hymen himself, preceded the procession. This is the case on the celebrated cameo, Lipp. i. 843. Cf. Böttiger, *Kunstmyth.* ii. p. 444; *Aldobr. Hochz.* p. 142.

The bride and bridegroom were of course in festal attire; and if the custom of the Homeric age still continued, the persons composing the escort were also provided with holiday dresses. See *Odyss.* vi. 27:

> σοὶ δὲ γάμος σχεδόν ἐστιν, ἵνα χρὴ καλὰ μὲν αὐτὴν
> ἑννυσθαι, τὰ δὲ τοῖσι παρασχεῖν, οἵ κέ σ' ἀγωνται.

Suidas, s. v. βαπτὰ, following the error of the Schol. ad Aristoph. *Plut.* 530, says that the dress of the bridal pair was coloured. This may, perhaps, be assumed of the bride. See Achill.

Tat. ii. 11: Ἐώνητο δὲ τῇ κόρῃ τὰ πρὸς τὸν γάμον· περιδέραιον μὲν λίθων ποικίλων· ἐσθῆτα δὲ τὸ πᾶν μὲν πορφυρᾶν· ἔνθα δὲ ταῖς ἄλλαις ἐσθῆσιν ἡ χώρα τῆς πορφύρας ἐκεῖ χρυσοῦς ἦν. But this was certainly not the usual Greek custom, and with regard to the bridegroom the question is still more doubtful. The male part of the escort, at all events, went in white. Plutarch, *Amat.* 26: καὶ νῦν ἐκὼν στέφανον καὶ λευκὸν ἱμάτιον λαβὼν οἷός ἐστιν ἡγεῖσθαι δι' ἀγορᾶς πρὸς τὸν θεόν. The ἱμάτιον νυμφικόν, (*ibid.* 10,) is only mentioned in contradistinction to the chlamys, with which Bacchon had come out of the gymnasium; but there is no reference to any particular colour. See also Pollux, iv. 119: οἱ δὲ παράσιτοι μελαίνῃ ἢ φαιᾷ (χρῶνται) πλὴν ἐν Σικυωνίῳ λευκῇ, ὅτε μέλλει γαμεῖν ὁ παράσιτος. At any rate the dress must have differed in some way from the daily one, for Chariton, i. 6, says of the corpse of Callirrhoe: κατέκειτο μὲν Καλλιῤῥόη νυμφικὴν ἐσθῆτα περιειμένη.

Chaplets were certainly worn both by bride and bridegroom. Böttiger, *Kunstmyth.* p. 253; Schol. ad Aristoph. *Pax*, 869. The same was also the case with the attendants, according to Plutarch, *supra*. Also the doors of both the houses were ornamented with festive garlands. Plutarch, *Amat.* 10: ἀνέστεφον ἐλαίᾳ καὶ δάφνῃ τὰς θύρας, κ. τ. λ. Perfumed ointment, μύρον, was a part of the bride's κόσμος. Xenoph. *Symp.* ii. 3; Aristoph. *Plut.* 529. Her head also was covered by a long veil, which will be spoken of presently.

In this manner the procession moved along to the song of Hymenæos with the accompaniment of flutes, towards the house of the bridegroom, whilst those who met it would pour forth congratulations and good wishes. See Aristoph. *Pax*, 1318; Chariton, i. 1; v. 5; Heliodor. *Æthiop.* x. 41. On arriving at the house they were saluted with a shower of sweetmeats, καταχύσματα, as was the custom also at Rome. Schol. ad Aristoph. *Plut.* 768: καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ νυμφίου περὶ τὴν ἑστίαν τὰ τραγήματα κατέχεον εἰς σημεῖον εὐπορίας, ὡς καὶ Θεόπομπός φησιν ἐν Ἡυχάρει 'φίρε σὺ τὰ καταχύσματα ταχέως κατάχει τοῦ νυμφίου καὶ τῆς κόρης.' Cf. Theopompus, ap. Harpocr. and Phot. p. 145.

Then followed the wedding-feast, γάμος, θοίνη γαμική. It was usually, though not always, held at the house of the bridegroom or of his parents. The most decisive passage, although of

a late period, is in Lucian, *Conviv. s. Lapithr*, 5. Little weight can be attached to the *Aulularia* of Plautus, as we do not know how much is to be attributed to the influence of Roman habits. This banquet was not a mere matter of form, but was intended as an attestation of the ceremony; it being desirable, in those times, to have as many witnesses as possible of the marriage, and such were the guests. Demosth. *in Onet.* i. p. 869: *ἀλλὰ τῶν τοιούτων ἕνεκα καὶ γάμους ποιοῦμεν καὶ τοὺς ἀναγκαιοτάτους παρακαλοῦμεν, ὅτι οὐ πάρεργον, ἀλλ' ἀδελφῶν καὶ θυγατέρων βίους ἐγχειρίζομεν, ὑπὲρ ὧν τὰς ἀσφαλείας μάλιστα σκοπουῦμεν.* Athen. v. p. 185: *ὡς νενόμισται ἄγειν συμπόσια περὶ τοὺς γάμους τῶν τε γαμηλίων θεῶν ἕνεκα, καὶ τῆς οἰονεὶ μαρτυρίας.* And, in fact, the judicial proof that the wife was actually *γαμετὴ*, was derived from the *ἑστιᾶσαι γάμους*. Isæus, *de Cir. Hered.* pp. 201, 207, 208. Plutarch, *Symposiac.* iv. 3, adduces additional reasons for the banquet, though this simple one is quite sufficient.

At this feast, contrary to the custom in other cases, the women also were allowed to be present. Plato, *Leg.* vi. pp. 775, 784. But in Lucian, *Conviv.* 8, they occupy a particular table, and the bride remains veiled: *Δέον δὲ ἧϊεν κατακλίνεσθαι, ἁπάντων σχεδὸν παρόντων, ἐν δεξιᾷ μὲν εἰσιόντων αἱ γυναῖκες ὅλον τὸν κλινοτῆρα ἐκείνων ἐπέλαβον οὐκ ὀλίγαι οὖσαι, καὶ ἐν αὐταῖς ἡ νύμφη, πάνυ ἀκριβῶς ἐγκεκαλυμμένη, ὑπὸ τῶν γυναικῶν περιεχομένη.* Also in a fragment of Euangelos, apud Athen. xiv. p. 644, mention is made of *τέτταρες τράπεζαι γυναικῶν, ἓξ ἀνδρῶν*. See also Eurip. *Iphig. in Aul.* 712. In other cases, as at the Thesmophoria, the women no doubt had a banquet to themselves. Isæus, *de Pyrrh. Her.* p. 66.

The cakes, *πέμματα*, seem to have been an important part of this feast, especially the symbolical sesame-cakes. See Schol. ad Aristoph. *Pax*, 869: *ἐδόκουν γὰρ ἐν τοῖς γάμοις σήσαμον διδύναι, ὃς ἐστι πλακοῦς γαμικὸς ἀπὸ σησάμου πεποιημένος διὰ τὸ πολύγονον, ὡς φησι Μένανδρος.* Among the persons busied at the marriage, there was a woman who was called *δημιουργὸς*, whose especial duty it was to attend to these cakes. Athen. iv. p. 172; Poll. iii. 41.

The bride was led veiled to the bridal chamber, *θάλαμος* or *παστὰς*, which the bridegroom closed. This was called *κατακλαίειν τὴν νύμφην*. See Theocr. xviii. 5, and the proverb, *ib.*

xv. 77: *ἐνδοῖ πᾶσαι, ὁ τὰν νυὸν εἶπ' ἀποκλάξας.* At Athens it was enjoined by a law of Solon, that the bride should eat beforehand a quince, *μῆλον κυδώνιον.* Plutarch, *Sol.* 20; *Quæst. Rom.* 65; *Conjug. Præc.* 1. Before the door of the thalamos the epithalamium was sung by a chorus of maidens. Theocr. *Id.* xviii.:

πρόσθε νεογράπτῳ θαλάμῳ χορὸν ἑστάσαντο
δώδεκα ταὶ πρᾶται πόλιος, μέγα χρῆμα Λακαινᾶν—
Ἄειδον δ' ἄρα πᾶσαι, ἐς ἓν μέλος ἐγκροτέοισαι
ποσσὶ περιπλέκτοις· περὶ δ' ἴαχε δῶμ' ὑμεναίῳ.

On this the Scholiast observes: *τῶν δ' ἐπιθαλαμίων τινὰ μὲν ᾄδεται ἑσπέρας, ἃ λέγεται κατακοιμητικά, ἄτινα ἕως μέσης νυκτὸς ᾄδουσι. τινὰ δ' ὄρθρια, ἃ καὶ προσαγορεύεται διεγερτικά. τὸν ἐπιθαλάμιον ᾄδουσιν αἱ παρθένοι πρὸ τοῦ θαλάμου, ἵνα τῆς παρθένου βιαζομένης ὑπὸ τοῦ ἀνδρὸς ἡ φωνὴ μὴ ἐξακούηται, λανθάνῃ δὲ κρυπτομένη διὰ τῆς τῶν παρθένων φωνῆς.* According to Pollux the door was guarded by a friend of the bridegroom's, *εἴργων τὰς γυναῖκας βοηθεῖν τῇ νύμφῃ βοώσῃ.* But it is questionable how many of these customs, heaped together at random by the grammarians, will apply to Athens.

After the *νὺξ μυστικὴ* (Charit. iv. 4,) the lady received presents from her husband, and both of them from their relations and friends. Since the bride now for the first time shewed herself without a veil, these gifts were called *ἀνακαλυπτήρια, ὀπτήρια*, and so forth; but on what day they were given is not very clear. Hesychius mentions the third day: *Ἀνακαλυπτήριον, ὅτε τὴν νύμφην πρῶτον ἐξάγουσι τῇ τρίτῃ ἡμέρᾳ.* He also says: *Ἐπαύλια· ἡ δευτέρα τῶν γάμων ἡμέρα οὕτως καλεῖται, ἐν ᾗ κομίζουσι δῶρα οἱ οἰκεῖοι τῷ γεγαμηκότι καὶ τῇ νύμφῃ.* These latter presents were also called *ἀνακαλυπτήρια*, for Harpocration says: *Ἀνακαλυπτήρια, δῶρα διδόμενα ταῖς νύμφαις....ὅταν τὸ πρῶτον ἀνακαλύπτωνται...καλεῖται δὲ αὐτὰ καὶ ἐπαύλαια.* These passages then are openly at variance, and we have the additional evidence of Pollux, iii. 39, that *ἐπαυλία* (*ἡμέρα*) means the day after the marriage. The same author also mentions another usage, which may throw some light on the subject. He says: *καὶ ἀπαύλια δέ, ἐν ᾗ ὁ νυμφίος εἰς τοῦ πενθεροῦ ἀπὸ τῆς νύμφης ἀπαυλίζεται. οἱ δὲ τὰ διδόμενα δῶρα τῇ νύμφῃ καλοῦσιν ἀπαύλια. ἡ δὲ ἀπαυλιστηρία χλανὶς ἀπὸ τῆς νύμφης τῷ νυμφίῳ ἐν τοῖς ἀπαυλίοις πέμπεται.* We may perhaps reconcile these accounts by supposing that the day after the marriage was the *ἐπαυλία ἡμέρα*, and that

then on the second day the *ἀπαύλια* were presented, the *ἀνακαλυπτήρια* not being given till the third day, when the bride first appeared unveiled. And then the only error would be in the account of Harpocration. Cf. Pausanias, apud Eustath. *ad Iliad.* xxiv. 29. The gifts presented by relations and friends were also called *προσφοραί*. See Theophrastus, *Char.* 30; where one of the characteristics of a mean person is to leave town for awhile on the marriage of a friend, or of a friend's daughter: *ἵνα μὴ προσπέμψῃ προσφοράν*. Certain antiques referring to these wedding scenes are given by Müller, *Handb. d. Archäol.* p. 693.

From this time forward the gynæconitis was the woman's regular abode, except that she shared with her husband the thalamos, which might occasionally be quite detached from the women's apartments. See Excursus on *The Grecian House*, p. 266. The description of the household arrangements given in Lysias, *de Cæde Eratosth.* p. 13, affords us some insight into this department of domestic life. Euphiletos, who has been accused of the murder, explains that he inhabits a house of two stories, of which the upper was occupied by himself, and the lower by his wife and children. The wife, however, sleeps above stairs, separate from her child, and this made her presence in the gynæconitis often necessary.

The husband and wife naturally took their meals together, provided that no other men were dining with the master of the house; for no woman, who did not wish to be accounted a *μοιχὰς* or *ἑταίρα*, could think, even in her own house, of participating in the symposia of the men, or even of being present when her husband accidentally brought a friend home to dinner. See Lysias, *ib.* p. 27. There are two passages which clearly shew the strictness with which this rule was adhered to. Isæus, *de Pyrrhi Hered.* p. 22: *καίτοι οὐ ὅπου γε ἐπὶ γαμετὰς γυναῖκας οὐδεὶς ἂν κωμάζειν τολμήσειεν· οὐδὲ αἱ γαμεταὶ γυναῖκες ἔρχονται μετὰ τῶν ἀνδρῶν ἐπὶ τὰ δεῖπνα, οὐδὲ συνδειπνεῖν ἀξιοῦσι μετὰ τῶν ἀλλοτρίων, καὶ ταῦτα μετὰ τῶν ἐπιτυχόντων.* Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1352: *καὶ συνέπιε καὶ συνεδείπνει ἐναντίων πολλῶν Νέαιρα αὕτη, ὡς ἂν ἑταίρα οὖσα.*

The province of the wife was the management of the entire household, and the nurture of the children; of the boys until they were placed under a master, of the girls till marriage.

Plato, who, on this subject, rather approaches the Spartan principles, assigns as the sole occupation of the women, *θεραπεία, ταμιεία, παιδοτροφία.* *Leg.* vii. p. 805; cf. Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 17. In consequence of the great inexperience of young wives, who had been brought up in almost monastic seclusion, matters were often managed very awkwardly at first. See the account given of his wife by Ischomachos; Xenoph. *Œcon.* 7, 4: *καὶ τί ἂν ἐπισταμένην αὐτὴν παρέλαβον, ἢ ἔτη μὲν οὔπω πεντεκαίδεκα γεγονυῖα ἦλθε πρὸς ἐμέ, τὸν δ' ἔμπροσθεν χρόνον ἔζη ὑπὸ πολλῆς ἐπιμελείας, ὅπως ὡς ἐλάχιστα μὲν ὄψοιτο, ἐλάχιστα δ' ἀκούσοιτο, ἐλάχιστα δ' ἔροιτο;* Cf. *ibid.* § 14.

It may perhaps not be amiss if we attempt to describe the wife's occupations somewhat more in detail. The methods of nursery management have already been treated of in the Excursus on *Education.* Next to the care of her children her attention was principally directed to that which went by the comprehensive term *ταμιεία.* To this belonged, firstly, the superintendence of all the moveable effects appertaining to the house, the furniture and utensils, the clothes, stores, and slaves. Occasionally she was not trusted to this extent. See Aristoph. *Thesmoph.* 418. Also from Lysias, *de Cæde Eratosth.* p. 10, we find that Euphiletos did not entrust the whole of his domestic concerns to his wife till she had borne him a son, which he considered sufficient security for her behaviour. Aristophanes calls wives the *ἐπίτροποι* and *ταμίαι* of the household. *Eccles.* 212; *Lysistr.* 495. Among the higher classes, and in large establishments, the lady had a *ταμία* to assist her. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 9, 11.

Another chief duty of the wife consisted in the superintendence of the slaves and the assignment of their several domestic duties. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 7, 33. Hence Plato requires that she should rise the first thing in the morning, as a pattern to others. *Leg.* vii. p. 808. Cf. Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 18. The labours of the female slaves, such as spinning, weaving, and so forth, required particular attention. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 7, 6. When Theano was asked how she intended to become renowned, (*πῶς ἐνδοξος ἔσται;*) she answered with the Homeric line:

ἱστὸν ἐποιχομένη καὶ ἐμὸν λέχος ἀντιόωσα.

Stob. *Tit.* lxxiv. 32. Cf. Plutarch, *Mul. Virt.* 19. The wife superintended the kitchen. In a Grecian house there was seldom

any professional cook; one being hired when occasion required. The women usually cared for all the requirements of the meal, and the lady of the house was not idle. Plato, *de Republ.* v. p. 455: *ἢ μακρολογῶμεν τήν τε ὑφαντικὴν λέγοντες καὶ τὴν τῶν ποπάνων τε καὶ ἐψημάτων θεραπείαν; ἐν οἷς ἐή τι δοκεῖ τὸ γυναικεῖον γένος εἶναι, οὗ καὶ καταγελαστότατόν ἐστι πάντων ἡττώμενον.* Hence when the crowd of useless consumers was expelled from Platæa, we read that one hundred and ten *γυναῖκες σιτοποιοὶ* were retained. Thucyd. ii. 78.

Besides this, another momentous occupation devolved exclusively on the women; the nursing of the sick, not only of their husbands and children, but also of the slaves. Xenoph. *Œcon.* 7, 37: *ὅτε ὁς ἂν κάμνῃ τῶν οἰκετῶν, τούτων σοι ἐπιμελετέον πάντων, ὅπως θεραπεύηται.* Cf. Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1364.

The foregoing description is intended to apply to the household of a wealthy burgher of the higher class. The women of the lower classes, having no slaves, had of course to discharge many duties which were otherwise deemed unworthy of free persons. It was not considered unbecoming to fetch water from the fountain in the morning; nay, in the earliest times, this was an office assigned to the daughters of the most distinguished persons. We may mention the instances of Amymone and Evadne, and Athene even meets Odysseus,

παρθενικῇ εἰκυῖα νεηνιδι, κάλπιν ἐχούσῃ.

Odyss. vii. 20; Cf. Böckh, ad Pind. *Ol.* vi. p. 157. At a later period, it is true that in the houses of the wealthy this was done by the female slaves; but those of limited means, and not the poorest classes merely, repaired to the fountain in person. An interesting picture of such a scene at early dawn may be found in Aristophanes, *Lysistr.* 327:

νῦν δὴ γὰρ ἐμπλησαμένη τὴν ὑδρίαν κνεφαία
μόγις ἀπὸ κρήνης ὑπ' ὄχλου καὶ θορύβου,
καὶ πατάγου χυτρείου,
δυύλῃσιν ὠστιζομένη
στιγματίαις θ', κ.τ.λ.

Cf. Pausan. iv. 20, 3; x. 18, 2.

As regards the moral tone maintained between man and wife, it may be stated that the husband carefully abstained, in his wife's presence, from doing anything that might derogate from his dignity and respect in her estimation. How far the

notion of *ἀσχημονεῖν* extended, and how careful the husband was to behave as an *ἐλεύθερος* on all occasions, is clear from Demosthenes, *in Androt.* p. 609. Although we gather from this that there was a certain distance maintained between married persons, and that cordial familiarity was sacrificed to *σεμνότης*, still there would be modifications corresponding to differences of character and education; and thus we find man and wife joking pretty freely in Lysias, *de Cœde Eratosth.* p. 14, where, when Euphiletos sends his wife down into the gynæconitis to quiet the child, she pretends to refuse, and says: *ἵνα σύγε πειρᾷς ἐνταῦθα τὴν παιδίσκην· καὶ πρότερον δὲ μεθύων εἶλκες αὐτήν. Κἀγὼ μὲν ἐγέλων. ἐκείνη δὲ ἀναστᾶσα καὶ ἀπιοῦσα προστίθησι τὴν θύραν, προσποιουμένη παίζειν, καὶ τὴν κλεῖν ἐφέλκεται.*

Still it is an unquestionable fact that in many cases the wife was in reality the ruling power in the house, whether from her mental superiority, domineering disposition, or amount of dower. Aristot. *Ethic. Nic.* viii. 12, p. 1161: *ἐνίοτε δὲ ἄρχουσιν αἱ γυναῖκες ἐπίκληροι οὖσαι.* In Sparta, where the men were accounted *ὑπήκοοι τῶν γυναικῶν* (Plutarch, *Agis.* 7), and where the women, who were called *δέσποιναι*, even by the men, (Id. *Lyc.* 14,) were accustomed to rule over the house, (*τῶν οἴκων ἄρχουσαι κατὰ κράτος*,) perhaps the domestic tyranny of the women was rarer than at Athens. Plutarch, speaking of Themistocles, says, *Apophth. Reg.* 10, and *Themist.* 18: *Τὸν δ' υἱὸν ἐντρυφῶντα τῇ μητρὶ καὶ δι' ἐκείνην αὑτῷ σκώπτων ἔλεγε πλεῖστον τῶν Ἑλλήνων δύνασθαι· τοῖς μὲν γὰρ Ἕλλησιν ἐπιτάττειν Ἀθηναίους, Ἀθηναίοις δ' αὐτόν, αὐτῷ δὲ τὴν ἐκείνου μητέρα, τῇ μητρὶ δ' ἐκεῖνον.* This must not perhaps be taken too strictly, yet not to speak of the *πολυθρύλητος Ξανθίππη*, instances are not wanting where wives are designated as *Λάμια* and *Ἔμπουσα*. See a fragment of Menander, p. 144, Mein. Some wives indeed maintained their rights with their slippers in a most objectionable manner. Brunck, *Anal.* ii. p. 409:

> Εἰ δ', οὐ σανδαλίῳ, φῄς, τύπτομαι, οὐδ' ἀκυλάστου
> οὔσης μοι γαμετῆς, χρή με μύσαντα φέρειν.

See also Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 657:

> ἆρα γρυκτόν ἐστιν ὑμῖν; εἰ δέ τι λυπήσεις τί με,
> τῷδέ γ' ἀψήκτῳ πατάξω τῷ κοθόρνῳ τὴν γνάθον.

The men might, at all events, console themselves with the reflec-

tion that Hercules was served no better by Omphale. Lucian, *Deor. Dial.* xiii. 2 : παιώμενος ὑπὸ τῆς Ὀμφάλης χρωσῷ σανδάλῳ. Cf. Westerh. ad Terent. *Eun.* vii. 8, 4; Menander, fragm. p. 68, Mein.

The law imposed the duty of continence in a very unequal manner. Whilst the husband required from his wife the strictest fidelity, and severely visited any dereliction on her part, he would frequently allow himself to have intercourse with hetaræ. Such conduct, though it was not exactly approved of, yet did not meet with any marked censure, much less was it considered a violation of matrimonial rights. The passage in Isocrates, *Nicocl.* p. 42, must be regarded as a protest against the general opinion, which was indirectly countenanced even by the law. For any transgression of the wife was heavily visited, as being a civil injury done to the husband; but when he was the offender, no process was instituted unless the circumstances had been peculiarly aggravated, and atimia was most certainly not inflicted in any case. The following passage refers without doubt to Greek life, and a multitude of instances might be adduced to shew the correctness of the picture it presents. Plaut. *Merc.* iv. 6, 2:

> Nam si vir scortum duxit clam uxorem suam,
> Id si rescivit uxor, impune est viro.
> Uxor viro si clam domo egressa est foras,
> Viro fit causa, exigitur matrimonio.
> Utinam lex esset eadem, quæ uxori est, viro!

When Aristotle, *de Republ.* vii. 16, p. 1335, demands that husband as well as wife should be visited with atimia for incontinence, this is only an idea of his own, and is not borne out by facts.

Infidelity in the wife was judged most sharply. It might be supposed that, living in such strict seclusion, the women were generally precluded from transgressing; but it is clear that they very frequently found means of deceiving their husbands. So in Lysias, *de Cæd. Erat.* p. 19, the woman who reveals to Euphiletos the infidelity of his wife, says: ἔστι δ' Ἐρατοσθένης Ὀῆθεν ὁ ταῦτα πράττων, ὃς οὐ μόνον τὴν σὴν γυναῖκα διέφθαρκεν, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἄλλας πολλάς. Cf. Demosth. *in Steph.* i. p. 1125. It was the boast of Sparta that adultery was unknown there. When Geradatas was asked what punishment was inflicted on the adulterer, he replied, πῶς ἂν ἐν Σπάρτῃ μοιχὸς γένοιτο; Plutarch,

Lyc. 15; *Apophth. Lac.* i. p. 909. This piece of braggadocio is thus justly criticised by Limburg-Brower, in his *Hist. de la Civil. Mor. et Relig. d. Gr.* iv. p. 165: 'C'est comme qui diroit que dans une bande de brigands il n'y avoit pas un seul voleur.' But this was only intended by Plutarch to refer to the very ancient times, for he names Geradatas as *τῶν σφόδρα παλαιῶν τινα*, and contrasts with that period the *εὐχέρεια* of the Spartan women at a later date. Cf. *De Trang. An.* 6.

It is evident from Lysias, *de Cæde Eratosth.* p. 23, that the female slaves were open to corruption, and that they had generally a good deal to do with the peccadilloes of their mistress; and indeed the artifices the adulterer employed to get into a house could not have succeeded without the connivance of some of its inmates. See the fragment of Xenarchos, apud Athen. xiii. p. 569:

> *μὴ κλίμακ' αἰτησάμενον εἰσβῆναι λάθρᾳ,*
> *μηδὲ δι' ὀπῆς κάτωθεν ἐκδῦναι στέγης,*
> *μηδ' ἐν ἀχύροισιν εἰσενεχθῆναι τέχνῃ.*

Actual bribery is moreover mentioned by Dio Chrysost. *Or.* vii. p. 272: *καὶ νὴ Δία ἀργυρίου στάζοντος κατ' ὀλίγον οὐδ' εἰς τοὺς τῶν παρθένων κόλπους μόνους, ἀλλ' εἴς τε μητέρων, καὶ τροφῶν, καὶ παιδαγωγῶν, καὶ ἄλλων πολλῶν καὶ καλῶν ὡρῶν· τῶν μὲν κρύφα εἰσιόντων διὰ τῶν στεγῶν, ἔστι δ' οὗ φανερῶς κατ' αὐτάς που τὰς κλισίας.* There was a special law directed against *προαγωγεία*, which appears to have been extensively carried on by persons who made it a regular profession. See Anaxandrides, apud Stob. *Tit.* lxvii. 1. The main passage about the *προαγωγοί* is in Æschines, *in Timarch.* p. 177: *καὶ τοὺς προαγωγοὺς γράφεσθαι κελεύει, κἂν ἁλῶσι, θανάτῳ ζημιοῦν, ὅτι τῶν ἐξαμαρτάνειν ἐπιθυμούντων, ὀκνούντων καὶ αἰσχυνομένων ἀλλήλοις ἐντυγχάνειν, αὐτοὶ τὴν ἀναίδειαν παρασχόντες ἐπὶ μισθῷ τὸ πρᾶγμα εἰς ἐπιπειρίαν καὶ λόγον κατέστησαν.* Cf. *Ib.* p. 40; Xenoph. *Symp.* 4, 61; Plato, *Theæt.* p. 150. These people not only arranged assignations, but also offered their own abodes as places of rendezvous. Hence *ἀγωγεῖα* (*προαγωγεῖα*?) are mentioned among places of evil repute by Pollux, ix. 48. And probably the *ματρυλεῖα* were not very different. Cf. Id. vii. 201. Such was the house of Orsilochos. Aristoph. *Lysistr.* 725. These things were occasionally done without any attempt at concealment, as we see from Demosth. *in Steph.* i. p. 1125: *τινος γυναῖκα διέφθαρκε, ὥσπερ*

σὺ πρὸς πολλαῖς ἄλλαις ταύτην, ἢ τὸ μνῆμα ᾠκοδόμησεν ὁ θεοῖς ἐχθρὸς οὗτος πλησίον τοῦ τῆς δεσποίνης ἀνηλωκὼς πλέον ἢ τάλαντα δύο; καὶ οὐκ ἠσθάνετο, ὅτι οὐχὶ τοῦ τάφου μνημεῖον ἔσται τὸ οἰκοδόμημα, τοιοῦτον ὄν, ἀλλὰ τῆς ἀδικίας, ἢ καὶ τὸν ἄνδρα ἠδίκηκεν ἐκείνη διὰ τοῦτον. The husband was not always entirely ignorant of what was going on; and sometimes a pretty woman was even married by way of speculation. See Demosth. *in Neær.* pp. 1358, 1367; cf. Plutarch, *de Aud. Poet.* 8.

The law left the punishment of the adulterer to the injured husband, who was allowed to kill the offender if caught in the act. Lysias, *de Cæde Eratosth.* p. 31: διαρρήδην εἴρηται τοῦτον μὴ καταγιγνώσκειν φόνου, ὃς ἂν ἐπὶ δάμαρτι τῇ ἑαυτοῦ μοιχὸν λαβὼν ταύτην τὴν τιμωρίαν ποιήσηται. Cf. Demosth. *in Aristocr.* p. 637; Plutarch, *Sol.* 23. This law has been only partially preserved, though we are probably acquainted with its most important provisions. Thus we have a few words of it in Lucian, *Eunuch.* 10: καὶ μοιχὸς ἑάλω ποτέ, ὡς ὁ ἄξων φησίν, ἅρθρα ἐν ἄρθροις ἔχων. A more important fragment, which refers to the punishment inflicted on the woman, may be found in Demosthenes, *in Neær.* p. 1374: Ἐπειδὰν δὲ ἕλῃ τὸν μοιχόν, μὴ ἐξέστω τῷ ἑλόντι συνοικεῖν τῇ γυναικί. ἐὰν δὲ συνοικῇ, ἄτιμος ἔστω. μηδὲ τῇ γυναικὶ ἐξέστω εἰσιέναι εἰς τὰ ἱερὰ τὰ δημοτελῆ, ἐφ' ἢ ἂν μοιχὸς ἁλῷ. ἐὰν δὲ εἴσεισι, νηποινεὶ πασχέτω, ὅτι ἂν πάσχῃ, πλὴν θανάτου. See also *Ib.* p. 1367: ἐὰν δὲ δοῦλος μοιχὸς εἶναι, παραδοῦναι αὐτὸν κελεύει τοὺς ἐγγυητὰς τῷ ἑλόντι. ἐπὶ δὲ τοῦ δικαστηρίου ἄνευ ἐγχειριδίου χρῆσθαι ὅτι ἂν βουληθῇ, ὡς μοιχῷ ὄντι. See also a fragment of Menander (p. 130, Mein.):

> Οὐκ ἔστι μοιχοῦ πρᾶγμα τιμιώτερον.
> θανάτου γὰρ ἐστιν ὠνιον.

This personal revenge was legally sanctioned in many other states besides Athens. See Xenoph. *Hier.* 3, 3: μόνους γοῦν τοὺς μοιχοὺς νομίζουσι πολλαὶ τῶν πόλεων νηποινὰ ἀποκτείνειν. Plato also permits it to its fullest extent in his ideal state. *Leg.* ix. p. 874. On the other hand, after time for calm reflection had intervened, it was not allowable to kill the culprit; but corporal chastisement and the notorious ῥαφανίδωσις were even then permitted. Aristoph. *Nub.* 1083; Schol. ad *Plut.* 168. Sometimes a considerable sum of money was paid to escape a worse fate. Lysias, *de Cæde Eratosth.* p. 23; Demosth. *in Neær.* p. 1367.

The wife was made infamous, as we see from the fragment of the law quoted above. A more detailed account is given by Æschines *in Timarch.* 176: *τὴν γὰρ γυναῖκα, ἐφ' ᾗ ἂν ἁλῷ μοιχὸς, οὐκ ἐᾷ κοσμεῖσθαι, οὐδὲ εἰς τὰ ἑημοτελῆ ἱερὰ εἰσιέναι, ἵνα μὴ τὰς ἀναμαρτήτους τῶν γυναικῶν ἀναμιγνυμένη διαφθείρῃ· ἐὰν δ' εἰσίῃ ἢ κοσμῆται, τὸν ἐντυχόντα κελεύει καταῤῥηγνύναι τὰ ἱμάτια καὶ τὸν κόσμον ἀφαιρεῖσθαι, καὶ τύπτειν, εἰργόμενον θανάτου καὶ τοῦ ἀνάπηρον ποιῆσαι.* The adulteress was never punished with death; and Heliodorus makes a mistake when he supposes this possible at Athens. See *Æthiop.* i. 11. The man who received her to wife was also punished with atimia.

A peculiar penalty was inflicted on the adulteress at Cymæ, according to Plutarch, *Quæst. Græc.* 2. He tells us that the woman, after having been set up on a stone in the forum, was then mounted on an ass, and having been led round the town, was brought back to the stone: *καὶ τὸ λοιπὸν ἄτιμον ἐιατελεῖν, Ὀνοβάτιν προσαγορευομένην.* Cf. Ælian, *Var. Hist.* xii. 12: *ὅτι ἐν Κρήτῃ ἐν Γορτύνῃ μοιχὸς ἁλοὺς ἤγετο ἐπὶ τὰς ἀρχὰς καὶ ἐστεφανοῦτο ἐρίῳ ἐλεγχθείς.* See also *ib.* xiii. 24: *Ζάλευκος ὁ Λοκρῶν νομοθέτης προσέταξε τὸν μοιχὸν ἁλόντα ἐκκόπτεσθαι τοὺς ὀφθαλμούς.* Cf. the law of Charondas, apud Stob. *Tit.* xliv. 40.

In all these cases the law commanded the marriage to be annulled. Separations were also of frequent occurrence, though unaccompanied by any formalities. The husband rejects the wife, *ἐκπέμπει*; or the wife leaves the husband, *ἀπολείπει*. The procedure in this latter case has been discussed in a most satisfactory manner by Meier and Schömann, *Att. Proc.* p. 413. It may be questioned, however, whether the husband could send back his wife to her former *κύριος*, against her will, at least without some special reason. The theoretical recommendations of Plato, *Leg.* vi. p. 784, and xi. p. 930, will of course prove nothing as to the actual state of the case. See however a fragment of Amphis, ap. Athen. xiii. p. 559:

Εἶτ' ου γυναικός ἐστιν εὐνοικώτερον
γαμετῆς ἑταίρα; πολύ γε καὶ μάλ' εἰκότως.
ἡ μὲν νομῳ γὰρ καταφρονοῦσ' ἔνδον μένει,
ἡ δ' οἶδεν ὅτι ἢ τοῖς τρόποις ὠνητέος
ἀνθρωπός ἐστιν, ἢ πρὸς ἄλλον ἀπιτέον.

Hence it would appear that there were some legal restrictions on the husband's arbitrary power in getting rid of his wife. It is

clear that barrenness was a frequent cause of separation, for we are told by Dio Chrysostom, *Or.* xv. p. 447, that childless women often procured supposititious children: *βουλομένη κατασχεῖν ἑκάστη τὸν ἄνδρα τὸν ἑαυτῆς*. However, the *ἔκπεμψις* and *ἀπόλειψις* were always held more or less disgraceful for the woman, as we see from a fragment of Anaxandrides, apud Stob. *Tit.* lxxiii. 1. The simple *ἀπόλειψις* is occasionally viewed with more indifference, as in Terence, *Andr.* iii. 3, 35, where the lady, it seems, is to be taken on trial. So too the story told of the cynic Crates by Diogenes Laertius, vi. 95: *καὶ θυγατέρ' ἐξέδωκε μαθηταῖς αὐτοῦ, ἐκείνοις ἐπὶ πείρᾳ δοὺς τριάκονθ' ἡμέρας*.

INDEX.

NOTE.—The Index has been arranged according to the English alphabet; i. e. χ under C, η under E, ω under O, φ and ψ under P, and θ under T.

Abacus, 232
ἀβάκιον, dice-board, 355
Abuse, terms of, 40, *n.* 42
ἀχίτων, 299; 417, 418
Actors, treatment of, 411
Adonis, festival of, when celebrated, 53, *n.* 31
Adultery, 494; how punished, 496
Advertising, methods of, 197, *n.* 4
Æsop, fables of, 225
ἀγαμίου, ὀψιγαμίου, κακογαμίου νόμοι, 475; 477
ἀγαμοι, very numerous, 475
ἀγαθοῦ δαίμονος, libation, 329
ἄγχουσα, 174, *n.* 42
ἀγορὰ ἀρχαία, 277
—— πλήθουσα, 278
—— γυναικεία, 287
ἀγοραῖος, 283
ἀγορανόμοι, 291
ἀγορᾶς διάλυσις, 278
ἀγοραστής, 287; 364
Ἀγυιεύς, 260
ἀγύρται, 144, *n.* 20
αἰνίγματα, 97, sqq.; 348
Ἀκκὼ and Ἀλφιτὼ, 224
ἄκλητος, 315
ἀκόλουθοι, 321; 407
ἀκράτισμα, 312
ἄκρατος οἶνος, never drunk, 337
ἀκρόδρυα, 331
ἀκροθώραξ, 105, *n.* 26
ἀκτάζειν, 315
ἀλειπτήριον, 149, *n.* 23
ἄλευρα, 323
ἀλεξιφάρμακα, 144, *n.* 20; 382
ἀλλᾶντες, 323
ἄλφιτα, 323
Altar in the αὐλή, 254
ἀμοργός, 432
ἀμπεχόνη or ἀμπεχόνιον, 428
ἀμφίδουλοι, 360
ἀμφιδρόμια, 217, 218
ἀμφιθάλαμος, 254; 266
ἀμφιμάσχαλος, 415
Amulets, 191, *n.* 32
Amusements at the Symposia, 344
ἀναβαθμοί, 260; 267
ἀναβολή, 419
ἀναγκάζεσθαι, ἐξ ἀνάγκης πίνειν, 343
ἀνακαλυπτήρια, 489
ἀναθήματα, 70, *n.* 23
ἀναθέσθαι τὴν ψῆφον, 353
Ancestry, pride of, 7, *n.* 14
ἀνδρείκελον, 175, *n.* 42
Andronitis, 252, sqq.
ἀνδρῶνες, 265
Animals, names of, used as terms of abuse, 40, *n.* 42
ἄνθεμα, a dance, 103, *n.* 23
ἀνθράκια, 140, *n.* 11; 271
ἀνυποδησία, 445
ἀπαύλια, 489
ἀπελεύθεροι, 372
ἀφρῖτις, 324
ἀφύαι, 324
ἀπνευστὶ, or ἀμυστὶ πίνειν, 343
ἀπόδεσμος, 427
ἀποδυτήριον in the baths, 149, *n.* 23
ἀπόλειψις ἀνδρός, 497
ἀπομάττεσθαι, ἀπομαγδαλία, 321
ἀπονίζειν, 318
ἀπονίψασθαι, 329
ἀποφράδες ἡμέραι, 400
ἀποτροπιασμός, 134, *n.* 4

Apparitions, fear of, 164, *n.* 16
Apples, bitten, a declaration of love, 192, *n.* 36
Apple-pips, used as a love-oracle, 190, *n.* 30
Applause in the theatre, 410
ἀρχιτέκτων, 408
ἄρχων (*βασιλεύς*) *τῆς πάσεως*, 341
ἀρύδνιον, *ὕδατος ὄστρακον*, 164, *n.* 15; 387
ἀργύριον κίβδηλον, 292
ἀργυρώνητοι, 358
ἄριστον, 312, 313
Arithmetic, how taught, 232; use of *ψῆφοι*, *ib.*
ἀρκτεύεσθαι, 483
ἀρτιασμός, 354
Artisans, social position of, 85, *n.* 17
ἀρτοπώλιδες, 289; 323
ἀρύταινα or *ἀρύβαλλος*, in the baths, 151, *n.* 23
Asbestos, 392; 434
ἄσβολος, 174, *n.* 42
ἀσκάντης, 139, *n.* 8
ἀσπάζεσθαι, 142, *n.* 18
ἀστραγαλισμός, 354
Athens, compared to a courtesan by Isocrates, 14, *n.* 29; Aristotle's opinion of, 15, *n.* 30; character of its inhabitants, *ib.*
ἀθλητική, 295
Attendance at table, 321
αὔλειος θύρα, 253
αὐλή, 253; 265; in the Gymnasia, 304
αὐλητρίδες at the symposia, 245; 344
αὐλός. See *Flute*.
αὐτεπάγγελτοι, *αὐτόματοι*, 315, 316
αὖθις βοᾶν, (da capo) 411
αὐτόχθονες, 16, *n.* 30
αὐτόγραφα, 276
αὐτόκρατ, 338
αὐτοπῶλαι, 280

βαλανεῖα, 147, *n.* 23
βαλανειοκλέπται, 149, *n.* 23
βαλανεύς, 148, *n.* 23
βαπτίζεσθαι, 105, *n.* 26
βασιλεύς at the Symposia, 341
Baths amongst the Greeks, 147, *n.* 23; public and private, *ib.*; usual payments, 148; arrangement of the baths, *ib.*; vapour bath, 149; baths for women, 150; hour of bathing, 151; marriage-bath, 483
βάθροι, in schools, 230
βατράχοις οἰνοχοεῖν, 338
βαυκαλήματα, 222
βαυκίδες, 451
Beard, 457, sqq.; considered a dignified ornament, 457; fashion of shaving introduced by Alexander, *ib.*; sophists continued to wear it long, 458
Beds and bedsteads, different parts fully described, 136, *n.* 8; beds of the poor, 139
Betrothal, 479
βιβλία, book-market, 273
βιβλιογράφοι and *βιβλιοπῶλαι*, 273
βίβλος, Nile-paper, 162, *n.* 12
Bigamy, 474
Birds taught to talk, 77, *n.* 5
Black, usual colour of mourning, 399
βλαῦται or *βλαυτία*, 459
βλέπειν ὕπνῳ, *κάρδαμα*, or *ὀρίγανον*, 63, *n.* 7
Blind-man's buff, 224
Bœotians, *πολυφάγοι*, 311; 93, *n.* 9
βομβύκινα, 433
Books confiscated and burnt, 52, *n.* 28
Bookselling, 272, sqq.; book-trade existed in Alexander's time, 273
βουλίμου ἐξέλασις, 368
Boys, when sent to school, 227; their behaviour abroad, 237; presence at meals, 317; 237; in the gymnasia, 305, sqq.; in the theatre, 406; ordinary dress, 420
Branding slaves, 370
Bread, sale of, 289; 323
Bridal bath, 483
Bride, *ἀστή*, 477; fetched by bridegroom in a carriage, 485; how attired, 486
βρικελοι, *μορμολυκεῖα*, 225
βρῦτος, 334
Burials, 383, sqq.; duty of sepulture

considered very holy, 384; order of funeral ceremonies, 385, sqq.; discussion as to whether the corpse was buried or burnt, 390; coffins, 392; tombs, 393, sqq.; inscriptions, 396; funeral feast, 397; mourning dresses, 399; cases in which burial was omitted, 401
Burning and burying practised simultaneously, 390, sqq.
Byssus, whether flax or cotton, 430; yellow byssus, 431; Elean, *ib.*

Caps, 141, *n.* 17; 443
Carriages of the Greeks, 2, *n.* 6; not common, thought a mark of effeminacy, 126, *n.* 26; carriage used for fetching bride, 485; its axle burnt, 486
Ceiling ornaments, 268
Celibacy, prevalence of, 475
χαῖρε, 142, *n.* 18
χαλκῆ μυῖα, blind-man's buff, 224
χαλκισμός, 75, *n.* 4; 351
χαμεύνη, or *χαμεύνιον*, 139, *n.* 8
Chaplets, handed round at the conclusion of the meal, 329; 94, *n.* 10; generally made of myrtle, *ib.*; favourite flowers for, *ib.*; occasionally worn on the breast, 104, *n.* 25; sold in market, 289; 61, *n.* 3; worn at weddings, 487
χάραξ, used in playing the cottabos, 349
χάρτης, single leaf of paper, 162, *n.* 12; 273
Cheese, celebrated kinds of, 331
χειρόμακτρον, 321
χειρόσοφοι and *χειρονόμοι*, 102, *n.* 23
χηνίσκοι of a ship, 112, *n.* 4
χέρνιψ, 320
Chimneys, 271
Chiton of the men, 413, sqq.; Doric and Ionic varieties, 414; 421, 422; worn without any under-garment, 416; chiton of the women, 298; 421; 428; how put on, 425
χιτὼν ἀμφιμάσχαλος and *ἑτερομάσχαλος*, 415
—— *δασύς*, or *ἀμφίμαλλος*, 429
χιτὼν κατάστικτος, 440
—— *ὀρθοστάδιος*, 427
—— *σχιστός*, 421; 427
—— *στολιδωτός*, 427
—— *συρτός*, 427
χιτώνιον, 428
χιτωνίσκος, 417
χλαῖνα and *χλανίς*, 421; 430
Chlamys, 420, 421
χοαί, 400
χοῖνιξ, 369
χῶραι on the *κλίνη*, 318; squares on the board for *πεττεία*, 353
χορδαί, 323
χρυσώνητοι, 358
χύτραι, crockery-mart, 290
Citrons placed among clothes, 206, *n.* 16
Cleonæ, 1, *n.* 1
Coän robes, 434
Cock-fighting, 77, *n.* 6; 239
Coffins, materials and form, 392
Coining, capital offence, 292
Coins, current, 291
Colours of dresses, 434, sqq.
Commerce, 26; 277; 281; 291
Consanguinity, no bar to marriage, 478
Corinth, its situation favourable to commerce, 22, *n.* 1
Cork, used for soles of sandals, 447; 452
Cottabos, 349
Cotton, date of its introduction, 431
Cradles, 221
Crying goods for sale, 284; things lost or stolen, 197, *n.* 4
Curiosities, collections of, 52, *n.* 29

δᾷδες νυμφικαί, 486
δαῖτες, 314
δανάκη, *ὀβολὸς νεκροῦ*, 385
Dancing amongst the Greeks, very expressive, 102, *n.* 23; not considered a dignified amusement, 109
δανείζειν ἐπὶ σώματι, 360
Δεῖγμα, 43, *n.* 2; 284
δείγματα, samples, 283
δεῖπνον, 312; usual hour of, 313; 211, *n.* 3

δεῖπνον ἀπὸ σπυρίδος, 315
δεκάτην θύειν or ἑστιᾶν, 219
δέλτοι, 162, *n.* 12
δημιουργός, 488
δῆμος of Athens, 17, *n.* 30
δέραια, given to children as γνωρίσματα, 222
despuere morbos, 132, *n.* 3
δεύτεραι τράπεζαι, 326; 330
δευτερογαμοῦντες οὐ μετῆεσαν τὴν νύμφην, 486
διαγραμμισμός, 353
διαφανῆ εἵματα, 434
διαζώματα, 409
διδασκαλεῖα, 306
διῆρες, ὑπερῷον, 266
Dionysia, 178, *n.* 1; 179, *n.* 5
διφθέρα, 442
διπλοΐς, διπλοΐδιον, 425
Dissection, 380
Divorce, 497
Doctors, 374, sqq.; their position in Greece better than at Rome, 375; conditions on which they were permitted by the state to practise, 376; salaried by the state, 377; their fees, *ib.*; made up their own medicines, 378; slave-doctors, 379
Dogs, various breeds of, 76, *n.* 5; lap-dogs, *ib.*; house-dogs, 261
Doors of houses, 257; 260; 265; 269; did not ordinarily open towards the street, 260; 269; probably not locked by day, 269; 54, *n.* 32
Dolls, 223
δωμάτια, 265
δοριάλωτοι, 358
δωριάζειν, 298
δόρπον, 312
δουλάρια, 365
δοῦλος. See *Slaves.*
Dowries, 480; when first usual, *ib.*; Solon's law on the subject, 481
Dreams, interpretation of, 134, *n.* 4
Dress of the men, 413, sqq.; of the slaves, 416; of the boys, 420; of the women, 421, sqq.; materials used, 429; colours, 434; at weddings, 486
Drinking vessels, forms of, 106, *n.* 31; materials of, 145, *n.* 22
δρεῖται, 391
δρομιάμφιον ἦμαρ, 217
δρύφακτοι, 260

Earrings, 200, *n.* 6
Education, 217, sqq.; conducted by a pedagogue, 226; at school, 227; principal studies, 231; reading and writing, 232; music, 233; vacations, 234; education of the girls, 236; education at Sparta, 239
ἔγχουσα, pigment, 174, *n.* 42
ἐγχυτρισμός, 195, *n.* 1
ἐγγύησις, 479
ἔγκυκλον, 426; 440
εἰρην, 239
εἰς ὕδωρ, or εἰς τέφραν γράφειν, 196, *n.* 3
εἰσφέρειν and ἀφαιρεῖν τὰς τραπέζας, 326
ἔκπεμψις γυναικός, 497
ἐκφορά, 389
ἔκθεσις παίδων, 218
ἐκ τριόδου, proverb, 231
ἐλλύχνιον, 157, *n.* 5
ἐμβάδες, 449
Emancipation of slaves, 372
ἐμπορία, ἔμπορος, 280; 283
Ἔμπουσα, 224
ἐναγίσματα, ἐναγίζειν, 400
ἔνατα, 398
ἐνδοῦναι, 269
ἐνδρομίδες, men's shoes, 450
ἐνδύματα, 413
ἐνήλατα of the bed, 136, *n.* 8
ἐνεύναιον, 138, *n.* 8
ἐντὸς τὴν χεῖρα ἔχειν, 419
ἐπ' ἀγκῶνος ἐκπνεῖν, 319
ἐπαυλία ἡμέρα, 489
ἐπενεγκεῖν δόρν, 402
Ephebi, 239; in the Gymnasia, 305
Ἐφέσια γράμματα, 144, *n.* 20; 191, *n.* 32
ἐφελκύσασθαι, 269
ἐφεστρίς, 441
ἐπιβατεύειν, 111, *n.* 2
ἐπιβλήματα, 418; of the women, 428
ἐπιχώρια θύματα, 332

ἐπιδέξια, 343
ἐπὶ δεξιὰ ἀναβάλλεσθαι, 418
ἐπὶ δυετὲς ἠβῆσαι, 239
ἐπιδόρπια, or ἐπίδειπνα, 331
ἐπίκλιντρον, 136, *n.* 8
ἐπίλουτρον, bathing fee, 148, *n.* 23
ἐπίπαστα, 330
ἐπισημαίνειν, 410
ἐπισκυθίζειν, 338
ἐπιπαστῆρες on the doors, 54, *n.* 32; 269
Epithalamium, 489
ἐπίθημα, 395
ἐπίτροπος, 363
ἐπῳδαί, 382
ἐπωμίς, 425
ἔρανος, 40, *n.* 40; 315
ἐργαστήρια, ἰατρεῖα, 378
——— πορνεῖα, 283; 244
——— συκοφαντῶν, 44, *n.* 6
ἐργάζεσθαι πῷ σώματι, or ἀφ' ὥρας, 244
ἐσχάρα, an ordeal, 184, *n.* 12; a brazier, 271
ἑσθῆτες Μηδικαί, 433
εὐκοσμία, 236
εὐνή, 136, *n.* 8
εὖ πράττειν, greeting, 142, *n.* 18
Eunuchs, 365; 471
Euripides, a μισογύνης, 463
Exposure of children, 218
ἐξέδραι in the house, 265; in the gymnasium, 303
ἐξωμίς, 415
Eyebrows, painted, 174, *n.* 42

Fairs, annual, 292
Fastenings to doors, 270
Fees of schoolmasters, 230; of Sophists and Rhetoricians, 235; of physicians, 377
Feet washed before meals, 318, 319
Felt socks, 451
Fish, not mentioned as an article of diet in Homer, 323; various sorts, 324
Fishmongers and fishmarket, 288
Floors in dwelling-house, 268
Flute, disuse of, 234; at the symposia, 330; 344
Forgery of seals, 159, *n.* 6
Forks, not used, 320
Freed-men, 169, *n.* 29; 372
Frigidarium, 303
Fullers' earth, 151, *n.* 23
Funeral procession, 389
Funeral feast, 397
Funeral sacrifices, 398
Furs, not worn, 434

Games, 348, sqq.; the two sorts of cottabos, 349; other games of skill, 351; games depending on chance, 354; games of children, 222
γαμετή, 474
γάμος, 487
Gardening, art of, neglected by the Greeks, 203, *n.* 9
Garlands worn across the breast, 275
γεισιποδίσματα, 267
γελωτοποιοί, 92, *n.* 8
γένειον, beard, 457
γενέσια, 400
γενέθλια, 314
γέρρα, in the market-place, 286
Girdle worn by women, 427
Girls not sent to school, 236
Glass, invention of, 145, *n.* 22; vessels of, *ib.*
γλῶσσα, ligula, 320
Gnomon, 210, *n.* 3; 313
γνωρίσματα of exposed children, 222
γοητεία, 381
γράμματα, 231; comprehended reading, writing, and arithmetic, 232
γραμματεῖον, 162, *n.* 12
γραμματίδιον, bill of fare, 322
γραῶν μῦθοι, 225
γραφὴ ὕβρεως, 366
γραφεῖον, 163, *n.* 13
Grave-clothes, white, 385
Graves, 392
γρῖφοι, 97, sqq.; 348
γυμνός, of those wearing the chiton, 243; 298
γύμνωσις παρθένων in Sparta, 297
γυναικεία ἀγορά, 287
γυναικονόμοι, 468
Gymnasia, 293, sqq.; condemned by

the Romans, 293; distinction between Gymnasium and Palæstra, 294; not frequented by women in most of the Greek states, 296; Spartan virgins allowed to contend in them, 297; plan of a Gymnasium, 300; often visited by old men for exercise, 308; were favourite resorts of sophists and rhetoricians, 309
Gymnastics of the women, 297, sqq.
Gynæconitis, not *generally* above stairs, 255, 256; usually lay behind the andronitis, 258

ἅβρα, 364
αἱμακουρίαι, 400
Hair, 453, sqq.; how worn at Sparta, 454; first cut at Athens on entering the age of an ephebus, 454; dyed, 456; how worn by the women, 459; cut off as a sign of grief, 398
ἅλες ἡδυσμένοι, 330
ἁλῶν κοινωνεῖν, 7, *n.* 13
ἁμαξίς, go-cart, 222
ἁπλαῖ, shoes, 449
Hats, 443
Head-coverings of the men, 442, sqq.; their colour, 444; of the women, 460
ἡλιοτρόπιον, sun-dial, 211, *n.* 3
ἑλκεχίτωνες, 414
ἡμιδιπλοΐδιον, 425
ἡμίλιγδον, form of dolls, 223
ἕψημα οἴνου, 336
Ἑρμοῦ ῥαβδίον, 49, *n.* 18
ὥρᾳα, 394
ἑστιατόριον, 265
ἑτερομάσχαλος, 415
Hetæræ, 241, sqq.; classification of, 243; very numerous at Corinth, 245; their mode of life, 247; sums demanded by them, 248; their social position, *ib.*; epithets applied to them, 249; did not wear distinctive dresses, *ib.*; presence at the theatre, 405
ἱερὰ πατρῷα, Sacraria in private houses, 254
Hierodulæ of Aphrodite at Corinth, 24, *n.* 7; 246
ἱμαντελιγμός, 351
ἱματιοκλέπται in the baths, 149, *n.* 23
ἱμάτιον, 418; 428
ὁδοὶ λιθόστρωτοι, 285
Homer, taught in schools, 233; 273
ὁμομήτριοι not allowed to marry, 478
Horse-shoes, 20, *n.* 34
Horses, breeds of, 76, *n.* 5; prices, and favourite colours, *ib.*
Hospitality, 27, *n.* 14; 48, *n.* 17
House, the Grecian, 251 sqq.; changes subsequent to the time of Homer, 252; Vitruvius' account of, 252, sqq.; mostly faced the south, 259; plan of a large one, 263
House-doors, 260; usually opened inwards, 269; mode of locking, 270
ὕαλος ὀρωρυγμένη, 145, *n.* 22
ὕδωρ ὅρκιον, 184, *n.* 12
—— *κατὰ χειρός*, 320
ὑδροβαφές, 439
ὑδροφόρον, 364
ὕπαιθρον, 253
ὑπήνη, 457
ὑπερῷον, 255; 266
ὑπηρέται, in the theatre, 409
ὑποβάλλεσθαι παῖδας, 219
ὑποδήματα, 445, sqq.; of animals, 20, *n.* 34
ὑπολύειν, 318
ὑπόστατον, 148, *n.* 23
ὑποθυμίδες, 104, *n.* 25

ἰατραλείπται, 381
ἰατρεῖον, 378
ἰατρεύεσθαι κατὰ γράμματα, 375
Ice-houses, 337
ἰχθῦς, *ἰχθυοπωλῖτις*, fish-market, 288
Ἰκτινος, 11, *n.* 19
Imprecations on tombstones, 396
Ink, 162, *n.* 13
Inns, 27, *n.* 14
Inscriptions on tombs, 396
Inscriptions on houses, 261
Interment and cremation, neither exclusively practised, 390, sqq.
Introduction, letters of, 48, *n.* 15
ἱπνολέβης, 337
ἰσχάδες, 331

ἴσον ἴσῳ, 338
Ivory baskets, 92, *n.* 7
Jewellery worn by women, 200, *n.* 6

κάλαμοι γραφεῖς, 162, *n.* 13
καλόπους, a last, 447
καλῶς ἔχε (νοσοῦντι), 142, *n.* 18
καυδῆλαι, 156, *n.* 5
κάνθαρος, 106, *n.* 31
καπηλεῖα, considered disreputable places, 281
κάπηλοι, 280
καπνοδόκη, not a regular chimney, 271
καρβάτιναι, 450
καρχήσιον, 106, *n.* 31
Καρίναι, hired mourners, 389
κάρυα, 331
καταβαυκαλήσεις, 222
καταλλαγή, κάλλυβος, Agio, 291
καταλύσεις, inns, 27, *n.* 14
κατάστεγοι δρόμοι, 308
κατατομαί, κερκίδες, 409
καταχύσματα νεωνήτων, 368; at weddings, 487
καταγώγια, 27, *n.* 14
κατωνάκη, 442
κατορύττειν, 391
κάττυμα, 452
καυσία, 443
καῦστρον, 392
κείρεσθαι ἐπὶ πένθει, 398
κειρία, 137, *n.* 8
κεκρύφαλος, 460
κέλευσμα, 109, *n.* 1
κελευστής, 109, *n.* 1
κηπαία θύρα, 265
κεράμεια, 145, *n.* 22
κέρας, drinking-horn, 106, *n.* 31
κερανναθέντες, how buried, 401
κηροπλάστης, κηροπλαστική, 223; 52, *n.* 31
κίχλαι, 329
Κιμωλία γῆ, fullers' earth, 151, *n.* 23
κινεῖν τὸν ἀφ' ἱερᾶς, 352
κίονες, 395
κλεψύδρα, 212, *n.* 4
κλιμακίδες, 93, *n.* 8

κλῖναι, at meals, 318
κλίνη, 136, *n.* 8; 290
——— for a corpse, 387
κλοιός, 370
κναφεύειν, or γναφεύειν, 205, *n.* 15
κνέφαλον, 137, *n.* 8
Knives, not used at meals, 320
κοχλιώρυχον, 320
κώδεια, 212, *n.* 4
κώδια, on the beds, 138, *n.* 8; 442
κόγχη, 159, *n.* 7
κοιτῶνες, 265
κόλακες, 92, *n.* 8
κολακίδες, 93, *n.* 8
κολωνίτης, 373
κόλπος, 427
κομμώτριαι, lady's maids, 364
κονία, 151, *n.* 23
κονίαμα, 268
Κοντοπορία, 1, *n.* 2
κοπατίας, 76, *n.* 5
κόπτειν τὴν θύραν, κρούειν, and κροτεῖν, in opposition to ψοφεῖν, 54, *n.* 32; 269
κόραι, dolls, 223
κόραξ, door-knocker, 55, *n.* 32
Κορινθία κόρη, 246
κορινθιάζεσθαι, 246
κοροπλάθοι, κοροπλάσται, 223
κόθορνος, 451
κοτταβεῖον, 350
κότταβος κατακτός, 349
——— δι' ὀξυβάφων, 350
κουρεῖα, 279; 455
κουρεύς, 455
κράββατος, 139, *n.* 8
Κράνειον at Corinth, 24, *n.* 6
κραστῆρια, 136, *n.* 8
κρατήρ, 338
κρεῖσσον διδόναι, 353
κρηπίς, 447, 448
κροκωτός, 438
κρόμυον, 323
κροσσοί, fringes, 440
κύαμοι, 323
κύανθος, 341
κυβεῖα, 354
κυβεῖα, gambling-houses, 355

κυβιστᾶν εἰς μαχαίρας, 186, *n.* 17
κυβιστῆρες, 101, *n.* 22; 186, *n.* 17
κύκλοι, 285; 359
κυλικίδες, 378
κύλιξ, 106, *n.* 31
κυνῆ, 443
κύφων, 370

Labella, 395
λακωνικαί, 449
λακωνίζειν, λακωνισταί, 63, *n.* 8; 419
Λάμια, 224
Lamps, 156, *n.* 5
Landscape-painting, neglect of, 46, *n.* 11
Lanterns, 153, *n.* 1
λάργακες κυπαρίσσιναι, 392
λάταξ, or λατάγη, 351
Leathern chitons, 434
λῆδιον or ληδάριον, 430
λειτουργίαι, 70, *n.* 23
λεκιθοπωλίδες, 287
λέκιθος, sold in the market, 61, *n.* 2
λήκυθοι νεκρῶν, 387; 396
λῆροι, 391; 396
Letters surreptitiously opened, 160, *n.* 9
λευκὴ ἡμέρα, 143, *n.* 19
Libraries, 272, sqq.; their existence in early times doubtful, 273; public libraries, 275
λίβυς, 484
Lighting, methods of, 153, *n.* 1; 156, *n.* 5
Lightning, burial of persons killed by, 401
Links carried in the streets, 153, *n.* 1
Litters, the use of, 124, *n.* 23
Loadstone, 129, *n.* 29
λωποδῦται, 154, *n.* 2
λουτῆρες, 148, *n.* 23
λουτρὸν νυμφικόν, 483
λουτροφόροι, 484
Love-matches, 473; 477
λυχνίον, 157, *n.* 5; for the cottabos, 350
λύχνοι, 156, *n.* 5
λύρα and κιθάρα, 234

μαχαίρας καταπίνειν, 186, *n.* 20
μαγειρεῖα, 290
μαγευτική, 381
μαγγανεία, 381
Magic arts, 192, *n.* 35; 382
μαλάχη, 323
μάλθη, 162, *n.* 12
Maidens, presence of, at the Olympic games, 296; at gymnastic exercises in Sparta, 297
Malt liquors, 334
Manumission of slaves, 372; 169, *n.* 29
Market-place, 277, sqq.; topography of, 278; when most frequented, *ib.*; used as a lounging-place, 279; the κύκλοι, 285; fish-market, 288
Marriage, 473, sqq.; regarded as a duty, 475; between relatives, 477; usual age, 478; dowry, 480; usual time of year, 482; preliminary solemnities, *ib.*; marriage-bath, 483; fetching home the bride, 485; marriage-feast, 488
μάζα, 322
Meals, 310, sqq.; differed at different periods and in different states, 311; three daily meals, 312; the δεῖπνον, 313; practice of reclining at meals, 317; ablutions before and after, 318; 320; 329; no table-cloth used, 321; attendance at table, *ib.*; number of courses, 325; the dessert, 331
Median garments, 433
Medicine, state of, 381
μέλαν, ink, 162, *n.* 13; pigment for the eyebrows, 174, *n.* 42
μελανοδάχον, 162, *n.* 13
μελιτοῦττα, 386
Meusa, 395
μήνυτρα or σῶστρα, 197, *n.* 4
Merchants and dealers, various classes of, 280
μερίδες, 314
μεταβλητική, 280
μετάνιπτρον, 329
μέταυλος and μέσαυλος θύρα, 257; 265
μέταξα, 433

μισθαρνία, 280
μισθωτοί, 373
μίτρα, 427; 461
μνήματα, μνημεῖα, 393
μόνα καὶ ζυγά, 354
Money lent on pledges, 122, *n.* 21
Monkeys, kept as pets, 77, *n.* 5; taught to dance, 187, *n.* 24
μονοχίτων, 417, 418
μονόπελμα, 449
Monopolies, 284
Μορμώ, μορμολυκεῖα, 225
Mourning, duration of, 398; in what it consisted, *ib.*; mourning garments, 399
Μουσεῖα, school-festival, 234
Mules, use of, 127, *n.* 26
Music, study of, 233
μύσταξ, 457
μυστίλη, μύστρον, 320
μῦθοι γραῶν καὶ τιτθῶν, 225
Myrtle-market, 289; 94, *n.* 10

ναΐδια, 394
Nails worn in shoes, 452
Names of children, when given, 219
ναῦλον, 385
Negro slaves, 365
νεκροθάπται, 389
νεκύσια, 400
νέοι, took no part in public affairs, 237; their pursuits and amusements, 74, *n.* 1; 76, *n.* 5; 239
νευρόσπαστα, Marionettes, 185, *n.* 15
Nicknames, 40, *n.* 42; 220; of hetaræ, 249
Night-lights, use of, 35, *n.* 28
νικητήρια, 314
νίτρον, 151, *n.* 23
Nudity in the Gymnasia, 298
νυμφαγωγός, 486
νύμφαι, dolls, 223
Nurses, were often free women, 221; Spartan nurses, *ib.*

Oaths, frequent in conversation, 82, *n.* 12
ὀβελισκολύχνιον, 157, *n.* 5
ὀβολὸς νεκροῦ, 385
ὀβολοστάται, 71, *n.* 25
ὀχθοιβοι, 440
οἰκήματα, πορνεῖα, 244
οἶκοι τρίκλινοι, ἑπτάκλινοι, 265
οἰκονόμος, 364
οἰκότριβες, οἰκοτρίβαιοι, 360
Οἰνιστήρια, 454
οἰνοχόη, 341
οἰνοχόοι, 342
οἰνοπώλης, 284
οἴνῳ τὸν οἶνον ἐξελαύνειν, 105, *n.* 29
οἶνος. See *Wine.*
οἰνοῦττα, 322
Olympian games, presence of women at, 296
ὀνειρόπολοι, ὀνειροκρίται, 131, *n.* 4
ὀφθαλμὸς of a ship, 111, *n.* 2
ὀφθαλμὸς βάσκανος, 189, *n.* 28
ὄψον, fish-market, 288
ὀπτὰ κάρυα, 331
Ordeals, 183, *n.* 12
ὀρίγανος, 387
Ornaments worn by women, 200, *n.* 6
ὀρτυγοκοπία, 78, *n.* 6

παχεῖς, 295
παιδαγωγεῖον, school-room, 226
παιδαγωγεῖν συμπόσιον, 341
παιδαγωγός, 226
παιδεία, 217, sqq.
παιδέρως, pigment, 174, *n.* 42
παιδίον and παιδάριον, 222
παιδισκεῖα, πορνεῖα, 244
παιδονόμοι, 225; 229
παιδοποιεῖσθαι γνησίως, 474
παλαίστρα, 294
παλιγκάπηλοι, 280
παλίμψηστον, 162, *n.* 12
παλλακή, 360; 473, 474
Palm-wine, 334
πανδοκία, despised, 28, *n.* 14
πανδοκεῖον, 27, *n.* 14
πανηγύρεις, 292
Paper of the ancients, 161, *n.* 12; its price, 162
πάππος, 457

Papyrus, 162, *n.* 12
παραχαράττειν, 292
παραχύται, 151, *n.* 23
παράμεσον, ring-finger, 200, *n.* 6
παράνυμφος, or πάροχος, 210, *n.* 2; 485
παράπηχυ, 440
παραπετάσματα, 269
παράσημον, 110, *n.* 2
Parasites, three classes of, 92, *n.* 8
Parasols, 125, *n.* 24
παραστάς, παστάς, προστάς, 254; 266
παρθένοι κατάκλειστοι, and θαλαμευόμεναι, 465
πάρυφαι, 440
Passage-money, 43, *n.* 1
Passports, 9, *n.* 17
πατρόθεν ὀνομάζεσθαι, 220
Patterns of dresses, 439, 440
Pavement of the streets, 285
πέδαι, 369
πέμματα, 488
πενταλιθίζειν, 354
πέπλος, 428
περιβαρίς, 451
περιβλήματα, 415; 418
περίδειπνον, 397
περιδέραια of the children, 222
περιδέραιον, collar, 370
περικαθαρτήρια, 382
περίλευκα, 437
περίνηστα, 440
περίπολοι, 154, *n.* 2; 239
Peristyle of the house, 253
περίζωμα, 427
περονατρίς, 428
Περσικά, 450
πεσσοί, invention of, 353
πέτασος, 443
πεττεία, the two kinds of, 352
πέζαι, 439
φαιός, 436
φακαῖ, 323
φανοί, torches, 153, *n.* 1
φαρμακεία, 381
φαρμακοί, 378; 382
φαρμακοπῶλαι, φαρμακοτρίβαι, 378
Pheasants, kept for show, 77, *n.* 5
φερνή, 480
φιάλη, 106, *n.* 31
φιλοτησίαι, healths, 343
φλόμος, 157, *n.* 5
φορεῖον, litter, 124, *n.* 23
φορμός, 139, *n.* 8; 442
φῦκος, a pigment, 174, *n.* 42
Picnics, 315
Picturesque, sense of, 46, *n.* 11
Pigeon-fancying, 77, *n.* 5
Pigments, 174, *n.* 42
πιλίδιον, 141, *n.* 17; a shoe, 451
πῖλος, 443; 451
πίνακες γραφικοί, 162, *n.* 12
πινάκια, 144, *n.* 20
πίνος, 334
πίθηκος, used as a term of abuse, 40, *n.* 42
Placards on the walls, 197, *n.* 4
πλακοῦς, 332
πλαταγαί, 222
πλαταγώνιον, 190, *n.* 29
Playthings of children, 222
Pledges, left in pawn, 122, *n.* 21
πληθουσα ἀγορά, at what hour, 278
πλύνειν, 205, *n.* 15
ποδοκάκη, 369
Poets, read at school, 233
πώγων, πωγωνοτροφεῖν, 457
ποικιλίαι, ποικίλματα, 268
πόλεις παίζειν, 352, 353
πόλος, sun-dial, 210, *n.* 3
πολυφαγία, Bœotians renowned for, 93, *n.* 9; 311
πολυφόρος οἶνος, 338
πομφόλυγας, 461
πόρναι, classification of, 243
πορνεῖα, public, 243
πορνικὸν τέλος, 243
πόσα; game, 354
πόσις διὰ χιόνος, 337
πότος, 330; 333, sqq.
πρατὴρ λίθος, 359
πρῖνος ἀγρία, 4, *n.* 9
προαγωγεία, 495
προβασκάνια, 191, *n.* 32
προγάμεια, 482
προῖξ, 480
προκηρύττειν, 197, *n.* 4
προμνήστριαι, 479

προπέμπειν, 83, n. 14
προφράγματα of the houses, 260
προπίνειν φιλοτησίας, 343
πρόπομα, 312; 325
πρόσηβον, 238
προσκεφάλαιον, 137, n. 8; 140, n. 12; 319; 407
προστάς, 254; 266
πρόστοον, 265
προτέλεια γάμων, 482
πρύθεσις, 386
πρύθυρα, 260
ψηφισματοπώλης, 273
ψῆφοι, set in rings, 199, n. 6; used for reckoning, 232
ψηφοκλέπται, ψηφοπαῖκται, 187, n. 22
ψίαθος, 139, n. 8
ψιμύθιον, a pigment, 174, n. 42
ψωμίζειν, 221
ψοφεῖν τὴν θύραν, 54, n. 32; 269
ψυχραὶ τράπεζαι, 326
πτερὰ or πτέρυγες, 421
πτέρωτα and πτίλωτα προσκεφάλαια, 138, n. 8
πτύειν εἰς κόλπον, 132, n. 3
πύελοι, bathing tubs, 149, n. 23; coffins, 391; 396
πυλών, 253
Puppet-shows, 185, n. 15
πύργος, for slaves, 266
πυρία, 149, n. 23
πυξίδες, 378
πυξίον, 162, n. 13

Quail-fighting, 78, n. 6; 239

ῥάβδοι, stripes on the garments, 440
ῥαβδοφόροι or ῥαβδοῦχοι in the theatre, 409
ῥαῖδια, 447
ῥάφανος, 217; 323
Rattles for children, 222
Reading, how taught, 232
Reclining at meals, 317; 319
ῥινᾶν, ῥινὸς ἕλκειν, 68, n. 17
Rings, use of, 199, n. 6; ἄψηφοι, ib.; worn as amulets, 191, n. 32
ῥόμβος, 224
Roofs of houses, 267
ῥόπτρα on the doors, 55, n. 32
Rouge, use of, 174, n. 42
ῥύμμα, 151, n. 23
ῥύπος, 163, n. 14
ῥυτοὶ λᾶες, 285
ῥυτόν, 106, n. 31

σάκκος, 460
Salutation, forms of, 142, n. 18
σαμφόρας, 76, n. 5
σανδάλια, worn only by women, 447
Sandals, 446; taken off before meals, 318
σαρδῶος, 199, n. 6
Sausages, 323
σχιστός, 421
Schoolmasters, pay of, 230
Schools, 227, sqq.; not supported at the public expense, 228; hour at which they opened in the morning, 231; vacations, 234
Seals, affixed to documents, 159, n. 8; to doors, 270; forgery of, 159, n. 6; secured by capsules, 159, n. 7
Sealing-earth, 163, n. 14
σηκίδες, 365
σέλινον, 135, n. 7; 386
σήματα, 393
σηρικαί, 433
Sesame cakes at weddings, 488
Shaving, 458
Ships, figure-heads of, 110, n. 2; names of, 111, n. 2; burden of, 112, n. 5
Shoes, 445, sqq.; only worn out of doors, 445; the various kinds, 446, sqq.; women's shoes, 450; materials, 451; colour, 452
Signet-rings, 199, n. 6
Silk-manufacture, 432, 433
Silver utensils, 5, n. 12
σινδών, 431
σισύρα, 138, n. 8; 442
σιτίζειν, 221
σκάφη, cradle, 221
σκηναί, 28, n. 14; 285

σκιάδειον, 125, *n.* 24
σκιαθήρας, sun-dial, 211, *n.* 3
σκίμπους, 139, *n.* 8
σκιράφεια, 355
σκόλια, songs, 348
σκυτοτόμος, 451
Slaves, 356, sqq.; origin of slavery, 358; names of slaves, 169, *n.* 30; prices of slaves, 359; number of slaves, 361; chiefly employed as artisans, 362, 363; female slaves, 364; 474; 495; treatment of slaves, 365; usual punishments, 369; character, 371; manumission of slaves, 169, *n.* 29; 372; presence of, in the theatre, 407
Slave-market, 285; 359
σμῆγμα or *σμῆμα*, 329; 151, *n.* 23
Smoke, how disposed of, 271
Snow, put into wine, 337
Sophists and Rhetoricians in the Gymnasia, 309; their fees, 235
σοροί, 391
σῶστρα, 197, *n.* 4
σπάργανα, 217
σπάρτια, 447
Spas, 123, *n.* 22
Spelling, how taught, 232
σφαλός, 370
σφένδαμνος, 136, *n.* 8; 139, *n.* 10
σφενδόνη, 199, *n.* 6; head-dress, 459
σφραγίς, 9, *n.* 17; 199, *n.* 6
σπονδαί, 329
Spoons, 320
Stadiatæ porticus, 304
στῆλαι, 395
στεφανοπώλιδες, 287
στηθόδεσμος, 427
στίμμις, a pigment, 175, *n.* 42
στλεγγίς, in the baths, 150, *n.* 23; head-dress, 459
στοαί, 265
στοιχεῖον, 211, *n.* 3
στρέβλαι, 370
Streets, pavement of, 285
στρόβιλος, 101, *n.* 22; 224
στρώματα, 3, *n.* 8; 138, *n.* 8
στρωματεύς, *στρωματόδεσμον*, 4, *n.* 8
στρόφιον, 427
Στυγὸς ὕδωρ, 183, *n.* 12
Suicides, how interred, 401
συκάμινον, a pigment, 174, *n.* 42
συλλαβίζειν, 232
σύλλογοι, 74, *n.* 1
σύμβολα, 9, *n.* 17
συμβολαί, *ἀπὸ συμβολῶν δειπνεῖν*, 314
συμμετρία χιτῶν, 427
συμποσίαρχος, 341
Sun-dials, 210, *n.* 3
Surgery, state of, 380
συρίττειν and *κλώζειν*, signs of disapprobation in the theatre, 410
Surnames, 220
Sycophants, 66, *n.* 13
Symposia, 333 sqq.; of Plato, Xenophon, and Plutarch, contrasted, 333; different wines at, 335; the Symposiarch, 342; the *τρόπος τῆς πόσεως*, 343; conversation and amusements, 344

ταινία, 427
ταινιοπώλιδες, 289
ταμιεῖα, 491
τάφοι, 393
ταρίχη, 324
τηλέφιλον, 190, *n.* 29
τηλία, frame on which quails fought, 78, *n.* 6; 355
θάλαμος, 266
θάπτειν, 391
θαυματοποιοί, 180, *n.* 7; 188, *n.* 25
Taverns, 27, *n.* 14; 74, *n.* 1; 281
Theatres, 403, sqq.; presence of women, 403; of boys, 406; entrance-money, 408; arrangement of benches, 409; hour of commencement, *ib.*; behaviour of the audience, 410; social position of actors, 411
θεατρώνης, *θεατροπώλης*, 408
Thebes, notorious for *ἀμαθία*, 236
θῆκαι, 393
θεοὶ γαμήλιοι, 482
θεωρικόν, 408
θεραπευτικοί, 92, *n.* 8
θερίστρια, 430
θερμὰ λουτρά, 123, *n.* 22

θέρμοι, 323
θερμὸν ὕδωρ, 336
θέσθαι τὴν ψῆφον, 353
Thimble-rigging, 187, *n.* 22
θοίνη γαμική, 487
θορυβεῖν, 410
θρηνῳδοί, 389
θρίδαξ, 323
θρυαλλίς, 157, *n.* 5
θύρα, 260; 269; 54, *n.* 32
—— αὔλειος, 253
—— κηπαία, 37, *n.* 32; 265
—— μέσαυλος and μέταυλος, 257; 265
θυρίδες, 270
θυρών, θυρωρεῖον, 253
θυρωρός, 261
θύσανοι, 440
τίτθη, 221
τοκισμός, 280
Tombs, where placed, 393; family-tombs, 394; usual forms of, 395; inscriptions on, 396
Tombstones, 395
τόνος, 137, *n.* 8
Torches, 153, *n.* 1; at weddings, 486
Toys of children, 222; 224
Trade, respectability of, 281
τραγήματα, 331
τράπεζαι, 140, *n.* 10
——— grave-stones, 394, 395
——— of the money-changers, 67, *n.* 16; 69, *n.* 20; 71, *n.* 25; 72, *n.* 27; 290
——— πρῶται and δεύτεραι, 326; 330, 331
——— αἴρειν τὰς τράπεζαν, 329
τραπεζοποιός, 322
Travelling, 3, *n* 8; restrictions on, 9, *n.* 17
Τρητός, pass near Cleonæ, 1, *n.* 2
τριακάδες, 398
τρίβων, or τριβώνιον, 419
τρίχαπτον, 460
τριχολάβιον, 455
τριηραύλης, 109, *n.* 1
τρίμμα, 336
Trinkets, 200, *n.* 6; false, 188, *n.* 27
τρίτα, 397
τροχός, 379; τῶν θαυματοποιῶν, 101, *n.* 22; game, 224
τροφή, 221
τρόπος τῆς πόσεως, 343
τυλεῖον, or τύλη, 137, *n.* 8
τύμβοι, 394
τύμπανα, 370

Vacations in schools, 234
Vases in tombs, 396
Veneering, 136, *n.* 8
Virginity, test of, 183, *n.* 12
Visits, made early in the morning, 57, *n.* 37; of the women, 469, 470

Wages, daily, for manual labour, 29, *n.* 18
Walking-sticks, 87, *n.* 18; 156, *n.* 4.
Walls, decoration of, 268
Warming houses, method of, 271
Washing clothes, 205, *n.* 15
Watering-places, 123, *n.* 22
Waxwork, artificial flowers, fruits, &c., 53, *n.* 31
Wax-candles, 156, *n.* 5
Waxen writing-tablets, 163, *n.* 13
Widows, married again, 478; 167, *n.* 20
Wills, when opened, 165, *n.* 17; witnesses to, 166, *n.* 18; form of, 167, *n.* 19; duplicates of, 171, *n.* 37
Windows, 270
Wine, 334, sqq.; its cheapness, 334; various kinds, 335; various preparations of, 336; age, *ib.*; mixed with hot or cold water, 337; mixed with snow, *ib.*; proportions of the mixture, 338; not drunk during meals, 333; sale of, 280; 284
Women, 462, sqq.; their social position, 463; education, 465; 236; treatment before and after marriage, 466, sqq.; seclusion, 468; gymnastics of, at Sparta, 297; presence in the theatre, 403, sq.; marriage, 473; considerations which induced it, 475; its usages and forms, 479; dowries, 481; solemnities at marriages, 483, sq.; occupations of married women, 491;

punishment of infidelity, 494; frequency of divorce, 497
Writing, how taught, 232

ξανθαὶ τρίχες, 456
ξένια, 48, *n.* 17
ξενῶνες, 267
ξηραλοιφεῖν, 294

ξύλον, 370
ξυστίς, 441
ξυστοὶ δρόμοι, 308

ζατρίκιον, 352
Ζεῦ σῶσον, 205, *n.* 14
ζώνιον, 427
ζυγός, 446

THE END.

Digitized with financial assistance from

H T Parekh FOUNDATION

An Initiative by HDFC
WITH YOU, RIGHT THROUGH

on 28 December, 2018